

Verification report for GS4GG project activities (Gold Standard for the Global Goals)	
BASIC INFORMATION	
Title of the GS4GG Project activity	Bhesada Wind Power Project in Rajasthan
Reference number of the project activity	GS11401
Version number of the verification and certification report	1.3
Completion date of the verification and certification report	16/09/2025
Monitoring period number and duration of this monitoring period	Monitoring period number: 04 Monitoring period duration: 01/05/2024 to 31/08/2024 (including both days)
Version number of the monitoring report to which this report applies	1.5, dated 26/08/2025
Crediting period of the project activity corresponding to this monitoring period	31/03/2021 to 30/03/2026 (2 nd crediting period)
Project representative(s)	Renew Power Synergy Private Limited
Host Party	India
Applied methodologies and standardized baselines	ACM0002: "Grid-connected electricity generation from renewable sources" (version 20.0). Standardized baseline: N/A
Mandatory sectoral scopes	Sectoral scope: 01 Energy industries (renewable / non-renewable sources)
Activity requirements applied	☐ Community Services Activities ☒ Renewable Energy Activities ☐ Land Use and Forestry Activities/Risks & Capacities ☐ N/A

Product requirements applied		☒ GHG Emissions Reduction & Sequestration ☐ Renewable Energy Label ☐ N/A		
Sustainable Development Goals Targeted	SDG Impact	Estimated amount of annual average certified SDG impact for the comparable period (as per approved PDD¹)	Total amount of certified SDG impact (as per approved methodology) achieved in this monitoring period	Units/ Products
SDG 7 (Affordable and Clean Energy)	MWh of renewable energy generated	68,174.35	71,802.780	MWh
SDG 8 (Decent Work and Economic Growth)	Number of training and hiring through project	1 training/annum and employment to 4 people annually.	Total number of trainings imparted to employees during the entire monitoring period: 33 The total number of employment opportunities created till date is 42. However, the total number of employments Opportunities created during the entire monitoring period: 0	Number of trainings and Employment generated throughout project
SDG 13 (Climate Action)	Emission reductions	63,435	66,811	(tCO ₂ e) GS VER
Name of the Gold Standard approved auditor (VVB)		Earthood Services Limited		

¹ The estimated amount of annual average certified SDG impact for SDG 7 and 13 has been adjusted as per the duration of the monitoring period (4 months).

Name, position and signature of the Approver of the verification and certification report



Dr. Kaviraj Singh
Chief Executive Officer (CEO)

SECTION A. Executive summary

The project activity named “Bhesada Wind Power Project in Rajasthan” is situated in Bheeksar and Karada village, Jaisalmer district, Rajasthan which is confirmed from the on-site audit performed by the verification team/11/. The project involved installation and usage of 48 Suzlon made WTGs of 2.1 MW capacity, leading to a total installed capacity of 100.8 MW which utilizes wind energy as the primary source of electricity generation. The project is owned and operated by ReNew Wind Energy (Rajasthan 3) Private Limited who is the project developer for the project activity as verified from the commissioning certificate/14/. The project representative of the project activity is ReNew Power Synergy Private Limited, which has been verified through the signed GS cover letter/19/.

The project is aimed at substituting the carbon intensive energy with a clean wind energy and further supplying the generated electrical energy to the Indian Grid thus reducing the dependency on fossil fuel powered plants and meet the growing energy demands followed by increasing the employment as well. As per the baseline scenario, electricity delivered to the grid by the project activity would have otherwise been generated by the operation of grid-connected power plants that are fossil fuel dominated power plants and by the addition of new plants.

The project activity replaces the anthropogenic (man-made) emissions of greenhouse gases of 66,811 tCO₂e, thereby displacing 71,802.78 MWh amount of electricity during the current monitoring period from the generation-mix of power plants. The project activity is found to be fully operational, which has been verified during the onsite visit/11/.

The basic details of the GS project activity are mentioned below:

Project title	Bhesada Wind Power Project in Rajasthan
GS ID	GS11401
Monitoring period	04
GS4GG Activity Requirements	Renewable Energy Activity Requirements Version 1.4
Technical Area	TA 1.2
Selected Sustainable Development Goals	SDG 7, SDG 8, SDG 13
Sectoral Scope	01: Energy Industries (renewable/non-renewable)
GS4GG Certified Product	GHG Emission Reduction and Sequestration

Scope of verification

This verification is an independent and objective review and ex-post determination of the monitored SDG outcomes by the VVB. The verification addresses the implementation and operation of the GS PA and tests the data and assertions set out in the monitoring report based on the following:

- (I) The registered GS PDD/01/ and preliminary review feedback.
- (II) The approved methodology/05/ mentioned in the PDD.
- (III) The registered monitoring plan as per registered PDD/01/.

- (IV) GS4GG Renewable Energy Activity Requirements/09/.
- (V) Latest GS4GG requirements/07/09/
- (VI) Applied monitoring methodology/05/.
- (VII) GS4GG Principles and Requirements/07/.
- (VIII) Validation and Verification Body requirements, Product requirements and references relevant to the project activity's reported SDG outcomes.

The verification has considered both quantitative and qualitative aspects on stated/reported SDG outcomes achieved as part of GS4GG. The monitoring report (all versions) and corresponding supporting documentation was assessed in accordance with the rules defined by UNFCCC and GS4GG, as appropriate to the PA. The verification is not meant to provide any consulting or recommendations to the PD/others. However, stated requests for clarifications and/or corrective actions may provide input for improvement of the monitoring activities.

Verification process

The verification process is conducted as per internal GS Quality Manual, which includes the following steps.

- a) Contract with PD and appointment of verification team and technical review team (refer Section B.1 and B.2 of this report)
- b) Desk review (refer Section D.1 of this report) of Monitoring Report and corresponding ER sheet by verification team.
- c) On-site audit involving interviews with monitoring staff and PD representatives.
- d) Reporting and closure of findings (CARs/CLs/FARs) and preparation of draft verification report (refer Section D.5 of this report).
- e) Independent technical review (refer Section D of this report) of the draft verification report and final/revised documentation (e.g., Monitoring Report, corresponding ER sheet and evidence).
- f) Reporting and closure of TR comments/findings (refer Section D.5 of this report) (CARs/CLs/FARs) and final approval for the decision made (refer Section E and F of this report).
- g) Issuance of final verification report to contracted PD (or authorized representatives) and submission of request for issuance, as appropriate.

Based on the outcome of the verification process of the registered PA "Bhesada Wind Power Project in Rajasthan" for the monitoring period 01/05/2024 to 31/08/2024 (both dates included) we confirm that the implementation of referenced registered PA is complying with applicable GS4GG regulations as stated in the Monitoring Report (final) Version 1.5/03/ dated 26/08/2025. Earthood Services Limited (formally known as Earthood Services Private Limited) is well capable to certify that the emission reductions from the registered GS PA (GS11401) "Bhesada Wind Power Project in Rajasthan" amount to 66,811 GS VERs. Therefore, this is being submitted for request for issuance.

SECTION B. Verification team, technical reviewer and approver

B.1. Verification team member

No.	Role	Type of resource	Last name	First name	Affiliation (e.g., name of central or other office of VVB or outsourced entity)	Involvement in			
						Desk/document review	On-site inspection	Interview(s)	Verification findings
1.	Team Leader	IR	Verma	Anurag	Central Office	Y	Y	Y	Y
2.	Technical Expert (TA 1.2)	IR	Verma	Anurag	Central Office	Y	Y	Y	Y
3.	Local Expert (India)	IR	Verma	Anurag	Central Office	Y	Y	Y	Y
4.	GS4GG Approved Auditor	IR	Verma	Anurag	Central Office	Y	Y	Y	Y
5	Verifier	IR	Paul	Austin	Central Office	Y	Y	Y	Y

B.2. Technical reviewer and approver of the verification and certification report

No.	Role	Type of resource	Last name	First name	Affiliation (e.g., name of central or other office of VVB or outsourced entity)
1.	Technical reviewer	IR	Amlani	Jinesh	Central Office
2.	TA expert to TR (1.2)	IR	Amlani	Jinesh	Central Office
3.	Approver	IR	Singh	Kaviraj	Central Office

SECTION C. Application of the materiality

C.1. Consideration of materiality in planning the verification

No.	Risk that could lead to material errors, omissions or misstatements	Assessment of the risk		Response to the risk in the verification plan and/or sampling plan
		Risk level	Justification	
1.	Human Error caused due to recording monitored data in main meter tabular report sheets	Low	The tabular reports are generated from SCADA system and then finalized by an independent division.	All invoices and final tabular reports issued by the planning department were verified/17/18/.
2.	Error in transferring the recorded data to ER sheet	Medium	The procedure for transferring in the final tabular report readings to the ER calculation sheet is manual, thus increasing the chances of error.	All invoices/18/ were cross-checked, and no such errors were identified.
3.	Error in applying the formulae in the emission reduction calculation sheet	Medium	The calculation method has been prescribed in the applied methodologies and further detailed in the registered PDD. The project involves large amounts of data, and the final emission reduction is a result of complex mathematics equations.	The emission reduction calculation sheet/04/ has been reviewed in detail by the verification team. Each step for the calculation has been thoroughly checked to confirm the final numbers.

C.2. Consideration of materiality in conducting the verification

In accordance with section 9.6.3 of GS VVS version 2.0/42/ for the PAs, the prescribed thresholds for materiality for GS PAs are as under-

Emission Reductions (tCO ₂ e)/year	500,000 or more	300,000 to 500,000	300,000 or less	Micro Scale PAs
Materiality Threshold	0.5%	1.0%	2.0%	10.0%

The applicable materiality threshold is 2.0% as the emission reductions value is 66,811 tCO₂e (for the current monitoring period) meeting the criteria stated in **part c** of section 9.6.3 in the GS VVS/42/.

Monitored Parameter (Symbol / Description)	Reporting Frequency	Number of Discrete Data (Total)	Sample selected for verification Sample (%)	Type of error identified	Impact on ERs	
					ERs Impacted (Sample)	ERs Impacted (Population based on extrapolation)
EG _{facility,y}	Monthly	8	8 (100%)	No error	No impact (100%)	No impact (100%)

“Total 8 Number of discrete data” corresponding to the 8 discrete values of 4 months of the monitoring period as the plant got commissioned in two phases with two separate PPAs in the same region under same entity (ReNew) thus there are two separate readings for phase-I and phase-II as per the JMR. All of the discrete data (corresponds to the electricity generation data) has been checked and verified by the verification team; . Since, all of the issues that have been identified related to the same were resolved during the assessment stage thus there was no impact to be observed and no extrapolation was required by the verification team since 100% data was checked by the verification team, and hence, there is no impact in the ERs.

Based on the above table, it can be confirmed that the materiality threshold is not breached for the registered PA as per CDM VVS for PAs Version 3.0/10/. As no material errors, omissions or misstatements can be found, a reasonable level of assurance is achieved.

SECTION D. Means of verification

D.1. Desk review

The verification is performed primarily as a desk review of the documents submitted at various stages of assessments. The review is performed by the verification team using dedicated protocols (checklists). The verification team cross-checks the information provided in the documents (MR, registered PDD) and information from sources other than those used, if available, and conducts independent background investigations. Earthood conducted a desk review as under:

- A review of the data and information presented to verify their completeness.
- A review of the monitoring plan (as described in PDD) the monitoring methodology including applicable tool(s) and, where applicable, the applied standardized baseline, paying particular attention to the frequency of measurements, the quality of metering equipment including calibration requirements, and the quality assurance and quality control procedures.
- A review of calculations and assumptions made in determining the SDG outcomes, GHG data and emission reductions.
- An evaluation of data management and the quality assurance and quality control system in the context of their influence on the generation and reporting of SDG outcomes and emission reductions.

The list of documents reviewed during verification is provided under Appendix 3 of this report.

D.2. On-site inspection

The VVB has conducted an onsite visit of the project on 22/04/2025 which is in complete accordance with the Site visit and remote audit requirements and procedures version 2.0/08/. During the onsite visit/11/, the verification team performed the following activities which are listed in the table below:

Duration of on-site inspection: 22/04/2025				
No.	Activity performed on-site	Site location	Date	Team member
1.	Opening Meeting: Introduction, scope and objective of work, roles and responsibilities of the audit team, resources required, and timetable of the onsite audit including the venue for closing meeting and any concerns from PD.	Bheeksar and Karada village, Jaisalmer, Rajasthan	22/04/2025	Anurag Verma and Austin Paul
2.	Implementation and operation of project activity	Bheeksar and Karada village,	22/04/2025	Anurag Verma and Austin Paul

	(project boundary, technology, project equipment, monitoring and metering equipment) as per registered PDD/previous verification.	Jaisalmer, Rajasthan		
3.	Management and monitoring procedures followed at the project site.	Bheeksar and Karada village, Jaisalmer, Rajasthan	22/04/2025	Anurag Verma and Austin Paul
4.	Physical inspection of the project activity: Site visit and interview of monitoring personnel	Bheeksar and Karada village, Jaisalmer, Rajasthan	22/04/2025	Anurag Verma and Austin Paul
5.	Management and operational system: Documentation, allocation of responsibilities, qualification and training, data recording & archiving, internal audit and management review and emergency procedures.	Bheeksar and Karada village, Jaisalmer, Rajasthan	22/04/2025	Anurag Verma and Austin Paul
6.	Verification checklist: compliance with monitoring procedures followed at the project site with registered PDD and monitoring methodology.	Bheeksar and Karada village, Jaisalmer, Rajasthan	22/04/2025	Anurag Verma and Austin Paul
7.	Review of monitored data and relevant documents in accordance with the registered monitoring plan and applied monitoring methodology.	Bheeksar and Karada village, Jaisalmer, Rajasthan	22/04/2025	Anurag Verma and Austin Paul
8.	Review of ER calculations in accordance with applied methodology and relevant tools.	Bheeksar and Karada village, Jaisalmer, Rajasthan	22/04/2025	Anurag Verma and Austin Paul
9.	Compilation of the audit findings.	Bheeksar and Karada village, Jaisalmer, Rajasthan	22/04/2025	Anurag Verma and Austin Paul
10.	Closing Meeting: Submission of the audit findings to the	Bheeksar and Karada village,	22/04/2025	Anurag Verma and Austin Paul

	client agreement on the issues raised and agreement on timelines.	Jaisalmer, Rajasthan		
--	---	----------------------	--	--

The Verification team has exempted the usage of Audit technique template/36/ as instructed by version 2.0 of the Site visit and remote audit requirements and procedures/08/. However, the VVB has ensured all the relevant information related to the means of verification and auditing techniques used is reported in the verification report. The following auditing techniques were employed by the verification team:

- Cross-checks between information provided in the PDD/ Monitoring report.
- VVB's sectoral & local expertise.
- Telephonic interviews with PD representative, O&M team and end users & consultant.
- Cross-checks between the information provided by interviewed personnel & information provided in registered documents as well as previous validation documents and supportive documentary evidence.
- Verification and cross-checks of photographic evidence of the project facility including metering equipment provided by the project developer.

The verification team therefore is of the opinion that the project is implemented as described in the revised PDD/01/ and there is no change in monitoring practices as well as all monitoring parameters as envisaged in the PDD. All the monitored values are supported by the evidence (Monitoring Reports) and found that the information provided in MR/03/ is in line with the submitted evidence. The verification team confirms that the audit techniques employed were sufficient to close the assessment and therefore, the GS Audit Techniques Tool has not been used in line with GS4GG site visit and remote audit requirements and procedures/08/.

D.3. Interviews

No.	Interviewee			Date	Subject	Team member
	Last name	First name	Affiliation			
1.	Jena	Chittaranjan	Assistant Manager, Renew Power	22/04/2025	Electricity Generation Records (JMRs), Reliability & accuracy of readings considered for emission reduction calculations, SCADA System, Calibration Procedure, Training, Waste handling and disposal, Operation and Maintenance of the plant site, employment opportunities,	Anurag Verma and Austin Paul
2.	Choudhary	Ramkishor	3 rd - Level Manager, Renew Power			

					grievance mechanism, scheduled maintenance and breakdown/shutdown details	
3.	Mundel	Jitendra	2nd- Level Manager, Renew Power	22/04/2025	Monitoring and measuring system, collection of measurements, observations of established practices and data verification of monitoring parameters, Operation and Maintenance of plant site, waste handling and disposal, grievance mechanism, scheduled maintenance and breakdown/shutdown details	Anurag Verma and Austin Paul
4.	Garg	Karan	Junior Engineer			
5.	-	Sahil	3 rd - Level Manager, Renew Power			
6.	Singh	Gopal	Local Stakeholder	22/04/2025	Project awareness, Feedback about the project activity, Safeguarding principles – Noise and Shadow Flickering, Grievance Mechanism	Anurag Verma and Austin Paul

D.4 Sampling approach

The project did not require a sampling plan and hence no sampling approach was applied.

D.5 Clarification requests (CLs), corrective action requests (CARs) and forward action requests (FARs) raised

Areas of verification findings	No. of CL	No. of CAR	No. of FAR
Compliance of the monitoring report with the monitoring report form	-	CAR#01 CAR#02 CAR#03	-
Compliance of the project implementation and operation with the registered PDD	-	-	-
Post-registration changes	-	-	-

Compliance of the registered monitoring plan with the methodologies including applicable tools and standardized baselines	-	-	-
Compliance of the monitoring activities with the registered monitoring plan	-	-	-
Compliance with the calibration frequency requirements for measuring instruments	-	-	-
Assessment of data and calculation of emission reduction or net removal	-	-	-
Assessment of reported sustainable development co-benefits	CL#01	-	-
Global stakeholder consultation	-	-	-
Compliance with other GHG emission trading programs	-	-	-
Others (please specify)	-	-	-
Total	01	03	00

SECTION E. Verification findings

E.1. Compliance of the monitoring report with the monitoring report form

Means of verification	The Gold Standard for Global Goals prescribes a template for MR. Therefore, PD has used the Gold Standard for Global Goals MR template form version 1.1/27/ which was issued by Gold Standards on 14/10/2020. In addition, all the GS4GG requirements are included in accordance with the GS4GG principles and requirements/07/ and template guidelines.
Findings	CAR#01, CAR#02 and CAR#03 were raised and resolved.
Conclusion	The final monitoring report/03/ is found to be in compliance with the applicable latest monitoring report form and instructions contained therein.

E.2. Remaining forward action requests from validation and/or previous verifications

No FAR was raised during the previous verification.

E.3. Compliance of the project implementation and operation with the registered project design document

Means of verification	The project activity involves the installation and operation of 48 WTGs which are generating clean renewable electricity situated in the Bheeksar and Karada villages, Jaisalmer district of Rajasthan, India. The first and last WTG under the project was commissioned on 11/09/2015 and 22/03/2016, respectively, which has been verified by the commissioning certificates/14/ of the WTGs. The WTGs are of Suzlon make (S97 model) with an individual capacity of 2.1MW,
------------------------------	---

making it a total installed capacity of 100.8 MW. The two models of S97 were present on site but differed in hub height. Phase 1 of the project consists of 24 WTGs with a height of 120 meters, while Phase 2 includes the remaining WTGs with a hub height of 90 meters which was verified from the onsite visit/11/. All WTGs in Phase 2 were commissioned on the same day, 22/03/2016, as confirmed by the commissioning certificate/14/.

The technical specification of the WTGs operating at the site and producing clean green electricity is listed below:

Sr.No.	Parameter	Value
1.	Rated Power	2100 KW
2.	Rotor Diameter	97 meters
3.	Hub Height	90 meters (S97x, Tubular_90Mtr) 120 meters (S97x, Tubular_120Mtr)
4.	Cut In Wind Speed	3.5 m/s
5.	Cut out Wind Speed	20 m/s
6.	Rated Wind Speed	11m/s
7.	Operational Range of Rotational Speed	11.8- 17.7 m/s

The technical specifications of WTGs were verified through the nameplate details (imprinted/placed at the bottom of WTG tower) available at the WTGs physically checked during the onsite visit /11/ as well as from the SCADA system and were found to be consistent with the details provided in the registered PDD/01/.

The total installation capacity and specifications of WTGs were checked through PPA/13/, commissioning certificates/14/ and onsite visit/11/.

The project boundary includes all the WTGs, sub-stations, the grid and all the power plants connected to the grid, complying with section 5.1 of the applied methodology ACM0002: Grid-connected electricity generation from renewable sources - Version 20.0. /05/ and is consistent with the registered PDD /01/.

The PD has included the main greenhouse gas (GHG) emission sources for both the baseline and project scenarios, in line with the applied methodology ACM0002 (Grid-connected electricity generation from renewable sources – Version 20.0) /05/ and section 7.12.7 of the GS Validation and Verification Standard v2.0 /42/. The baseline scenario accounts for CO₂ emissions from grid-connected power plants, while the project scenario reports zero emissions, as the

activity involves renewable energy generation. This is consistent with paragraph 31 of the applied methodology and the registered PDD /01/ and was confirmed during the on-site audit /11/.

The location of the WTGs is verified from google maps/32/ and onsite audit/11/ and found to be in line with the registered PDD/01/. The VVB visited 3 WTGs during the on-site audit (WTGs IDs: VJI-45, VJI-30 and VJI-22) to verify its operation and locations which have been verified from the geo-tagged photos captured during the on-site audit /44/ and was cross-checked with the registered PDD /01/. The locations of all other WTGs were checked via google maps /32/. The SCADA system has been implemented to have real time data regarding energy generation, different types of faults etc. All the turbines were found to be functioning well during the onsite visit /11/. The shutdown records/33/ have been checked and confirmed that there were no major breakdowns during the current monitoring period. Regular maintenance of the equipment has been performed by the PD, and regular training of the employees has also been conducted, which was verified through the training records/24/.

The commissioning details of the WTGs are as follows:

Phase 1:

Sr.No.	WTG Name	Commissioning Date
1	BSD-001	18/12/2015
2	BSD-004	07/11/2015
3	BSD-005	07/11/2015
4	BSD-006	18/12/2015
5	BSD-058	18/12/2015
6	BSD-061	18/12/2015
7	VJI-067	11/09/2015
8	VJI-068	07/11/2015
9	VJI-073	30/09/2015
10	VJI-074	30/09/2015
11	VJI-075	30/09/2015
12	VJI-077	11/09/2015
13	VJI-078	11/09/2015
14	VJI-104	11/09/2015
15	VJI-105	11/09/2015
16	VJI-106	11/09/2015
17	VJI-107	30/09/2015
18	VJI-108	07/11/2015
19	VJI-112	30/09/2015
20	VJI-114	07/11/2015

21	VJI-470	30/09/2015
22	VJI-471	30/09/2015
23	VJI-472	30/09/2015
24	VJI-473	07/11/2015

Phase 2:

Sr.No.	WTG Name	Commissioning Date
1	BSD-002	22/03/2016
2	BSD-003	22/03/2016
3	BSD-059	22/03/2016
4	BSD-060	22/03/2016
5	BSD-063	22/03/2016
6	BSD-064	22/03/2016
7	BSD-118	22/03/2016
8	BSD-121	22/03/2016
9	BSD-124	22/03/2016
10	BSD-125	22/03/2016
11	BSD-126	22/03/2016
12	VJI-019	22/03/2016
13	VJI-022	22/03/2016
14	VJI-028	22/03/2016
15	VJI-029	22/03/2016
16	VJI-030	22/03/2016
17	VJI-036	22/03/2016
18	VJI-037	22/03/2016
19	VJI-045	22/03/2016
20	VJI-052	22/03/2016
21	VJI-061	22/03/2016
22	VJI-062	22/03/2016
23	VJI-063	22/03/2016
24	VJI-111	22/03/2016

It was observed during the onsite visit that the WTGs (project activity and non-project) are connected to the substation meters at 400 kV AKAL GSS. There are two meters installed at the substation (400kV GSS) namely, the main and backup meter, which has been observed during the onsite visit/11/ and is found to be in line with the registered PDD/01/. The meters at the substation are used for billing purposes, which has been verified through the interviews conducted with the PD and reviewing the JMRs/17/. The details of the meters have been checked through the calibration certificate of the main meter/15/,

	calibration certificate of backup meter/16/ and photograph of the electricity meters/20/. The PD has signed PPA/13/ with the state utility for the sale of electricity to the grid and has been supplying electricity in compliance with the PPA as confirmed from the JMRs/17/ and monthly invoices/18/. The current monitoring period falls under the second crediting period of project activity which ranges from 31/03/2021 to 30/03/2026. This is the fourth verification of the project activity covering the period from 01/05/2024 to 31/08/2024. The Verification team has evaluated PD's SDG Impact Tool /45/, which provides a step-by-step approach to identify, quantify, and report sustainable development indicators aligned with the SDG framework. This tool supports consistent, credible, and structured performance reporting on project impacts, specifically monitoring SDGs 07 (Indicator 7.2.1), 08 (Indicators 8.5.1 and 8.6.1), and 13 (Indicator 13.2.1). The PD has reported the values of all the SDGs claimed by the project activity- SDG 7, SDG 8 and SDG 13 in vintage wise of the respective monitoring periods in the SDG Impact tool /45/ and the values were confirmed from the verification reports of the previous monitoring periods/51/, /52/. The VVB reviewed the approach used to calculate the Net Impact per year and found it appropriate. The total impact over 1,797 days (start date of the first MP:01/10/2019 to end date of the current MP: 31/08/2024) /51/52/ was divided by the total number of days to derive a per-day value, which was then annualized by multiplying by 365 days. The results were conservatively rounded down, ensuring transparent and accurate presentation of the SDG impacts. The values reported align with the approach in the registered PDD and are consistent with the Monitoring Report/03/. The project is registered under the UNFCCC CDM project activity as a CPA (CPA 12 of PoA 9416)/40/. The project does not claim VERs for the same vintage period in another standard other than GS which is verified from Undertaking Letter (No Double Counting)/22/ dated 10/03/2025. Further, there is no double counting associated with the national climate policies or programs. Renew Power Synergy Private Limited is the project representative which has got the sole rights to carbon credits generated from the project activity which was verified from the signed GS cover letter/19/. Furthermore, the GHG emissions reductions from the project activity are not held accountable for within the relevant system of the host country/ regulator or any voluntary mechanism. The emission reductions achieved during the current monitoring period are (66,811 tCO₂e), which is more than the estimated quantity
--	---

	(63,435 tCO₂e) in the registered PDD/01/ for the comparable period. Implementation of the project activity is consistent with the description given in the registered PDD/01/. Interview of the personnel during the onsite visit/11/ reveals that all the QA/QC procedures listed in the registered PDD/01/ have been applied to the operation of the project activity. The project activity undergoes scheduled maintenance annually, as verified through maintenance records/34/ and interviews with site personnel /11/. The most recent scheduled maintenance was carried out on 17/02/2024, which falls outside the current monitoring period and has been verified through the 2024 maintenance records /34/. The VVB confirms that no scheduled maintenance was conducted during the current monitoring period. The PD has included all shutdown and breakdown dates, along with their respective durations /33/34/—whether related to scheduled or unscheduled maintenance—in the Emission Reduction (ER) sheet /04/ and Appendix 2 of the Monitoring Report /03/. As per para 5.1.39 of Principle and Requirements, “An annual report shall be submitted for each monitoring year by end of next calendar year for which verification is not completed”. It has been observed through the GS assurance platform that annual report (dated 18/12/2023) for year 2023 has been submitted by PD covering 8 months and the remaining months has been covered in the verification report dated 05/11/2024. Since, the verification has been submitted before the end of 2024, the requirement for the annual report is found to be fulfilled. For the year 2024, verification for the period 01/01/2024 to 30/04/2024 has been submitted and annual report for the remaining year can be submitted before the end of 2025. And there are no updates to the previous annual report. Grievance Mechanism: During the interviews with the PD, the verification team confirmed that there is a grievance register/26/ implemented in the project site. The grievance register has been checked and VVB confirms that there are no negative comments from the local stakeholders about the project activity. During the on-site interviews, VVB has checked the grievance register, which was present in the site office for lodging any grievances (if any) by the locals. The VVB checked the web-link provided by the PD in the registered PDD /01/, however, the weblink provided in the registered PDD for global stakeholders is no longer active, the PD has introduced a QR code at the site that links stakeholders to an online grievance portal. The VVB confirms that the dedicated committee to handle grievances as per the registered PDD/01/ remains active and that all committee members were interviewed during the onsite audit /11/. The interviews covered
--	---

	topics such as the grievance mechanism, any grievances received during the current monitoring period, and the procedure followed in case a complaint is registered. Based on these interviews, the VVB confirms that no grievances were reported by stakeholders, nor were there any ongoing interactions with the dedicated committee during the current monitoring period. Additionally, VVB has interviewed the local stakeholder on-site, and asked them about the project activity, its accessibility and any grievance they have for the project activity, and positive feedback is received from the local stakeholder. VVB has interviewed the PD's representatives and confirmed that grievance will be resolved by PD and handled at top priorities.
Findings	No findings were raised.
Conclusion	The project implementation, with reference to registered PDD/01/ was checked onsite visit/11/ to confirm the following: • The project activity was found to be completely implemented as per the description given in the registered PDD/01/. • The actual operation conforms to the description in the registered PDD/01/. • The emission reduction was achieved in compliance with applied methodology/05/and registered PDD/01/. • The project contributes to sustainable development which includes, but is not limited to, the enhancement of the local economy, creating employment and many other benefits to the rural population. • No information regarding data and variables was identified that may surpass the estimated quantity of ERs in the registered PDD/01/. • The emission reductions achieved during the current monitoring period are (66,811 tCO₂e), which is more the estimated quantity (63,435 tCO₂e) in the registered PDD/01/ for the comparable period.

E.4. Post-registration change

E.4.1. Temporary deviations from the registered monitoring plan, applied methodologies, standardized baselines or other methodological regulatory documents

Not Applicable.

E.4.2. Corrections

Not Applicable.

E.4.3. Changes to the start date of the crediting period

Not Applicable.

E.4.4. Inclusion of monitoring plan

Not Applicable.

E.4.5. Permanent changes from registered monitoring plan, monitoring methodology or standardized baselines

Not Applicable.

E.4.6. Changes to the project design

Not Applicable.

E.4.7. Changes specific to afforestation and reforestation project activities

Not Applicable.

E.5. Compliance with the registered monitoring plan with the applied methodologies including applicable tools and standardized baseline

Means of verification	The monitoring plan as contained in the Monitoring report/03/ was reviewed against the monitoring requirements of the applied methodology ACM0002 version 20.0/05/ as well as registered PDD/01/ with reference to the technologies involved. Based on this review it was found that the monitoring plan contained in PDD/01/ includes all required parameters to be monitored in the context of the project design and allows for proper determination of emission reduction in accordance with registered PDD/01/ and applied methodology ACM0002 Version 20.0/05/. The review of the applied methodology and monitoring plan establishes that the monitoring plan is consistent with the applied methodology. During the onsite visit/11/, it was discovered that there are a total of 48 WTGs, 24 WTGs installed on S97x model wind turbines with tubular type 90m hub height and 24 WTGs installed on S97x model wind turbines with hybrid type 120m hub height which was further verified from the technical specifications of the equipment/21/. Meter readings are taken jointly on the appointed date by the PD's representative (Suzlon Energy Ltd.), official and State Discom official in Rajasthan. The same is stated as Joint Meter Reports (JMR)/17/. These JMRs are then reported to the O&M team and the compiled reports are generated in the form of a monthly report on generation and consumption. Net electricity supplied by the project activity to the grid is cross-checked with records for sold electricity by invoices/18/ raised for payment purposes.
-------------------------------------	--

	One metering system is present at the substation and another one is at the WTG site. The measurement is taken from the energy meter located which is present at the substation, which is carried out every month, which has been verified through the on-site visit/11/. In some instances where a particular feeder/ substation has other WTGs which are not part of this project activity and connected through the same electricity meter then apportioning is used. The apportioning of net electricity supplied is performed with reference to the electricity generated by individual WTGs. Apportioning of net electricity supplied by each project owner is carried out based on the individual meter readings of each wind turbine as verified from the Joint Energy Meter Readings (JMR)/17/. The apportioning is done by the agreement of both electricity purchasers jointly with the PD, as verified through the PPA/13/. The monthly report on generation and consumption along with the electricity buyers is used to calculate actual net electricity supplied to the grid and based on that GHG emission reductions are being calculated. It has been confirmed through the PPA/13/ and interviews with the PD's representatives during the on-site visit that PD has applied proportioning as per procedure no. 2 as stated in the registered PDD/01/ and MR/03/ and hence found to be appropriate. The main billing meter at the substation records total export and total import by all the connected WTGs to the sub-station through a feeder which was further confirmed from the onsite visit/11/.
Findings	No findings were raised.
Conclusion	The verification team confirm that the registered monitoring plan is in accordance with the approved methodology and correctly applied by registered GS project activity.

E.6. Compliance of the monitoring activities with the registered monitoring plan

E.6.1. Data and parameters fixed ex ante or at renewal of crediting period.

Emission Data and parameters fixed ex-ante of the crediting period	Means of verification	The ex-ante values for this monitoring period were cross checked with registered PDD/01/ and their respective sources. The summary of all ex-ante parameters has been given below:		
		Parameter	Value Used	Means of Verification
		EF _{grid,BM,y}	0.8653 tCO ₂ /MWh	The value is calculated in accordance with CDM tool "Tool to calculate the emission factor for an electricity system Version 7.0"/06/ using most recent data for the year 2020-21 obtained from the CEA CO ₂ baseline database Version 17/29/. The parameters are consistent with

			the registered, PDD/01/ and is fixed for entire 2 nd crediting period.
	EF _{grid,OM,y}	0.9522 tCO ₂ /MWh	The value is calculated in accordance with CDM tool "Tool to calculate the emission factor for an electricity system Version 7.0"/06/ using data for the year 2018-19, 2019-20, 2020-21 obtained from the CEA CO ₂ baseline database Version 17/29/. The parameters are consistent with the registered, PDD/01/ and is fixed for entire 2 nd crediting period.
	EF _{grid,CM,y}	0.9305 tCO ₂ /MWh	The value of combined margin is calculated as follows: $EF_{grid,CM,y} = EF_{grid,OM,y} * W_{OM} + EF_{grid,BM,y} * W_{BM}$ Where: EF_{grid,BM,y} = Build margin CO₂ emission factor in year y (tCO₂/MWh) EF_{grid,OM,y} = Operating margin CO₂ emission factor in year y (tCO₂/MWh) W_{OM} = Weighting of operating margin emissions factor (%) = 75% W_{BM} = Weighting of build margin emissions factor (%) = 25% The value is calculated in accordance with CDM tool "Tool to calculate the emission factor for an electricity system Version 7.0"/06/ using data for the year 2018-19, 2019-20, 2020-21 obtained from the CEA CO₂ baseline database Version 17/29/.

			The value was cross checked with the GS registered PDD/01/ and found to be consistent.
	The verification team has checked that the ex-ante parameter has been consistently applied for ER calculation which is evident from ER sheet/04/.		
Findings	No finding was raised		
Conclusion	The value mentioned in Monitoring Report/03/ and ER sheet/04/ is consistent with registered PDD/01/. The applied values are correct and justified.		

E.6.2. Data and parameters monitored

E.6.2.1: Quantity of net electricity generation, supplied by the project plant/unit to the grid in year y in ($EG_{\text{facility},y}$) in MWh

Relevant SDG Indicator 7.2.1

Means of verification	Criteria/Requirements	Assessment/Observations
	Measuring /Reading /Recording frequency	Monitoring: Continuous Recording: Monthly
	Is measuring and reporting frequency in accordance with the monitoring plan and monitoring methodology? (Yes / No)	Yes, the values are measured and reported accordance to monitoring plan. The electricity meters installed at the substation the values continuously and the values are reported in the JMR/17/ documents monthly. The recording frequency is in line with the registered PDD/01/ which requires the values to be reported on monthly basis.

	Monitoring equipment	There are 2 meters installed at the substation for continuously monitoring the energy exported. <table border="1"> <tr> <td>Meter 1 (Main Meter)</td><td> Meter no.: RJB90214 Make: Secure Accuracy Class: 0.2S Calibration Frequency: Annually Calibration date: 04/04/2024 Validity of Last calibration: 03/04/2025 </td></tr> <tr> <td>Meter 2 (Back Up Meter)</td><td> Meter no.: RJB90215 Make: Secure Accuracy Class: 0.2S Calibration Frequency: Annually Calibration date: 04/04/2024 Validity of Last calibration: 03/04/2025 </td></tr> </table> The meters have been verified during the onsite visit/11/ and further from the calibration certificates of main meter/15/ and calibration certificates of backup meter/16/ which were found to be appropriate and are in line with the registered monitoring plan.	Meter 1 (Main Meter)	Meter no.: RJB90214 Make: Secure Accuracy Class: 0.2S Calibration Frequency: Annually Calibration date: 04/04/2024 Validity of Last calibration: 03/04/2025	Meter 2 (Back Up Meter)	Meter no.: RJB90215 Make: Secure Accuracy Class: 0.2S Calibration Frequency: Annually Calibration date: 04/04/2024 Validity of Last calibration: 03/04/2025
	Meter 1 (Main Meter)	Meter no.: RJB90214 Make: Secure Accuracy Class: 0.2S Calibration Frequency: Annually Calibration date: 04/04/2024 Validity of Last calibration: 03/04/2025				
	Meter 2 (Back Up Meter)	Meter no.: RJB90215 Make: Secure Accuracy Class: 0.2S Calibration Frequency: Annually Calibration date: 04/04/2024 Validity of Last calibration: 03/04/2025				
Is accuracy of the monitoring equipment as stated in the monitoring plan? If the monitoring plan VVBs not specify the accuracy of the monitoring equipment, VVBs the	Both the meters (main and backup) are of 0.2S accuracy class, which has been verified through the calibration certificates of main meter/15/, calibration certificates of backup meter/16/ and onsite visit/11/ thus the accuracy class of					

	accuracy of the monitoring equipment complies with local/national standards, or as per the manufacturer's specification?	the meters is found to be in line with monitoring plan of registered PDD/01/ which specifies the accuracy as 0.2S
	Is the accuracy valid for the entire measuring range or do different accuracy levels apply to different measuring ranges?	The accuracy is valid for the entire measuring range of the meters.
	Calibration frequency	The frequency of calibration has been defined annually in the registered PDD/01/. No delay in calibration was observed during the current monitoring period. The meters are also inspected for accuracy by the authorized staff of Project Representative as confirmed on site and were found not to exceed the permissible error.
	Is the calibration interval in line with the monitoring plan and/or methodology? If the monitoring plan VVBs does not specify the frequency of calibration, is the selected frequency in accordance with the local/national standards, or as per the manufacturer's specifications?	Yes, calibration interval is in line with the frequency stated in the PDD/01/. PDD/01/ defines the calibration frequency as annual. The meters have been calibrated once during the previous monitoring period. The calibration dates of both the meters are 04/04/2024. The due date of the next calibration will be 03/04/2025. There is no delay reported in this monitoring period.
	Is the calibration of measuring equipment carried out by an accredited person or institution?	The calibration of both meters is done by Darsh Calibrations Pvt. Ltd. Jodhpur which is an NABL-accredited calibration laboratory, as verified from the calibration certificates /15/, /16/. Please refer to section E.7 for further details.
	Is(are) calibration(s) valid for the whole reporting period?	The calibration was found valid for the entire monitoring period.
	Is the calibration carried out for a measuring range	Calibration was found to be for the measuring range comparable with

	comparable with the range for which measurements have been carried out?	the range for which measurements have been carried out.
	How were the values in the monitoring report verified?	The value of net electricity exported by project activity to the grid was 71,802.78 MWh. The value of the parameter has been verified through the JMRs/17/. The values have also been cross verified through the invoices/18/ raised by the PD and are found to be appropriate.
	VVBs the data management ensure correct transfer of data and reporting of emission reductions and are necessary QA/QC processes in place?	QA/QC were found to be reliable and appropriate.
Findings	No findings were raised.	
Conclusion	Through an onsite visit/11/ and assessment of documents VVB can conclude that: - The equipment used for monitoring of parameter is calibrated by the PD at a frequency specified in the applied monitoring methodology/05/ and registered PDD/01/. - Monitoring results are consistently recorded as per the approved frequency - QA/QC procedures have been applied in accordance with the registered monitoring plan.	

E.6.2.2: Emission reductions achieved per year (ER_y) in tCO₂e/year

Relevant SDG Indicator 13.2.1

Means of verification	Criteria/Requirements	Assessment/Observations
	Measuring /Reading /Recording frequency	Monitoring: Continuous Recording: Monthly
	Is measuring and reporting frequency in accordance with the monitoring plan and methodology? (Yes / No)	Yes, the values are measured and reported in accordance with the monitoring plan. The emission reduction values are calculated by the multiplication of firstly the monthly electricity generated by the meters installed at the substation and these values are verified from the monthly JMR/17/ documents secondly, the

		emission factor which is found to be consistent with the PDD/01/. Also, the recording frequency is in line with the registered PDD/01/ which requires the values to be reported on a monthly basis.				
	Monitoring equipment	There are 2 meters installed at the substation for continuously monitoring the energy exported. <table border="1"> <tr> <td>Meter 1 (Main Meter)</td><td> Meter no.: RJB90214 Make: Secure Accuracy Class: 0.2S Calibration Frequency: Annually Calibration date: 04/04/2024 Validity of the calibration: 03/04/2025 </td></tr> <tr> <td>Meter 2 (Back Up Meter)</td><td> Meter no.: RJB90215 Make: Secure Accuracy Class: 0.2S Calibration Frequency: Annually Calibration date: 04/04/2024 Validity of the calibration: 03/04/2025 </td></tr> </table> The meters have been verified during the onsite visit/11/ and further from the calibration certificates of main meter/15/ and calibration certificates of backup meter/16/ which were found to be appropriate, and in line with the registered monitoring plan stated in the PDD/01/.	Meter 1 (Main Meter)	Meter no.: RJB90214 Make: Secure Accuracy Class: 0.2S Calibration Frequency: Annually Calibration date: 04/04/2024 Validity of the calibration: 03/04/2025	Meter 2 (Back Up Meter)	Meter no.: RJB90215 Make: Secure Accuracy Class: 0.2S Calibration Frequency: Annually Calibration date: 04/04/2024 Validity of the calibration: 03/04/2025
	Meter 1 (Main Meter)	Meter no.: RJB90214 Make: Secure Accuracy Class: 0.2S Calibration Frequency: Annually Calibration date: 04/04/2024 Validity of the calibration: 03/04/2025				
Meter 2 (Back Up Meter)	Meter no.: RJB90215 Make: Secure Accuracy Class: 0.2S Calibration Frequency: Annually Calibration date: 04/04/2024 Validity of the calibration: 03/04/2025					

	Is the accuracy of the monitoring equipment as stated in the monitoring plan? If the monitoring plan VVBs does not specify the accuracy of the monitoring equipment, does the accuracy of the monitoring equipment comply with local/national standards, or as per the manufacturer's specification?	Both the meters (main and backup) are of 0.2S accuracy class, which has been verified through the calibration certificates of main meter/15/, calibration certificates of backup meter/16/ and onsite visit/11/ thus the accuracy class of the meters is found to be in line with monitoring plan of registered PDD/01/ which specifies the accuracy as 0.2S
	Is the accuracy valid for the entire measuring range or do different accuracy levels apply to different measuring ranges?	The accuracy is valid for the entire measuring range of the meters.
	Calibration frequency	The frequency of calibration has been defined annually in the registered PDD/01/. No delay in calibration was observed during the current monitoring period. The meters are also inspected for accuracy by the authorized staff of Project Representatives as confirmed on site and were found not to exceed the permissible error.
	Is the calibration interval in line with the monitoring plan and/or methodology? If the monitoring plan VVBs does not specify the frequency of calibration, is the selected frequency in accordance with the local/national standards, or as per the manufacturer's specifications?	Yes, calibration interval is in line with the frequency stated in the PDD/01/. PDD/01/ defines the calibration frequency as annual. The meters have been calibrated once during the previous monitoring period. The calibration dates of both the meters are 04/04/2024. The due date of the next calibration will be 03/04/2025. There is no delay reported in this monitoring period.
	Is the calibration of measuring equipment	The calibration of both meters is done by Darsh Calibrations Pvt. Ltd. Jodhpur

	carried out by an accredited person or institution?	which is an NABL accredited calibration laboratory. Please refer to section E.7 for further details.
	Is(are) calibration(s) valid for the whole reporting period?	The calibration was found valid for the entire monitoring period.
	Is the calibration carried out for a measuring range comparable with the range for which measurements have been carried out?	Calibration was found to be for the measuring range comparable with the range for which measurements have been carried out.
	How were the values in the monitoring report verified?	The value of net electricity exported by project activity to the grid was 71,802.78 MWh. The value of the parameter has been verified through the JMRs/17/. The values have also been cross-verified through the invoices/18/ raised by the PD and are found to be appropriate.
	VVBs the data management ensure correct transfer of data and reporting of emission reductions and are necessary QA/QC processes in place?	QA/QC were found to be reliable and appropriate.
Findings	No findings were raised.	
Conclusion	Through an onsite visit/11/ and assessment of documents VVB can conclude that: - The equipment used for monitoring of parameter is calibrated by the PD at a frequency specified in the applied monitoring methodology/05/ and registered monitoring plan as per PDD/01/. - Monitoring results are consistently recorded as per approved frequency - QA/QC procedures have been applied in accordance with the registered monitoring plan.	

E.6.2.3: Number of Employment Generation

Relevant SDG Indicator 8.5.1

Means of verification	Criteria /Requirement		Assessment/Observation								
	Measuring /Reading /Recording frequency		Monthly monitoring and annual compilation.								
	Is measuring and reporting frequency in accordance with the monitoring plan and monitoring methodology? (Yes / No)		Yes, the measuring and reporting frequency is in accordance with the monitoring plan in the registered PDD/01/								
	How were the values in the monitoring report verified?		Below is the breakup of employment generated during the monitoring period. <table><tr><th>Year</th><th>Skilled</th><th>Unskilled</th><th>Total</th></tr><tr><td>2024</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td></tr></table> No new skilled or unskilled staff were employed during the current monitoring period. The total number of employment opportunities created by the project activity till date is 42. As per the registered PDD /01/, monitoring of employment generation under SDG 8, including direct employment due to the project, gender ratio, type of employment, and equal pay. It also emphasizes non-discrimination and gender equality in the workplace. During the current monitoring period, no employment was created, making these indicators not applicable. However, the PD has established policies to support gender equality and fair employment practices. These include a Gender Pay Parity Policy /47/ and an Equal Employment & Anti-Discrimination Policy /48/, confirming the PD’s ongoing commitment to inclusive practices. The verification team cross-checked the employment records/31/ and training records/24/ and were found in line with the values reported in the Monitoring report/03/ and ER sheet/04/. PD has provided employment due to the implementation of the project activity; however, no new employment has been		Year	Skilled	Unskilled	Total	2024	0	0
Year	Skilled	Unskilled	Total								
2024	0	0	0								

		provided in the current monitoring period.
	If applicable, has the data been crosschecked with other available data?	The average hourly earnings of a new employee are calculated by considering 8 hours per day working as per Indian standards and are depicted below: where a person is getting a monthly salary of INR 37,503; then his hourly earnings are calculated as follows: Hourly Income = $37,503 / (30 \times 8) = \text{INR } 156.3$. As per the notification from the Chief Labour Commissioner, for semi-skilled workers working in the B-Category of cities/41/, the daily wage is 625, and accordingly, the average hourly earnings are INR 78.12. PD has provided the compliance certificate/35/ and EPF challan/39/ for the employees, through which it is evident that the minimum wage requirement has been followed by the PD and is found to be well above the legal minimum wage. PP has also provided the calculation for wages per day for the new employee in the MR, and it is found to be within the minimum wage requirement.
	VVBs the data management ensure correct transfer of data and reporting of emission reductions and are necessary QA/QC processes in place?	QA/QC processes were found to be appropriate and reliable.
Findings	CL#01 was raised and resolved.	
Conclusion	Through onsite visit and assessment of documents, it can be concluded that: - Monitoring results are consistently recorded as per the approved frequency - QA/QC procedures have been applied in accordance with the registered monitoring plan./01/ - The data collection system meets the requirements of the design certified monitoring plan as given in the registered PDD /01/	

E.6.2.4: Quality of employment

Relevant SDG Indicator 8.6.1

Means of verification	Criteria /Requirements	Assessment/Observation				
	Measuring /Reading /Recording frequency	The parameter has been monitored annually by PD.				
	Is measuring and reporting frequency in accordance with the monitoring plan and monitoring methodology? (Yes / No)	Yes, the measuring and reporting frequency is in accordance with the registered monitoring plan/01/.				
	How were the values in the monitoring report verified?	It is verified that the project organizes training for the staff on the technology monitoring the plant operation, and the emergency, health and safety procedures. During the current monitoring period, a total of 33 training were conducted. Training details are shown below: <table><tr><th>Year</th><th>Training</th></tr><tr><td>2024</td><td>No. of Training conducted: 33</td></tr></table> Training records/24/ have been submitted to the VVB for verification	Year	Training	2024	No. of Training conducted: 33
	Year	Training				
	2024	No. of Training conducted: 33				
If applicable, has the reported data been crosschecked with other available data?	The reported information was also cross-checked through onsite visit/11/. Information was found to be consistent which was given in the Monitoring report/03/.					
VVBs the data management ensure correct transfer of data and reporting of emission reductions	QA/QC processes were found to be appropriate and reliable.					

	and are necessary QA/QC processes in place?	
Findings	No finding was raised	
Conclusion	The parameters have been monitored appropriately, in accordance with the monitoring plan. The monitoring results were recorded consistently as per the approved frequency in monitoring plan.	

E.6.3. Implementation of sampling plan

Means of verification	Not Applicable
Findings	Not Applicable
Conclusion	Not Applicable

E.7. Compliance with the calibration frequency requirement for measuring instruments

Means of verification	The parameter $EG_{\text{facility},y}$ is monitored using two meters. Details of calibration and meters are mentioned below:						
	Meter No.	Meter Type	Make	Accuracy Class	Date of Calibration	Validity of Calibration	Delay in Calibration
	RJB90214	Main Meter	Secure	0.2s	04/04/2024	03/04/2025	No delay in calibration
	RJB90215	Check meter	Secure	0.2s	04/04/2024	03/04/2025	No delay in calibration
	The above meter details have been verified through the following means:						
	i. Physical inspection of the meters during the onsite visit/11/						
	ii. Interviewing the staff at the sub-station/11/						
	iii. The SCADA of the O&M service provider located at the site/11/						
	iv. Calibration certificates/15/16/						
	The calibration of both meters is done by Darsh Calibrations Pvt. Ltd. Jodhpur which is an NABL-accredited calibration laboratory.						
No delay in calibration was observed in the current monitoring period. Both the calibration certificates of the main meter/15/ and the calibration certificates of the backup meter/16/ have been checked and it is confirmed that both meters are within the permissible error limit.							
The meters are duly approved, installed, tested, sealed and in the custody of the state utility. The PD has no control over the same/11/.							
CEA Notification No. 502/70/CEA/DP&D dated 17/03/2006/30/ which is considered a national standard mentions that "All interface meters shall be tested at least once in five years." Hence, the calibration frequency mentioned once a year in the registered PDD/01/ for the meters is appropriate.							
The verification team has verified the dates of calibration and accuracy class of the meters from the calibration certificates of the main meter/15/ and calibration certificates of the backup meter/16/ provided by the PD.							
The verification team confirms that all the data has been procured from calibrated meters, and the calibration covers the entire monitoring period.							
Findings	No findings were raised.						
Conclusion	The verification team has confirmed that the calibration is conducted at the frequency following the relevant industry standard as specified by the methodology/05/ and the monitoring plan contained in the registered PDD/01/. Therefore, the requirements stated in paragraph 370 of CDM-						

	VVS for PAs/10/ version 03.0 have been met.
--	---

E.8. Assessment of data and calculation of SDG impacts

E.8.1. Calculation and assessment of SDG outcomes

Means of verification	The verification team verified that: a) A complete set of data for the monitoring period was available for the monitoring period and the verification of each monitoring parameter is elaborated under Section E.6.2 of this report. The complete monitoring data is also presented in the corresponding ER sheet/04/ of the final Monitoring Report/03/. b) The information provided in the monitoring report was cross-checked with other sources, wherever appropriate and available, and such information is also included under Section E.6.2 of this report. c) The calculations of baseline emissions as presented in the corresponding ER sheet/04/ of the final Monitoring Report were checked/03/ and found to be consistent with the formula and methods described in the registered monitoring plan/01/ and the applied methodology. /05/ d) All assumptions used in the emission calculations were found appropriate and therefore justified. e) Appropriate emission factors and other reference values have been correctly applied. This has also been elaborated under Section E.6.1 of this report. f) No standardized baseline was prescribed in the registered PDD/01/ and therefore it has not been applied. SDG 13: The baseline emissions are the product of net electricity exported to the grid expressed in MWh of electricity produced by the renewable generating unit multiplied by the grid emission factor. Baseline emission factor is calculated as combined margin, consisting of a combination of operating margin (OM) and build margin (BM) factors. $BE_y = EG_{\text{facility},y} * EF_{\text{grid,CM},y}$ Where, BE_y Baseline emissions in year y (tCO₂e)
-----------------------	--

$EG_{\text{facility},y}$ Quantity of net electricity generation supplied by the project plant/unit to the grid in year y in MWh/year.
 $EF_{\text{grid},CM,y}$ Combined margin CO₂ emission factor for grid connected power generation in year y (tCO₂/MWh)

The baseline emissions are calculated as per the applied methodology and in accordance with registered PDD/01/. It was found to be consistent in the ER calculation sheet.

The value of emission reduction obtained after applying the formula mentioned in methodology is:

$$BE_y = 71,802.78 \text{ MWh} \times 0.9305 \text{ tCO}_2/\text{MWh}$$

$$= 66,811 \text{ tCO}_2\text{e (Round down value)}$$

The emission reductions achieved by the project activity in the current MP was 67,916 tCO₂e, before deducting the equivalent emission reductions achieved during the breakdown days. The total equivalent breakdown duration amounted to 39.50 hours, as presented in the ER sheet/04/ and verified against the shutdown records /33/. For conservativeness, the PD rounded this to two full days and deducted the corresponding net electricity generation and emission reductions on a pro-rata basis. As a result, the actual net electricity generated during the monitoring period decreased from 72,990 MWh to 71,802.78 MWh, and the emission reductions reduced from 67,916 tCO₂e to 66,811 tCO₂e. A detailed assessment is given under E.8.5 of this report.

SDG 7:

Baseline estimate	Actual value achieved during the monitoring period
No renewable energy generated and exported to the national grid	71,802.78 MWh

The actual values for the electricity exported to the national grid have been monitored continuously through the electricity meters installed at the substation.

SDG 8:

Baseline estimate	Actual value achieved during the monitoring period
No employment generated	Total employment opportunities till date: 42 0 new employment for the current monitoring period
No trainings	33 trainings provided in the current monitoring period

Findings	CL#01 was raised and resolved.
Conclusion	Assessment and calculation of baseline GHG emission is in line with applied methodology. The verification team confirms that a) Appropriate methods and formulae for calculating baseline GHG emissions or baseline net GHG removals were followed. b) Appropriate emission factors and other reference values were correctly applied. c) The monitored data was available in accordance with the registered monitoring plan. d) The monthly reported data was cross-checked, as prescribed in the registered PDD/01/ with the invoices/18/ and was found consistent.

E.8.2. Calculation of project GHG emissions or actual net anthropogenic GHG removal by sinks.

Means of verification	The registered PDD/01/ and applied monitoring methodology/05/ does not prescribe any project emissions to be considered. The onsite audit /11/ and project design also did not reveal any potential source to be considered in this regard.
Findings	No findings were raised.
Conclusion	No project emissions were required to be calculated.

E.8.3. Calculation of leakage GHG emissions

Means of verification	The registered PDD/01/ and applied monitoring methodology/05/ does not prescribe any leakage emissions to be considered. The onsite visit /11/ and project design also did not reveal any potential source to be considered in this regard.
Findings	No finding was raised.
Conclusion	No leakage emissions were required to be calculated.

E.8.4. Summary of calculation of GHG emission reductions or net anthropogenic GHG removals by sinks

Means of verification	As elaborated above, the entire emission reductions from the project activity were based on baseline emissions. The calculations presented in this regard in the final monitoring report/03/ and corresponding ER calculation sheet/04/ were found appropriate and comply with the provisions prescribed in the monitoring plan of registered PDD/01/ and applied methodology/05/. The verification team confirms that an audit trail that contains the evidence and records that validated the stated figures was checked and
------------------------------	--

	found acceptable.
Findings	No finding was raised
Conclusion	The verification team confirms that: a) The complete data was available and is duly reported. b) As indicated above, the description regarding cross-checking of reported data is included under respective parameters (refer to Section E.6.2 of this report). c) Appropriate methods and formulae for calculating baseline GHG emissions or baseline net GHG removals, project emissions and leakage emissions were followed. d) Appropriate emission factors and other reference values were correctly applied. The total number of ERs achieved during the current monitoring period is 66,811 tCO₂e.

E.8.5. Comparison of actual emission reductions or net GHG removals by sinks with estimates in registered PDD

Means of verification	The actual emission reduction achieved in this monitoring period was found to be 5.32% more than the estimated quantity in the registered PDD/01/ for comparable period. The PD has reported breakdown details, including duration, in Appendix 2 of the MR/03/ and the ER sheet /04/. Scheduled maintenance for the project activity occurs annually, as verified through maintenance records/34/ and interviews with site personnel. The last scheduled maintenance took place on 17/02/2024, outside the current monitoring period, and the VVB confirms that no such maintenance was conducted during the current MP. A total of 39.50 hours of breakdown was recorded and verified from shutdown logs, and the PD has conservatively rounded this to 2 days, deducting the associated net electricity generation and emission reductions on a pro-rata basis. As a result, the actual net electricity generation decreased from 72,990 MWh to 71,802.78 MWh, and the emission reductions were revised from 67,916 tCO₂e to 66,811 tCO₂e. This adjustment reduced the deviation between actual and estimated electricity generation in the current MP to 5.32%, down from 7.06% as initially calculated. <table border="1"> <tr> <td>Estimated ERs for comparable period as per PDD</td><td>Actual ERs achieved in the current monitoring period</td></tr> </table>	Estimated ERs for comparable period as per PDD	Actual ERs achieved in the current monitoring period
Estimated ERs for comparable period as per PDD	Actual ERs achieved in the current monitoring period		

	63,435 tCO ₂ e	66,811 tCO ₂ e
Findings	No finding was raised.	
Conclusion	The estimated ER for project activity for the comparable period is 63,435 tCO ₂ e while the actual emission reduction achieved during the monitoring period are 66,811 tCO ₂ e. Actual emission reduction achieved 7.062% more than the estimated value for given period in registered PDD/01/ due to unexpected wind regimes which are not under the control of the project developer.	

E.8.6. Remarks on the difference from the estimated value in registered PDD

Means of verification	Duration of monitoring period	SDG	Estimated amount of annual average	Total amount of achieved	Units/ Products
	01/05/2024 to 31/08/2024 (including both days)	7	68,174.35	71,802.78	MWh
		8	1 training/annum and employment to 4 people annually	33 trainings and 0 employment	Number of training and Employment generated through project
		13	63,435	66,811	(tCO ₂ e) GS VER
The estimated ER for the project activity for comparable period is					

	63,435 tCO₂e while actual emission reduction achieved during the monitoring period are 66,811 tCO₂e. Hence, the actual emission reductions are more than the estimated emission reductions for the current monitoring period. Therefore, higher ex-post emissions reductions were assessed as per the Assessment Approach For Reporting Higher Ex-Post Emission Reductions, v1.1/43/. As per section 2.1.3 (a) of Assessment Approach For Reporting Higher Ex-Post Emission Reductions, v1.1/43/; since the actual emissions reductions are higher by 5.32%, which is within the permissible range of +/-10%, it is concluded that achieved emission reductions are within the expected range of variations and hence, found to be appropriate. The ex-ante PLF value of 22.91%, as stated in the registered PDD/01/ and ex-ante ER sheet /49/, was determined based on data from wind assessment study by a third party, in accordance with Option 3(b) of CDM EB 48 Annex 11 – <i>Guidelines for the Reporting and Validation of Plant Load Factor</i> /50/. During the previous monitoring period (01/01/2024 to 30/04/2024), the PLF was recorded at 14.32%, with actual electricity generation 37.5% lower than the estimated value at the time of validation, as verified from the corresponding verification report /51/. In contrast, the current monitoring period (01/05/2024 to 31/08/2024) recorded a PLF of 24.53% and actual generation of 71,802.78 MWh, both of which exceeded the ex-ante estimates. The notable increase in actual electricity generation and PLF during the current monitoring period can be primarily attributed to the seasonal wind patterns. The project sites located in Bheeksar, Karada, and the surrounding areas of Jaisalmer district in Rajasthan, India, typically experience strong and consistent gusty winds during the months of May to August, which coincides with the current monitoring period. These favorable wind conditions have directly contributed to enhanced turbine performance and higher energy output.
Findings	No finding was raised
Conclusion	The Actual emission reductions are more than the estimated emission reductions for the monitoring period. The estimated emission reductions are calculated for the monitoring period in accordance with the estimated annual emission reduction value mentioned in the PDD/01/. Hence, the achieved emission reductions are 5.32% higher than the estimated emission reduction which were due to unexpected wind regimes which are not under the control of the project developer.

E.8.7. Safeguards Reporting

Means of verification	i. Noise and shadow flickering: The parameter was monitored once during the first monitoring period and the 'ambient noise quality' test reports were conducted
------------------------------	--

	by Suzlon on 03/05/2024, 04/07/2024 and 09/08/2024 which were provided to the VVB/37/. The VVB reviewed these test results and confirmed that the noise levels remained within permissible limits. Additionally, VVB has checked the grievance register/26/ and no complaints have been received regarding the noise from the WTGs. To cross-verify, local stakeholders were interviewed, and the stakeholders raised no issues regarding the operation of the WTGs as far as Noise and shadow flickering were concerned which was confirmed from the onsite visit /11/. Based on the evidence reviewed and stakeholder consultations, the VVB confirms that safeguarding mitigation measures have been properly implemented. No deviations or changes increasing safeguarding risks were observed. There have been no new or unresolved stakeholder complaints. All mitigation measures are being followed as per the monitoring plan. The project remains in compliance with the validated safeguarding assessments. Therefore, the VVB concludes that the safeguarding principle 'Noise and Shadow flickering' are adequately monitored and managed and is accordance with GS VVS section 9.2.6 (g)/42/. ii. Waste oil disposal: The parameter is monitored every monitoring period. Previous Waste disposal protocols and records/38/ were provided to the VVB, which has been reviewed, and it is observed that waste has been properly disposed of through an authorized vendor. Additionally, PD's representatives/11/ were interviewed about the same, which confirms further the proper disposal of waste oil as per the host country's local regulations such as Hazardous and Other Wastes (Management and Transboundary Movement) Rules, 2016 /46/. The value applied for waste oil disposal in the current monitoring period is 0 m³, as waste oil from transformers was refined and reused, as confirmed during the on-site audit interviews /11/. Based on the evidence reviewed and stakeholder consultations, the VVB confirms that safeguarding mitigation measures have been properly implemented. No deviations or changes increasing safeguarding risks were observed. There have been no new or unresolved stakeholder complaints. All mitigation measures are being followed as per the monitoring plan. The project remains in compliance with the validated safeguarding assessments. Therefore, the VVB concludes that the safeguarding principle 'Waste oil disposal' is adequately monitored and managed and is accordance with GS VVS section 9.2.6 (g)/42/.
Findings	No findings were raised
Conclusion	The frequency of monitoring of environmental safeguards was in line with the registered monitoring plan as per the PDD/01/ as confirmed

	by VVB through supportive evidence/26/ and on-site audit/11/.
--	---

Section F. Internal quality control

A draft verification report prepared by the verification team is reviewed by an independent Technical Review team (one or more members) to confirm if the internal procedures established and implemented by Earthood were duly complied with and such opinion/conclusion is reached in an objective manner that complies with the applicable GS4GG principles and requirements.

The technical review team is collectively required to possess the technical expertise of all the technical areas/sectoral scope the project activity relates to. All team members of the technical review team are independent of the verification team. The report approved by the Quality Manager is endorsed by the CEO, who is overall responsible for ensuring quality, before final release. Further details of applicable procedures and responsibilities of Earthood Quality Management System (QMS) are available on its website (www.earthood.in).

Section G. Verification opinion

Earthood Services Limited (Earthood) has been contracted to perform the independent verification of the emission reductions for the GS Project ID GS11401 "Bhesada Wind Power Project in Rajasthan" in "Bheeksar and Karad village, Jaisalmer district, Rajasthan" for the monitoring period 01/05/2024 to 31/08/2024 (including both days) as reported in the Monitoring Report/03/ Version 1.5 dated 26/08/2025. ReNew Wind Energy (Rajasthan 3) Private Limited is responsible for the collection of data in accordance with the monitoring plan and the reporting of GHG emissions reductions from the project activity.

Earthood commenced the verification against the baseline and monitoring methodology ACM0002- Grid-connected electricity generation from renewable sources - Version 20.0/05/, the monitoring plan contained in the PDD/01/ Version 5 dated 05/09/2023, and Monitoring Report/03/.

Earthood's verification approach is based on the understanding of the risks associated with reporting of SDG data and the controls in place to mitigate these. Earthood planned and performed the verification by obtaining evidence and other information and explanations that Earthood considered necessary to give reasonable assurance that reported SDG outcomes are fairly stated.

The verification team confirms that:

- The project activity was found to be completely implemented as per the description given in the registered PDD. The actual operation conforms to the description in the registered PDD/01/.

- Earthood confirms that the monitoring system is in place and the emission reductions are calculated without material misstatements. The verification activities were conducted in accordance with Earthood's CDM Quality Manual System as per the steps indicated under Section A of this report.

As a result, it is confirmed that the emission reductions from the GS PA (11401) "Bhesada Wind Power Project in Rajasthan" are correctly reported in the Monitoring Report/03/ Version 1.5(final) dated 26/08/2025 and corresponding ER sheet/04/ for the monitoring period 01/05/2024 to 31/08/2024 (including both dates) amounted as 66,811 tCO₂e.

Section H. Certification statement

Earthood's verification approach is based on the understanding of the risks associated with reporting of GHG emission data and the controls in place to mitigate these. Earthood planned and performed the verification by obtaining evidence and other information and explanations that Earthood considered necessary to give reasonable assurance that reported GHG emission reductions are fairly stated.

In our opinion the GHG emissions reductions reported for the project activity are fairly stated in the Monitoring Report (final)/03/ Version 1.5(final) dated 26/08/2025. Earthood, based on outcome of verification activities, certifies in writing that, during the monitoring period 01/05/2024 to 31/08/2024 (including both days), the registered GS PA, "Bhesada Wind Power Project in Rajasthan" achieved the verified amount of 66,811 tCO₂e reductions in anthropogenic emissions by sources of greenhouse gases that would not have occurred in the absence of the PA.

Verified and certified SDG outcomes as per the commitment period:

Sustainable Development Goals Targeted	SDG Impact	Amount Achieved	Units/ Products
SDG 13	Emission reductions	66,811	(tCO ₂ e) GSVER
SDG 8	Number of training and hiring throughout the project	Total number of trainings imparted to employees during the entire monitoring period: 33 However, the total number of employees opportunities created during the entire monitoring period: 0	Number of training and Employment generated through the project
SDG 7	MWh of renewable energy generated	71,802.78	MWh

Appendix-1. Abbreviations

Abbreviations	Full texts
CAR	Corrective Action Request
CER	Certified Emission Reduction(s)
CL	Clarification Request
EB	Executive Board
Earthood	Earthood Services Limited
ER	Emission Reductions
FAR	Forward Action Request
GHG	Greenhouse Gas(es)
GS	Gold Standard
GS4GG	Gold Standard for Global Goals
GS VER	Gold Standard Verified Emission Reductions
IR	Internal Resource
IPCC	Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change
JMR	Joint Meter Reading
MR	Monitoring Report
MW	Mega Watt
MWh	Mega Watt Hour
PA	Project Activity
PDD	Project Design Document
PD	Project Developer
PPA	Power Purchase Agreement
S97	Suzlon Make WTG with Rotor Diameter of 97 m
SDG	Sustainable Development Goal
QA/QC	Quality Assurance / Quality Control
QMS	Quality Management System
tCO ₂ e	tons of carbon dioxide equivalent
UNFCCC	United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change
VCR	Verification and Certification Report
VVB	Validation and Verification Body
WTG	Wind Turbine Generator

Appendix 2. Competence of team members and technical reviewers

Competence Statement			
Name	Anurag Verma		
Education	M.Tech (ESN Programme) B.E (Mechanical Engineering)		
Experience	1+ Year		
Field	Climate Change & Environment		
Approved Roles			
Team Leader	YES		
Validator	YES		
Verifier	YES		
Local expert	YES (India)		
Financial Expert	NO		
Technical Reviewer	NO		
TA Expert (X.X)	YES (TA 1.2)		
Reviewed by	Shifali Guleria (Quality Manager)	Date	10/02/2025
Approved by	Deepika Mahala (Technical Manager)	Date	10/02/2025

Competence Statement	
Name	Austin Paul
Education	B.Sc Geology - Geochemistry, Petrology, Palaeontology M.Sc Environmental Management - EIA, Environmental Policy
Experience	NA
Field	Environmental Management, Climate change
Approved Roles	
Team Leader	NO
Validator	Yes
Verifier	Yes
Methodology Expert	NO
Local expert	NO
Financial Expert	NO
Technical Reviewer	NO
TA Expert (X.X)	NO

Reviewed by	Shifali Guleria (Quality Manager)	Date	21-10-2024
Approved by	Deepika Mahala (Technical Manager)	Date	21-10-2024

Competence Statement			
Name	Jinesh Amlani		
Education	M.Sc. Energy Systems B.Sc. Physics		
Experience	8+ years		
Field	Climate Change & Environment		
Approved Roles			
Team Leader	YES (VM Only)		
Validator	YES		
Verifier	YES		
Local expert	YES (India)		
Financial Expert	YES (VM Only)		
Technical Reviewer	YES		
TA Expert (X.X)	YES (TA.1.2, TA 3.1)		
Reviewed by	Shifali Guleria (Quality Manager)	Date	21/03/2025
Approved by	Deepika Mahala (Technical Manager)	Date	21/03/2025

Appendix 3. Documents reviewed or referenced

Sr.No.	Author	Title	References to the document	Provider
1.	ReNew Wind Energy (Rajasthan 3) Private Limited	GS 11401- PDD	Version 05.0 dated 05/09/2023	PD
2.	Applus certification	Validation Report	Revision No. 03.0 dated 06/09/2023	Others
3.	ReNew Wind Energy (Rajasthan 3) Private Limited	Monitoring Report (MR), (Final)	Version 1.5 26/08/2025	PD
4.	ReNew Wind Energy (Rajasthan 3) Private Limited	ER Sheet	Pertaining to the current MR	PD
5.	UNFCCC	CDM Methodology: <i>ACM0002 "Grid-connected electricity generation from renewable sources - Version 20.0"</i>	Version 20.0	Others
6.	UNFCCC	Tool to calculate the emission factor for an electricity system	Version 07.0	Others
7.	GS4GG	Principles and Requirements, Version 2.1	31/01/2025	Others
8.	GS4GG	Site Visit and Remote Audit Requirements and Procedures, Version 2.0	30/05/2023	Others
9.	GS4GG	Renewable Energy Activity Requirements, Version 1.4	16/08/2021	Others
10.	UNFCCC	CDM validation and verification standard for project activities (CDM VVS for PAs)	Version 03.0	Others
11.	Earthood	Onsite visit	22/04/2025	Others
12.	Applus certification	Verification report for first monitoring period (01/10/2019 to 30/06/2022 (Inclusive of both days)).	Version 04.0 Dated, 31/10/2023	-
13.	Rajasthan Renewable Energy Corporation Limited	Power Purchase Agreement (PPA)	Phase 1 (50.4 MW) dated 15/07/2015 Phase 2 (50.4 MW) dated 23/02/2016	-
14.	Rajasthan Renewable Energy Corporation	Commissioning Certificate	-	PD

	Limited			
15.	Darsh Calibrations Pvt. Ltd.	Calibration certificates (Main Meter)	26/04/2024	PD
16.	Darsh Calibrations Pvt. Ltd.	Calibration certificates (Backup Meter)	26/04/2024	PD
17.	Jodhpur Vidyut Vitran Nigam Limited	Monthly Joint meter reading (JMR)	Pertaining to the current MR	PD
18.	Renew Wind Energy (AP3) Pvt Ltd.	Signed electricity invoices	Pertaining to the current MR	PD
19.	GS4GG	GS11401- Cover Letter	03/08/2023	PD
20.	ReNew Wind Energy (Rajasthan 3) Private Limited	Photographic Evidence of the Main and Backup Meters	-	PD
21.	SUZLON Energy Limited	Technical Specification of the WTGs (S97-2.1MW-90m) (S97-2.1MW-120m)	-	PD
22.	Renew Power Synergy Private Limited	Undertaking Letter (No Double Counting)	10/03/2025	PD
23.	ReNew Wind Energy (Rajasthan 3) Private Limited	Bhesada-I and Bhesada-II Generation details	-	PD
24.	ReNew Wind Energy (Rajasthan 3) Private Limited	Training Records	Pertaining to the current MR	PD
25.	SUZLON Energy Limited	Bhesada site manpower list	Pertaining to the current MR	PD
26.	ReNew Wind Energy (Rajasthan 3) Private Limited	Grievance Register	Pertaining to the current MR	PD
27.	GS4GG	GS4GG MR template form	-	Others
28.	ReNew Wind Energy (Rajasthan 3) Private Limited	Supply contract with Suzlon Energy Limited	-	PD
29.	CEA	CO ₂ Baseline Database for Indian Power	Version 17.0	Others

		Sector		
30.	CEA	CEA Notification No. 502/70/CEA/DP&D	17/03/2006	-
31.	ReNew Wind Energy (Rajasthan3) Pvt Ltd.	Employment records	Pertaining to the current MR	PD
32.	Google Maps	Google images of the WTGs installed	Last assessed on 18/05/2025	-
33.	ReNew Wind Energy (Rajasthan3) Pvt Ltd.	Shutdown Records	Pertaining to the current MR	PD
34.	ReNew Wind Energy (Rajasthan3) Pvt Ltd.	Maintenance Records for the year 2024	Pertaining to the current MR	PD
35.	ReNew Wind Energy (Rajasthan3) Pvt Ltd.	Compliance Certificate	-	PD
36.	GS4GG	Audit techniques template	Version 1.0, dated 17/11/2021	Others
37.	Eurofins	Ambient noise quality test reports	09/08/2024, 03/05/2024 and 04/07/2024	Others
38.	ReNew Wind Energy (Rajasthan 3) Private Limited	Waste disposal forms (Form 8, Form 9 and Form 10) and waste Delivery challan	02/07/2024	PD
39.	ReNew Wind Energy (Rajasthan 3) Private Limited	EPF (Employment Provident Fund) Challan	-	PD
40	UNFCCC	CDM PoA 9416, CPA 12: CDM: Bhesada Wind Power Project in Rajasthan	-	Others
41	Chief Labour Commissioner	Minimum wage in host country: https://clc.gov.in/clc/min-wages	-	Others
42	GS4GG	GS validation and verification standard	Version 2.0	Others
43	GS4GG	Assessment Approach For Reporting Higher Ex-Post Emission Reductions	Version 1.1	Others
44	Earthood	Geo-tagged photos taken during the onsite audit	22/04/2025	-
45	ReNew Wind Energy (Rajasthan 3) Private Limited	SDG Impact Tool	Pertaining to the latest MR	PD
46	Central	Hazardous and Other Wastes	-	Others

	Pollution Control Board, India	(Management and Transboundary Movement) Rules, 2016		
47	ReNew Power	Gender Pay Parity Policy	Effective date:22/10/2020	PD
48	ReNew Power	Equal Employment & Anti-Discrimination Policy	Effective date:01/04/2023	PD
49	ReNew Wind Energy (Rajasthan 3) Private Limited	Ex-ante ER sheet	-	PD
50	CDM	CDM EB 48 Annex 11 – <i>Guidelines for the Reporting and Validation of Plant Load Factor</i>	Version 1	Others
51	Earthood	Verification report of MP- 01/01/2024 to 30/04/2024	Version 1.3 dated 08/04/2025	-
52	Applus Certification and Earthood	Verification Reports of previous MPs: MP1: 01/10/2019 to 30/06/2022 MP2: 01/07/2022 to 31/12/2023	Version 4, dated 31/10/2023 Version 3.2 dated 05/11/2024	Others

Appendix 4. Clarification requests, corrective action requests and forward action requests

Table 1. FAR from previous verification

FAR ID	xx	Section No.	xx	Date: DD/MM/YYYY
Description of FAR				
<i>No FAR from previous verification</i>				
Project participant response				Date: DD/MM/YYYY
Documentation provided by project participant				
DOE assessment				Date: DD/MM/YYYY

Table 2. CL from this verification

CL ID	01	Section no.	E.6.2.3	Date : 14/02/2025
Description of CL				
In MR version 1.0 dated 03/02/2025 Section D.2. Data and parameters SDG 8, PD seems to have taken a random example, and it is not clear what wages the PD is actually paying. PD shall provide a range of the monthly salary actually paid to the employees and thereafter compare the lowest salary with the National average, to establish that the minimum wage is paid to all. Also, it is not clear as to how many men and women are so far employed and whether men and women are being paid equally as per the requirements of the indicators. PD shall additionally elaborate on the same.				
Project participant response				Date : 10/03/2025
<i>The parameter is now updated based on the equivalent range of salary provided. Regarding employment there is no new men/women employment for the current monitoring period.</i>				
Documentation provided by project participant				
VVB Assessment				Date: 18/05/2025
VVB has assessed the updated MR version 1.1 dated 10/03/2025 section D.2 SDG 8 (indicators 8.5.1) and further confirmed the same from "Salary compliance certificates provided by Suzlon Global Services Limited" which are applicable to this monitoring period that the PD is providing the employees/workers with salary/wages higher than the minimum wages as per the Indian Standard. This finding is CLOSED.				
CL01 is CLOSED.				

Table 3. CAR from this verification

CAR ID	01	Section no.	E.1	Date : 14/02/2025
Description of CAR				
In MR version 1.0 dated 03/02/2025 section A.1. General description of project, PD shall delete the repetition of same content twice in the paragraph. The description should match with registered PDD and previous monitoring reports.				
Project participant response				Date : 10/03/2025
<i>The description is now updated and matched with registered PDD and previous monitoring reports.</i>				
Documentation provided by project participant				
VVB Assessment				Date: 18/05/2025

VVB has assessed the updated MR version 1.1 dated 10/03/2025 section A.1 “General description of project” and confirmed that the repetition has now been deleted and matched with registered PDD and previous monitoring reports. This finding is CLOSED.

CAR01 is CLOSED.

CAR ID	02	Section no.	E.1	Date : 14/02/2025
Description of CAR				
In Table 2 – Product Vintages, PD shall remove SDG 7 and SDG 8, as per the template guidelines. PD shall take corrective action.				
Project participant response				Date : 10/03/2025
<i>Product Vintages of SDG 7 and SDG 8 are now removed according to guidelines.</i>				
VVB Assessment				Date: 18/05/2025
VVB has assessed the updated MR version 1.1 dated 10/03/2025 and confirmed that the Product Vintages in Table 2, SDG 7 and SDG 8 are being removed following the template guidelines. This finding is CLOSED.				
CAR02 is CLOSED.				

CAR ID	03	Section no.	E.1	Date : 14/02/2025
Description of CAR				
PD shall include the SLD of the monitoring system along with the location of meters and serial numbers in the MR.				
Project participant response				Date : 10/03/2025
SLD of the monitoring system along with the location of meters and serial numbers is now added on page 14 of MR				
Documentation provided by project participant				
DOE assessment				Date: 18/05/2025
VVB has assessed the section C “ <i>Description of Monitoring System applied by the project</i> ” of the updated MR version 1.1 dated 10/03/2025 and confirmed that the SLD of the monitoring system along with the location of meters and serial numbers has been included appropriately and correctly as per the given project scenario. This finding is CLOSED.				
CAR03 is CLOSED.				

Table 4. FAR from this verification

FAR ID	xx	Section No.		Date: DD/MM/YYYY
Description of FAR				
<i>No FARs from this verification.</i>				
Project participant response				Date: DD/MM/YYYY
Documentation provided by project participant				
DOE assessment				Date: DD/MM/YYYY