



VERIFICATION REPORT TITLE

SOLAR PV POWER PROJECT BY ROHA
DYECHM PVT. LTD. (EKIESL.CDM.AUG-
11-02)



DOCUMENT PREPARED BY

TÜV SÜD SOUTH ASIA PVT LTD

Project Title	Solar PV power project by Roha Dyechem Pvt. Ltd. (EKIESL.CDM.Aug-11-02)
Version	3.0
Report ID	10939SK

Report Title	VCS Verification of "Solar PV power project by Roha Dyechem Pvt. Ltd. (EKIESL.CDM.Aug-11-02)."
--------------	--

Client	Roha Dyechem Pvt. Ltd.
Pages	21
Date of Issue	18/05/2022
Prepared By	TÜV SÜD South Asia Pvt. Ltd.
Contact	Solitaire, 4th floor, ITI Road, Aundh, Pune, India
Approved By	Eswar Murty, Certification Body, TUV SUD South Asia Pvt Ltd
Work Carried Out By	Shailendra Kewat (Assessment Team Leader & Verifier) Eswar Murty (TR)

Summary:

TÜV SÜD South Asia Pvt. Ltd. has performed the third verification of the VCS project activity. The verification is based on the currently valid documentation of the VCS and United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC).

The Verification has been conducted for the monitoring period 29/12/2020 to 31/12/2021.

The verification process includes three phases:

- Desk review of documents.
- Off-site audit and follow-up interviews with the relevant personnel;
- Resolution of outstanding issues and the issuance of final verification report and opinion.

The purpose of the project activity is to generate energy electricity by the utilization of solar power and further selling the generated energy to the respective Grid. Roha Dyechem Pvt. Ltd. (RDPL) has installed a Greenfield 25 MW solar photovoltaic (PV) power plant in the solar park at Patan district in Gujarat. The PV system will mainly consist of PV modules, module mounting structures, inverters, regulators, monitoring devices etc. The project activity uses 172,728 nos. of 145 W1 Nexpower (NT/ AX series) solar thin film modules which are installed in array configuration.

PP	Capacity (MW)	Technology	Purpose	State
Roha Dyechem Pvt. Ltd. (RDPL)	25 MW	Solar	Sale to EB	Gujarat

Commissioning details of the plant has been cross verified with the commissioning certificates.

During the current monitoring period i.e., from 29/12/2020 to 31/12/2021. (Inclusive of both the days), the total GHG emission reductions or removals generated in this monitoring period are 38,257 tCO₂.

3 Clarification Requests (CLs) and 2 Corrective Action Request (CAR) have been raised during verification process and has been successfully closed. No Forward Action Request (FAR) was raised during this monitoring period.

CONTENTS

1	Introduction	6
1.1	Objective	6
1.2	Scope and Criteria	6
1.3	Level of Assurance	7
1.4	Summary Description of the Project.....	7
2	Verification Process.....	8
2.1	Method and Criteria	8
2.2	Document Review	9
2.3	Interviews	9
2.4	Site Inspections	9
2.5	Resolution of Findings.....	9
2.6	Eligibility for Validation Activities.....	12
3	Validation Findings.....	12
3.1	Participation under Other GHG Programs.....	12
3.2	Methodology Deviations.....	12
3.3	Project Description Deviations	12
3.4	Grouped Project	12
4	Verification Findings.....	12
4.1	Project Implementation Status.....	12
4.2	Safeguards.....	16
4.3	No Net Harm	16
4.4	Local Stakeholder Consultation	16
4.5	AFOLU-Specific Safeguards	17
4.6	Accuracy of GHG Emission Reduction and Removal Calculations.....	17
4.7	Quality of Evidence to Determine GHG Emission Reductions and Removals	18

4.8	Non-Permanence Risk Analysis	19
5	Verification conclusion	19
	APPENDIX : Documents reviewed	21

1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Objective

TÜV SÜD has been commissioned by the client to perform an independent verification assessment.

The objective of the verification work is to comply with the requirements of Verified Carbon Standards requirements. According to this assessment TÜV SÜD shall:

- ✓ Ensure that the project activity has been implemented and operated as per the registered PD, and that all physical features (technology, project equipment, monitoring and metering equipment) of the project are in place,
- ✓ Ensure that the published MR and other supporting documents provided are complete, verifiable and in accordance with applicable VCS and CDM VVS requirements,
- ✓ Ensure that the actual monitoring systems and procedures comply with the monitoring systems and procedures described in the monitoring plan and the approved methodology,
- ✓ Evaluate the data recorded and stored as per the applicable requirements.
- ✓ Assessment of the sustainability monitoring parameters as per the VCS requirements.

1.2 Scope and Criteria

The scope of any assessment is defined by the underlying legislation, regulation and guidance given by relevant entities or authorities. In the case of VCS project activities, the scope is set by:

- ✓ VCS v4.1 requirements.
- ✓ Clean Development Mechanism Validation and Verification Standard (VVS) for Project Activities v3.0.
- ✓ Baselines and monitoring methodologies (including GHG inventories).
- ✓ Environmental issues relevant to the applicable sectoral scope.

- ✓ Current technical and operational knowledge of the specific sectoral scope and information on best practice.
- ✓ Stakeholder consultation and feedback

The verification process is not meant to provide any form of consulting for the project participant (PP). However, stated requests for clarifications, corrective actions, and/or forward actions may provide input for improvement of the project design.

1.3 Level of Assurance

The errors identified in the project are below the threshold limit of materiality and hence not material. The GHG emission reductions are calculated without material misstatements.

The VVB confirms that a reasonable level of assurance has been achieved during the verification process.

1.4 Summary Description of the Project

Roha Dyechem Pvt. Ltd. (RDPL) has installed a Greenfield 25 MW solar photovoltaic (PV) power plant in the solar park at Patan district in Gujarat. The PV system will mainly consist of PV modules, module mounting structures, inverters, regulators, monitoring devices etc. The project activity uses 172,728 nos. of 145 W1 Nexpower (NT/ AX series) solar thin film modules which are installed in array configuration. The total emission reductions accrued for the project activity during the monitoring period has been 38,257 tons of CO₂e.

The Commissioning Date of the Project is 04-March-2012 same has been cross verified with the commissioning certificates.

The project activity is located in Patan district of Gujarat, India. The exact location of the project activity has been detailed below:

DISTRICT	TALUKA	VILLAGE	STATE	GEOGRAPHICAL COORDINATES	
				LATITUDE (N)	LONGITUDE (E)
Patan	Santalpur	Charanka	Gujarat	23° 53' 59" N	71° 12' 01" E

The assessment team has checked the verification records and interviewed the PPs representatives (telephonically) to verify the project details. Based on the assessment of the

documents and remote interviews with PPs representatives, the assessment team is able to confirm that the project activity is fully functional and implemented.

2 VERIFICATION PROCESS

2.1 Method and Criteria

The information provided by the project participants is assessed by applying the means of verification specified in the VCS v4.1, Toolkit and the VVS.

A competent assessment team is selected prior to the start of the verification. The team is selected to cover the technical area(s), sectoral scope(s) and relevant host country experience for evaluating the VCS project activity. Additionally, a competent Technical Reviewer or Technical Reviewer Team is appointed to conduct checks on quality and completeness.

The verification team performs first a desk review, followed by a remote-site visit, which results in the formation of a draft report and a list of findings. The next step involves the evaluation of the findings through direct communication with the PPs and then finally the preparation of the verification report. This verification report and other supporting documents then undergo an internal quality control by the CB “Environment and energy” before submission to the VCS.

2.2 Document Review

The documents referred during this verification are provided in Appendix 1.

2.3 Interviews

The VVB has not conducted the on-site inspection for this current monitoring period due to obligations imposed by COVID 19. However, the VVB has ensured that reasonable level of assurance has been achieved as per Verra regulations on the relaxation of mandatory site visits by the VVB due to COVID 19. The VVB has conducted telephonic interviews and video calls to discuss with the client regarding the data and documents pertaining to the current verification period. The interviews and discussions were conducted successfully.

No.	Interviewee			Date	Subject	Team member
	Last name	First name	Affiliation			
1.	ramanuj	Vipul	Roha Dyechem Pvt. Ltd. (RDPL)- In charge	05/01/2022	Plant technology and monitoring	Shailendra Kewat
2	chavan	Sachin	Roha Dyechem Pvt. Ltd. (RDPL) – Head O&M	05/01/2022	Plant technology and monitoring	Shailendra Kewat
3	Sharma	Nitya	Infinite Solutions - Consultant	05/01/2022	Monitoring	Shailendra Kewat

2.4 Site Inspections

Please see 2.3.

2.5 Resolution of Findings

CL from this verification

CL ID	01	Section no.	MR	Date: 17/01/2022
Description of CL				
Generation data for the month of Oct-21 is missing				
Project participant response				Date: 18/01/2022
The generation data for the month of Oct-21 is provided herewith				
Documentation provided by project participant				
JMR and Invoice of October 2021				
DOE assessment				Date: 20/01/2022
Requested data has been received. CL is closed				

CL ID	02	Section no.	MR	Date: 17/01/2022
Description of CL				
Following documents are need to be submitted:				
1. Calibration records 2. Commissioning certificates 3. PPA 4. ERPA 5. Feedback register 6. Communication agreement between Infinite and Roha				
Project participant response				Date: 18/01/2022
Following documents are submitted herewith:				
1. Calibration records 2. Commissioning certificates 3. PPA 4. ERPA 5. Feedback register 6. Communication agreement between Infinite and Roha				
Documentation provided by project participant				
1. Calibration records 2. Commissioning certificates 3. PPA 4. ERPA 5. Feedback register 6. Communication agreement between Infinite and Roha				
DOE assessment				Date: 20/01/2022
All requested data received. CL is closed				

CL ID	03	Section no.	MR	Date: 17/01/2022
Description of CL				
Calibration details of the meters for the year 2020 should also be included in the MR				
Project participant response				Date: 18/01/2022
As the frequency of calibration is once in 3 years and previous calibration was done on 19 July 2018 and due date of the calibration was 18 July 2021 but calibration was done on 3 December 2021. Hence no calibration was done in 2020. The calibration certificate for 2021 is submitted herewith				
Documentation provided by project participant				
Calibration certificate dated 3 December 2021				
DOE assessment				Date: 20/01/2022
Calibration details included. CL is closed				

CARs from this verification

CAR ID	01	Section no.	5.4	Date: 17/01/2022
Description of CAR				
BE and net emission does not match for the year 2020 in the section 5.4				
Project participant response				Date: 18/01/2022
The baseline emission for 2020 is 313 tCO ₂ e. This has been consistent in the Revised MR Version 2.0				

Documentation provided by project participant	
Revised Version 2.0	
DOE assessment	Date: 20/01/2022
Revised MR and ER sheet received. CAR is closed	

CAR ID	02	Section no.	MR and ER	Date:	07/12/2021
Description of CAR					
Scheduled calibration has not been conducted for the current monitoring period. How error factor has been considered in the ER calculation should be explained in the MR.					
Project participant response					Date: 18/12/2021
The explanation for consideration of error factor has been included in the Revised MR Version 2.0					
Documentation provided by project participant					
Revised MR Version 2.0					
DOE assessment					Date: 20/01/2022
Revised MR received. CAR is closed					

Forward Action Requests

Not applicable

2.6 Eligibility for Validation Activities

Not applicable

3 VALIDATION FINDINGS

3.1 Participation under Other GHG Programs

The project has been registered as CDM. The details are presented below.

- Registration date: 26-December-2012
- UNFCCC reference number: 7442
- Crediting Period: 04-March-2012 to 03-March-2022

From the UN webpage it is confirmed that PP have not claimed any CDM benefits till date. Further, PP has also submitted undertaking in effect of avoiding double counting of the emission reductions.

3.2 Methodology Deviations

There are no methodology deviations.

3.3 Project Description Deviations

There are no project description deviations.

3.4 Grouped Project

No new instances added to the group project activity.

4 VERIFICATION FINDINGS

4.1 Project Implementation Status

The project activity has been commissioned on 04-March-2012. The project activity involves the installation and operation of 25 MW solar power project implemented by Roha Dyechem Pvt. Ltd. in Patan district of Gujarat, India. The project consists of installation of solar panels.

PP	Capacity (MW)	Technology	Purpose	State
Roha Dyechem Pvt. Ltd.	25	Solar	Sale to EB	Gujarat

It consists of following equipment:

Solar PV modules: 172728 solar modules of nominal power capacity of 145 W ($\pm 5\%$) has been installed in array configuration. Nine solar modules have been connected in series to form one string. 19158 such strings are in parallel, connected to 450 Array Junction Boxes (AJBs). Therefore, the total power generation capacity of the modules installed at site translates to 25.04 MW (i.e. 25 MW).

Module mounting structure: There are 6400 mounting structures designed for each having a maximum of 27 modules. Some of the mounting structures are having less than 27 modules.

Inverters: 50 Nos. of 500 kW Satcon make inverters have been utilized in the project activity.

Data logging systems: There are total of 4789.5 arrays, which are being monitored by the WEM (Wipro Energy Manager) sub systems in cluster rooms and main master in the MRSS (Main Receiving Substation).

Transformers and Distribution Control Panels: Transformers: 25 Nos. of 1.25MVA Transformer, 1 No of 160KVA Auxiliary Transformer & 1 No of 27.5MVA Main transformer are being utilized in the project.

The technical specification of the project activity equipment's has been checked through the photographs of all the equipment's installed at site and are found to be consistent with the mentioned under section 3.1 of MR. The current status of the project activity is verified through the screen shots of SCADA system, indicating the real-time generation data and hence it is confirmed that the project is fully functioning.

The audit team has checked the commissioning certificates to confirm the location and the implementation of the project.

Monitoring parameters:

Means of verification	Referring to VCS v4.1 and p.360, p.361, p.363 and p.364 of CDM VVS PA, v3.0, the below tables provide a summary on the verification of each monitoring parameter listed in the registered monitoring plan. <table border="1" data-bbox="400 501 1291 1386"> <tr> <td>Data / Parameter:</td><td>EG_{facility, y}</td></tr> <tr> <td>Data unit:</td><td>MWh/year</td></tr> <tr> <td>Description:</td><td>Quantity of net electricity generation supplied by the project plant/unit to the grid in year y (MWh)</td></tr> <tr> <td>Source of data used:</td><td>JMR</td></tr> <tr> <td>Means of verification/Comments:</td><td> The net electricity supplied by the project activity to the grid has been measured by export-import energy meter. The difference of final value of export and import (115%) & transmission loss is used for monthly values of net electricity supplied to the grid by the project activity and same value will be considered for ER calculations for Gujarat sites. Verification team has checked the JMR/FORM-B/Credit note to check net generation value and same has been cross verified with sales invoices raised by PP. </td></tr> <tr> <td>Cross-check</td><td>Electricity Sales invoices submitted (as per PPA)</td></tr> </table> Compliance with the calibration frequency requirements for measuring instruments As per the registered monitoring plan, the meters are to be calibrated in every three years. A delay of 5 months was there in the calibration of meters; hence PP has applied maximum error factor of 0.2% in the export and import values and taken the conservative figure. The details of the calibration of meters are given in the table below.	Data / Parameter:	EG_{facility, y}	Data unit:	MWh/year	Description:	Quantity of net electricity generation supplied by the project plant/unit to the grid in year y (MWh)	Source of data used:	JMR	Means of verification/Comments:	The net electricity supplied by the project activity to the grid has been measured by export-import energy meter. The difference of final value of export and import (115%) & transmission loss is used for monthly values of net electricity supplied to the grid by the project activity and same value will be considered for ER calculations for Gujarat sites. Verification team has checked the JMR/FORM-B/Credit note to check net generation value and same has been cross verified with sales invoices raised by PP.	Cross-check	Electricity Sales invoices submitted (as per PPA)
Data / Parameter:	EG_{facility, y}												
Data unit:	MWh/year												
Description:	Quantity of net electricity generation supplied by the project plant/unit to the grid in year y (MWh)												
Source of data used:	JMR												
Means of verification/Comments:	The net electricity supplied by the project activity to the grid has been measured by export-import energy meter. The difference of final value of export and import (115%) & transmission loss is used for monthly values of net electricity supplied to the grid by the project activity and same value will be considered for ER calculations for Gujarat sites. Verification team has checked the JMR/FORM-B/Credit note to check net generation value and same has been cross verified with sales invoices raised by PP.												
Cross-check	Electricity Sales invoices submitted (as per PPA)												
Conclusion	The monitoring has been carried out in accordance with the monitoring plan contained in the registered PDD. All parameters were monitored and determined as per the registered monitoring plan. Referring to p.360, p.361, p.363 and p.364 of CDM VVS PA, v3.0, VVB confirms through video call and telephonic interviews and from the document review, the actual monitoring system complies with the registered monitoring plan. The substantiation of this conformity on information flow												

	for these parameters including the values in the monitoring reports is reported in the above section. During the verification, all relevant monitoring parameters of the registered monitoring plan have been verified with regard to the appropriateness of the verification method, the correctness of the values applied for ER calculation, the accuracy and applied QA/QC measures. After appropriate corrections, carried out by the project participant, it is confirmed that all monitoring parameters have been measured / determined without material misstatements and are in line with all applicable standards and relevant requirements. All parameters required to be monitored are recorded at the intervals required by the registered monitoring plan and the applied methodology. On the basis of review of source and nature of available evidences and records, the verification team confirms the quality of evidence for emission reduction provided is sufficient as per CDM VVS PA, v3.0.
--	---

Calibration of the meters

Meter Sr.No.	Accuracy Class of the meter	Calibration Date	Calibration due date	Calibration Date	Validity of the Calibration
GJ1211A (Main)	0.2s	19/07/2018	18/07/2021	03/12/2021	02/12/2024
GJ1210A (Check)	0.2s	19/07/2018	18/07/2021	03/12/2021	02/12/2024

Emissions trading programs and other binding limits

Assessment team confirms that the Net GHG emission reductions or removals generated by the project will not be used for compliance with an emissions trading program or to meet binding limits on GHG emissions in any Emission Trading program or other binding limits. Audit team checked the REC Mechanism database of India and found that the project activity is not accredited / registered under REC mechanism. Further, Declaration in effect of the same has been submitted by project proponent to audit team and found to be correct.

The project has been registered with CDM. PP have not claimed any CDM benefits till date. Further, PP has also submitted undertaking in effect of avoiding double counting of the emission reductions.

The Project has no intention to generate any other form of GHG-related environmental credit for GHG emission reductions or removals claimed under the VCS Program.

Additional Information Relevant to the Project

Eligibility Criteria for grouped projects

This is not a grouped project activity. Thus, this section is not applicable for this project.

Leakage Management for AFOLU projects

Not applicable to the project activity.

Commercially Sensitive Information

No commercially sensitive information has been excluded from the public version of the project description. The details are presented transparently to the assessment team for analysis which leads to positive conclusion for this verification.

Sustainable Development

The project is not part of any nationally stated sustainable development priorities.

Conclusion: In view of the assessment of MR and supporting documents as listed in Appendix 1 of this report, the validation team is able to confirm that the description contained in the MR of the project activity provides the reader with a clear understanding of the precise nature of the project activity and the technical aspects of its implementation. Consequently, assessment team confirms that the project description of the project contained in the MR to be complete and accurate. The MR complies with the relevant forms and guidance for completing the VCS MR.

4.2 Safeguards

4.3 No Net Harm

Project does not have any negative environmental and socio-economic impacts. Thus, this is not applicable for this project activity

4.4 Local Stakeholder Consultation

Local stakeholder consultation already done during registration of project activity. The project proponent had organized stakeholders meeting in order to take into account the concerns of the nearby villagers regarding the project implementation however there were no major comments or protest raised by the stakeholders and they were totally in support for setting up of these kinds of projects in the region. Also as a part of continuous feedback from stakeholders, the PP also placed a feedback/complaint register onsite in where the

stakeholder can put down his/her complain and the same if found genuine will be addressed immediately.

4.5 AFOLU-Specific Safeguards

This section is not applicable.

4.6 Accuracy of GHG Emission Reduction and Removal Calculations

Calculation of baseline GHG emissions or baseline net GHG removals by sinks

Means of verification	The assessment of data and the calculation of baseline emission reduction in the MR and the ER excel sheet have been verified as per the following set of supporting documents: 1. Export, Import & transmission loss data 2. Joint meter readings 3. VER spreadsheets 4. Sales Invoices (as per PPA)
Conclusion	Calculations applied formulae and method for calculation of baseline emission are in accordance with the registered monitoring plan and are in line with the requirements of the applied methodology.

Calculation of project GHG emissions or actual net anthropogenic GHG removals by sinks

Means of verification	The verification team assessed whether the data and calculations of GHG emission reductions achieved resulting from the project activity. The verification team has checked whether calculations of baseline GHG emissions, project GHG emissions and leakage GHG emissions have been carried out in accordance with the formulae and methods described in the registered monitoring plan
Conclusion	Project emissions are zero as per the requirement of the methodology and registered CDM PDD.

Calculation of leakage GHG emissions

Means of verification	The verification team assessed whether the data and calculations of GHG emission reductions achieved resulting from the project activity. The verification team has checked whether calculations of baseline GHG emissions, project GHG emissions and leakage GHG emissions have been carried out in accordance with the formulae and methods described in the registered monitoring plan
Conclusion	Leakage emissions are not applicable according to the applied methodology and registered CDM PDD

4.7 Quality of Evidence to Determine GHG Emission Reductions and Removals

Means of verification	No lack of evidence and missing data were detected during this monitoring period. All values as per the monitoring plan were crosschecked by the verification team against basic monitored data and the calculations were found to be correct. The verification team confirms that all assumptions, emission factors and default values have been correctly justified. All the emission factors, application of maximum permissible errors and default values are explicitly mentioned in the monitoring report. Hence the VVB confirms that the methods and formulae used to obtain the emissions are appropriate. No reporting risks have been identified for the data reported. Troubleshooting procedure, maintenance, and calibration of monitoring equipment, monitoring measurements and reporting, record handling and maintenance, reviewing monitored data are available at the plant. All the monitored data are archived partially in electronic and paper form. The data will be kept for the whole crediting period and 2 years after the last crediting period thereby meeting the requirement of the monitoring plan.
Conclusion	The formulae and the methods referred in the MR and the emission reduction calculation spread sheet comply with the methods described in the registered PDD. No lack of evidence and missing data were detected during this monitoring period. All values as per the monitoring plan were crosschecked by the verification team against basic monitored data and the GHG emission calculation is found correct. TUV SUD confirms that all assumptions, emission factors and default values have been correctly justified. All the emission factors and default values are explicitly mentioned in the monitoring report. Calculations applied formulae and method for calculation of GHG emission are in accordance with the registered monitoring plan and are in line with the requirements of VCS, the applied methodology and p. 372, p.373 of CDM VVS PA ver 3.0.

4.8 Non-Permanence Risk Analysis

Not applicable.

5 VERIFICATION CONCLUSION

It is the responsibility of TUV-SUD to express an independent verification opinion about the project's conformity with the requirements of VCS Standard version 4.1 and GHG program applied, on the reported greenhouse gas emission reductions from the project. Based on documented evidence and corroborated by an off-site assessment, VVB can confirm that:

- The development and maintenance of records and reporting procedures are in accordance with the registered monitoring plan.
- The project is operated as planned and described in the project design document approved by the EB;
- The monitoring report and other supporting documents provided are complete and verifiable and in accordance with the applicable VCS Standard version 4 requirements;
- The installed equipment being essential for generating emission reduction runs reliably and is calibrated appropriately.
- The monitoring system is in place and generates GHG emission reductions data.
- The monitoring plan in Monitoring Report is as per the registered PD and monitoring plan approved by the VCS.
- The approved monitoring plan in the approved PD is as per the applied methodology ACM0002, ver-13.
- There is an audit trail that contains the evidence and records that validate the stated figures.

TUV-SUD verification approach is based on the understanding of the risks associated with reporting of GHG emission data and the controls in place to mitigate these. VVB planned and performed the verification by obtaining evidence and other information and explanations that VVB considered necessary to give reasonable assurance that reported GHG emission reductions are fairly stated

Based on the information we have seen and evaluated, we confirm that the project activity achieved the verified amount of reductions in anthropogenic emissions by sources of greenhouse gases that would not have occurred in the absence of the project activity

Verification period: From 29/12/2020 to 31/12/2021

Verified GHG emission reductions and removals in the above verification period:

Year	Baseline emissions or removals (tCO ₂ e)	Project emissions or removals (tCO ₂ e)	Leakage emissions (tCO ₂ e)	Net GHG emission reductions or removals (tCO ₂ e)
2020	313	0	0	313
2021	37,945	0	0	37,945
Total	38,257	0	0	38,257

APPENDIX : DOCUMENTS REVIEWED

N o.	Author	Title	References to the document
1	UNFCCC	CDM VVS for PA v3.0	
2	UNFCCC	ACM0002: Consolidated baseline methodology for grid-connected electricity generation from renewable sources - Version 13.0.0	
3	Verra	VCS Standard v4.1	
4	Infinite solutions	VCS MR Version 2.0	18/01/2021
5	Infinite solutions	ER Calculation sheet Version 2.0	18/01/2021
6	GEDS/SLR/COM	Commissioning certificate	04/03/2012
7	Akron Energy	Calibration certificate	2021
8	F-Guj-SLDC-22/SCH/SEA	Monthly Joint meter reading	2020 and 2021
9	Roha Dyechem Pvt Ltd	Monthly Invoices	2020 and 2021
10	GUVNL/COM/SOLAR	PPA	09/12/2010
11	Google earth	GPS coordinates for solar plant	
12	Infinite solutions	Site photographs of solar plant and energy meters	