

REDUCED EMISSIONS FROM DEFORESTATION AND DEGRADATION IN KEO SEIMA WILDLIFE SANCTUARY PROJECT VERIFICATION REPORT



Document Prepared By: Aster Global Environmental Solutions, Inc.

Project Title	Reduced Emissions from Deforestation and Degradation in Keo Seima Wildlife Sanctuary
Version	V2
Report ID	18009.02

Report Title	Reduced Emissions from Deforestation and Degradation in Keo Seima Wildlife Sanctuary Project Verification Report
Client	H.E. Dr. Paris Choup, Under Secretary of State, Ministry of Environment, Royal Government of Cambodia +855 23 213 908, paris.ncgg@gmail.com
Pages	253
Date of Issue	3 May 2023
Prepared By	Aster Global Environmental Solutions, Inc.
Contact	3800 Clermont St NW, North Lawrence, Ohio, 44666 +1 330-294-1242; AsterGlobal.com; mfisher@asterglobal.com
Approved By	Janice McMahon (President)

**Work Carried
Out By**

Lead Verifier: Mansfield Fisher; Verification Team Members: Shawn McMahon, Taek Joo Kim, Kevin Markham, Matthew Perkowski, Richard Scharf, Matthew Campbell, Sandesh Shrestha, Caris Lyons, Ashley Laux; Caitlin Sellers; Translators/Site Visit: Lakhena Chan; Tong Len; Senior Internal Reviewer: Shawn McMahon; QA/QC: Janice McMahon

Summary

Aster Global Environmental Solutions, Inc., was contracted by the Wildlife Conservation Society (WCS), on 30 November 2019 to conduct the fourth monitoring period verification under VCS/CCB for the period 01 January 2020 – 31 December 2021 (2 years), of the Reduced Emissions from Deforestation and Degradation in Keo Seima Wildlife Sanctuary Project (“the project”) [Validated Project Description (PD) dated 29 December 2014]. The Project falls under the VCS sectoral scope 14: – Agriculture, Forestry, and Other Land Uses (AFOLU), under the category Reduced Emissions from Deforestation and Degradation (REDD). Specifically, the project falls under the REDD+ category of Avoided Unplanned Deforestation (AuPD) in addition to climate, community, biodiversity, and biodiversity gold level under the CCB criteria.

For this project, the verification objective was to assess whether the project GHG emission reduction quantification complies with the verification criteria set out in the guidance documents listed in the criteria section of this report.

The VCS verification assessed compliance with the VCS Version 4 Program Guide, Standard, the VM0015 Methodology, all associated updates, the validated Project Document, and the likelihood that implementation of the planned GHG project has resulted in the GHG emission removal enhancements as stated by the Project Proponent (ISO 14064-3:2006).

The CCB verification assessed that implementation of the planned GHG project has occurred, resulting in the GHG emission removal enhancements (climate), community, and biodiversity benefits as stated by the Project Proponent (ISO 14064-3:2006). The verification objective is to ensure the validated project design documentation has been implemented in compliance with CCB Standards (Third Edition).

The scope of the verification following Section 4.3.4 of ISO 14064-3:2006 included the GHG project implementation; physical infrastructure, activities, technologies and processes of the GHG project; GHG sources, sinks and/or reservoirs; types of GHGs; and time periods covered. The Reduced Emissions from Deforestation and Degradation in Keo Seima Wildlife Sanctuary Project follows the framework of project activities listed within this report.

The criteria followed the verification guidance documents provided by VCS/CCB located at <https://verra.org>. Unless otherwise indicated, the assessment was performed against the most recent version of the relevant VCS guidance document.

A summary of all VCS findings (25 total) is included in Appendix B and a summary of all CCB findings (38 total) is included in Appendix C. All findings were satisfied to a reasonable level of assurance and there are no restrictions of uncertainty.

After completion of a site visit inspection and review of all project information, procedures, calculations, and supporting documentation, Aster Global confirms that the monitoring conducted by the project proponent, along with the supporting Monitoring Report, are accurate and consistent with all aforementioned VCS Version 4 and CCB Third Edition criteria, the validated PD, and the selected methodology (VM0015). Aster Global confirms that Reduced Emissions from Deforestation and

Degradation in Keo Seima Wildlife Sanctuary Project Monitoring Report (v1.9 dated 21 April 2023) has been implemented in accordance with the validated PD.

Aster Global confirms all verification activities, including objectives, scope and criteria, level of assurance, validated Project Description implementation, and project monitoring report adherence to VCS Version 4 (and all associated updates), and CCB Project Design Standards (Third Edition), as documented in this report are complete. Aster Global concludes without any qualifications or limiting conditions that Reduced Emissions from Deforestation and Degradation in Keo Seima Wildlife Sanctuary Project Monitoring Report (v1.9 dated 21 April 2023) meets the requirements of VCS Version 4 (and all associated updates) and CCB Project Design Standards (Third Edition) for the verification period/reporting period (01 January 2020 – 31 December 2021 - 2-years). In addition, Aster Global asserts that the project complies with the verification criteria for projects set out in the Third Edition of the CCB Standards to achieve Gold Level Distinction for Biodiversity.

The GHG assertion provided by Wildlife Conservation Society and verified by Aster Global has resulted in the GHG emissions reduction or removal of 4,784,566 tCO₂ equivalents by the project during the verification period/reporting period (01 January 2020 – 31 December 2021: 2 years). This value is gross of the 10% (478,456 tCO₂ equivalents) buffer withholding based on the non-permanence risk assessment tool. There was no leakage deduction applied to the GHG emissions reductions or removals for this monitoring period. This results in 4,306,110 tCO₂ equivalents of credits eligible for issuance as VCUs.

Table of Contents	
1	Introduction..... 5
1.1	Objective 5
1.2	Scope and Criteria 5
1.3	Level of Assurance..... 6
1.4	Summary Description of the Project 6
2	Verification Process..... 6
2.1	Audit Team Composition (Rules 4.3.1) 6
2.2	Method and Criteria..... 7
2.3	Document Review 8
2.4	Interviews 9
2.5	Site Inspections 12
2.6	Resolution of Findings..... 15
2.7	Eligibility for Validation Activities 15
3	Validation Findings.....16
3.1	Participation under Other GHG Programs 16
3.2	Methodology Deviations 16
3.3	Project Description Deviations (Rules 3.5.7 – 3.5.10) 16
3.4	Minor Changes to Project Description (Rules 3.5.6) 16
3.5	Grouped Project (G1.13 – G1.15, G4.1) 16
4	Verification Findings.....16
4.1	Public Comments (Rules 4.6) 16
4.2	Summary of Project Benefits..... 16
4.3	General..... 17
4.4	Climate 24
4.5	Community 33
4.6	Biodiversity 36
4.7	Additional Project Implementation Information 39
4.8	Additional Project Impact Information 39
5	Verification Conclusion 39
Appendix A: Documents list 41	
Appendix B: VCS List of findings 116	
Appendix C: CCB list of findings 139	

1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Objective

For this project, the verification objective was to ensure implementation of project activities and assess project compliance with the VCS Program Guide, VCS Standard, AFOLU Requirements, selected methodologies, the validated VCS Project Description (PD) and the verification criteria as set out in the guidance documents listed in Section 1.2 of this report. An additional objective of the verification was to assess whether the project complies with the requirements of the CCB Program and all community and biodiversity criteria. Aster Global assessed the GHG emission removals for the AFOLU project, specifically REDD.

1.2 Scope and Criteria

The scope of the verification following Section 4.3.4 of ISO 14064-3:2006 included the GHG project implementation; physical infrastructure, activities, technologies and processes of the GHG project; GHG sources, sinks and/or reservoirs; types of GHGs; and time periods covered. The scope of the project was outlined by the Project Proponent within the Project Description dated 29 December 2014 and is redefined as follows for the GHG project:

Baseline Scenario	Unplanned deforestation – illegal deforestation/agricultural activities
Activities/Technologies/Processes	Utilizes VM0015 v1.1 – Methodology for avoided unplanned deforestation and CCB 3rd Edition for Climate, Community, and Biodiversity benefits
Sources/Sinks/Reservoirs	Aboveground biomass (trees included / non-tree excluded) Belowground biomass (included) Deadwood (included) Harvested Wood Products (excluded) Litter (excluded) Soil Organic Carbon (excluded) Sources: Baseline Scenario – Biomass Burning and Livestock Emissions (excluded) Project Scenario – Biomass Burning (included for CH₄)
GHG Type	CO ₂ and CH ₄
Time Period (start date, crediting period, verification period)	Project Start Date: 01 January 2010 Project Crediting Period: 01 January 2010 – 31 December 2069 Fourth Monitoring Period: 01 January 2020 to 31 December 2021 – 2 years
Project Boundary	Monduliri Province, Cambodia Project Area: 166,983 ha (within the KSWs Core Protection Area) 106°55'15.7"E 12°8'13.109"N Communities: 6 Commune Councils, 17 key villages (3 other user villages)

The criteria followed the verification guidance documents provided by VCS located at <https://verra.org/programs/verified-carbon-standard/vcs-program-details/> and CCB located at <https://verra.org/programs/ccbs/ccbs-program-details/>. Unless otherwise indicated, the assessment was performed against the most recent version of the relevant VCS guidance document. These documents include the following:

- VCS Program Guide (v4.3, 17 January 2023)
- VCS Standard (v4.4, 17 January 2023)
- Errata & Clarifications, VCS Standard, v4.0 (05 February 2021)
- VCS Program Definitions (v4.3, 21 December 2022)
- AFOLU Non-Permanence Risk Tool (v4.0, 19 September 2019)
- Program Validation and Verification Manual (v3.2, 19 October 2016)
- VM0015, v1.1 – Methodology for Avoided Unplanned Deforestation
- CCB Program Definitions (v3.0, June 2017)
- CCB Standards (Third Edition, v3.1, June 2017)
- CCB Program Rules (v 3.1 June 2017)
- Guidance for the Use of the CCB Standards, May 2014
- Validated PD (29 December 2014)

1.3 Level of Assurance

The level of assurance was used to determine the depth of detail that the Verifier placed in the Verification and Sampling Plan to determine if there are any errors, omissions, or misrepresentations (ISO 14064-3:2006). Aster Global assessed the project's implementation of general principles, data collection and processing, sampling descriptions, documentation, ex post calculations, etc., to provide *reasonable* assurance to meet the Project Level requirements of the VCS Program. Based on the verification findings, a final evaluation statement reasonably assures that the project GHG representations are materially accurate. The evidence used to achieve a reasonable level of assurance is specified in subsequent sections of this report.

1.4 Summary Description of the Project

The project is located in the Mondulkiri and Kratie Provinces of Cambodia and is aimed at reducing emissions related to unplanned deforestation. The project has already resulted in emission reductions of at least 16 million tCO₂e from avoided unplanned deforestation. The project reserves forest areas that provide the basic needs and traditional cultural identity for over 2,500 households (approximately 12,500 people) within the 20 REDD+ participating villages. The project has resulted in the benefits as described in Section 1 of the Monitoring Report.

2 VERIFICATION PROCESS

2.1 Audit Team Composition (Rules 4.3.1)

For VCS/CCB verifications, Aster Global maintains an experienced internal staff of Lead Verifiers, in addition to Certified Foresters, Registered Professional Foresters, The Wildlife Society Biologists, Forest Biometricians, GIS/ Remote Sensing Specialists, and VCS-approved AFOLU Experts in IFM, REDD, and WRC categories. Aster Global's Lead Verifier is a certified forester who had remote oversight of field verification activities. Aster Global completed all calculation/modeling reviews in-house with our team of Forest Biometricians, GIS/ Remote Sensing Specialists, and Soil Scientist. Aster Global has been involved in over 68 VCS verifications and over 36 CCB verifications, and more than 10 methodology assessments.

Aster Global has a specialist on staff with nine years of CCB experience who, in-conjunction with the Lead Verifier, oversees all CCB components for project review. All Aster Global staff involved in the verification audit have ecological, biodiversity, natural resources and forestry background to fulfill these requirements. Additionally, for the site visit one local natural and cultural resource specialist was utilized who has previous experience in VCS and CCB project assessments, Lakhena Chan. Similarly, an independent local translator who speaks Bunong was utilized for the site visit, Tong Len.

2.2 Method and Criteria

The verification assessed the Project's compliance with VCS Version 4, CCB Third Edition, and all associated updates, the selected methodology (VM0015, v1.1), and the validated Project Description (PD) Reduced Emissions from Deforestation and Degradation in Seima Protection Forest dated 29 December 2014. Aster Global assessed the Greenhouse Gas (GHG) emission removals for the fourth monitoring period/verification period (01 January 2020 – 31 December 2021: 2 years) through Agriculture, Forestry and Other Land Use (AFOLU) criteria, specifically; Reduced Emissions from Deforestation and Degradation (REDD) activities. Aster Global assessed whether the Project Proponent adequately addressed project emissions, unplanned reductions in carbon stocks, and any possible leakage outside of the project boundary.

The non-permanence risk analysis was assessed for this verification. Further, following Section 2.1.2 of the VCS Validation & Verification Manual, the objectives of the verification exercise were to evaluate the monitoring report and assess:

- The extent to which methods and procedures, including monitoring procedures, have been implemented in accordance with the validated project description. This includes ensuring conformance with the monitoring plan.
- The extent to which GHG Emission Reductions or Removals reported in the monitoring report are materially accurate.

The criteria followed the verification guidance documents provided by VCS and CCBA. Unless otherwise indicated, the assessment was performed against the most recent version of the relevant VCS or CCBA guidance document. Please also see Section 1.2 of this report.

In the verification process, there is a risk that potential errors, omissions, and misrepresentations will be found; therefore, a risk-based approach was used to guide the collection of appropriate and sufficient evidence to support a reasonable level of assurance. A risk-based approach means that the verification team focused on items that might result in a material misstatement of the reported GHG assertion.

A project specific Verification and Sampling Plan was developed to guide the verification auditing process to ensure efficiency and effectiveness. The purpose of the Verification and Sampling Plan was to present a risk assessment for determining the nature and extent of verification procedures necessary to ensure the risk of auditing error was reduced to a reasonable level. The Verification & Sampling Plan methodology was derived from all items in our verification process stated above. Specifically, the sampling plan utilized the VCS and CCBA guidance documents and ISO 14064-3. Any modifications applied to the Verification and Sampling plan were made based upon the conditions observed for monitoring to detect the processes

with highest risk of material discrepancy. A detailed field plan was developed to guide the verification site visit and is embedded within the Verification and Sampling Plan.

For the field sampling effort, direct measurement, observation, interviews and review of the monitoring period emission reductions in the key areas and ground truthing and review of reported project activities were determined to be the greatest risk. Field sampling and techniques were based on the project parameters/scope and best professional judgment of the Validation and Verification Body (VVB) to meet a reasonable level of assurance as directed by the professional judgment of the Lead Verifier. Because the biomass inventory (REDD) was validated and has not changed, inventory plots were not selected for detailed review/re-measurement.

Extensive review of all remote sensing data was undertaken of the project area to aid the VVB in establishing a reasonable level of assurance regarding confirming the reported areas of ex-post disturbance (from the remote sensing-based analysis) for the quantification of project emissions.

In addition, a risk-based approach was used for the on-the-ground field sampling effort to select key areas for direct observation of unplanned deforestation monitoring and stated project activities. Areas of degradation and deforestation within the Project Area and adjacent lands were opportunistically viewed to allow the VVB to establish a reasonable level of assurance regarding the implementation of project activities, and to further confirm the reported areas of ex post disturbance. Please see Section 2.4 of this report for more details.

The desktop verification component included a full review of all project documentation and calculations received from the Project Proponent as described throughout this report.

2.3 Document Review

A detailed review of all project documentation was conducted to ensure consistency with, and identify any deviation from, VCS Program requirements, CCB program requirements, the methodology (VM0015 v1.1), and the validated PD. Initial review focused on the validated PD and Monitoring Report (MR) relative to the field conditions observed and interviews with project management staff. Project details, implementation status, data and parameters, and quantification of GHG emission reductions and removals were thoroughly examined. Key supporting documents were also reviewed. These included GIS/remote sensing monitoring data (i.e., boundaries, maps and aerial imagery), Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs), financial analyses, fire-specific monitoring data, biomass and carbon calculation spreadsheets, CCB interview/survey results, and responses to Non-conformance Requests (NCRs) and Clarification Requests (CLs).

The VCS AFOLU Non-Permanence Risk Tool was used by the Project Proponent to assess overall project risk. The VVB reviewed the Non-Permanence Risk Report provided with the verification supporting documentation and confirmed that the Project adheres to the requirements set out in the VCS AFOLU Non-Permanence Risk Tool. Each risk factor was thoroughly assessed for conformance. Any identified NCR and/or CL findings related to the AFOLU Non-Permanence Risk Tool/Report are presented in Appendix B. The final score was calculated to be 10%.

For a listing of all documents received from the client for this verification, please see Appendix A.

2.4 Interviews

Interviews were performed during the verification site inspection and as part of the overall verification process, in addition to what was provided in the project description, monitoring report and any supporting documents.

It is important to note that during this fourth period verification, Aster Global contracted Lakhena Chan and Tong Len, who reside within Cambodia, to complete the site visit portion of the audit. Aster Global provided the training, background, and specific areas of interest necessary for Ms. Chan and Mr. Len to conduct the site visit independently. In addition to the site visit, Aster Global held a series of meetings over the course of a week with the project staff, relevant project stakeholders, and other persons involved with implementing project activities that occurred during the monitoring period. The following is a comprehensive list of formal meetings that were held as part of the desktop verification. It is important to note that all meetings were recorded and saved in the VVB's project files for re-review as needed.

Date	Topics Discussed	Meeting Attendees - Role
8 September 2022	- Activity data - Baseline extension - Baseline quantification - Ex-post project quantification - Carbon calculation walkthrough - Deforestation/degradation during the monitoring period - Project's VCS Monitoring Plan	- Mansfield Fisher – Aster Global Lead Verifier - Ashley Laux – Aster Global Verification Team Member - Taek Joo Kim – Aster Global Verification Team Member - Sandesh Shrestha – Aster Global Verification Team Member - Colin Moore – WCS, Regional Carbon Advisor - Olly Griffin – WCS, Forest Carbon Technical Advisor - Phien Sayon – Independent Consultant for WCS - Determination of 2020-2021 Activity Data for Keo Seima REDD Project - Dr. Toby Marthews – UK Centre for Ecology & Hydrology
20 September 2022	- Project activities during the Monitoring Period - Threats to the project - Economic Land Concessions - Drivers of Deforestation - Illegal activities within the project (e.g. illegal hunting, illegal logging) - High level project financials	- Mansfield Fisher – Aster Global Lead Verifier - Colin Moore – WCS, Regional Carbon Advisor - Olly Griffin – WCS, Forest Carbon Technical Advisor - Alistair Mould – WCS, Operations Manager - Austin Romeo – WCS, REDD+ Communications Advisor

	• Law Enforcement • Community project activities • Community benefits • Benefit sharing	
20 September 2022	• Project activities during the Monitoring Period • IBIS Rice Project	• Mansfield Fisher – Aster Global Lead Verifier • Colin Moore – WCS, Regional Carbon Advisor • Olly Griffin – WCS, Forest Carbon Technical Advisor • Austin Romeo – WCS, REDD+ Communications Advisor • Nicholas Spencer – IBIS Rice Conservation Co., Ltd, CEO • Keo Socheat – Sansom Mlup Prey (SMP), Executive Director
20 September 2022	• Project's Monitoring and Evaluation (M&E) Program • Project's Data Management System • Project Activity reporting during the Monitoring Period • Results and Outcomes of Project Activities during the Monitoring Period	• Mansfield Fisher – Aster Global Lead Verifier • Colin Moore – WCS, Regional Carbon Advisor • Olly Griffin – WCS, Forest Carbon Technical Advisor • Alistair Moud – WCS, Acting Country Program Director, Cambodia Program • Rithiny Teng – WCS, National Strategic Initiatives Manager • Imarith Kongtho – WCS, National Monitoring and Evaluation (M&E) Manager
21 September 2022	• Community benefits • Community benefits monitoring and reporting • Overview of participating communities • Overview of Theory of Change model • Indigenous Community Land Titles (ICT) • Community Protected Areas (CPA) • Livelihood improvements • Benefit Sharing	• Mansfield Fisher – Aster Global Lead Verifier • Colin Moore – WCS, Regional Carbon Advisor • Olly Griffin – WCS, Forest Carbon Technical Advisor • Rithiny Teng – WCS, National Strategic Initiatives Manager • Kimheak Chhay – WCS, REDD+ Technical Advisor

21 September 2022	• Law Enforcement Activities Overview • SMART system •	• Mansfield Fisher – Aster Global Lead Verifier • Colin Moore – WCS, Regional Carbon Advisor • Olly Griffin – WCS, Forest Carbon Technical Advisor • Phlong Nguonleng – WCS, National SMART Manager
21 September 2022	• Biodiversity Monitoring Plan (BMP) • BMP implementation • Results of BMP for current monitoring period	• Mansfield Fisher – Aster Global Lead Verifier • Colin Moore – WCS, Regional Carbon Advisor • Olly Griffin – WCS, Forest Carbon Technical Advisor • Cain Agger – WCS, Biodiversity Monitoring Technical Advisor

Throughout the site inspection and verification process, the verification team met with individuals with various roles in the project. This included a series of interviews with on-site and in-country staff that support the mission of the project and other conservation objectives. Onsite interviews and informal discussions were conducted with Royal Government of Cambodia, Ministry of Environment staff, Law Enforcement staff, Wildlife Conservation Society staff, and Keo Seima Wildlife Sanctuary project staff, members and leaders of the local communities and saving groups. The following is a list of some of the key interviewees. Note that the community members were interviewed as groups in most instances, so it was not possible to include a full listing of all in attendance here; however, all sign-in sheets are on file with the VVB.

Individual	Affiliation	Role
Colin Moore	Wildlife Conservation Society (WCS)	Regional Carbon Advisor
Dr. Toby Marthews	UK Centre for Ecology & Hydrology	Consultant for Carbon Quantification/Baseline Extension
Olly Griffin	Wildlife Conservation Society (WCS)	Forest Carbon Technical Advisor
Phien Sayon	Independent Consultant for WCS	Determination of 2020-2021 Activity Data for Keo Seima REDD Project
Austin Romeo	Wildlife Conservation Society (WCS)	REDD+ Communications Advisor
Alistair Moud	Wildlife Conservation Society (WCS)	Acting Country Program Director, Cambodia Program

Nicholas Spencer	IBIS Rice Conservation Co., Ltd	CEO
Keo Socheat	Sansom Mlup Prey (SMP)	Executive Director
Rithiny Teng	Wildlife Conservation Society (WCS)	National Strategic Initiatives Manager
Imarith Kongtho	Wildlife Conservation Society (WCS)	National Monitoring and Evaluation (M&E) Manager
Kimheak Chhay	Wildlife Conservation Society (WCS)	REDD+ Technical Advisor
Cain Agger	Wildlife Conservation Society (WCS)	Biodiversity Monitoring Technical Advisor
Phlong Nguonleng	Wildlife Conservation Society (WCS)	National SMART Manager
Vong Rith	Sansom Mlup Prey (SMP)	Project Coordinator
Chea Phalla	Wildlife Conservation Society (WCS)	ICT Coordinator
Nhem Sothun	Wildlife Conservation Society (WCS)	Keo Seima Wildlife Sanctuary Project Manager
Han Sokorn	Provincial Department of Environment (PDoE) Office	PDoE Park Director

2.5 Site Inspections

The verification site inspection followed the VVB's prepared Verification and Sampling Plan process and was conducted on 10-14 October 2022 by an in-country natural and cultural resource specialist and a Bunong Translator, representatives of Aster Global. The verification site visit was a required tool to help the VVB reach reasonable assurance for verification of monitoring on-site, confirm the implementation of project activities, and to identify possible sources of error to focus desktop verification efforts.

The objectives of the on-site inspections performed were to:

- Conduct a risk-based review of the project area and project activities to check that the project adhered to the requirements of the VCS rules and the methodology during the monitoring period
- Select data samples from ground measurements for verification purposes in order to achieve a reasonable level of assurance and meet the materiality requirements of the project following the VCS Standard
- Check that monitoring was conducted in accordance with the requirements of the validated monitoring plan, the VM0015 methodology and VCS rules
- Perform community interviews to assess knowledge, understanding of the project, project activities, community benefits, benefit sharing plan, grievance process
- Perform community interviews to collect evidence as to whether the project activities implemented during the monitoring period, as stated in the MR, occurred as described
- Collect observational evidence of project activities that were implemented during the monitoring period
- Interviewed project staff (see Section 1.8 of this report) to collect information pertaining to the monitoring procedures and project implementation

- During the project site visit, a strong sample of CCB components of the project were assessed including the full range of Community Based Development Activities which were active and achieved during the monitoring period including but not limited to

The table below shows the communities visited and verification activities performed during the site visit:

Date	Community/Village	Verification Activities
11 October, 2022	Sre Preah	- Interviews with Sre Preah CPA Committee - Verification of training conducted during the Monitoring Period - Benefit sharing - Community benefits
11 October, 2022	Pu Char	- Interviews with Bamboo Production Group - Benefit sharing - Community benefits - Role of WCS
11 October, 2022	O'Chrar	- Discussion of O'Chrar ICT - Interviews with ICT Committee - Discussion of community patrols of ICT - Discussion of illegal activities (e.g. illegal logging and illegal hunting) - Interviews with IBIS Rice participants - Benefit sharing - Community benefits - PDoE patrols
11 October, 2022	O'Chrar	- Interviews with staff from Sansom Mlop Prey (SMP) - Discussion of IBIS Rice Program - Visit to farms participating in IBIS Rice Program
12 October, 2022	Provincial Department of Environment (PDoE) Office	- Interviews with PDoE staff - Discussion of law enforcement efforts
12 October, 2022	Community in Pu Trom	- General community interviews - Interviews with community members participating in community patrols - Discussion of project benefit sharing - Discussion of community benefits - Discussion of CPA - Discussion of community patrols - Training provided by the project - Discussion of illegal activities occurring

		• Discussion of law enforcement activities/effectiveness • Visit to ICT land • Visit to land which is being illegally invaded
12 October, 2022	Andoung Kraloeng Village	• Interviews with staff at Jahoo Gibbon Camp • Discussion of training provided by WCS • Discussion of project benefit sharing • Discussion of community benefits
13 October, 2022	Pu Ngaol Village	• General community interviews • Interviews with community members participating in community patrols • Discussion of project benefit sharing • Discussion of community benefits • Discussion of Project's Grievance Mechanism • Discussion of illegal activities occurring • Discussion of law enforcement
13 October, 2022	Pu Keh Village	• General community interviews • Interviews with community members participating in community patrols • Discussion of project benefit sharing • Discussion of community benefits • Discussion of Project's Grievance Mechanism • Discussion of illegal activities occurring • Discussion of law enforcement • Discussion about the ICT process that the Pu Keh is going through right now
13 October, 2022	Sre I	• General community interviews • Interviews with community members participating in community patrols • Discussion of project benefit sharing • Discussion of community benefits • Discussion of Project's Grievance Mechanism • Discussion of illegal activities occurring • Discussion of law enforcement
13 October, 2022	Elephant Livelihood Initiative Environment (ELIE)	• Interviews with ELIE/Elephant Valley Project staff

2.6 Resolution of Findings

During the verification process, there was a risk that potential errors, omissions, and misrepresentations would be found. The actions taken when errors, omissions, and misrepresentations were found included: notifying the client of the issue(s) identified and expanding our review to the extent that satisfied the Lead Verifier's professional judgment.

The process of resolution of findings involved three (3) formal rounds of assessment by the VVB. Findings were resolved during the verification by the Project Proponent implementing corrective actions such as amending the Monitoring Report and calculations, as well as providing written responses. This resulted in project documentation that was in conformance with the requirements of the VCS Standard and CCB Third Edition for GHG projects.

Findings were characterized in the following manner:

Non-Conformity Reports (NCRs) were issued as a response to material discrepancies in a part of the project and generally fell into these categories:

- Non-conformity to a VCS or CCB guiding document listed in Sections 1.2 and 2.2 above
- Consistency among project documentation or calculations was lacking
- Mathematical formulae were incorrect
- Additional information was required by the VVB to confirm reasonable assurance for compliance

Clarifications (CL) were issued when language within a project document needed extra clarification to avoid ambiguity.

Opportunities for Improvement (OFI) were issued to the Project Proponents when an opportunity for improvement was identified.

During the verification, twenty-five (25) VCS and thirty-eight (38) CCB findings were identified. Details of the VCS finding, including the issue raised, responses, and final conclusions are provided in Appendix B: VCS NCR/CL/OFI. Please also see Appendix C: CCB NCR/CL/OFI for a summary of all findings raised during the CCB review. All NCRs/CLs were satisfactorily addressed and closed.

2.6.1 Forward Action Requests

The verification team issued one Forward Action Request (FAR) for the next verification covering the monitoring period following the period 01 January 2020 – 31 December 2021 for CCB Indicator G5.3. For the next verification covering the monitoring period following the period 01 January 2020 – 31 December 2021, the VVB is requested to check the status of the measures identified as undertaken by the project in November 2022 regarding land dispute concerns voiced by the villages of Pu Keh and Sre I.

2.7 Eligibility for Validation Activities

Validation activities are not applicable.

3 VALIDATION FINDINGS

3.1 Participation under Other GHG Programs

The Verification Team performed a search of other registries and confirmed the project has not been registered and is not seeking registration under any other GHG programs, nor are they involved in other forms of environmental credits from its activities. The project currently only seeks carbon credits with the CCB label under the VCS program. This was confirmed through a risk-based internet review and interview with project. Therefore, the Verification Team deems the project eligible to participate under the VCS Program.

3.2 Methodology Deviations

One new methodology deviation was applied during this verification period i.e., Extension of project baseline. A two-year extension to the project baseline was approved by Verra and was not assessed by the VVB against the requirements for methodology deviations as this was approved by Verra. Please see section 3.2.1.5 of the Monitoring Report for details.

3.3 Project Description Deviations (Rules 3.5.7 – 3.5.10)

No new project description deviations were applied during this verification period for VCS or CCB.

3.4 Minor Changes to Project Description (Rules 3.5.6)

The project has applied one Minor Change to Project Description related to the Biodiversity Monitoring Plan. This Minor Change has been appropriately described and justified in Section 2.2.3 of the MR. As described in Section 2.2.3 of the MR, the ongoing biodiversity monitoring conducted by the project has identified additional species that were not known to be in the project area at validation. Furthermore, the IUCN Red List classification is constantly being updated so species' ratings can change overtime. As the project continues to implement the validated Biodiversity Monitoring Plan and specifically monitor Trigger species in line with this plan, these minor changes to the PD do not represent significant changes to project activities nor substantial changes in the impacts of the project, these changes have been appropriately implemented as Minor Changes to the Project Description.

3.5 Grouped Project (G1.13 – G1.15, G4.1)

Not applicable – not a grouped project.

4 VERIFICATION FINDINGS

4.1 Public Comments (Rules 4.6)

The public comment period was held from 16 August 2022 to 15 September 2022, as stated on the Verra Registry. There were no comments received on the Verra website.

4.2 Summary of Project Benefits

Through the implementation of a large-scale VCS-CCB avoided unplanned deforestation REDD+ project the project seeks to mitigate deforestation threats which harm biodiversity and local forest-dependent livelihoods. Through a review of project documentation, supporting evidence/documentation, additional clarification from the project, and evidence collected during the site visit Aster Global is reasonably assured that the project has appropriately identified and justified all achievements that are reported in Section 1 of the MR, and these benefits/achievements are appropriately substantiated these with information provided throughout the MR.

Section 1.1 of the MR identifies several additional expected benefits of the Project not captured by the standardized benefit metrics in Section 1.2 of the MR.

Please see Section 1.4 of this report for a summary description of the Reduced Emissions from Deforestation and Degradation in Keo Seima Wildlife Sanctuary.

Project benefits are further described in Section 1 of the monitoring report.

4.3 General

4.3.1 Implementation Status (G1.9)

Through a review of project documentation, supporting evidence/documentation, additional clarification from the project, and evidence collected during the site visit the verification team found no material discrepancies between project implementation and the validated PD. The verification team confirmed the project start date and lifetime, GHG accounting period, and biodiversity and community benefits assessment period provided in the Monitoring Report are consistent with the information provided in the validated PD. A summary and detailed description of the implementation schedule for several activities was presented in the MR. The verification team noted that the implementation schedule is generally consistent with objectives and activities presented in the PD.

A comparison of the implementation schedules in the MR and PD shows the project has met key milestones identified in the PD for the monitoring period. The Verification Team reviewed the PD and MR and determined there are no discrepancies between the project implementation and project description. The Verification Team determined the monitoring plan was implemented completely and appropriately. The project activities and Monitoring Plan, as described in the validated PD, have been initiated. There are no remaining issues from the validation. As this is the fourth verification, activities have been implemented, and verifiers observed progress during the verification site visit compared to the activities reported as part of the initial verification. The Verification Team determined the monitoring plan was implemented completely and appropriately.

This verification report covers the period from January 1st, 2020 through December 31st, 2021 for VCS and CCB. The verification team requested to visit examples of project activities during the various site inspections and subsequently confirmed the implementation of items related to climate, community, and biodiversity.

For this period the verification team confirmed the project has continued to build upon activities conducted during the last monitoring period and introduce new activities as required. The verification team witnessed on site on-going conservation and forest protection efforts focused on deforestation (forest crime) prevention, capacity building and land tenure establishment participation.

No material discrepancies were found between the assessed project implementation and the validated project description. This was confirmed through on-site interviews with project personnel and community members in addition to site visit observations. The implementation status of the monitoring plan and the completeness of monitoring, including the suitability of the implemented monitoring system was confirmed through review of VM0015 adopted procedures and comparison of monitoring results against the validated project design.

There was one methodology deviation applied related to land-use and land-cover change monitoring in the previous verification period which has been previously validated and is still being applied by the project. This Project takes advantage of better remote sensing products (10 m ESA Sentinel-2 imagery) and adds an additional QAQC step to the monitoring of deforestation that occurs via remote sensing. A detailed description of the methodology deviation can be found in Section 2.2.2 of the Monitoring Report and the previous verification report.

The project is still applying 1 previous PD Deviation that was approved through a Gap Validation on 4 April 2017. A change in project proponent occurred during the first monitoring period and was approved by the verifier at the time. The Ministry of Environment is now the project proponent. Please see the separate Gap Validation report available on the VCS website, for details.

The GHG emission reductions generated by the project have not been included in an emissions trading program other than the VCS program and it has not received or sought any other form of environmental credit as confirmed through a risk-based review by the verification team (see Section 3.1).

Section 2.1.10 of the MR describes 7 SDGs to which the project contributes. Through site visit observations, interviews with community members, project staff, and technical consultants, and a review of supporting documentation the Verification Team is reasonably assured that the project has appropriately identified and justified the SDGs that the project is likely to contribute to.

4.3.2 Risks to the Community and Biodiversity Benefits (G1.10)

The verification team reviewed the monitoring report for information presented on mitigation measures taken for natural and human-induced risks to expected climate, community, and biodiversity benefits during the project lifetime, particularly for benefits identified by the Project Proponent in the Project Summary section of the title box for PD v7.1. The MR Section 2.2.6 identifies 8 human induced risks and 4 natural risks. The verification team reviewed supporting documentation and obtained additional information on risks and mitigation measures during site observations and through interviews with selected stakeholders during the site visit. Measures to control these drivers include promotion of alternative livelihoods, increase funding to strengthen governance, empower community approaches and increase formal land tenure, increase value of standing forests through environmental payments. After requesting additional clarification and documentation, the verification team determined that the natural and human-induced risks to the expected project benefits were identified, and measures needed to mitigate risks were taken during the monitoring period.

4.3.3 Community and Biodiversity Benefit Permanence (G1.11)

The verification team reviewed the monitoring report for information presented on actions needed or implemented to maintain the climate, community, and biodiversity benefits beyond the project lifetime. The verification team compared these actions to measures identified in PD v7.1 Section 2.3.1 as needed or

implemented to ensure benefit permanence, and to the benefits identified by the Project Proponent in the Project Summary section of the title box for PD v7.1.

Several measures to extend project benefits beyond the project lifetime are discussed:

- Establishment and implementation of a strong legal basis, including the permanent declaration of the Protection Forest in the 2009 Sub-Decree 143 and the 2016 Sub-Decree 83 transferring this to a Wildlife Sanctuary, and the program to support permanent titling of eligible land to all relevant villages.
- Investments in physical demarcation of boundaries and construction of key infrastructure for park management.
- The inclusion of an operating reserve in the financial model, to ensure a proportion of early revenue is set aside to finance long-term recurrent management costs.
- The use of adaptive management approaches to ensure work planning responds to changing conditions.
- The establishment and implementation of mechanisms for long-term community involvement in management planning and implementation.
- The focus of alternative livelihood initiatives on establishing long-term alternatives to deforestation, unsustainable hunting etc.
- The inclusion of environmental awareness activities in the community engagement program to drive greater awareness and support for conservation in current and future generations.
- Measures to ensure an increasing proportion of staff are drawn from local communities, and to promote development of staff capacity.

The verification team reviewed supporting documentation and obtained additional information on these measures during the site visit and through interviews with selected stakeholders. After requesting additional clarification and documentation, the verification team determined that measures identified by the Project Proponent to maintain and enhance the climate, community, and biodiversity benefits beyond the project lifetime were undertaken during the monitoring period.

4.3.4 Stakeholder Access to Information (G3.1- G3.3)

The verification team reviewed the monitoring report for information presented on how full project documentation has been made accessible to communities along with relevant and adequate information about potential costs, risks, and benefits to communities and an explanation of the verification process. Supporting documentation was provided by the Project Proponent which substantiated meetings held with communities to discuss project information. The verification team confirmed that project documentation is available via the Verra website and the WCS Cambodia Keo Seima Wildlife Sanctuary website. Site visit interviews and observations demonstrated that community members are generally well-informed about the project, including the costs, risks and benefits of participating in the project along with the verification

process and verification team's site visit. Based on the information presented in the MR along with the review of supporting evidence and observations made during the site visit, the verification team concludes that the project provided appropriate access to information to communities and other stakeholders.

4.3.5 Stakeholder Consultation (G3.4 – G3.5)

The verification team reviewed the monitoring report for information presented by the Project Proponent on how communities and other stakeholders may have influenced project design and implementation during the monitoring period. Meetings held with communities, including community groups represented, and meetings held with other stakeholders have been well-documented with supporting documentation by the Project Proponent for the monitoring period. Interviews with representative community members in the villages visited during the site visit substantiate the communities' general agreement that communities, and community groups within those communities, were actively engaged and helped influence project implementation during the monitoring period. The Project Proponent provided documentation for adaptive management that has influenced project implementation.

The verification team noted one opportunity for improvement (OFI) that was raised during site visit interviews with representative village members in Sre I. A concern was voiced that information provided to "committee members" was not believed to be consistently provided to the rest of the community members, resulting in community members relying on WCS staff to provide information directly to the community members. The information reviewed and interviews conducted with community members provided reasonable assurance that consultations and other participatory processes were held, and adequate levels of information sharing was undertaken with communities or their representatives, and with other stakeholders, during the monitoring period. In summary, the verification team is reasonably assured the project has carried out effective community consultation.

4.3.6 Stakeholder Participation in Decision-making and Implementation (G3.6)

The verification team reviewed the monitoring report for information presented by the Project Proponent on how effective participation was enabled for communities wanting and needing to be involved in project implementation, monitoring, and evaluation. The verification team reviewed supporting documentation provided by the Project Proponent for involvement by communities, including identified community groups. Meetings and training sessions held with community members were well-documented with supporting documentation by the Project Proponent for the monitoring period. During the site visit, interviews with representative community members in the villages substantiated the communities' general agreement that communities were actively engaged and participated in design, implementation, monitoring, and evaluation of most project activities during the monitoring period.

Several concerns were raised during site visit interviews on communication related to patrols, however the project proponent provided additional clarification demonstrating that they are aware of the concerns, and additional measures are being evaluated to facilitate more effective participation by community patrols. Based on the information reviewed and interviews conducted, the verification team concludes that the project enabled community participation in project implementation.

4.3.7 Anti-discrimination (G3.7)

The Project Proponent provided documentation for internal policies in place regarding discrimination and sexual harassment and provided documentation for national laws governing these issues. The verification

team noted from site visit interviews with representative community members from the villages visited, including women and indigenous peoples, that there were no reports of any known incidences of discrimination or sexual harassment with respect to the project or project personnel. Based on the evidence reviewed, the verification team is reasonably assured that the project proponent nor any other entity involved in project implementation is complicit in any form of discrimination or sexual harassment with respect to the project.

4.3.8 Stakeholder Feedback and Grievance Redress Procedure (G3.8)

The verification team reviewed the feedback and grievance redress procedure outlined in the monitoring report against the process outlined in the validated Project Description and did not find any discrepancies. The verification team noted community grievance boxes were present in each village visited. Site visit interviews demonstrated that community members were generally aware of the project's grievance redress process. The verification team noted that community members from Sre I did not demonstrate as clear an understanding of the grievance redress process as community members in other villages visited. An Opportunity for Improvement (OFI) was noted that the village of Sre I may benefit from additional information to better their understanding for the project's grievance redress process.

Of the items reported during the monitoring period, 25 of 26 items were identified as comments or concerns regarding illegal activities in or near the villages or other non-project related complaints. The verification body determined the project proponent addressed these non-project related items through referral to Department of Environment, local police, or other competent authorities outside the project for action. The VVB noted these referrals were in line with the validated grievance process that identified the acceptable use of the grievance boxes and hotline for the community to report general complaints or concerns not related to project actions, with referral to local authorities as appropriate for the submitted items determined to not be related to project implementation. The verification team determined the remaining submitted item, a concern about committee structure member composition, specifically that the same people should not be REDD+ committee members as well as ICT committee members, constituted a grievance with project implementation. The verification body determined the documentation provided by the project proponent demonstrated that the grievance redress process was followed for this item, and the underlying concern was resolved following new elections of ICT members following the end of the monitoring period, as substantiated by interviews with community members during the verification site visit. Based on the supporting evidence reviewed and observations made during the site visit, the verification team is reasonably assured that the grievance redress procedure has been implemented according to the validated PD and the grievance related to project implementation and the project proponent's response have been made publicly available to the community through a letter response, and more broadly through reporting in the monitoring report.

4.3.9 Worker Relations (G3.9 – G3.12)

The verification team reviewed the monitoring report as well as supporting documentation provided by the Project proponent for information regarding measures taken during the monitoring period to build capacity, ensure communities have equal access to employment, ensure compliance with relevant laws and regulations, inform workers of risks and how to minimize risk, and how workplace risk was minimized.

The Project Proponent provided extensive documentation for training undertaken for the project's workers and relevant people from the communities. Site visit interviews with representative community members

substantiate that this training occurred and included a range of community groups including women and indigenous peoples. The verification team substantiated that regular, on-going training was provided during the monitoring period to help ensure that capacity is not lost.

The verification team noted that only 68 out of 596 full-time equivalent positions provided by the project were held by women, and the Project Proponent added information to the MR to clarify how the project ensures women are given an equal opportunity to fill work positions. The verification team determined the clarification provided, along with supporting documentation reviewed and results of site visit interviews, substantiates women are provided a fair chance to fill positions for which they can be trained, and further that special efforts have been made to increase the percentage of female representation within the project workforce.

The MR lists the relevant national labor law governing worker rights, which was noted as consistent with information provided in the PD. The verification team noted the MR, as substantiated by interviews with representative project staff, adheres to WCS's internal policies and regulations for employee rights, and employees are made aware of these rights. The MR provides assurance that these policies meet or exceed the requirements of the laws and regulations covering workers' rights and conditions.

Information on risks to workers is presented in the MR. The verification team confirmed work-related safety risks related to patrols and encounters with individuals engaged in illegal activities are addressed in the SOPs on law enforcement patrols. Review of support documents and interviews with park rangers substantiates training was provided and that measures were taken to inform rangers of risks and how to minimize risks. Based on the supporting evidence provided and observations made during the site visit, the verification team is reasonably assured the relationship between workers and the project upholds the intent and design presented in the validated PD.

4.3.10 Management Capacity (G4.2 – G4.3)

The verification team reviewed the MR for information presented by the Project Proponent on key technical and management skills required to successfully implement the project. Expertise of participating organizations, including the Royal Government of Cambodia Ministry of Environment, WCS Cambodia, and partnering NGOs is documented in the MR along with supporting information. Interviews conducted remotely and on the site visit provided additional insight on the capacity of NGO partners and the Project Proponent. The verification team concluded the project proponent has continued to ensure that the project utilizes appropriate project management staff for project implementation and has maintained continuity with partnering supporting organizations.

The financial health and stability of the Project Proponent and MoE was confirmed based on annual allocations from the national budget and review of annual audits, demonstrating the ability to support project implementation.

The verification team determined with reasonable assurance based on review of supporting documentation that was provided, information reviewed during an internet search, and results of site interviews, that the Project Proponent or other entities involved in the project are not involved in or complicit in any form of corruption in association with project activities.

4.3.11 Commercially Sensitive Information (Rules 3.5.13 – 3.5.14)

Commercially sensitive information is described in Section 2.4.6 of the MR. Section 2.4.6 of the MR identifies documents related to the project's carbon revenue details and associated financial modeling as commercially sensitive information that will not be publicly shared. The verification team assessed whether these documents meet the definition of commercially sensitive information as defined in the CCB Program Definitions and agree with the project that these documents meet the definition of commercially sensitive information.

4.3.12 Rights Protection and Free, Prior and Informed Consent (G5.1-G5.5)

The verification team reviewed the monitoring report for information presented on actions taken and measures implemented by the project proponent to protect the rights of Indigenous Peoples, communities, and other stakeholders. Statutory and customary tenure/use/access/management rights to lands and resource in the project zone were previously assessed and confirmed as part of validation of the PD. The verification team confirmed there were no minor changes to the PD or PD deviations related to property rights. Review of provided geospatial files substantiate that the project boundaries have not changed. Site visit interviews confirmed that communities in general believe property rights have been recognized, respected, and supported.

Government ownership of the lands comprising the project area was confirmed as part of validation of the PD. The validated PD also documented Free, Prior, Informed Consent (FPIC) was appropriately achieved with each of the 20 villages with customary rights to use the State Public Lands comprising the project area. Interviews with project management staff and representative community members substantiate that establishment of the project did not result in involuntary relocation of legitimate landowners from these lands.

There were land disputes reporting for Pu Keh and Sre I villages during the monitoring period. The verification team requested additional information on these complaints, and the project proponent provided supporting documents which identified measures taken by the project proponent to resolve these disputes. As these actions were taken outside the monitoring period, the verification team is issuing a Forward Action Request (FAR) under Indicator G5.3. For more information on the issued FAR please see Section 2.6.1 of this report.

The MR identifies the illegal activities that could affect the project's impacts. Based on the supporting documentation provided to the verification team and results of site visit interviews, the verification team was able to substantiate that safeguards were in place and used during the monitoring period to ensure that project funds are not used to promote illegal activities. Furthermore, through a review of the validated PD and project activities implemented during the monitoring period the verification team agrees with the project's assertion that the project activities have been specifically implemented to prevent illegal activities. These project activities are described in Section 2.5.4 of the MR and include: enhance direct law enforcement through government and community patrol teams, establish and improve legal and planning frameworks, and establish and implement legal land tenure and land management systems for community areas. Based on the supporting documentation provided to the verification team and results of site visit interviews, the verification team was able to substantiate that project activities have been implemented during this monitoring period to reduce illegal activities that could affect the project's impacts.

As previously mentioned, there were several reports of disputed land made during the monitoring period. The Project Proponent provided the verification team with additional supporting evidence and documentation demonstrating the activities and/or processes implemented by the project to resolve conflicts and disputes. Documentation provided for the identified disputes related to Indicator G5.3 substantiate the process described by the Project to resolve these disputes was followed and is in compliance with the requirements of the CCB Standard.

Based on the observations made and interviews during the site visit along with project documentation, supporting evidence provided by the project proponent, and additional clarification provided by the project, the verification team concludes that the project has protected the rights of Indigenous peoples, communities, and other stakeholders in accordance with the CCB standards and validated PD.

4.3.13 Legal Status (G5.6)

The monitoring report identifies national and local laws and regulations in Cambodia that went into effect or changed during the monitoring period, specifically regarding COVID-19 health measures, mining concessions, and granting of state property. The verification team noted the COVID-19 measures were identified as relevant to project activities and site interviews substantiated compliance with these regulations. The verification team noted the MR provides the assurance statement that the KSWs REDD+ project acted in compliance with the COVID-19 laws and regulations.

Section 2.5.6 of the MR provides assurance that the project is in compliance with all national and local laws and regulations. Based on the observations made and interviews during the site visit along with project documentation, supporting evidence provided by the project proponent, and additional clarification provided by the project, the verification team found no evidence that the project is in violation of any national/local laws or regulations.

Interviews with staff of NGOs involved in the project receive copies of their employment contracts, which outline rights and that staff are aware of their rights. Based on the observations made and interviews during the site visit along with project documentation, supporting evidence provided by the project proponent, and additional clarification provided by the project, the verification team found no evidence that workers' rights are being violated.

4.4 Climate

4.4.1 Accuracy of GHG Emission Reduction and Removal Calculations

Aster Global conducted an intensive review of all input data, parameters, formulae, calculations, conversions, statistics and resulting uncertainties and output data to ensure consistency with the VCS Standard, the validated PD, and VM0015. Data with associated conversion factors, formulas, and calculations were provided by the project proponent in spreadsheet format to ensure all formulae were accessible for review. The verification team recalculated subsets of the analyses to confirm correctness and assess if data transposition errors occurred to achieve a reasonable level of assurance and to meet the materiality requirements of the project, as required by the VCS Standard. The project proponent also provided answers to questions on calculations to ensure the verification team understood the approach and could confirm its consistency with VM0015 and the PD.

An overview of the data and parameters monitored, along with verification team findings, are included in the table below. This is not an exhaustive list of all MRV parameters that are available for verification, but all parameters applied in the project's quantification were independently assessed the implementation of data checks as part of the comprehensive desktop review:

Data Unit / Parameter	Accuracy of GHG emission reductions and removals	Whether methods and formulae set out in the PD have been followed	Appropriateness of default values
<i>Area of Deforestation in the Project Area during the Monitoring Period in the Dense Forest Strata</i>	The Verification Team confirmed that this parameter was appropriately calculated in-line with the VM0015 methodology and procedures described in the PD. The calculated value of this parameter for the monitoring period is approximately 2,491 hectares.	This parameter was calculated in-line with the validated PD and VM0015 methodology.	Not applicable.
<i>Area of Deforestation in the Project Area during the Monitoring Period in the Open Forest Strata</i>	The Verification Team confirmed that this parameter was appropriately calculated in-line with the VM0015 methodology and procedures described in the PD. The calculated value of this parameter for the monitoring period is approximately 1,835 hectares.	This parameter was calculated in-line with the validated PD and VM0015 methodology.	Not applicable.
$\Delta C_{totEXPPAt}$	The Verification Team confirmed that this parameter was appropriately calculated in-line with the VM0015 methodology and procedures described in the PD. The calculated value of this parameter for the monitoring period is approximately (2,801,085) tCO _{2e} .	This parameter was calculated in-line with the validated PD and VM0015 methodology.	Not applicable.
<i>Area of Deforestation in the Leakage Belt during the Monitoring Period in the Dense Forest Strata</i>	The Verification Team confirmed that this parameter was appropriately calculated in-line with the VM0015 methodology and procedures described in the PD. The calculated value of this parameter for the monitoring period is approximately 1,337 hectares.	This parameter was calculated in-line with the validated PD and VM0015 methodology.	Not applicable.
<i>Area of Deforestation in the Leakage Belt during the Monitoring Period in the Open Forest Strata</i>	The Verification Team confirmed that this parameter was appropriately calculated in-line with the VM0015 methodology and procedures described in the PD. The calculated value of this parameter for the monitoring period is approximately 1,835 hectares.	This parameter was calculated in-line with the validated PD and VM0015 methodology.	Not applicable.

$\Delta C_{totEXPLKt}$	The Verification Team confirmed that this parameter was appropriately calculated in-line with the VM0015 methodology and procedures described in the PD. The calculated value of this parameter for the monitoring period is approximately (2,694,275) tCO ₂ e.	This parameter was calculated in-line with the validated PD and VM0015 methodology.	Not applicable.
$EBBBSLPAt$	The Verification Team confirmed that this parameter was appropriately calculated in-line with the VM0015 methodology and procedures described in the PD. The calculated value of this parameter for the monitoring period is approximately 43,008 tCO ₂ e.	This parameter was calculated in-line with the validated PD and VM0015 methodology.	Not applicable.
A_p	N/A (confirmed at validation; not monitored until baseline renewal, otherwise following Section 1.1.3 of Part 3 of methodology)	N/A (confirmed at validation; not monitored until baseline renewal, otherwise following Section 1.1.3 of Part 3 of methodology)	N/A (confirmed at validation; not monitored until baseline renewal, otherwise following Section 1.1.3 of Part 3 of methodology)
$ABSLK$	N/A (confirmed at validation; not monitored until baseline renewal, otherwise following Section 1.1.3 of Part 3 of methodology). The Verification Team confirmed that the validated parameter value was applied during this monitoring period.	N/A (confirmed at validation; not monitored until baseline renewal, otherwise following Section 1.1.3 of Part 3 of methodology).	N/A (confirmed at validation; not monitored until baseline renewal, otherwise following Section 1.1.3 of Part 3 of methodology).
$ABSLPA_{i,t}$	N/A (confirmed at validation; not monitored until baseline renewal, otherwise following Section 1.1.3 of Part 3 of methodology). The Verification Team confirmed that the validated parameter value was applied during this monitoring period.	N/A (confirmed at validation; not monitored until baseline renewal, otherwise following Section 1.1.3 of Part 3 of methodology).	N/A (confirmed at validation; not monitored until baseline renewal, otherwise following Section 1.1.3 of Part 3 of methodology).
$ABSLRR_{i,t}$	N/A (confirmed at validation; not monitored until baseline renewal, otherwise following Section 1.1.3 of Part 3 of methodology). The Verification Team confirmed that the validated parameter value was applied during this monitoring period.	N/A (confirmed at validation; not monitored until baseline renewal, otherwise following Section 1.1.3 of Part 3 of methodology).	N/A (confirmed at validation; not monitored until baseline renewal, otherwise following Section 1.1.3 of Part 3 of methodology).
$ABSLPA_{act,t}$	N/A (confirmed at validation; not monitored until baseline renewal, otherwise following Section 1.1.3 of Part 3 of methodology). The Verification Team confirmed that the validated parameter value	N/A (confirmed at validation; not monitored until baseline renewal, otherwise following Section 1.1.3 of Part 3 of methodology).	N/A (confirmed at validation; not monitored until baseline renewal, otherwise following Section 1.1.3 of Part 3 of methodology).

	was applied during this monitoring period.		
<i>ABSLRRct,t</i>	N/A (confirmed at validation; not monitored until baseline renewal, otherwise following Section 1.1.3 of Part 3 of methodology). The Verification Team confirmed that the validated parameter value was applied during this monitoring period.	N/A (confirmed at validation; not monitored until baseline renewal, otherwise following Section 1.1.3 of Part 3 of methodology).	N/A (confirmed at validation; not monitored until baseline renewal, otherwise following Section 1.1.3 of Part 3 of methodology).
<i>CFdc</i>	N/A (confirmed at validation; not monitored until baseline renewal, otherwise following Section 1.1.3 of Part 3 of methodology). The Verification Team confirmed that the validated parameter value was applied during this monitoring period.	N/A (confirmed at validation; not monitored until baseline renewal, otherwise following Section 1.1.3 of Part 3 of methodology).	N/A (confirmed at validation; not monitored until baseline renewal, otherwise following Section 1.1.3 of Part 3 of methodology).
<i>CFj</i>	N/A (confirmed at validation; not monitored until baseline renewal, otherwise following Section 1.1.3 of Part 3 of methodology). The Verification Team confirmed that the validated parameter value was applied during this monitoring period.	N/A (confirmed at validation; not monitored until baseline renewal, otherwise following Section 1.1.3 of Part 3 of methodology).	N/A (confirmed at validation; not monitored until baseline renewal, otherwise following Section 1.1.3 of Part 3 of methodology).
<i>cl</i>	N/A (confirmed at validation; not monitored until baseline renewal, otherwise following Section 1.1.3 of Part 3 of methodology). The Verification Team confirmed that the validated parameter value was applied during this monitoring period.	N/A (confirmed at validation; not monitored until baseline renewal, otherwise following Section 1.1.3 of Part 3 of methodology).	N/A (confirmed at validation; not monitored until baseline renewal, otherwise following Section 1.1.3 of Part 3 of methodology).
<i>d1, d2, ..., dn</i>	N/A (confirmed at validation; not monitored until baseline renewal, otherwise following Section 1.1.3 of Part 3 of methodology). The Verification Team confirmed that the validated parameter value was applied during this monitoring period.	N/A (confirmed at validation; not monitored until baseline renewal, otherwise following Section 1.1.3 of Part 3 of methodology).	N/A (confirmed at validation; not monitored until baseline renewal, otherwise following Section 1.1.3 of Part 3 of methodology).
<i>DBH</i>	N/A (confirmed at validation; not monitored until baseline renewal, otherwise following Section 1.1.3 of Part 3 of methodology)	N/A (confirmed at validation; not monitored until baseline renewal, otherwise following Section 1.1.3 of Part 3 of methodology)	N/A (confirmed at validation; not monitored until baseline renewal, otherwise following Section 1.1.3 of Part 3 of methodology)
<i>ACPAicl,t</i>	N/A. Parameter not applied this period as no catastrophic event occurred. Confirmed via independent imagery review.	N/A. Parameter not applied this period as no catastrophic event occurred. Confirmed via independent imagery review.	N/A. Parameter not applied this period as no catastrophic event occurred. Confirmed

			via independent imagery review.
<i>APDPAicl,t</i>	N/A. Value applied appropriately zero as no planned deforestation occurred during the period.	N/A. Value applied appropriately zero as no planned deforestation occurred during the period.	N/A. Value applied appropriately zero as no planned deforestation occurred during the period.
<i>APFPAicl,t</i>	N/A. Value applied appropriately zero as no planned fuelwood or charcoal activities occurred during the period.	N/A. Value applied appropriately zero as no planned fuelwood or charcoal activities occurred during the period.	N/A. Value applied appropriately zero as no planned fuelwood or charcoal activities occurred during the period.
<i>APLPAicl,t</i>	N/A. Value applied appropriately zero as no planned logging activities occurred during the period.	N/A. Value applied appropriately zero as no planned logging activities occurred during the period.	N/A. Value applied appropriately zero as no planned logging activities occurred during the period.
<i>APNiPAicl,t</i>	N/A (parameter not applied this period)	N/A (parameter not applied this period)	N/A (parameter not applied this period)
<i>APSLKfcl,t</i>	N/A (parameter not applied this period)	N/A (parameter not applied this period)	N/A (parameter not applied this period)
<i>AUFPAicl,t</i>	N/A. No areas subject to unplanned and significant carbon stock decrease, e.g. due to uncontrolled forest fires and other catastrophic events.	N/A. No areas subject to unplanned and significant carbon stock decrease, e.g. due to uncontrolled forest fires and other catastrophic events.	N/A. No areas subject to unplanned and significant carbon stock decrease, e.g. due to uncontrolled forest fires and other catastrophic events.

Calculations for all project activities were reviewed at length as prescribed by the methodology and confirmed to result in correct estimates. The methods and formulae set out in the PD for calculating baseline emissions, project emissions, and leakage were confirmed to have been followed. The total end of the monitoring period carbon stocks in all project activities for all relevant pools resulting from carbon stock changes were correctly quantified. Where ranges of parameters exist, or other types of formulaic uncertainty, appropriately conservative values were used in data analysis.

During this monitoring period the Project was granted permission by Verra to extend the previously validated baseline through the end of this monitoring period rather than undergoing a full baseline reassessment. The VVB confirmed this request was granted by Verra through the review of an approval letter posted on the Project's VCS Registry Page. In line with the validated PD baseline deforestation is modeled using a linear regression under the Time Function Approach (Approach b) as described in Section 4.1.2.1 of the methodology. As described in the PD, the deforestation rate model increases as a function of time. The selected methodology prevents the deforestation rate from increasing in perpetuity through the application of Equations 6 and 7. Optimal is reached in year 9 of the baseline and Taverage is reached in year 11 of the baseline. In Year 9 of the baseline deforestation in the reference region is capped and does not continue the increasing trend predicted. In Year 12, after Taverage is reached the baseline deforestation starts to decline over the next 20 years until the baseline deforestation reaches 0. The VVB reviewed the

application of the methodology equations and conducted an independent data check to ensure the baseline deforestation has been correctly calculated. As described in Section 4.2 of the methodology, once total deforestation in hectares has been calculated for the Reference Region, the Project projects the location of deforestation throughout the Reference Region using the explanatory variables that were validated in the original PD. The project provided the VVB inputs, outputs, and reference code that was used to project the location of deforestation in the Reference Region. The VVB reviewed the documentation provided by the Project, conducted a code review to ensure the code that was used to set the original baseline had not been modified, and confirmed that the quantification of the output files was conducted correctly. During the verification, the verification team met with the project proponents and modeler (Dr. Toby Marthews) during a series of calculation walkthrough meetings to walkthrough the map production process and review model outputs. During these meetings, a specific point of interest for the verification team was the increase of deforestation in the Project Area and Leakage Belt compared to the total deforestation in the Reference Region. As described in the PD and clearly seen in Figures 5.3-5.5 of the PD, the frontier deforestation configuration starts outside the project area and leakage belt at the beginning of the baseline period and slowing spreads through the Leakage Belt and Project area throughout the baseline period. This same pattern of deforestation was seen in the Snoul Wildlife Sanctuary which is part of the Reference Region for this project. As described in Section 3.2.1.5 of the MR, the increase in the project deforestation in the project area and leakage belt is a function of the pattern of deforestation where the frontier of deforestation progressively moves further and further into the project area and leakage belt.

In conclusion, the quantification methods for GHG emission reductions and removals have been performed correctly and in accordance with the validated PD and VM0015 v1.1.

4.4.2 Quality of Evidence to Determine GHG Emission Reductions and Removals

During this verification assessment, the evidence provided by the project proponent was sufficient in both quantity and quality to support the determination of GHG emission removals reported by the project. Throughout the verification, the project proponent demonstrated a commitment toward conservativeness and took all measures appropriate to ensure the reliability of evidence provided.

The threshold for materiality with respect to the aggregate of errors, omissions and misrepresentations relative to the total reported GHG emission reductions and/or removals was met for this project as defined in the Verification Sampling Plan. Materiality is a concept that errors, omissions and misrepresentations could affect the GHG reduction assertion and influence the intended users (ISO 14064-3:2006). As defined by VCS Version 4.4, the materiality will be 1% for this large project.

The evidence provided to determine emission reductions reported in the Monitoring Report included values, notations, units and sources. This evidence has been cross-checked with supplied emission reduction calculation spreadsheets. The procedure for data recording, transfer and final transposition was also verified and found to be in compliance with the monitoring plan outlined in the PD. The verification team confirmed through cross checks that adequate monitoring mechanisms are in place where the required parameters need to be monitored.

The verification team was provided access to the project's series of monitoring worksheets where monitoring data is compiled for quantification and reporting. These tools ensure accurate information flow for monitoring efforts. A list of all the documents received from the Project Proponent supporting the determination of GHG removals can be viewed in Appendix A.

Overall, the evidence provided by the Project was sufficient in both quantity and quality to support the determination of GHG emission removals reported by the project.

4.4.3 Non-Permanence Risk Analysis

The Reduced Emissions from Deforestation and Degradation in Keo Seima Wildlife Sanctuary Project utilized the VCS AFOLU Non-Permanence Risk Tool, to assess internal, external, and natural risk and mitigation measures for minimizing risk. The verification team reviewed the Non-Permanence Risk Report following VCS AFOLU Requirements Section 3.7.3 and confirmed that the project adheres to the requirements. The verification team evaluated the rationale, appropriateness, and justifications of risk ratings chosen by the PP. Each risk factor was thoroughly assessed for conformance. Any identified NCR and/or CL findings related to the AFOLU Non-Permanence Risk Tool/Report are presented in Appendix B.

Risk Factor	Rationale & Quality	Conclusion
Internal Risks		
Project Management	The management team includes individuals with skills necessary to undertake all project activities. Management team is based partly on site and partly in Phnom Penh, less than 5 hours travel from the site. A value of zero is appropriate. Other project management components were confirmed to have been applied during the site visit. The verification team confirmed the management team includes individuals with significant experience in AFOLU project design and implementation, carbon accounting and reporting under the VCS Program. The verification team confirmed that an adaptive management plan is in place.	A risk rating of -2 is appropriate given the rationale provided and all statements made are substantiated.
Financial Viability	Financial documents provided demonstrate cash flow breakeven has been reached and thus the project has the necessary funds available to cover the project until it reaches breakeven. As the project has already reached breakeven, the mitigation was not applied.	A risk rating of 0 is appropriate given the rationale provided and supporting documentation reviewed by the verification team.
Opportunity Cost	The project has conservatively selected the highest risk rating for Opportunity Cost (8).	A risk rating of -2 is appropriate given the rationale provided.

	Both mitigation scores are claimed due to the presence of the legally binding commitment (Sub-Decree).	
Project Longevity	A legal contractual agreement in the form of the Sub-Decree to address enforceability of carbon stock protection for the project exist as the project holds this legal commitment which covers the entire project lifetime. As such, the value applied was appropriate.	A risk rating of 0 is appropriate given the rationale provided.
Total Internal Risks		The project has a total Internal Risk score of 0 .
External Risks		
Land Tenure	For this Cambodian project the ownership and resource access/use can be held by different entities. The project area is government owned but local communities have use rights. There exist some land disputes over access/use rights; however, the verification team confirmed through a quantitative analysis provide by the project that these disputes constitute a significantly smaller portion of the project area than 5%. The Sub-Decree allows for the mitigation score (f) to be awarded. Mitigation score (g) was appropriately selected by the project as the project provided documented evidence that the project is actively working to resolve these disputes.	A risk rating of 3 is appropriate given the rationale provided and supporting documentation reviewed by the verification team.
Community Engagement	The project appropriately selected risk scores for (a) and (b).Through evidence collected during the site visit, review of project documentation and supporting evidence/documentation, and additional clarification from the project, the verification team is reasonably assured the selected risk scores are appropriate. The project appropriately selected the mitigation score as the project has been	A risk rating of -5 is appropriate given the rationale provided.

	and currently is being verified under the CCB Program.	
Political Risk	Verification Team confirmed the political risk to be rated correctly based on the quantitative analysis provided by the project. Cambodia participates in and is working on REDD+ Readiness activities as confirmed through an internet search.	A risk rating of 2 is appropriate given the rationale provided.
Total External Risks		The project has a total External Risk score of 0 .
Natural Risks		
Natural Risk	The project applies an overall risk score of 5 for natural risks and this risk score remains unchanged from previous verification periods. The risk rating given for fire was justified by historic imagery analysis of fires which supports the notion that fire likelihood is insignificant. Natural high-intensity fire incidence is relatively low as the forest is adapted to low intensity burns. The verification team agrees with this assessment as being appropriate. Verification Team agrees that the forests of the project area have a high species diversity and therefore resistant to catastrophic disturbance caused by insect pests or forests diseases. Project proponents appropriately base risk of extreme weather risk rating from the likelihood of wind disturbance which could influence carbon stocks. Local geology (i.e., volcanos, fault lines) are not active in the project area and the risk rating was appropriately given.	A combined natural risk rating of 5 is appropriate given the rationale provided and all statements made are substantiated.
Total Natural Risks		The project has a total Natural Risk score of 5 .
Overall Risk Rating = 5% Non-Permanence Risk Rating = 10%		

In summary, project proponents have accounted for risk factors in a reasonable manner and have reached an overall risk rating that encompasses all risks of non-permanence. The project has applied the minimum

Non-Permanence Risk Rating of 10%. As required, risk will be reassessed and given risk scores at each verification period.

4.4.4 Dissemination of Monitoring Plan and Results (CL4.2)

The verification team confirmed the monitoring report is available, in English, through a link on the WCS website, and that MR Summary v1.5, in Khmer, available through link on the WCS website, and that both documents are available through the Verra Project Database. The verification team determined the documentation provided by the Project Proponent, as substantiated by interviews with community members and other stakeholders during the site visit, demonstrates full project documentation for the monitoring period has been made publicly available on the internet in line with the communication plan for monitoring results described in the validated PD.

4.4.5 Optional Gold Level: Climate Change Adaptation Measures (GL1.3)

Not applicable.

4.4.6 Optional Gold Level: Climate Change Adaptation Benefits (GL1.4)

Not applicable.

4.5 Community

4.5.1 Community Impacts (CM2.1)

The verification team reviewed the monitoring report for information presented on the impacts on each community group in comparison to the anticipated impacts identified in the validated PD. The PD identified two community groups: Indigenous and Khmer. The verification team reviewed supporting documentation and obtained additional information through interviews with project staff, participating organizations, and representative community members during the site visit and meetings held remotely. The verification team confirmed all impacts identified in the PD are addressed in the MR, and the types of benefits are reasonably described for each impact. The change in well-being described for each impact includes the type and magnitude of the impact, explains and justifies key assumptions, rationale and methodological choices, and explains how the affected groups have participated in the evaluation of impacts. Review of supporting documentation provided for project activities in MR Section 2.2.1 for each impact substantiates the activities undertaken during the monitoring period and the positive benefits as described. Overall, the verification team is reasonably assured the assessment of impacts is accurate.

4.5.2 Negative Community Impact Mitigation (CM2.2)

The monitoring report presents information on the measures implemented to mitigate any negative impacts on the community groups identified in the PD. The two identified community groups are Indigenous and Khmer. Section 4.1.2 of the monitoring report presents a table which lists the project activity, potential negative impacts, community group affected, and assessment and threat mitigation for each of the 5 project objectives. Supporting documentation was provided to substantiate the mitigation activities undertaken during the monitoring period for some of the potential negative impacts, and after requesting further evidence along with observations made and interviews conducted during the site visit the verification team

was able to substantiate all mitigation activities. Overall, the verification team is reasonably assured that the mitigation actions were implemented in accordance with the validated PD.

4.5.3 Net Positive Community Well-being (CM2.3)

The verification team reviewed the monitoring report for information presented on net positive community well-being impacts on all identified community groups. The MR provides positive community benefits during the monitoring period for each of the predicted positive impacts identified in PD Section 6.1.1. Supporting documentation and interviews with community members, project staff, and participating partner organizations substantiated reported positive impacts selected for further review. The verification team noted from review of supporting documentation and interviews with representative community members that the overall belief by community members in villages participating in the project is that the project has resulted in net positive well-being impacts for both identified community groups. Based on the evidence reviewed, the verification team is reasonably assured that the net impact of project activities on community groups is positive.

4.5.4 Protection of High Conservation Values (CM2.4)

Information is provided in the MR to describe specific measures undertaken to protect the community-related HCVs identified in the PD. The verification team noted supporting documentation and interviews with community members provide general support for the activities identified. The verification team determined that the information provided, and supporting documentation, demonstrate that the project has not negatively affected community-related HCVs.

4.5.5 Other Stakeholder Impacts (CM3.2-CM3.3)

The verification team noted the MR is consistent with the PD in stating that no negative offsite stakeholder impacts are expected. The verification team noted that no negative impacts to other stakeholders that would require mitigation were identified through interviews with project staff, participating organizations, and representative community members during the site visit. Based on the information reviewed, the verification team is reasonably assured that the net impacts of project activities on the well-being of other stakeholders is positive.

4.5.6 Community Monitoring Plan (CM4.1, CM4.2, GL2.2, GL2.3, GL2.5)

The verification team reviewed the monitoring report for information presented for community monitoring implemented and reported on for the monitoring period in comparison to the monitoring plan presented in PD v7.1. The verification team reviewed supporting documentation and interviewed project staff, participating organizations, and representative community members during the site visit. The PD identifies Household Surveys (HHS) and Demographic Surveys to be conducted at least every five years.

The MR identifies the baseline HHS was conducted in 2012, the next HHS was conducted in 2017, and the next HHS is planned for 2022. The verification team determined the project is in conformance with this aspect of monitoring for the verification period. Annual meeting and Consultation Workshops are identified in the PD as the second component for community monitoring. Information provided in MR is consistent with the required frequency and sampling methods identified in the PD for this aspect of monitoring. The Project Proponent provided extensive documentation for the verification team to review for the weekly, monthly, and annual reporting that was undertaken. The verification team determined from review of the

information presented in the MR, supporting documentation provided for review, and through interviews with project staff, participating organizations, and representative community members that monitoring was conducted and reported on for the monitoring period in accordance with the monitoring plan presented in the validated PD.

4.5.7 Community Monitoring Plan Dissemination (CM4.3)

Information provided in the monitoring report is consistent with the methods identified in the PD for disseminating community monitoring results. The Project Proponent provided extensive documentation for the verification team to review for annual meetings that were undertaken. The verification team determined the documentation provided by the Project Proponent, as substantiated by interviews with community members and other stakeholders during the site visit, demonstrates full project documentation for the monitoring period has been made available. The verification team confirmed the MR is available, in English, through a link on the WCS website, and that MR Summary, in Khmer, available through link on the WCS website, and that both documents are available through the Verra Project Database.

4.5.8 Optional Gold Level: Short-term and Long-term Community Benefits (GL2.2)

Not applicable.

4.5.9 Optional Gold Level: Smallholder/community member Risks (GL2.3)

Not applicable.

4.5.10 Optional Gold Level: Marginalized and/or Vulnerable Community Groups (GL2.4)

Not applicable.

4.5.11 Optional Gold Level: Net Impacts on Women (GL2.5)

Not applicable.

4.5.12 Optional Gold Level: Benefit Sharing Mechanisms (GL2.6)

Not applicable.

4.5.13 Optional Gold Level: Governance and Implementation Structures (GL2.8)

Not applicable.

4.5.14 Optional Gold Level: Smallholders/Community Members Capacity Development (GL2.9)

Not applicable.

4.6 Biodiversity

4.6.1 Biodiversity Changes (B2.1)

The verification team reviewed the monitoring report for information presented on changes in biodiversity in comparison to the anticipated impacts identified in PD 7.1. The VVB reviewed supporting documentation and obtained additional information during site observations and through interviews with project staff, participating organizations, and representative community members during the site visit. After requesting additional clarification, the verification team confirmed the MR includes the biodiversity changes identified for the two predicted net benefits for biodiversity identified in PD v1.7 Section 7.1.1.

The verification team confirmed the MR includes summaries, using the Changes in Biodiversity from the MR template, for each of the species with population trends predicted to have population trend declines slowed or populations recovered from project activities compared to without-project conditions. The verification team noted the monitored changes are described appropriately as actual trends were monitored and predicted if the trend was based on habitat protection efforts without direct species monitoring. The verification team noted the justifications for change for the species population trends and forest cover trend include explanations and justifications for key assumptions, rationale and methodological choices. The verification team noted relevant references are identified to substantiate the biodiversity changes reported. Review of the supporting documentation and explanations substantiates with reasonable assurance the appropriateness of changes in biodiversity presented in MR Section 5.1.1.

4.6.2 Mitigation Actions (B2.3)

The validated PD states that no negative impacts on biodiversity are expected to occur within the project area from project activities. The verification team noted review of supporting documentation and interviews with project staff, participating organizations, and representative community members substantiates there are no negative impacts expected on biodiversity within the project area from project activities. Possible impacts could occur outside the project area through displacement of hunting/trapping pressures in those areas resulting from increased protections implemented within the project area. Mitigation measures implemented for potential negative off-site impacts are identified in the MR. Review of supporting documentation and results of interviews with project staff, rangers, and community members substantiated the mitigation measures identified were undertaken during the monitoring period. The verification team confirmed MR Section 5.1.2 summarizes measures taken for maintenance and enhancement of HCV attributes and references Section 5.1.4 for more details. Review of supporting documentation and results of interviews with project staff, rangers, and community members substantiated the identified measures were undertaken during the monitoring period for the maintenance and enhancement of biodiversity-related HCV attributes.

4.6.3 Net Positive Biodiversity Impacts (B2.2)

The verification team reviewed the monitoring report for information presented on net impacts from the project on biodiversity in the project zone. The verification team reviewed supporting documentation and obtained additional information during site observations and through interviews with project staff, participating organizations, and representative community members during the site visit. The verification team concludes that the net impacts on biodiversity in the with-project scenario are positive in the project area and zone, in comparison with the without-project scenario.

4.6.4 High Conservation Values Protected (B2.4)

The MR provides a summary of HCVs and project activity targets that are generally consistent with information provided for these items in the validated PD. The verification team noted the MR summarizes interventions identified as implemented for HCV1 through HCV3 for biodiversity-related HCVs. Review of supporting documentation and interviews with project staff, participating organizations, and representative community members substantiates there are no negative impacts expected on biodiversity-related HCVs within the project area from project activities.

4.6.5 Invasive Species (B2.5)

The MR states all reforestation activities managed by the project use native tree or bamboo species. The verification team noted the MR identifies efforts being undertaken to control populations of one invasive plant species that had become established in the project area prior to project implementation. Review of supporting documentation and interviews with project staff, participating organizations, and representative community members substantiates the project is not utilizing known invasive species, or expected to result in introduction, or increase in population, of known invasive species.

4.6.6 Impacts of Non-native Species (B2.6)

The MR states the project uses native tree or bamboo species for reforestation activities managed by the project and that the project does not introduce or contribute to the proliferation of non-native species. Review of supporting documentation and interviews with project staff, participating organizations, and representative community members substantiates the project is not utilizing non-native species for project reforestation activities.

4.6.7 GMO Exclusion (B2.7)

The monitoring report provides the assertion that no GMOs are currently used in the Project Zone, and also provides the statement that use of GMOs will not be supported by REDD+ funded project activities. The verification team noted review of supporting documentation and interviews with project staff, participating organizations, and representative community members substantiates to a degree of reasonable assurance the project is not using GMOs to generate GHG emission reductions or removals.

4.6.8 Inputs Justification (B2.8)

The monitoring report provides the assertion that no pesticides or fertilizers are used in project-supported activities. The verification team noted that review of supporting documentation and interviews with project staff, participating organizations, and representative community members substantiates the project is not using pesticides or fertilizers for project activities. The VVB noted review of supporting documentation and interviews with project staff, participating organizations, and representative community members substantiates project activities within village lands in the project zone, but outside the project area, promote sustainable agricultural practices such as compost and plant-based pest deterrents.

4.6.9 Negative Offsite Biodiversity Impacts (B3.1) and Mitigation Actions (B3.2)

The verification team reviewed the monitoring report in comparison to the validated PD for information presented on potential negative impacts on biodiversity outside the project zone and confirmed the potential

negative offsite impacts are appropriately identified. The monitoring report also identifies mitigation measures implemented for the potential negative off-site impacts identified in the PD. Review of supporting documentation and results of interviews with project staff, rangers, and community members substantiated the mitigation measures identified were undertaken during the monitoring period. Overall the verification team is reasonably assured that the project has adequately identified all negative offsite biodiversity impacts and has taken actions to mitigate the impacts.

4.6.10 Net Offsite Biodiversity Benefits (B3.3)

The monitoring report states that the project is likely to have positive biodiversity impacts outside the project area. The verification team noted that resolution of indicators B3.1 and B3.2 substantiated that there are no unmitigated negative impacts on biodiversity outside the project zone. Based on the information reviewed and observations made during the site visit, the verification team concludes with reasonable assurance that the net effect on biodiversity from the project is positive.

4.6.11 Biodiversity Monitoring Plan (B4.1, B4.2, GL3.4)

The verification team reviewed the results of the reported biodiversity monitoring in comparison to the monitoring plan presented in the validated PD. In general, the dates, frequency, and sampling methods used were in accordance with the validated PD. The verification team reviewed supporting documentation to substantiate the results of biodiversity monitoring presented in the MR. During the monitoring period, there was a minor change to the biodiversity monitoring related to the frequency of monitoring for several non-trigger species. The verification team determined that the minor change in monitoring does not result in a material change in monitoring outcomes for these species, and a Minor Change to the PD was added to section 2.2.3 of the MR.

Results of monitoring presented in the MR included population trends of trigger species and threats to trigger species. The verification team determined that clarifications and supporting documentation provided for review substantiate the monitoring activities undertaken for trigger species during the monitoring period.

Based on the supporting evidence reviewed and observations made during the site visit, the verification team is reasonably assured that biodiversity monitoring was carried out in accordance to the validated PD.

4.6.12 Biodiversity Monitoring Plan Dissemination (B4.3)

The information provided in the monitoring report is generally consistent with the methods identified in the validated PD for disseminating biodiversity monitoring results. The Project Proponent provided extensive documentation for review for annual reporting of monitoring results that were collected on an on-going basis and required to be reported on annually, as well as provided documentation for reporting for the Line transect based Distance sampling surveys. The verification team determined the documentation provided by the Project Proponent, as substantiated by interviews with community members and other stakeholders during the site visit, demonstrates full project documentation for the monitoring period has been made available. The verification team confirmed the MR is available, in English, through a link on the WCS website, and that the MR Summary, in Khmer, available through link on the WCS website, and that both documents are available through the Verra Project Database.

4.6.13 Optional Gold Level: Trigger Species Population Trends (GL3.3)

The trigger species identified for the project are the Asian elephant, southern yellow-cheeked gibbon, and black-shanked douc. The PD identified the two primary threats to the trigger species as loss of habitat and poaching. The actions taken during the monitoring period to maintain or enhance the population of trigger species and reduce the threats to the species were described in the MR. Supporting evidence of mitigation actions were provided, and along with site visit observations the verification team is reasonably assured that actions were taken to maintain and enhance the population status of each trigger species in the project zone.

4.6.14 Optional Gold Level: Effectiveness of Threat Reduction Actions (GL3.4)

The verification team reviewed the MR in comparison to the PD for information presented in the monitoring plan for indicators of the population trend of the three trigger species identified for the project. The PD identified the two primary threats to the trigger species as loss of habitat and poaching. The effectiveness of threat reduction actions are directly related to existing monitoring efforts as confirmed elsewhere in the review. Based on the supporting evidence reviewed and observations made during the site visit, the verification team is reasonably assured that the measures taken by the PP are effective at maintaining or enhancing the population of trigger species.

4.7 Additional Project Implementation Information

N/A – no additional information was provided in the MR.

4.8 Additional Project Impact Information

N/A – no additional information was provided in the MR.

5 VERIFICATION CONCLUSION

Subject to verification, after site inspections and review of all project information, procedures, calculations, and supporting documentation, Aster Global Environmental Solutions, Inc., confirms this day of 08 March 2023 that the Reduced Emissions from Deforestation and Degradation in Keo Seima Wildlife Sanctuary is accurate, consistent, and complies with all VCS Version 4 criteria, CCB Third Edition criteria, the selected methodology (VM0015), and the validated Project Design Documentation (PD). Aster Global confirms Keo Seima Wildlife Sanctuary REDD+ 2020–2021 Monitoring Report (v1.9 dated 21 April 2023) has been implemented in accordance with VCS Version 4 and CCB Third Edition criteria. The objectives, scope, and criteria used to compile and assess the GHG statement have been described in Section 1 of this report.

Aster Global confirms all verification activities – including objectives, scope and criteria, reasonable level of assurance, and PD implementation adherence to VCS Version 4 (and all associated updates) and CCB Project Design Standards (Third Edition), as documented in this report – are complete. Aster Global concludes without any qualifications or limiting conditions confirms Keo Seima Wildlife Sanctuary REDD+ 2020–2021 Monitoring Report (v1.9 dated 21 April 2023) meets the requirements of VCS Version 4 (and all associated updates), CCB Project Design Standards (Third Edition), and the validated PD. In addition, Aster Global asserts the project complies with the criteria for projects set out in the Third Edition of the CCB Standards to achieve Gold Level distinction for Biodiversity. Aster Global's conclusion on the GHG statement is a positive opinion.

The GHG assertion provided by Wildlife Conservation Society and verified by Aster Global has resulted in the GHG emissions reduction or removal of 4,784,566 tCO₂e equivalents by the project during the verification period/reporting period (01 January 2020 – 31 December 2021: 2 years). This value is gross of the 10% (478,456 tCO₂e) buffer withholding based on the non-permanence risk assessment tool, and associated leakage allocation¹. This results in 4,306,110 tCO₂e of credits eligible for issuance as VCUs.

The GHG statement is the responsibility of the Project Proponent. The data and information supporting Aster Global's GHG opinion are projected and historical in nature. Aster Global declares this opinion was conducted in accordance with ISO 14064-3; 2006). This opinion is based on VCS Non-Permanence Risk Report (v4, 19 September 2019).

Monitoring period: From 01 January 2020 to 31 December 2021

Verified GHG emission reductions and removals in the above verification period:

Year	Baseline emissions or removals (tCO ₂ e)	Project emissions or removals (tCO ₂ e)	Leakage emissions (tCO ₂ e)	Net GHG emission reductions or removals (tCO ₂ e)
2020	3,467,215	1,390,579	0	1,868,973
2021	4,118,436	1,410,506	0	2,437,137
Total	7,585,651	2,801,085	0	4,306,110

¹There are 0 leakage emissions associated with this project for this monitoring period.

APPENDIX A: DOCUMENTS LIST

Document Name	Date Received
21-08_02_Baseline extension_KSWS_Verra.pdf	July 7, 2022
22-08_KSWS REDD+ 4th Verification 2020-2021_agenda_v5.0.docx	July 7, 2022
KSWS REDD+ MR Khmer Summary_2020-2021_v1.2.pdf	July 7, 2022
KSWS REDD+_VCS CCB MR_2020-2021_v1.1.pdf	July 7, 2022
Seima Baseline Extension Request v20210525.pdf	July 7, 2022
KSWS REDD+ MR Khmer Summary_2020-2021_v1.4.docx	July 11, 2022
2020-07-16_Annual workplan concept note.pdf	July 11, 2022
2020-07-16_Annual workplan report.pdf	July 11, 2022
Annual workplan_KSWS_FY21_MOE_signed.pdf	July 11, 2022
Annual workplan_KSWS_FY22.xlsx	July 11, 2022
Annual workplan_KSWS_FY22_det_MOE_signed.pdf	July 11, 2022
Annual workplan_KSWS_FY22_MOE_signed.pdf	July 11, 2022
2020-01_Y4Q1_CAMPAS Quarterly Report_Jan-Mar2020_WCS.pdf	July 11, 2022
2020-07_Y4Q3_CAMPAS Quarterly Report_Jul-Sep_WCS.pdf	July 11, 2022
2021_04_Y5Q2_CAMPAS Quarterly Report_Apr-Jun_WCS.pdf	July 11, 2022
2021-01_Y5Q1_CAMPAS Quarterly Report_Jan-Mar_WCS.pdf	July 11, 2022
2021-07_Y5Q3_CAMPAS Quarterly Report_Jul-Sep_WCS.pdf	July 11, 2022
2021-10_Y5Q4_CAMPAS Quarterly Report_Oct-Dec_WCS.pdf	July 11, 2022
Y4Q2_CAMPAS Quarterly Report_April-June2020_consolidate report_V2-Final.pdf	July 11, 2022
2020_USAID-KSCP Annual Report FY 2020 Approved.pdf	July 11, 2022
20200130_Q1_YII_USAID KSCP Oct-Dec19_V2_signed_approved.pdf	July 11, 2022
20200505_Q2_YII_USAID KSCP Jan-Mar2020_V2-Approved.pdf	July 11, 2022
20200730_Q3_YII_USAID KSCP April-June 2020_V2-Approved.pdf	July 11, 2022
2021_USAID_KCSP_Annual Report_Y3.pdf	July 11, 2022
2021_USAID_KCSP_Final Report.pdf	July 11, 2022
202101_USAID KSCP Quaterly Report Y3_Final-Updated-Approved (1).pdf	July 11, 2022
202104_USAID KSCP Quaterly Report Jan-Mar Y3_Aproved.pdf	July 11, 2022
202107_USAID_Progress Report April-June 2021_Final-Approved.pdf	July 11, 2022
2020-01-14_Agenda KSWS staffs monthly meeting.docx	July 11, 2022
2020-01-14_Attendant list for KSWS monthly meeting.pdf	July 11, 2022
2020-01-14_Minute of KSWS Monthly meeting.docx	July 11, 2022
2020-02-14_Agenda KSWS monthly meeting.docx	July 11, 2022
2020-02-14_Attendant list KSWS monthly meeting.pdf	July 11, 2022
2020-02-14_Minute of KSWS Monthly meeting.docx	July 11, 2022
2020-03-13_Agenda KSWS monthly meeting.docx	July 11, 2022
2020-03-13_Attendant list KSWS monthly meeting.pdf	July 11, 2022
2020-03-13_Minute of KSWS Monthly meeting.docx	July 11, 2022
2020-06-12_Agenda for KSWS Monthly Meeting.docx	July 11, 2022
2020-06-12_Attdant list KSWS Monthly meeting.pdf	July 11, 2022

2020-06-12_Minute of KSWs Monthly meeting.pdf	July 11, 2022
2020-07-13_Agenda for KSWs Monthly Meeting.docx	July 11, 2022
2020-07-13_Minute of KSWs Monthly meeting.docx	July 11, 2022
2020-08-13_Agenda for KSWs Monthly Meeting.docx	July 11, 2022
2020-08-13_Attendant list of monthly meeting.pdf	July 11, 2022
2020-08-13_Minute of KSWs Monthly meeting.docx	July 11, 2022
2020-09-11_Agenda for KSWs Monthly Meeting.docx	July 11, 2022
2020-09-11_Minute of KSWs Monthly meeting.docx	July 11, 2022
2020-10-13_Agenda for KSWs Monthly Meeting.docx	July 11, 2022
2020-10-13_Attendant list KSWs monthly meeting.pdf	July 11, 2022
2020-10-13_Minute of KSWs Monthly meeting.docx	July 11, 2022
2020-11-13_Agenda for KSWs Monthly Meeting.docx	July 11, 2022
2020-12-14_Agenda for KSWs Monthly Meeting.docx	July 11, 2022
2021-02-13_KSWs monthly meeting_minutes.docx	July 11, 2022
2021-05-14_KSWs monthly meeting_minutes_April_2021.docx	July 11, 2022
2021-06-11_KSWs monthly meeting_minute_June_2021.docx	July 11, 2022
2021-08-11_KSWs monthly Meeting_Agenda_August_2021.docx	July 11, 2022
2021-08-11_KSWs_Monthly_meeting_minutes_August_2021.pdf	July 11, 2022
2021-10-11_KSWs Monthly meeting_minutes.docx	July 11, 2022
Attendant list of KSWs monthly meeting 14 May 2021.pdf	July 11, 2022
Attendant list of KSWs monthly meeting on 14 January 2021.pdf	July 11, 2022
KSWs Counterpart Meeting_11_06_2021_KSWs HQ.pdf	July 11, 2022
KSWs Counterpart Meeting_13_01_2021_KSWs HQ.pdf	July 11, 2022
KSWs Counterpart Meeting_14_05_2021_KSWs HQ.pdf	July 11, 2022
KSWs Couter part monthly meeting_11 10 21_Online meeting No Att..pdf	July 11, 2022
KSWs_Counterpart Meeting_11_08_2021_KSWs HQ_Ready.pdf	July 11, 2022
KSWs_Counterpart Meeting_13_02_2021_KSWs HQ.pdf	July 11, 2022
2009_WCS_ConservationHumanRightsFramework.pdf	July 11, 2022
20-02_International Travel Plan March-June 2020.msg	July 11, 2022
20-02_International Travel Plan March-June 2020.xlsx	July 11, 2022
20-03_How to Handwash and Handrub.pdf	July 11, 2022
20-03_Memo 2020_001_WCS COVID-19 Updated Travel Advisory (ENG+KHM).pdf	July 11, 2022
20-03_Memo 2020_002_Temporary Closure of WCS PP Office (ENG+KHM).pdf	July 11, 2022
20-03_Sharing Health Information related to COVID-19.msg	July 11, 2022
20-03_Some Preventive Measures.pdf	July 11, 2022
20-03_Temporary Closure of WCS Office in Phnom Penh.msg	July 11, 2022
20-03_WCS COVID-19 Updated Travel Advisory.msg	July 11, 2022
20-04_Postponement of 2020 Khmer New Year Holidays.msg	July 11, 2022
20-04_WCS_COVID-19_PandemicAndIndigenousPeoples-1.pdf	July 11, 2022
20-05_WCS Cambodia Guidelines for Re-Opening Office and Field Operations - Phase 1.msg	July 11, 2022

20-05_WCS Cambodia Guidelines for Re-Opening Office and Field Operations - Phase 2.msg	July 11, 2022
20-07_Memo 2020_002_Rollover of Annual Leave from FY20 to FY21 (ENG+KHM).pdf	July 11, 2022
20-07_Rollover of Annual Leave from FY20 to FY21 due to COVID19 disruptions.msg	July 11, 2022
20-07_WCS Cambodia UPDATED Guidelines for Office and Field Operations Phase 3.msg	July 11, 2022
20-11_COVID 19 UPDATE.msg	July 11, 2022
20-11_RE URGENT UPDATE COVID Prevention materials and November work plans.msg	July 11, 2022
20-12_Re URGENT Updated COVID 19 announcement 29th November.msg	July 11, 2022
20-12_URGENT Guidelines for Re-Opening Office and Field Operations Effective from 800am December 16th 2020.msg	July 11, 2022
21-02_RE Partial Closure of WCS Phnom Penh Office Temporarily.msg	July 11, 2022
21-02_WCS CAMBODIA WORK FROM HOME POLICY (ENG) final.docx	July 11, 2022
21-02_WCS CAMBODIA WORK FROM HOME POLICY (KHM) final.docx	July 11, 2022
21-02_WCS PP office seating plan Feb 23.jpg	July 11, 2022
21-03_200321-Table of COVID-19 For The world-8AM.pdf.pdf	July 11, 2022
21-03_Mandatory Work Place Conditions.msg	July 11, 2022
22_KSWS REDD+ verification village site visits.xlsx	July 19, 2022
22-08_KSWS REDD+ 4th Verification 2020-2021_agenda_v6.0.docx	July 19, 2022
KSWS REDD+_VCS CCB MR_2020-2021_v1.2.pdf	August 18, 2022
KSWS REDD+ MR Khmer Summary_2020-2021_v1.5.docx	August 26, 2022
KSWS REDD+ MR Khmer Summary_2020-2021_v1.5.pdf	August 26, 2022
KSWS REDD+_VCS CCB MR_2020-2021_v1.2.docx	August 26, 2022
KSWS REDD+_VCS CCB MR_2020-2021_v1.2.pdf	August 26, 2022
2020-07-16_Annual workplan concept note.pdf	August 26, 2022
2020-07-16_Annual workplan report.pdf	August 26, 2022
Annual workplan_KSWS_FY21_MOE_signed.pdf	August 26, 2022
Annual workplan_KSWS_FY22.xlsx	August 26, 2022
Annual workplan_KSWS_FY22_det_MOE_signed.pdf	August 26, 2022
Annual workplan_KSWS_FY22_MOE_signed.pdf	August 26, 2022
KSWS Annual Workplan_16_07_2020_Pichreda Hotel.pdf	August 26, 2022
2020-01_Y4Q1_CAMPAS Quarterly Report_Jan-Mar2020_WCS.pdf	August 26, 2022
2020-07_Y4Q3_CAMPAS Quarterly Report_Jul-Sep_WCS.pdf	August 26, 2022
2021_04_Y5Q2_CAMPAS Quarterly Report_Apr-Jun_WCS.pdf	August 26, 2022
2021-01_Y5Q1_CAMPAS Quarterly Report_Jan-Mar_WCS.pdf	August 26, 2022
2021-07_Y5Q3_CAMPAS Quarterly Report_Jul-Sep_WCS.pdf	August 26, 2022
2021-10_Y5Q4_CAMPAS Quarterly Report_Oct_Dec_WCS.pdf	August 26, 2022
2020_USAID-KSCP Annual Report FY 2020 Approved.pdf	August 26, 2022

20200130_Q1_YII_USAID KSCP Oct-Dec19_V2_signed_approved.pdf	August 26, 2022
20200505_Q2_YII_USAID KSCP Jan-Mar2020_V2-Approved.pdf	August 26, 2022
20200730_Q3_YII_USAID KSCP April-June 2020_V2-Approved.pdf	August 26, 2022
2021_USAID_KCSP_Annual Report_Y3.pdf	August 26, 2022
2021_USAID_KCSP_Final Report.pdf	August 26, 2022
202104_USAID KSCP Quaterly Report Jan-Mar Y3_Aproved.pdf	August 26, 2022
202107_USAID_Progress Report April-June 2021_Final-Approved.pdf	August 26, 2022
2009_WCS_ConservationHumanRightsFramework.pdf	August 26, 2022
2016-11-01 Combating Trafficking in Persons Compliance Plan.pdf	August 26, 2022
2019_WCS_ConservationHumanDisplacement_1_(1).pdf	August 26, 2022
2019_WCS_ConservationHumanDisplacement_1_.pdf	August 26, 2022
20190530_WCS_GrievanceRedressMechanism_EN_8.5x11.pdf	August 26, 2022
CCB_MON_REP_ENG_DRAFT_1650_01JAN2016_01JAN2018.pdf	August 26, 2022
KWS REDD+ PD FULL Gap Valid v7.pdf	August 26, 2022
Revised 03.2020 WCS Harassment Sexual Harassment Policy Final our wcs.pdf	August 26, 2022
SOP for Law Enforcement_PLEL_Dec 26 2019_KHM.docx	August 26, 2022
WCS Cambodia HR Manual_APRIL 2 2020_FINAL_clean.pdf	August 26, 2022
WCS Cambodia Vehicle Use and Safety Policy July 2018 (ENG) V2.pdf	August 26, 2022
WCS Code of Conduct APPROVED 2019-02-26.pdf	August 26, 2022
WCS Safeguarding Policy FINAL_EN_2020-10-30.pdf	August 26, 2022
WCS Whistleblower Policy English Approved 2014-05-19 (2017-12-06).pdf	August 26, 2022
WCS Crisis Mgt_07-2020 Update (1).pdf	August 26, 2022
WCS Crisis Mgt_07-2020 Update (2).doc	August 26, 2022
20-02_International Travel Plan March-June 2020.msg	August 26, 2022
20-02_International Travel Plan March-June 2020.xlsx	August 26, 2022
20-03_How to Handwash and Handrub.pdf	August 26, 2022
20-03_Some Preventive Measures.pdf	August 26, 2022
20-03_WCS COVID-19 Updated Travel Advisory.msg	August 26, 2022
20-04_Postponement of 2020 Khmer New Year Holidays.msg	August 26, 2022
20-04_WCS_COVID-19_PandemicAndIndigenousPeoples-1.pdf	August 26, 2022
20-11_COVID 19 UPDATE.msg	August 26, 2022
21-02_WCS PP office seating plan Feb 23.jpg	August 26, 2022
21-03_Mandatory Work Place Conditions.msg	August 26, 2022
21-03_Memo 2021_007_Vaccination against COVID-19.pdf	August 26, 2022
21-03_Summary Translation in Khmer.pdf	August 26, 2022
21-03_Vaccination against COVID-19.msg	August 26, 2022
21-04_COVID-19 Vaccination for WCS Staff.msg	August 26, 2022
21-05_Summary Translation in Khmer.pdf	August 26, 2022
21-08_RE-SHARING Mandatory Work Place Conditions.msg	August 26, 2022
21-08_Summary Translation in Khmer.pdf	August 26, 2022

21-10_Summary Translation in Khmer.pdf	August 26, 2022
21-10_WCS Phnom Penh Office Partial Re-Opening.msg	August 26, 2022
2021_07 KSWs 6-month Report_Final.pdf	August 26, 2022
FY21_KSWs 6-month Report July-Dec 2020.pdf	August 26, 2022
KSWs Annual report 2021_Kh_d2.pdf	August 26, 2022
Rath Virak Visit_18_03_2020 KSWs.pdf	August 26, 2022
Rath Virak Visit_26-28_03_2020 KSWs.pdf	August 26, 2022
Advocacy and Lobby NTFP Policy Training_3-4_09_2020_Diakonia.pdf	August 26, 2022
Conservation Technology Training_14-15_09_2020_Poulo Wai Hotel.pdf	August 26, 2022
First Aid Training_30_06-01_07_2020_ADK.pdf	August 26, 2022
GBIF Training_21-24_07_2020_Katari Hotel.pdf	August 26, 2022
GPL_Conservation Tool Training_3-4_11_2020_Phnom Penh.pdf	August 26, 2022
PA Systems Management Workshop_6-7_10_2020_Phnom Penh.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDDTraining_6-7_02_2020_WCS Phnom Penh.PDF	August 26, 2022
SMART Database Management Training_21-23_10_2020_PDoE MDK.pdf	August 26, 2022
Training on Effective Presentation_25_02_2020 MDK office.PDF	August 26, 2022
Training_CoC and Life Insurance_12_01_2021_WCS MDK Office.pdf	August 26, 2022
WCS Facilitation skill Training_WCS MDK_15 11 21.pdf	August 26, 2022
2019Wildlife-Conservation-Society-Gender-101.pdf	August 26, 2022
Gender for New Awardees.pptx	August 26, 2022
Sansom-Mlup-Prey-Gender-101.docx	August 26, 2022
2020-01 KSWs Weekly Update Jan-March 2020.docx	August 26, 2022
2020-04 KSWs Weekly Update April-June 2020.docx	August 26, 2022
2020-07 06-10 KSWs Weekly Update.docx	August 26, 2022
2020-07 13-17 KSWs Weekly Update.docx	August 26, 2022
2020-07 20-24 KSWs Weekly Update.docx	August 26, 2022
2020-07 27-31 KSWs Weekly Update.docx	August 26, 2022
2020-07 Combined July KSWs update.docx	August 26, 2022
2020-08 10-14 KSWs Weekly Update.docx	August 26, 2022
2020-08 24-28 KSWs Weekly Update.docx	August 26, 2022
2020-08 3-7 KSWs Weekly Update.docx	August 26, 2022
2020-08-9 31-4 KSWs Weekly Update.docx	August 26, 2022
2020-09-21-25 KSWs Weekly Update.docx	August 26, 2022
2020-09-28 Oct 2 KSWs Weekly Update.docx	August 26, 2022
2020-09-7-11 KSWs Weekly Update.docx	August 26, 2022
2020-10 October-December KSWs Weekly Update.docx	August 26, 2022
2021-01-18-22 KSWs Weekly Update.docx	August 26, 2022
2021-01-25-29 KSWs Weekly Update.docx	August 26, 2022
2021-01-3-8 KSWs Weekly Update.docx	August 26, 2022
2021-02-1-5 KSWs Weekly Update.docx	August 26, 2022
2021-02-15-19 KSWs Weekly Update.docx	August 26, 2022

2021-02-22-26 KSWs Weekly Update.docx	August 26, 2022
2021-02-8-12 KSWs Weekly Update.docx	August 26, 2022
2021-0304-28-2 KSWs Weekly Update.docx	August 26, 2022
2021-03-1-12 KSWs Weekly Update.docx	August 26, 2022
2021-03-1-5 KSWs Weekly Update.docx	August 26, 2022
2021-03-15-19 KSWs Weekly Update.docx	August 26, 2022
2021-03-22-26 KSWs Weekly Update.docx	August 26, 2022
2021-04 april-June KSWs Weekly Update.docx	August 26, 2022
2021-0702-28-2 KSWs Weekly Update.docx	August 26, 2022
2021-0709-05-09 KSWs Weekly Update.docx	August 26, 2022
2021-0716-12-16 KSWs Weekly Update.docx	August 26, 2022
2021-0723-19-23 KSWs Weekly Update.docx	August 26, 2022
2021-0730-26-30 KSWs Weekly Update.docx	August 26, 2022
2021-08-August KSWs Weekly Update.docx	August 26, 2022
2021-0903-31-03 KSWs Weekly Update.docx	August 26, 2022
2021-0910-06-10 KSWs Weekly Update.docx	August 26, 2022
2021-0917-13-17 KSWs Weekly Update.docx	August 26, 2022
2021-0926-20-26 KSWs Weekly Update.docx	August 26, 2022
2021-1001-27-01 KSWs Weekly Update.docx	August 26, 2022
2021-1008-04-08 KSWs Weekly Update.docx	August 26, 2022
2021-1015-11-15 KSWs Weekly Update.docx	August 26, 2022
2021-1022-18-22 KSWs Weekly Update.docx	August 26, 2022
2021-1029-25-29 KSWs Weekly Update.docx	August 26, 2022
2021-1107-01-07 KSWs Weekly Update.docx	August 26, 2022
2021-1114-08-14 KSWs Weekly Update.docx	August 26, 2022
2021-1123-15-19 KSWs Weekly Update.docx	August 26, 2022
2021-1130-22-28 KSWs Weekly Update.docx	August 26, 2022
2021-1206-29-03 KSWs Weekly Update.docx	August 26, 2022
2021-1213-06-12 KSWs Weekly Update.docx	August 26, 2022
2021-1222-13-19 KSWs Weekly Update.docx	August 26, 2022
2021-1227-20-26 KSWs Weekly Update.docx	August 26, 2022
2021-1231-27-31 KSWs Weekly Update.docx	August 26, 2022
26. Labor Law - 1997.05.13 (ENG).pdf	August 26, 2022
26. Labor Law - 1997.05.13 (KH).pdf	August 26, 2022
19-07-23_Circulation 06_July 23, 2019_EN_land titling - Copy.pdf	August 26, 2022
19-07-23_Circulation 06_July 23, 2019_EN_land titling.pdf	August 26, 2022
20-07-03_Press release 005_land titling_Prime Minister Proclamation.pdf	August 26, 2022
KSWs renaissance mining exploration announcement.pdf	August 26, 2022
Notification No. 001_mining concession exploration.pdf	August 26, 2022
20-03_circulation-on-prevention-of-spread-of-the-covid-19.pdf	August 26, 2022
20-03_quarantine-instruction_moh_160320_pdf.pdf	August 26, 2022
20-04_decision_no_39_10.04.2020.pdf	August 26, 2022

20-04_notification_no_1123__10.04.2020.pdf	August 26, 2022
20-04_notifi-on-the-suspension-of-kny-holiday.pdf	August 26, 2022
20-04_order_no_02__09.04.2020.pdf	August 26, 2022
20-06_sub-decree-no88.pdf	August 26, 2022
20-07_instruction_2153.pdf	August 26, 2022
21_Law_on_Measures_to_prevent_the_spread_of_Covid_19_ENG+KHM.pdf	August 26, 2022
Draft Zoning Map_Size A0.pdf	August 26, 2022
PA Zoning Workshop_18-19_02_2021_Ratanakiri Provincial Hall.pdf	August 26, 2022
Protected Area Zoning Workshop_25-26_01_2021_Siem Reap.pdf	August 26, 2022
Zoning_02_07_2020_MoE.pdf	August 26, 2022
Zoning_19_05_2020_MoE.PDF	August 26, 2022
Zoning_25_06_2020_PDoE.pdf	August 26, 2022
Zoning_26_06_2020_Provincial Department.pdf	August 26, 2022
Zoning_26-27_05_2020_KSWS HQ.PDF	August 26, 2022
Law Enforcement Meeting_26_05_2021_Srae Roneam.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD Patrol_ Chak Cha Village_ 07 11 21_ Done.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD patrol_ Chak Cha Village_ 11 12 21.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD Patrol_ Hkati Village_ 18 and 19 11 21_ Done.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD patrol_ Hkati Village_ 25 and 27 12 21.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD Patrol_ Ou Chra Village_ 03 and 17 11 21_ Done.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD patrol_ Ou Rona Village_ 04 21 and 22 12 21.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD Patrol_ Pu Hiam Village_ 26 10 21_ Done.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD Patrol_ Pu Ngoal Village_ 17 and 18 11 21.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD Patrol_ Srae I Village_ 01 02 05 and 06 11 21.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD Patrol_01 09 21_Ou Rona_Done.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD Patrol_02 09 21_Ou Rona_Done.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD Patrol_02 09 21_Pu Rang_Done.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD Patrol_02 09 21_Srae I_Done.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD Patrol_03 09 21_Pu Rang_Done.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD Patrol_03 09 21_Srae I_Done.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD Patrol_03-04 09 21_Pu Keh_Done.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD Patrol_06-07 09 21_Pu Cha_Done.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD Patrol_07-08 09 21_Kmoum_Done.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD Patrol_09 09 21_Ou Chra_Done.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD Patrol_09 09 21_Pu Cha_Done.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD Patrol_09 09 21_Srae I_Done.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD Patrol_10 09 21_Ou Am_Done.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD Patrol_10 09 21_Ou Chra_Done.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD Patrol_10 09 21_Srae I_Done.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD Patrol_10_08_2020_Sre Lvi.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD Patrol_11 09 21_Ou Chra_Done.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD Patrol_11 09 21_Ou Rona_Done.pdf	August 26, 2022

REDD Patrol_11 09 21_Pu Cha_Done.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD Patrol_12 09 21_Ou Rona_Done.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD Patrol_12 09 21_Pu Rang_Done.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD Patrol_12-13_08_2020_Sre Preah.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD Patrol_12-14 09 21_Khtong_Done.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD Patrol_13 09 21_Pu Rang_Done.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD Patrol_13_08_2020_Sre Lvi.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD Patrol_13-14_08_2020_Pu Tang.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD Patrol_14_08_2020_Ou Am.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD Patrol_14_08_2020_Ou Rona.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD Patrol_14-15 09 21_Kmoum_Done.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD Patrol_15 09 21_Ou Chra_Done.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD Patrol_15 09 21_Pu Cha_Done.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD Patrol_15 09 21_Pu Rang_Done.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD Patrol_15_08_2020_ADK.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD Patrol_15_08_2020_Gati.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD Patrol_15_08_2020_Ou Am.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD Patrol_15_08_2020_Ou Rona.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD Patrol_15-16_08_2020_Pu Keh.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD Patrol_16 09 21_Pu Rang_Done.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD Patrol_16 09 21_Srae I_Done.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD Patrol_16_08_2020_ADK.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD Patrol_16-17 09 21_Pu Trom_Done.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD Patrol_17 09 21_Hkati_Done.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD Patrol_18 09 21_Ou Am_Done.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD Patrol_18 09 21_Ou Rona_Done.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD Patrol_18_08_2020_Ou Rona.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD Patrol_18-19 09 21_Pu Trom_Done.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD Patrol_19 09 21_Hkati_Done.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD Patrol_19 09 21_Ou Am_Done.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD Patrol_19 09 21_Ou Rona_Done.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD Patrol_19_08_2020_Ou Rona.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD Patrol_19-20 09 21_Pu Cha_Done.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD Patrol_19-20 09 21_Pu Keh_Done.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD Patrol_19-20_08_2020_Sre I.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD Patrol_20_08_2020_Gati.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD Patrol_20-21 09 21_Pu Ngoal_Done.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD Patrol_20-21_08_2020_Pu Keh.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD Patrol_20-21_08_2020_Pu Tang.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD Patrol_20-22 09 21_Khtung_Done.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD Patrol_21_08_2020_ADK.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD Patrol_22 09 21_Andoung Kraloeng_Done.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD Patrol_22 09 21_Pu Rang_Done.pdf	August 26, 2022

REDD Patrol_22 09 21_Srae I_Done.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD Patrol_22_08_2020_ADK.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD Patrol_22_08_2020_Ou Rona.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD Patrol_23 09 21_Andoung Kraloeng_Done.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD Patrol_23 09 21_Pu Cha_Not full sup_Done.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD Patrol_23 09 21_Pu Rang_Done.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD Patrol_23_08_2020_ADK.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD Patrol_24 09 21_Ou Rona_Done.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD Patrol_24-25 09 21_Pu Ngoal_Done.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD Patrol_25 09 21_Kmoum_Done.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD Patrol_25 09 21_Ou Rona_Done.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD Patrol_25-26 09 21_Khtong_Done.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD Patrol_26_08_2020_Gati.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD Patrol_26_08_2020_Pu Hoam.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD Patrol_26_08_2020_Pu Keh.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD Patrol_26_08_2020_Sre I.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD Patrol_27_08_2020_Pu Keh.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD Patrol_27_08_2020_Sre I.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD Patrol_27-28_08_2020_Pu Tang.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD Patrol_Chak Cha_11 10 21_Done.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD Patrol_Hkati_10 10 21_Done.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD Patrol_Hkati_25 10 21_Done.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD Patrol_Khtong_16-18 10 21_Done.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD Patrol_Khtong_24-26 10 21_Done.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD Patrol_Kmoum Village_03 11 21.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD Patrol_Kmoum_09 and 22 10 21_Done.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD Patrol_Ou Am_05 07 and 19 10 21_Done.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD Patrol_Ou Chra_24 10 21_Only attendant list.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD Patrol_Ou Rona_09 10 21_Done.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD Patrol_Ou Rona_10 10 21_Done.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD Patrol_Ou Rona_18 10 21_Done.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD Patrol_Ou Rona_19 10 21_Done.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD Patrol_Ou Rona_21 10 21_Done.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD Patrol_Ou Rona_22 10 21_Done.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD Patrol_Pu Cha Village_09 10 20 and 22 11 21.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD Patrol_Pu Keh_07 08 23 and 24 10 21_Done.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD Patrol_Pu Ngaol_10 11 23 and 24 10 21_Done.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD Patrol_Pu Rang_01 10 21_Done.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD Patrol_Pu Rang_02 10 21_Done.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD Patrol_Pu Rang_08 10 21_Done.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD Patrol_Pu Rang_09 10 21_Done.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD Patrol_Pu Rang_16 10 21_Done.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD Patrol_Pu Rang_17 10 21_Done.pdf	August 26, 2022

REDD Patrol_Pu Rang_22-23 10 21_Done.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD Patrol_Srae I_02 10 21_Done.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD Patrol_Srae I_03 10 21_Done.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD Patrol_Srae I_12 10 21_Done.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD Patrol_Srae I_21 10 21_Done.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD Patrol_Srae I_22 10 21_Done.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD Patrol_Srae I_25 10 21_Done.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD Work Plan and Patrol_09_07_2020_Pu Ngoal.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD Work Plan and Patrol_10_07_2020_Pu Rang.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_11 08 2021_Sre I_Ready.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_14 08 2021_Sre I_Ready.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_17 08 2021_Sre I_Ready.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_21 08 2021_Sre I_Ready.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_23 08 2021_Sre I_Ready.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_26 08 2021_Sre I_Ready.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_27 08 2021_Sre I_Ready.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Community Patrol_12_02_2020 Srae Lvi.PDF	August 26, 2022
REDD_Community Patrol_13_02_2020_Pu Tang.PDF	August 26, 2022
REDD_Community Patrol_19_02_2020_Ou Am.PDF	August 26, 2022
REDD_Community Patrol_19_02_2020_Pu Keh.PDF	August 26, 2022
REDD_Community Patrol_21_02_2020 ADK.PDF	August 26, 2022
REDD_Community Patrol_21_02_2020 Pu Hoam.PDF	August 26, 2022
REDD_Community Patrol_27_01_2020 Srae I.PDF	August 26, 2022
REDD_Community Patrol_29_01_2020Gatie.PDF	August 26, 2022
REDD_Community Patrol_29_01_2020Srae Preash.PDF	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol Plan_03_09_2020_Sre I.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol Plan_04_09_2020_Pu Tang.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol Plan_07_08_2020_Ou Am.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol Plan_07_08_2020_Ou Rona.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol Plan_07_08_2020_Sre Lvi.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol Plan_07_08_2020_Sre Preah.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol Plan_08_08_2020_ADK.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol Plan_08_08_2020_Pu Hoam.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol Plan_08_09_2020_Gati.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol Plan_10_08_2020_Gati.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol Plan_11_08_2020_Pu Keh.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol Plan_11_08_2020_Pu Tang.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol Plan_11_08_2020_Sre I.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol Plan_23_09_2020_Pu Ngoal.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol Plan_25_09_2020_Khtong.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_01_01_2021_Srae Lvi.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_01_05_2021_Khmoum.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_01_09_2020_ADK.pdf	August 26, 2022

REDD_Patrol_01-02_09_2020_Sre I.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_02_06_2021_Pu Keh.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_02_07_2021_ADK.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_02-03_11_2020_Pu Kes.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_02-03_11_2020_Sre I.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_03_11_2020_Sre Lvi.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_03-04_09_2020_Pu Keh.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_04 08 2021_Ou Chra_Ready.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_04_01_2021_ADK.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_04_01_2021_Pu Trom.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_04_03_2021_ADK.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_04-05_11_2020_Ou Rona.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_05_09_2020_Gati.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_05-06_09_2020_ADK.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_06_09_2020_Sre Lvi.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_06-07_09_2020_Sre I.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_07-08 08 2021_Pu Kong_Ready.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_07-08_09_2020_Pu Tang.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_07-08_11_2020_Pu Tang.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_07-08_11_2020_Sre I.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_08_01_2021_Pu Trom.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_08_04_2021_ADK.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_08_05_2021_Khmoum.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_09_09_2020_Gati.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_10 08 2021_Pu Cha_Ready.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_10_04_2021_ADK.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_10_10_2020_ADK.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_10_10_2020_Sre Preah.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_10-11_09_2020_Pu Keh.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_10-11_10_2020_Pu Kesh.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_10-11_12_2020_Ou Rona.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_10-11_12_2020_Pu Keh.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_11_01_2021_ADK.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_11_09_2020_Gati.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_11_09_2020_Sre I.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_11_09_2020_Sre Preah.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_11_10_2020_Hkati.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_11_10_2020_Ou Rona.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_11_12_2020_Hkati.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_11_12_2020_Pu Hoam.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_11-12_01_2021_Pu Rang.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_11-12_11_2020_Pu Kes.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_11-12_12_2020_ADK.pdf	August 26, 2022

REDD_Patrol_11-12_12_2020_Pu Tang.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_12_01_2021_Pu Trom.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_12_05_2021_Pu Keh.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_12_10_2020_ADK.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_12_11_2020_Sre Lvi.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_12-13_01_2021_Pu Tang.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_12-13_11_2020_Ou Rona.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_13_01_2021_ADK.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_13_01_2021_Pu Hoam.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_13_01_2021_Srae I.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_13_05_2021_Pu Keh.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_13_09_2020_Sre I.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_13_10_2020_Ou Rona.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_13-14_05_2021_Pu Ngoal.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_13-14_06_2021_Pu Keh.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_14_04_2021_Srae I.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_14_05_2021_Srae I.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_14_08_2021_Pu Rang_Ready.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_14-15_09_2020_Ou Rona.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_14-15_11_2020_Sre I.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_15 08 2021_Ou Chra_Ready.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_15_09_2020_Gati.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_15_10_2020_Pu Hoam.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_15_10_2020_Sre I.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_15-16 08 2021_Pu Ngoal_Ready.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_15-16_01_2021_Pu Keh.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_15-16_11_2020_Pu Ngoal.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_15-16_12_2020_Ou Rona.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_15-16_12_2020_Pu Tang.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_16_01_2021_Pu Trom.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_16_03_2021_ADK.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_16_05_2021_Pu Ngoal.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_16_05_2021_Srae I.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_16_09_2020_Pu Hoam.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_16_09_2020_Sre I.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_16_09_2020_Sre Lvi.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_16-17_03_2021_Pu Ngoal.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_16-17_10_2020_Pu Kesh.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_16-17_11_2020_Ou Rona.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_16-17_11_2020_Pu Kes.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_16-17_12_2020_Srae I.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_17 08 2021_Hkati_Ready.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_17-18_01_2021_Pu Rang.pdf	August 26, 2022

REDD_Patrol_17-18_11_2020_ADK.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_17-18_12_2020_Pu Ngoal.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_18_04_2021_Ou Rona.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_18_05_2021_Srae I.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_18_07_2021_Gati.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_18_08_2021_Pu Rang_Ready.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_18-19_08_2021_Pu Cha_Ready.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_18-19_01_2021_Pu Hoam.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_18-19_01_2021_Pu Keh.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_18-19_01_2021_Pu Ngoal.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_18-19_07_2021_ADK.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_18-19_10_2020_Ou Rona.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_19_08_2021_Kmoum_Ready.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_19_08_2021_Ou Am_Ready.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_19-20_09_2020_Ou Rona.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_19-20_09_2020_Pu Keh.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_19-20_12_2020_Pu Keh.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_20_07_2021_ADK.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_20_08_2021_Kmoum_Ready.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_20_08_2021_Ou Am_Ready.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_20_09_2020_Sre I.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_20-21_10_2020_Pu Tang.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_20-21_11_2020_Pu Ngoal.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_20-21_12_2020_Pu Tang.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_20-22_10_2020_Pu Hoam.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_20-22_12_2020_ADK.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_21_05_2021_Pu Keh.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_21_10_2020_Sre I.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_21_10_2020_Sre Lvi.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_21_11_2020_Sre I.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_21-22_08_2021_Pu Ngoal_Ready.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_21-22_01_2021_Pu Tang.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_21-22_03_2021_Pu Ngoal.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_21-22_08_2021_Pu Keh_Ready.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_21-22_09_2020_Gati.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_21-22_10_2020_ADK.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_22_01_2021_Ou Rona.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_22_05_2021_Khmoum.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_22_05_2021_Pu Keh.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_22_12_2020_Hkati.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_22-23_09_2020_Pu Tang.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_22-23_10_2020_Ou Rona.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_22-23_12_2020_Srae I.pdf	August 26, 2022

REDD_Patrol_2-3_01_2021_Pu Keh.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_2-3_06_Pu Ngoal.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_23_07_2021_ADK.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_23_08_2021_Pu Rang_Ready.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_23_09_2020_Ou Rona.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_23_10_2020_Sre I.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_23_11_2020_Ou Rona.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_23-24_10_2020_Pu Tang.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_23-24_11_2020_Pu Kes.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_23-24_11_2020_Pu Tang.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_23-24_12_2020_Pu Ngoal.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_24_09_2020_Pu Hoam.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_24_10_2020_ADK.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_25_04_2021_Srae I.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_25_05_2021_ADK.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_25-26_01_2021_Pu Ngoal.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_25-26_01_2021_Pu Rang.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_25-26_09_2020_Pu Keh.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_25-26_10_2020_Pu Kesh.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_26_09_2020_Ou Rona.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_26_09_2020_Sre Lvi.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_26-27_09_2020_ADK.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_26-27_09_2020_Pu Tang.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_26-27_12_2020_Pu Keh.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_26-27_12_2020_Pu Rang.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_27_09_2020_Pu Hoam.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_27_11_2020_ADK.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_28-29_11_2020_Pu Tang.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_29-30_08_2021_Pu Cha_Ready.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_3_12_2020_Pu Hoam.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_4-5_01_2021_Srae I.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_4-5_10_2020_Pu Kesh.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_4-5_12_2020_Ou Rona.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_4-5_12_2020_Pu Keh.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_5_10_2020_ADK.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_5-6_01_2021_Pu Ngoal.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_5-6_01_2021_Pu Rang.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_5-6_01_2021_Pu Tang.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_5-6_08_2021_Pu Keh_Ready.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_5-6_10_2020_Ou Rona.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_5-6_12_2020_Srae I.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_6-7_01_2021_Pu Hoam.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_6-7_12_2020_Pu Ngoal.pdf	August 26, 2022

REDD_Patrol_7_10_2020_Pu Hoam.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_7_12_2020_Pu Hoam.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_7-8_01_2021_ADK.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_7-8_10_2020_Pu Tang.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_8-9_01_2021_Srae I.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_8-9_12_2020_ADK.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_9-10_01_2021_Pu Keh.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_9-10_01_2021_Pu Ngoal.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_9-10_10_2020_Pu Hoam.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_9-10_10_2020_Sre Lvi.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Patrol_9-10_12_2020_Srae I.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Work Plan and Patrol_22_06_2020_Khtong.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Work Plan and Patrol_23_06_2020_Khmoum.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Work Plan and Patrol_24_06_2020_Chak Char.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD+ Patrol_27_08_21_Srae Andoal_Ready.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMART Follow Up and Coaching_Pu Noal_21_11_21.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMART Mobile Coaching_09_06_2021_Rohoot Station.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMART Mobile Coaching_12_06_2021_KSWS HQ.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMART Training_Community Patrol_19_01_2021_KSWS HQ.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMART Training_Community Patrol_21_01_2021_KSWS HQ.pdf	August 26, 2022
Law Enforcement Meeting_27_05_2021_KSWS HQ.pdf	August 26, 2022
Law Enforcement Meeting_29_11_2020_Keo Seima HQ.pdf	August 26, 2022
Law Enforcement Strengthening_19_01_2021_MDK Provincial Hall.pdf	August 26, 2022
Law Enforcement Training_27-30_01_2020 KSWS HQ.PDF	August 26, 2022
Law Enforcement_SMART Training_14_02_2020 KSWS HQ.PDF	August 26, 2022
LE Meeting with Police_09_10_2020_KSWS HQ.pdf	August 26, 2022
LE Meeting with Rangers_09_10_2020_KSWS HQ.pdf	August 26, 2022
LE_05_06_2020_KSWS HQ.pdf	August 26, 2022
LE_10_09_2020_PDoE.pdf	August 26, 2022
LE_11_09_2020_KSWS HQ.pdf	August 26, 2022
LE_22_09_2020_KSWS HQ.pdf	August 26, 2022
LE_District Task Force_Patrol_10_12_2020_Khmoum.pdf	August 26, 2022
LE_District Task Force_Patrol_1-2_12_2020_Chak Char.pdf	August 26, 2022
LE_District Task Force_Patrol_14_12_2020_Pu Hoam.pdf	August 26, 2022
LE_District Task Force_Patrol_15_12_2020_Khmoum.pdf	August 26, 2022
LE_District Task Force_Patrol_27-28_12_2020_Srae Preah.pdf	August 26, 2022
Patrol Plan 6-7_09_2020_Sre Andoal Chak Char.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMART Connect Training_20_10_2020_MDK Office.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMART Database Management Training_21-23_10_2020_PDoE MDK.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMART Training_24-25_07_2020_KSWS HQ.pdf	August 26, 2022
202003_KSWS_CPA_Report_Mar.pdf	August 26, 2022
202004_KSWS_CPA_Report_Apr.pdf	August 26, 2022

202005_KSWS_CP_Report_May.pdf	August 26, 2022
202006_KSWS_CP_Report_Jun.pdf	August 26, 2022
202007_KSWS_CP_Report_Jul.pdf	August 26, 2022
202008_KSWS_CP_Report_Aug.pdf	August 26, 2022
202009_KSWS_CP_Report_Sep.pdf	August 26, 2022
202010_KSWS_CP_Report_Oct.pdf	August 26, 2022
202011_KSWS_CP_Report_Nov.pdf	August 26, 2022
202012_KSWS_CP_Report_Dec.pdf	August 26, 2022
202101_KSWS_SMART_CPT_Report_Jan.pdf	August 26, 2022
202102_KSWS_SMART_CPT_Report_Feb.pdf	August 26, 2022
202103_KSWS_SMART_CPT_Report_Mar.pdf	August 26, 2022
202104_KSWS_SMART_CPT_Report_Apr.pdf	August 26, 2022
202105_KSWS_SMART_CPT_Report_May.pdf	August 26, 2022
202106_KSWS_SMART_CPT_Report_Jun.pdf	August 26, 2022
202107_KSWS_SMART_CPT_Report_Jul.pdf	August 26, 2022
202108_KSWS_SMART_CPT_Report_Aug.pdf	August 26, 2022
202109_KSWS_SMART_CPT_Report_Sep.pdf	August 26, 2022
202110_KSWS_SMART_CPT_Report_Oct.pdf	August 26, 2022
202111_KSWS_SMART_CPT_Report_Nov.pdf	August 26, 2022
202112_KSWS_SMART_CPT_Report_Dec.pdf	August 26, 2022
202001_KSWS_LE_Report_Jan.pdf	August 26, 2022
202002_KSWS_LE_Report_Feb.pdf	August 26, 2022
202003_KSWS_LE_Report_Mar.pdf	August 26, 2022
202004_KSWS_LE_Report_Apr.pdf	August 26, 2022
202005_KSWS_LE_Report_May.pdf	August 26, 2022
202006_KSWS_LE_Report_Jun.pdf	August 26, 2022
202007_KSWS_LE_Report_Jul.pdf	August 26, 2022
202008_KSWS_LE_Report_Aug.pdf	August 26, 2022
202009_KSWS_LE_Report_Sep.pdf	August 26, 2022
202010_KSWS_LE_Report_Oct.pdf	August 26, 2022
202011_KSWS_LE_Report_November.pdf	August 26, 2022
202012_KSWS_LE_Report_Dec.pdf	August 26, 2022
202101_KSWS_LE_Report_Jan.docx	August 26, 2022
202102_KSWS_LE_Report_Feb.docx	August 26, 2022
202103_KSWS_LE_Report_Mar.docx	August 26, 2022
202104_KSWS_LE_Report_Apr.docx	August 26, 2022
202105_KSWS_LE_Report_May.docx	August 26, 2022
202106_KSWS_LE_Report_Jun.docx	August 26, 2022
202107_KSWS_LE_Report_Jul.docx	August 26, 2022
20210707_KSWS_SMART Summaries(Jan to Dec 2020)_LE.xlsx	August 26, 2022
202108_KSWS_LE_Report_Aug.docx	August 26, 2022
202109_KSWS_LE_Report_Sep.docx	August 26, 2022
202110_KSWS_LE_Report_Oct.docx	August 26, 2022

202111_KSWS_LE_Report_Nov.docx	August 26, 2022
20211212_KSWS_LE_Report_December.docx	August 26, 2022
Community Benefit Sharing Report_Final_2017-Sept2020.pdf	August 26, 2022
KSWS REDD+ villages.xlsx	August 26, 2022
KSWS REDD+ villages.zip	August 26, 2022
KSWS villages 2020.jpg	August 26, 2022
Map of Seima Redd and ICT_(120cm x 90cm).pdf	August 26, 2022
Advocacy and Lobby NTFP Policy Training_3-4_09_2020_Diakonia.pdf	August 26, 2022
Bamboo Follow Up_31-01_04_2021_Pu Cha.pdf	August 26, 2022
Bamboo Machine Training_04_08_2020_Pu Cha.pdf	August 26, 2022
By-Law and Internal Rule Workshop_26_10_2020_PP Era Hotel.pdf	August 26, 2022
CF Community Patrol_Srae Roneam Village_07 13 20 and 26 11 21_ Done.pdf	August 26, 2022
CF patrol_Srae Roneam Village_07 13 20 26 and 27 12 21.pdf	August 26, 2022
CF Patrol_Srae Roneam_07 14 18 and 26 10 21_Done.pdf	August 26, 2022
CF Patrol_09 09 21_Srae Roneam_Done.pdf	August 26, 2022
CF Patrol_18 09 21_Srae Roneam_Done.pdf	August 26, 2022
CF Patrol_26 09 21_Srae Roneam_Done.pdf	August 26, 2022
CF_Patrol Coaching_02_06_2021_Srae Roneam.pdf	August 26, 2022
CF_Patrol Follow Up_24_06_2021_Srae Roneam.pdf	August 26, 2022
CF_Patrol Follow Up_31_07_2021_Srae Roneam.pdf	August 26, 2022
CF_Patrol Member Follow Up_29_04_2021_Srae Roneam.pdf	August 26, 2022
CF_Patrol_03_04_2021_Srae Roneam.pdf	August 26, 2022
CF_Patrol_07_03_2021_Srae Roneam.pdf	August 26, 2022
CF_Patrol_07_05_2021_Srae Roneam.pdf	August 26, 2022
CF_Patrol_07_06_2021_Srae Roneam.pdf	August 26, 2022
CF_Patrol_07_07_2021_Srae Roneam.pdf	August 26, 2022
CF_Patrol_11_08_2021_Sre Roneam_Ready.pdf	August 26, 2022
CF_Patrol_12_04_2021_Srae Roneam.pdf	August 26, 2022
CF_Patrol_14_04_2021_Srae Roneam.pdf	August 26, 2022
CF_Patrol_14_07_2021_Srae Roneam.pdf	August 26, 2022
CF_Patrol_15_06_2021_Srae Roneam.pdf	August 26, 2022
CF_Patrol_16_03_2021_Srae Roneam.pdf	August 26, 2022
CF_Patrol_17_05_2021_Srae Roneam.pdf	August 26, 2022
CF_Patrol_17_07_2021_Srae Roneam.pdf	August 26, 2022
CF_Patrol_19_08_2021_Sre Roneam_Ready.pdf	August 26, 2022
CF_Patrol_25_03_2021_Srae Roneam.pdf	August 26, 2022
CF_Patrol_26_04_2021_Srae Roneam.pdf	August 26, 2022
CF_Patrol_26_07_2021_Srae Roneam.pdf	August 26, 2022
CF_Patrol_27_05_2021_Srae Roneam.pdf	August 26, 2022
CF_Patrol_28_03_2021_Srae Roneam.pdf	August 26, 2022
CF_Patrol_and_Community_Support_Meeting_23_08_2021_Sre Roneam_Ready.pdf	August 26, 2022

Community Institutional Management Training_22-23_03_2021_Skpye.pdf	August 26, 2022
Community Patrol Meeting_24_05_2021_PuCha SraeLvi ADK.pdf	August 26, 2022
Community Patrol Meeting_25_05_2021_PuNgoal PuKeh Srel.pdf	August 26, 2022
Cook Stove Distribution Committee_28-29_07_2021_PuKeh Pu Nhav.pdf	August 26, 2022
CPA Bamboo and IBIS Compliance_19_05_2021_Pu Cha.pdf	August 26, 2022
CPA Bamboo and IBIS Compliance_20_05_2021_Pu Kong.pdf	August 26, 2022
CPA consultation meeting on by-law draf_21-23 09 21_Pu Nhav_Done.pdf	August 26, 2022
CPA Consultation meeting on cpa establishment_21 09 21_Pu Ngoal_Done.pdf	August 26, 2022
CPA Consultation meeting on cpa establishment_22 09 21_Pu Keh_Done.pdf	August 26, 2022
CPA facilitation meeting on CPA members registration_17 09 21_Kmoum_Done.pdf	August 26, 2022
CPA Meeting on Karomeas CPA registration_ Srae Andoal Village_ 17 11 21.pdf	August 26, 2022
CPA patrol_ Pu Cha Village_ 05 06 11 and 27 12 21.pdf	August 26, 2022
CPA patrol_ Pu Kong Village_ 05 06 and 27 12 21.pdf	August 26, 2022
CPA patrol_ Pu Nhav Village_ 09 10 18 19 24 25 28 and 29 12 21.pdf	August 26, 2022
CPA Patrol_ Pu Nhav Village_ 14 15 18 19 23 24 29 and 30 11 21_ Done.pdf	August 26, 2022
CPA patrol_ Pu Trom Village_ 07 08 24 25 and 27 12 21.pdf	August 26, 2022
CPA Patrol_ Pu Trom Village_ 09 10 18 19 22 23 and 25 11 21_ Done.pdf	August 26, 2022
CPA patrol_ Srae Andoal Village_ 06 and 07 12 21.pdf	August 26, 2022
CPA Patrol_01 09 21_Pu Nhav_Done.pdf	August 26, 2022
CPA Patrol_08 09 21_Pu Nhav_Done.pdf	August 26, 2022
CPA Patrol_16-17 09 21_Pu Nhav_Done.pdf	August 26, 2022
CPA Patrol_25-26 09 21_Pu Nhav_Done.pdf	August 26, 2022
CPA Patrol_Pu Nhav_06 07 15 16 23 24 27 and 28 10 21_Done.pdf	August 26, 2022
CPA Patrol_Pu Trom Village_08-09 10 21_Done.pdf	August 26, 2022
CPA Patrol_Pu Trom Village_14-15 10 21_Done.pdf	August 26, 2022
CPA Patrol_Pu Trom Village_24-25 10 21_ Done.pdf	August 26, 2022
CPA Potential site discussion meeting_ Srae Andoal Village_ 25 11 2021.pdf	August 26, 2022
CPA_Bamboo Follow Up_04_06_2021_Pu Cha.pdf	August 26, 2022
CPA_Bamboo NRD Visit_17_02_2021_Pu Cha.pdf	August 26, 2022
CPA_Bamboo Team Meeting_12-13_01_2021_Srae Preah CPA.pdf	August 26, 2022
CPA_Bamboo Team Strengthen_03_02_2021_Pu Cha.pdf	August 26, 2022
CPA_Bamboo USAID Visit_17_02_2021_Pu Cha.pdf	August 26, 2022
CPA_Boundary Verification_30-31_01_2021_Ou Pung Rung.pdf	August 26, 2022
CPA_ByLaw Dissemination_18_01_2021_Pu Trom.pdf	August 26, 2022
CPA_ByLaw Dissemination_19_01_2021_Pu Trom.pdf	August 26, 2022

CPA_Committee Election_20_05_2021_Pu Nhav.pdf	August 26, 2022
CPA_Committee Election_21_05_2021_Pu Nhav.pdf	August 26, 2022
CPA_Committee Election_27_05_2021_Pu Nhav.pdf	August 26, 2022
CPA_Establishment Consultation_26_01_2021_Chak Char.pdf	August 26, 2022
CPA_Follow Up Bamboo Team_15-16_02_2021_Pu Cha.pdf	August 26, 2022
CPA_Follow Up Bamboo Team_9-12_02_2021_Pu Cha.pdf	August 26, 2022
CPA_Forest Inventory_24-28_02_2021_Ou Pung Rung.pdf	August 26, 2022
CPA_Inventory Training_23_02_2021_Ou Pung Rung.pdf	August 26, 2022
CPA_Land Data Collection_6-7_02_2021_Ou Chra.pdf	August 26, 2022
CPA_Letter Request_17_05_2021_Memang Commune.pdf	August 26, 2022
CPA_Management Training_27-28_01_2021_Pu Trom.pdf	August 26, 2022
CPA_Managment Training_18-19_02_2021_PechDa Hotel.pdf	August 26, 2022
CPA_Member Follow Up_05_04_2021_Pu Nhav and Pu Kesh.pdf	August 26, 2022
CPA_Member Register Follow Up_07_07_2021_Pu Keh.pdf	August 26, 2022
CPA_Member Register_06_05_2021_Pu Keh.pdf	August 26, 2022
CPA_Member Registration_20_01_2021_Pu Hoam.pdf	August 26, 2022
CPA_NTFFP Interview_06_01_2021_Pu Trom.pdf	August 26, 2022
CPA_NTFFP Interview_21_01_2021_Pu Trom.pdf	August 26, 2022
CPA_NTFFP_04_02_2021_Ou Pun Rung.pdf	August 26, 2022
CPA_O Mphoek Lvie ByLaw Consultation_18-20_08_2021_Pu Nhav_Ready.pdf	August 26, 2022
CPA_Ou Pung Rung Prokas Dissemination_10-12_06_2021_Pu Trom.pdf	August 26, 2022
CPA_Patrol_01_01_2021_Pu Cha.pdf	August 26, 2022
CPA_Patrol_01_07_2021_CPA Forest.pdf	August 26, 2022
CPA_Patrol_02_05_2021_Pu Kong.pdf	August 26, 2022
CPA_Patrol_02_06_2021_CPA Forest.pdf	August 26, 2022
CPA_Patrol_04_05_2021_Ou Pung Rung.pdf	August 26, 2022
CPA_Patrol_04_07_2021_Ou Pung Rung.pdf	August 26, 2022
CPA_Patrol_04_08_2021_Ou Pung Rung_Ready.pdf	August 26, 2022
CPA_Patrol_05_06_2021_Pu Trom.pdf	August 26, 2022
CPA_Patrol_06_03_2021_Sre Preah Forest.pdf	August 26, 2022
CPA_Patrol_07_06_2021_CPA Forest.pdf	August 26, 2022
CPA_Patrol_08_05_2021_Ou Pung Rung.pdf	August 26, 2022
CPA_Patrol_08_05_2021_Pu Kong.pdf	August 26, 2022
CPA_Patrol_08_06_2021_Pu Trom.pdf	August 26, 2022
CPA_Patrol_08_07_2021_Ou Pung Rung.pdf	August 26, 2022
CPA_Patrol_09_01_2021_Pu Cha.pdf	August 26, 2022
CPA_Patrol_09_03_2021_Srae Preah CPA.pdf	August 26, 2022
CPA_Patrol_09_04_2021_Srae Preah CPA.pdf	August 26, 2022
CPA_Patrol_09_06_2021_Pu Kong Forest.pdf	August 26, 2022
CPA_Patrol_09_07_2021_CPA Forest.pdf	August 26, 2022
CPA_Patrol_12_05_2021_Ou Pung Rung.pdf	August 26, 2022

CPA_Patrol_12_06_2021_Pu Trom.pdf	August 26, 2022
CPA_Patrol_12_07_2021_Ou Pung Rung.pdf	August 26, 2022
CPA_Patrol_15_03_2021_Srae Preah CPA.pdf	August 26, 2022
CPA_Patrol_15_08_2021_Ou Pung Rung Ready.pdf	August 26, 2022
CPA_Patrol_16_03_2021_Srae Preah CPA.pdf	August 26, 2022
CPA_Patrol_16_05_2021_Ou Pung Rung.pdf	August 26, 2022
CPA_Patrol_16_06_2021_Pu Trom.pdf	August 26, 2022
CPA_Patrol_16_07_2021_Ou Pung Rung.pdf	August 26, 2022
CPA_Patrol_18_03_2021_Srae Preah CPA.pdf	August 26, 2022
CPA_Patrol_19-20_07_2021_CPA Forest.pdf	August 26, 2022
CPA_Patrol_23_08_2021_Ou Pung Rung Ready.pdf	August 26, 2022
CPA_Patrol_23-24_07_2021_CPA Forest.pdf	August 26, 2022
CPA_Patrol_25_03_2021_Srae Preah CPA.pdf	August 26, 2022
CPA_Patrol_28_04_2021_Srae Preah CPA.pdf	August 26, 2022
CPA_Patrol_29_01_2021_Pu Kong.pdf	August 26, 2022
CPA_Patrol_29-30_06_2021_CPA Forest Pu Kong.pdf	August 26, 2022
CPA_Patrol_29-30_07_2021_CPA Forest Pu Cha.pdf	August 26, 2022
CPA_Patrol_31_03_2021_Srae Preah CPA.pdf	August 26, 2022
CPA_Potential Site Identification_14-15_01_2021_Ph Nhav.pdf	August 26, 2022
CPA_Potential Site Observation_12_02_2021_Khmoum.pdf	August 26, 2022
CPA_Potential Site Result_17_02_2021_Pu Nhav.pdf	August 26, 2022
CPA_Potential Site Result_18_02_2021_Pu Keh.pdf	August 26, 2022
CPA_Potential Site_16_01_2021_Pu Keh.pdf	August 26, 2022
CPA_Potential Site_26-27_01_2021_Pu Keh.pdf	August 26, 2022
CPA_PRA Consultation_16_08_2021_Sre Andoal Ready.pdf	August 26, 2022
CPA_PRA Consultation_24_08_2021_Khmoum Ready.pdf	August 26, 2022
CPA_PRA Consultation_26_08_2021_Sre Andoal Ready.pdf	August 26, 2022
CPA_Register Follow Up_05_02_2021_Pu Hoam.pdf	August 26, 2022
CPA_Register Follow Up_18_03_2021_Pu Keh and Pu Nhav.pdf	August 26, 2022
CPA_Site Check_21-22_02_2021_Ou Pung Rung.pdf	August 26, 2022
CPA_Site Consultation_08_02_2021_Khseum.pdf	August 26, 2022
CPA_Support Committee Role_07_07_2021_Pu Keh.pdf	August 26, 2022
CPA-REDD-Patrol_Committee Members Capacity_14_01_2021_Ou Chra.pdf	August 26, 2022
District Integration Meeting_08_01_2021_Keo Seima Hall.pdf	August 26, 2022
District Task Force Patrol_1-2_05_2021_Pu Kong.pdf	August 26, 2022
District Task Force Patrol_21_05_2021_Pu Kong.pdf	August 26, 2022
District Taskforce Patrol_1-2_06_2021_Roka Thmei.pdf	August 26, 2022
District Taskforce Patrol_13_01_2021_Pu Hoam.pdf	August 26, 2022
District Taskforce Patrol_20_01_2021_Pu Hoam.pdf	August 26, 2022
District Taskforce Patrol_20-21_06_2021_Ou Huch.pdf	August 26, 2022
District Taskforce Patrol_26_01_2021_Ou Am.pdf	August 26, 2022
Eco_11_08_2020_Pu Tang.pdf	August 26, 2022

Eco_11-16_10_2020_Srae Pleng.pdf	August 26, 2022
Eco_12_08_2020_Pu Rang.pdf	August 26, 2022
Eco_13-14_12_2020_Pu Kong.pdf	August 26, 2022
Eco_15_01_2021_Srae Preah CPA.pdf	August 26, 2022
Eco_18-19_06_2020_Pu Tang.pdf	August 26, 2022
Eco_20-21_06_2020_Pu Rang.pdf	August 26, 2022
Eco_21-23_07_2020_Sre Plaeng Pu Tang ADK Pu Rang.pdf	August 26, 2022
Eco_22_10_2020_Pu Tang.pdf	August 26, 2022
Eco_25_09_2020_Pu Tang.pdf	August 26, 2022
Eco_30_09_2020_Pu Hoam.pdf	August 26, 2022
Eco_Elephant Owner_04_02_2021_Pu Tang.pdf	August 26, 2022
ELIE Meeting_03_02_2021_Pu Trom.pdf	August 26, 2022
Field Crime Monitoring_31_03_2021_Pu Kong.pdf	August 26, 2022
Film Facilitation_10-12_08_2021_Ou Chra_Ready.pdf	August 26, 2022
Film Facilitation_27_07_2021_Pu Cha.pdf	August 26, 2022
Financial Management+SMART Training_22-23_06_2020_WCS MDK Office.pdf	August 26, 2022
Financial Management+SMART Training_24-25_06_2020_WCS MDK Office.pdf	August 26, 2022
FPIC Training to GPL_5-8_06_2021_Preah Vihear.pdf	August 26, 2022
IBIS Compliance_15_01_2021_Pu Kong.pdf	August 26, 2022
IBIS Compliance_29_01_2021_Pu Kong.pdf	August 26, 2022
IBIS Rice_Data Collection_11_09_2020_Ou Chra.pdf	August 26, 2022
IBIS Rice_Data Collection_25_09_2020_Pu Kong.pdf	August 26, 2022
IBIS_27_05_2020_Pu Kong.PDF	August 26, 2022
IBIS_28_05_2020_Ou Chra.PDF	August 26, 2022
IBIS_29_05_2020_Pu Cha.PDF	August 26, 2022
IBIS_Compliance_20_10_2020_Ou Chra.pdf	August 26, 2022
IBIS_Land Measurement_18-29_11_2020_Pucha Pukong.pdf	August 26, 2022
IBIS_Land Use and Eco_18_01_2021_Pu Cha.pdf	August 26, 2022
IBIS_Land Use_20_01_2021_Ou Chra.pdf	August 26, 2022
IBIS_Land Use_21-22_01_2021_Ou Chra.pdf	August 26, 2022
ICC Ou Chra_28_01_2021_Srae Preah Commune Hall.pdf	August 26, 2022
ICC_23_09_2020_ADK.pdf	August 26, 2022
ICC_25_11_2020_KSWS HQ.pdf	August 26, 2022
ICLT Committe Meeting_24_03_2021_Pu Keh.pdf	August 26, 2022
ICLT Strengthen_08_03_2021_Pu Keh.pdf	August 26, 2022
ICLT_Commune Meeting_06_03_2021_Memang Commune Hall.pdf	August 26, 2022
ICSO_29-30_09_2020_Preah Vihear.pdf	August 26, 2022
ICSO_ICT_23-24_06_2020_MDK.pdf	August 26, 2022
ICT By Law_28_01_2021_ADK.pdf	August 26, 2022
ICT Celebration recognizing IP community_Pu Keh Village_08 12 21.pdf	August 26, 2022

ICT Celebration recognizing IP community_ Srae I Village_ 09 12 21.pdf	August 26, 2022
ICT Change by-law_11 09 21_ Andoung Kraloeng_ Need to upload.pdf	August 26, 2022
ICT Change by-law_15 09 21_ Srae Lvi_ Need to upload.pdf	August 26, 2022
ICT Change by-law_17 09 21_ Ou Chra_ Need to upload.pdf	August 26, 2022
ICT Community membership updated and collection_ Pu Keh_ 23-30 10 21_Done.pdf	August 26, 2022
ICT Land Title collection_ Ou Chra Village_ 21-25 12 21.pdf	August 26, 2022
ICT Land used training_ 21 09 21_ WCS Office_ Need to upload.pdf	August 26, 2022
ICT Land used training_ 22 09 21_ Srae Lvi_ Need to upload.pdf	August 26, 2022
ICT Managment and Conservation Meeting_ 05_02_ 2021_ Minstry of Interior.pdf	August 26, 2022
ICT Meeting on community membership updating_ Kmoum Village_ 08 11 21.pdf	August 26, 2022
ICT Meeting on membership updated_ Chak Cha Village_ 01-07 12 21.pdf	August 26, 2022
ICT Meeting on membership updated_ Ou Rona Village_ 02-09 12 21.pdf	August 26, 2022
ICT Meeting on membership updated_ Pu Kong Village_ 01-07 12 21.pdf	August 26, 2022
ICT Member updated 14-21.09.2021.pdf	August 26, 2022
ICT Member updated and Establishment CPA_ 23 09 21_ Pu Keh_ Done.pdf	August 26, 2022
ICT Member updated_ 10-12 09 21_ Hkati_ Need Report.pdf	August 26, 2022
ICT Member updated_ 14 09 21_ Srae Andoal_ Done.pdf	August 26, 2022
ICT Member updated_ 24 09 21_ Srae Lvi_ Need to upload.pdf	August 26, 2022
ICT Reflection land issue_ 16 09 21_ Ou Chra_ Need to upload.pdf	August 26, 2022
ICT Training on Land inventory_ Anduong Kraloeng Village_ 24 12 21.pdf	August 26, 2022
ICT_ 04_02_ 2020 PDoE.PDF	August 26, 2022
ICT_ 08_01_ 2020 MoE.PDF	August 26, 2022
ICT_ 10_09_ 2020_ Sre Lvi.pdf	August 26, 2022
ICT_ 11_01_ 2020 ADK.PDF	August 26, 2022
ICT_ 12_08_ 2020_ Ou Rona.pdf	August 26, 2022
ICT_ 14_09_ 2020_ ADK.pdf	August 26, 2022
ICT_ 17_01_ 2020 ADK.PDF	August 26, 2022
ICT_ 17_02_ 2020 ADK.PDF	August 26, 2022
ICT_ 17_06_ 2020_ Sre I.pdf	August 26, 2022
ICT_ 18_06_ 2020_ Sre I.pdf	August 26, 2022
ICT_ 22_07_ 2020_ Sre Lvi.pdf	August 26, 2022
ICT_ 23-24_01_ 2020 ADK.PDF	August 26, 2022
ICT_ 24_09_ 2020_ ADK.pdf	August 26, 2022
ICT_ 25_11_ 2020_ Srae Lvi.pdf	August 26, 2022
ICT_ 25-28_07_ 2020_ Sre Lvi.pdf	August 26, 2022
ICT_ 26_01_ 2020 ADK.PDF	August 26, 2022

ICT_29_01_2020 ADK.PDF	August 26, 2022
ICT_30_01_2020 ADK.PDF	August 26, 2022
ICT_ICC Checking and Land Conflict_12_01_2021_Saen Monourom Hall.pdf	August 26, 2022
ICT_Land Conflict Disucussion_02_08_2021_ADK_Ready.pdf	August 26, 2022
ICT_Land Demarcation Info_27_02_2021_Pu Keh.pdf	August 26, 2022
ICT_Land Monitoring_11_09_2020_Sre Lvi.pdf	August 26, 2022
ICT_Ou Chra ICC Meeting_13_01_2021_DPA Office.pdf	August 26, 2022
ICT_strenghten meeting_11_02_2021_WCS MDK Office.pdf	August 26, 2022
ICT_Strengthening_13_10_2020_Pu Kes.pdf	August 26, 2022
ICT_Strengthening_14_10_2020_Sre I.pdf	August 26, 2022
ICT_Strengthening_20_10_2020_ADK.pdf	August 26, 2022
ICT_Strengthening_21_10_2020_Ou Rona.pdf	August 26, 2022
KWSMP Meeting_16_10_2020_MoE.pdf	August 26, 2022
KWSMP_Final Draft Meeting_24_12_2020_MoE.pdf	August 26, 2022
Land Conflict Meeting_05_03_2021_Saen Monourom Commune Hall.pdf	August 26, 2022
Land Measure_27-31_07_2020_KWS.pdf	August 26, 2022
Land Measurement Monitoring_1-6_03_2021_OuChra PuKong.pdf	August 26, 2022
Land Measurement Monitoring_22-24_02_2021_Srae Andoal.pdf	August 26, 2022
Lavka CPA bylaw Consultation_29_09_2021_Lavka.pdf	August 26, 2022
LH Meeting for saving group creating_21_09_21_Hkati_Done.pdf	August 26, 2022
LH Meeting for saving group creating_23_09_21_Srae I_Done.pdf	August 26, 2022
LH Meeting of livelihoods data collection_27_09_21_Pu Keh_Done.pdf	August 26, 2022
LH Meeting on Cattle raising by law_ Ou Chra Village_21_12_21.pdf	August 26, 2022
LH Meeting on Cattle raising by law_ Pu Kong Village_20_12_21.pdf	August 26, 2022
LH Meeting on final saving group by law_ Hkati Village_14_12_21.pdf	August 26, 2022
LH Meeting on saving group committee election_ Pu Tang Village_15_12_21.pdf	August 26, 2022
LH Meeting on saving group dissemination_ Pu Hoam Village_16_12_21.pdf	August 26, 2022
LH Meeting on saving group dissemination_ Pu Keh Village_22_12_21.pdf	August 26, 2022
LH Meeting on saving group dissemination_ Pu Rang Village_15_12_21.pdf	August 26, 2022
LH Seving group committee by law draft_ Hkati Village_21_11_21.pdf	August 26, 2022
Livelihood and Eco_10_06_2020_Pu Hoam.pdf	August 26, 2022
Livelihood_Home Garden Check_3-4_02_2021_Pu Tang and Pu Hoam.pdf	August 26, 2022
Namlea CPA bylaw Consultation_28_09_2021_Namleav.pdf	August 26, 2022
Patrol guideline meeting_14_09_21_Pu Nhav_Done.pdf	August 26, 2022
Patrol guideline meeting_15_09_21_Pu Ngoal_Done.pdf	August 26, 2022
Patrol guideline meeting_23_09_21_Srae Roneam_Done.pdf	August 26, 2022
Patrol_Guideline Meeting_16_08_2021_Sre Andoal_Ready.pdf	August 26, 2022

Patrol_Guideline Meeting_17_08_2021_Ou Am_Ready.pdf	August 26, 2022
Patrol_Guideline Meeting_18_08_2021_Ou Rona_Ready.pdf	August 26, 2022
Patrol_Guideline Meeting_18_08_2021_Sre Lvi_Ready.pdf	August 26, 2022
Patrol_Guideline Meeting_26_08_2021_Ou Chra_Ready.pdf	August 26, 2022
Patrol_Guideline Meeting_26_08_2021_Sre Preah_Ready.pdf	August 26, 2022
photo_2021-10-02_17-30-44_ICT_180921.pdf	August 26, 2022
photo_2021-10-02_17-30-44_ICT_190921.pdf	August 26, 2022
photo_2021-10-02_17-30-44_ICT_200921.pdf	August 26, 2022
photo_2021-10-02_17-30-44_ICT_210921.pdf	August 26, 2022
Prey Lang Camping_14-15_02_2021_Kratie.pdf	August 26, 2022
Public Forum_12_10_2020_Srae Preah.pdf	August 26, 2022
Public Forum_26_11_2020_Memang.pdf	August 26, 2022
Ranger Basic Skill Training_14-18_02_2021_KSWS HQ.pdf	August 26, 2022
Ranger Basic Skill Training_8-12_02_2021_KSWS HQ.pdf	August 26, 2022
Ranger Training_25-29_01_2021_KSWS HQ.pdf	August 26, 2022
Ranger Training_25-29_01_2021_KSWS HQ_with GD.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD Community visit by USAID Donor_Pu Tang and Pu Cha Village_17 11 21.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD Compliance meeting_Pu Kesh_15 10 21_Done.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD Consultation_10-11_08_2020_Pu Hoam and ADK.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD Consultation_15_07_2020_Ou Am.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD Consultation_16_07_2020_Pu Keh.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD Consultation_17_07_2020_Pu Ngoal.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD Consultation_22_07_2020_Ou Rona.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD Cook stove distribution_Pu Trom Village_23 11 21.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD Cook stove distribution_Srae I Village_22 11 21.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD Evaluation_14_08_2020_ADK.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD Evaluation_14_08_2020_Pu Hoam.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD Event_21_01_2020_ADK.PDF	August 26, 2022
REDD Exposure Study for GPL_25-26_08_2020_MDK.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD Field Verification_11-12_08_2020_WCS MDK.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD Food providing to student in Hkati School_15 09 21_Hkati.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD land clearance Ibis Rice_21-22 09 21_Pu Kong_Done.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD land clearance Ibis Rice_23-24 09 21_Ou Chra_Done.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD land clearance Ibis Rice_25 09 21_Pu Char_Done.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD Meeting on Budget diversion_Chak Cha Village_24 11 21.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD Meeting on budget diversion_Pu Trom Village_20 12 21.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD Meeting on budget diversion_Ktung_21 10 21_Done.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD Meeting on budget diversion_Pu Rang_25 10 21_Done.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD Meeting on Community future long term plan_Ou Am Village_14 11 21.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD Monthly clearance and work plan_26 09 21_Ou Rona_Done.pdf	August 26, 2022

REDD Monthly clearance and work plan_28 09 21_Srae Preah_Done.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD Monthly plan meeting_Ou Rona village_28 10 21_Done.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD Monthly plan meeting_Srae I village_27 10 21_Done.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD Project Sharing_21_03_2021_Pu Tang.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD Signing Agreement_09_07_2020_KSWH HQ.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD Signing Agreement_24_07_2020_Pu Hoam.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD Verification 13_08_2020_Pu Cha.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD Village Focal Person Orientation_WCS Office_10 11 21.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD Village focal point interview_14 09 21_Pu Ngoal_Done.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD Village focal point interview_20 09 21_Srae I_Done.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD Village focal point interview_25 09 21_Ou Am_Done.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD Village focal point interview_26 09 21_Ktung_Done.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD Village focal point selection_Ou Am_22 10 21_Done.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD Work Plan_14_07_2020_Pu Trom.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_7-8_09_2020_Pu Rang.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Advance Coaching_16_07_2021_PuCha PuKong.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Advance Coaching_17_07_2021_OuChra.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Agreement Signature_13_06_2021_Khmoum.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Annual Result_16_02_2021_Pu Keh.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Annual Result_17_02_2021_Pu Ngoal.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Annual Result_18_02_2021_Srae I.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Annual Result_23_02_2021_Pu Tang.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Bank Account Thumbprint_13_08_2020_Pu Rang.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Budget Advance_11_07_2021_Srae Andoal.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Budget Advance_12_07_2021_Srae I.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Changing Committee Members_12_08_2020_Pu Trom.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Changing Committee Members_14_08_2020_Sre Lvi and Ou Rona.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Changing Committee Members_15_08_2020_Hkati.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Changing Committee Members_16_08_2020_Pu Kong.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Changing Committee Members_25_08_2020_Ou Chra.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Clean Water_02_03_2021_Srae I.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Committee Selection_10_06_2021_Pu Hoam.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Committee Strengthening_18_08_2021_Sre I_Ready.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Consultation_04_03_2020_Pu Trom.PDF	August 26, 2022
REDD_Consultation_05_03_2020_Pu Tang.PDF	August 26, 2022
REDD_Consultation_06_03_2020_Sre I.PDF	August 26, 2022
REDD_Consultation_09_03_2020_ADK.PDF	August 26, 2022
REDD_Consultation_09_03_2020_Srae Preah.PDF	August 26, 2022
REDD_Consultation_10_03_2020_Pu Hoam.PDF	August 26, 2022
REDD_Consultation_10_03_2020_Pu Kong.PDF	August 26, 2022
REDD_Consultation_11_03_2020_Ou Chra.pdf	August 26, 2022

REDD_Consultation_11_03_2020_Pu Rang.PDF	August 26, 2022
REDD_Consultation_12_03_2020_Chak Char.PDF	August 26, 2022
REDD_Consultation_12_03_2020_Pu Cha.PDF	August 26, 2022
REDD_Consultation_13_03_2020_Sre Andoal.PDF	August 26, 2022
REDD_Consultation_13_03_2020_Gatie.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Consultation_13_03_2020_Khmoum.PDF	August 26, 2022
REDD_Consultation_14_03_2020_Khtong.PDF	August 26, 2022
REDD_Consultation_16_03_2020_Sre Lvi.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Detailed Plan and Sign Agreement_17_12_2020_Ou Am.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Detailed Plan and Sign Agreement_18_12_2020_Srae Lvi.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Detailed Plan and Sign Agreement_19_12_2020_Ou Rona.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Detailed Plan and Sign Agreement_21_12_2020_Pu Hoam.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Detailed Plan and Sign Agreement_22_12_2020_Pu Rang.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Detailed Plan and Sign Agreement_23_12_2020_Pu Tang.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Detailed Plan and Sign Agreement_24_12_2020_Chak Char.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Detailed Plan and Sign Agreement_24_12_2020_Srae I.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Detailed Plan and Sign Agreement_25_12_2020_Khtong.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Detailed Plan and Sign Agreement_25_12_2020_Pu Trom.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Detailed Plan and Sign Agreement_27_12_2020_Hkati.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Detailed Plan and Sign Agreement_27_12_2020_Pu Ngoal.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Detailed Plan and Sign Agreement_28_12_2020_Pu Keh.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Detailed Plan and Sign Agreement_28_12_2020_Srae Preah.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Detailed Plan and Sign Agreement_29_12_2020_ADK.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Financial_20_01_2021_Keo Seima Hall.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Financial_22_01_2021_Keo Seima Hall.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Financial_23_01_2021_WCS MDK.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Focal Person Selection Dissemination_20_07_2021_Srae I.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Focal Person Selection Dissemination_21_07_2021_Ou Rona.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Focal Person Selection Dissemination_22_07_2021_Srae Lvi.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Follow up Community Budget_24_08_2020_Pu Trom.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Land Measurement_1-3_08_2020_Gati.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Livelihood PRA (Pilot)_10_02_2021_Pu Tang.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Livelihood PRA and CPA_Member Registration_25_02_2021_Pu Hoam.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Livelihood PRA_19_02_2021_Pu Rang.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Livelihood PRA_20_02_2021_Pu Tang.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Livelihood PRA_22_02_2021_Srae I.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Livelihood PRA_25_02_2021_Srae Preah.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Livelihood PRA_26_02_2021_Hkati.pdf	August 26, 2022

REDD_Procurement Committee_19_02_2021_Romonea Commune Hall.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Procurement Committee_22_02_2021_KSWS HQ.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Procurement Committee_23_02_2021_Saen Monourom Hall.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Pu Hoam Budget Clearance_27_08_2021_WCS Office_Ready.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Pu Ngoal Budget Clearance_23_08_2021_Pu Ngoal_Ready.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Pu Rang Budget Clearance_25_08_2021_WCS Office_Ready.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Road Repair and ICT_Committee Strengthen_18_02_2021_Kmoum.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Specimen Change_7-14_10_2020_ACLEDA Keo Seima.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Specimen_11-30_11_2020_ACLEDA Bank.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Sre I Budget Clearance_29_08_2021_Sre I_Ready.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Updating Committee_26_02_2021_Ou Am.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Village Information Collection_22_10_2020_Pu Ngoal.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Village Information Collection_22_10_2020_Sre I.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Work Plan and Sign Agreement_16_12_2020_Pu Cha.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Work Plan and Sign Agreement_17_12_2020_Pu Kong.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Work Plan and Sign Agreement_18_12_2020_Ou Chra.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Work Plan and Sign Agreement_22_12_2020_Srae Andoal.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Work Plan and Sign Agreement_23_12_2020_Khmoum.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Work Plan_15_06_2020_Pu Kong.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Work Plan_16_06_2020_Pu Cha.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Work Plan_17_06_2020_Ou Chra.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Work Plan_27_11_2020_Pu Ngoal.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Work Plan_27_11_2020_Sre I.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Work Plan_28_11_2020_Pu Keh.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Workplan_08_10_2020_Pu Tang.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Workplan_09_10_2020_Andoung Kraloeng.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Workplan_12_10_2020_Ou Rona.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Workplan_12_10_2020_Pu Cha.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Workplan_13_10_2020_Sre Lvi.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Workplan_14_10_2020_Gati.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Workplan_22_10_2020_Pu Hoam.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Workplan_22_10_2020_Pu Rang.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Workplan_23_10_2020_Ou Am.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Workplan_23_10_2020_Sre Preah.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Workplan_24_10_2020_Pu Trom.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMART_Follow Up_14-15_10_2020_Sre Preah CPA.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMART_Follow Up_16_10_2020_Sre Pleng.pdf	August 26, 2022
Stove Distribution Meeting_25_05_2021_Saen Monourom Commune.pdf	August 26, 2022

Stove Distrbution Meeting_26_05_2021_Romonea Commune.pdf	August 26, 2022
Stove Distrbution Meeting_27_05_2021_Memang Commune.pdf	August 26, 2022
Stove Distrbution Meeting_27_05_2021_Srae Khtum Commune.pdf	August 26, 2022
Stove Distrbution Meeting_31_05_2021_Srae Preah.pdf	August 26, 2022
Workshop on NTFP Tax Exemption_11_09_2020_Tonle Bassac.pdf	August 26, 2022
22-07_3 quote comp_O flights.xlsx.xls	August 26, 2022
Issued_Deika CPAMCs Sre Preah.pdf	August 26, 2022
MoE Prakas Recognition O Mpheuk-Lvie.pdf	August 26, 2022
MOE Recognition O Pung Rong.pdf	August 26, 2022
OG flight quote 1.docx	August 26, 2022
Sre Preah CPA MoE Recognition.pdf	August 26, 2022
CPA_O Mphoek Lvie.CPG	August 26, 2022
CPA_O Mphoek Lvie.dbf	August 26, 2022
CPA_O Mphoek Lvie.prj	August 26, 2022
CPA_O Mphoek Lvie.sbn	August 26, 2022
CPA_O Mphoek Lvie.sbx	August 26, 2022
CPA_O Mphoek Lvie.shp	August 26, 2022
CPA_O Mphoek Lvie.shp.xml	August 26, 2022
CPA_O Mphoek Lvie.shx	August 26, 2022
CPA_O_Pong_Rong.CPG	August 26, 2022
CPA_O_Pong_Rong.dbf	August 26, 2022
CPA_O_Pong_Rong.prj	August 26, 2022
CPA_O_Pong_Rong.sbn	August 26, 2022
CPA_O_Pong_Rong.sbx	August 26, 2022
CPA_O_Pong_Rong.shp	August 26, 2022
CPA_O_Pong_Rong.shp.xml	August 26, 2022
CPA_O_Pong_Rong.shx	August 26, 2022
CPA_Sre Preah.CPG	August 26, 2022
CPA_Sre Preah.dbf	August 26, 2022
CPA_Sre Preah.prj	August 26, 2022
CPA_Sre Preah.sbn	August 26, 2022
CPA_Sre Preah.sbx	August 26, 2022
CPA_Sre Preah.shp	August 26, 2022
CPA_Sre Preah.shp.xml	August 26, 2022
CPA_Sre Preah.shx	August 26, 2022
See WHI and ELIE in 07_Partner orgs.txt	August 26, 2022
Poster_1_KSWS_Climate Change.pdf	August 26, 2022
Poster_2_KSWS_Benefit of REDD+.pdf	August 26, 2022
1. Village Profile_Pu Keh (0.841m x 1.189 m).pdf	August 26, 2022
12. Village Profile_Chak Char (0.841m x 1.189 m).pdf	August 26, 2022
13. Village Profile_Pu Kong (0.841m x 1.189 m).pdf	August 26, 2022
14. Village Profile_Gati (0.841m x 1.189 m).pdf	August 26, 2022
15. Village Profile_Ou Chra (0.841m x 1.189 m).pdf	August 26, 2022

16. Village Profile_Sre Preah (0.841m x 1.189 m).pdf	August 26, 2022
17. Village Profile_Pu Char (0.841m x 1.189 m).pdf	August 26, 2022
18. Village Profile_Pu Hiam(0.841m x 1.189 m).pdf	August 26, 2022
19. Village Profile_Pu Rang (0.841m x 1.189 m).pdf	August 26, 2022
2. Village Profile_Pu Ngoal (0.841m x 1.189 m).pdf	August 26, 2022
20. Village Profile_Andong Kralong (0.841m x 1.189 m).pdf	August 26, 2022
3. Village Profile_Pu Tang (0.841m x 1.189 m).pdf	August 26, 2022
4. Village Profile_Sre I (0.841m x 1.189 m).pdf	August 26, 2022
6. Village Profile_Ou Am (0.841m x 1.189 m).pdf	August 26, 2022
7. Village Profile_Ou Rona (0.841m x 1.189 m).pdf	August 26, 2022
8. Village Profile_Sre Lvi (0.841m x 1.189 m).pdf	August 26, 2022
9. Village Profile_Khtung (0.841m x 1.189 m).pdf	August 26, 2022
Bio Find and Nest Protect_Srae Chhuk area_14-21 10 21_Done.pdf	August 26, 2022
Bio Find and Nest Protect_Srae Chhuk area_24-29 10 21_Done.pdf	August 26, 2022
Bio Find bird nest_12-18 09 21_Srae Chhuk area_Done.pdf	August 26, 2022
Bio Find bird nest_22-28 09 21_Srae Chhuk area_Done.pdf	August 26, 2022
Bio Human and Wildlife conflict_12-16 09 21_Ou Am_Done.pdf	August 26, 2022
Bio HWC_15-23_04_2020_Ou Reang Keo Seima Pu Trom.PDF	August 26, 2022
Bio HWC_16-21_05_2020_Ou Reang Keo Seima.PDF	August 26, 2022
Bio HWC_1-7_04_2020_Ou Reang Keo Seima.PDF	August 26, 2022
Bio HWC_1-8_05_2020_Ou Reang Keo Seima Pu Trom.PDF	August 26, 2022
Bio HWC_20-31_03_2020_Ou Reang Keo Seima.PDF	August 26, 2022
Bio HWC_24-29_05_2020_Ou Reang.PDF	August 26, 2022
Bio HWC_24-30_04_2020_Ou Reang Keo Seima Pu Trom.PDF	August 26, 2022
Bio HWC_8-14_04_2020_Ou Reang Keo Seima.PDF	August 26, 2022
Bio HWC_9-15_05_2020_Ou Reang Keo Seima.PDF	August 26, 2022
Bio HWC_Srae Khtung, Ou Rona, Ou Am Village_14-21 10 21_Done.pdf	August 26, 2022
Bio Line transect survey_ Ou Daklea T03_ 23-28 12 21.pdf	August 26, 2022
Bio Line transect survey_ Ou Pam T07_ 23-28 12 21.pdf	August 26, 2022
Bio Line transect survey_ Ou Rang T12_ 23-28 12 21.pdf	August 26, 2022
Bio snare and camera trapping_KM159_14-21 10 21_Done.pdf	August 26, 2022
Bio snare_12-18 09 21_Doeum Chambok, Srae Chhuk_Done.pdf	August 26, 2022
Bio Training on tree climbing_Srae Chhuk_25-29 10 21_Done.pdf	August 26, 2022
Bio_Birdnest_10-14_09_2020_Sre Chhuk.pdf	August 26, 2022
Bio_Birdnest_10-16_10_2020_Sre Chhuk.pdf	August 26, 2022
Bio_BirdNest_14-20_11_2020_Srae Chhuk.pdf	August 26, 2022
Bio_Birdnest_20-23_10_2020_Sre Chhuk.pdf	August 26, 2022
Bio_Birdnest_23-29_09_2020_Sre Chhuk.pdf	August 26, 2022
Bio_Camera and Snare_10-15_08_2020_Hkati.pdf	August 26, 2022
Bio_Camera and Snare_10-16_10_2020_Sre Plaeng.pdf	August 26, 2022
Bio_Camera and Snare_12-18_12_2020_Srae Plaeng.pdf	August 26, 2022
Bio_Camera and Snare_12-19_07_2020_Sre Plaeng T08.pdf	August 26, 2022

Bio_Camera and Snare_14-20_11_2020_Trapeang Yav.pdf	August 26, 2022
Bio_Camera and Snare_20-23_10_2020_Km 157.pdf	August 26, 2022
Bio_Camera and Snare_22-29_12_2020_Srae Plaeng.pdf	August 26, 2022
Bio_Camera and Snare_23-29_07_2020_Sre Plaeng T09.pdf	August 26, 2022
Bio_Camera and Snare_26-30_08_2020_Hkati.pdf	August 26, 2022
Bio_Camera Trap Training_25-26_11_2020_Banteay Mean Chey.pdf	August 26, 2022
Bio_Elephant Presentation_14_07_2020_EVP.pdf	August 26, 2022
Bio_Film_9-11_07_2021_KM175.pdf	August 26, 2022
Bio_Forest_12-16_12_2020_T11&T06.pdf	August 26, 2022
Bio_HWC_01-03_04_2021_Pu Trom.pdf	August 26, 2022
Bio_HWC_09-16_10_2020_KSWS.pdf	August 26, 2022
Bio_HWC_10-14_09_2020_ADK.pdf	August 26, 2022
Bio_HWC_10-15_08_2020_Keo Seima.pdf	August 26, 2022
Bio_HWC_11-17_02_2021.pdf	August 26, 2022
Bio_HWC_12-16_07_2020_ADK.pdf	August 26, 2022
Bio_HWC_12-18_06_2021_Ou Am.pdf	August 26, 2022
Bio_HWC_12-21_06_2020_Keo Seima Ou Reang.pdf	August 26, 2022
Bio_HWC_12-21_1_2020_Ou Am.PDF	August 26, 2022
Bio_HWC_1-3_03_2020_ADK.pdf	August 26, 2022
Bio_HWC_13-18_05_2021_Ou Am.pdf	August 26, 2022
Bio_HWC_13-19_07_2021_Ou Rona.pdf	August 26, 2022
Bio_HWC_13-19_11_2020_KSWS.pdf	August 26, 2022
Bio_HWC_13-20_01_2021_Ou Am.pdf	August 26, 2022
Bio_HWC_14-16_03_2021_Chung Rang.pdf	August 26, 2022
Bio_HWC_16-17_03_2020_KSWS.pdf	August 26, 2022
Bio_HWC_17-23_02_2020_KSWS.pdf	August 26, 2022
Bio_HWC_17-30_04_2021_Keo Seima.pdf	August 26, 2022
Bio_HWC_18-21_07_2020_Ou Reang.pdf	August 26, 2022
Bio_HWC_18-27_03_2021_Chung Rang.pdf	August 26, 2022
Bio_HWC_20-23_10_2020_ADK.pdf	August 26, 2022
Bio_HWC_20-29_08_21_Ou Am Village_Ready.pdf	August 26, 2022
Bio_HWC_21-27_02_2021.pdf	August 26, 2022
Bio_HWC_22-29_05_2021_Keo Seima.pdf	August 26, 2022
Bio_HWC_22-29_09_2020_Keo Seima.pdf	August 26, 2022
Bio_HWC_23-28_06_2021_Ou Am.pdf	August 26, 2022
Bio_HWC_23-30_01_2021_ADK.pdf	August 26, 2022
Bio_HWC_23-30_1_202_Keo Seima.PDF	August 26, 2022
Bio_HWC_24-29_06_2020_Ou Reang.pdf	August 26, 2022
Bio_HWC_26-30_07_2021_ADK.pdf	August 26, 2022
Bio_HWC_26-30_08_2020_ADK PuRola ChungRang.pdf	August 26, 2022
Bio_HWC_27-29_02_2020_Ou Reang.pdf	August 26, 2022
Bio_HWC_9-10_05_2021_Ou Am and ADK.pdf	August 26, 2022
Bio_IBIS Nest_10-15_08_2020_Sre Chhuk.pdf	August 26, 2022

Bio_IBIS Nest_11-15_07_2020_Sre Chhuk.pdf	August 26, 2022
Bio_IBIS Nest_19-29_07_2020_Sre Chhuk.pdf	August 26, 2022
Bio_IBIS Nest_26-30_08_2020_Sre Chhuk.pdf	August 26, 2022
Bio_IBIS_13-19_06_2020_Sre Chhuk.pdf	August 26, 2022
Bio_IBIS_24-29_06_2020_Sre Chhuk.pdf	August 26, 2022
Bio_Nest Protect_15-21_08_21_Srae Chhuk area_Ready.pdf	August 26, 2022
Bio_Nest Protect_25-29_08_21_Srae Chhuk area_Ready.pdf	August 26, 2022
Bio_Nest Protection_13-19_07_2021_Srae Chhuk.pdf	August 26, 2022
Bio_Nest Protection_26-30_07_2021_Srae Chhuk.pdf	August 26, 2022
Bio_Praek Toal Field Visit_27_11_2020_Battambang.pdf	August 26, 2022
Bio_Presentation_28_09_2020_PDoE.pdf	August 26, 2022
Bio_Snare and Camera Trapping_03_05_2021_Pu Trom.pdf	August 26, 2022
Bio_Snare and Camera Trapping_12-18_05_2021_T10.pdf	August 26, 2022
Bio_Snare and Camera Trapping_12-18_06_2021_Srae Chhuk.pdf	August 26, 2022
Bio_Snare and Camera Trapping_12-18_07_2021_Srae Plaeng.pdf	August 26, 2022
Bio_Snare and Camera Trapping_22-29_05_2021_Srae Yev.pdf	August 26, 2022
Bio_Snare and Camera Trapping_23-28_06_2021_KM175.pdf	August 26, 2022
Bio_Snare and Camera Trapping_23-29_04_2021_KM 159&173.pdf	August 26, 2022
Bio_Snare and Camera Trapping_26-30_07_2021_Km 163.pdf	August 26, 2022
Bio_Snare and Camera Trapping_2-9_04_2021_Srae Plaeng.pdf	August 26, 2022
Bio_Snare and Patrol_11-17_02_2021_T04.pdf	August 26, 2022
Bio_Snare and Patrol_11-18_03_2021_Srae Chhuk.pdf	August 26, 2022
Bio_Snare and Patrol_14-19_01_2021_KM175.pdf	August 26, 2022
Bio_Snare and Patrol_21-27_02_2021_T11.pdf	August 26, 2022
Bio_Snare and Patrol_22-27_03_2021_Ou Parm.pdf	August 26, 2022
Bio_Snare and Patrol_24-30_01_2021_Srae Plaeng.pdf	August 26, 2022
Bio_Snare Patrol_10-14_09_2020_Sre Lvi.pdf	August 26, 2022
Bio_Snare Patrol_23-29_09_2020_Km 159.pdf	August 26, 2022
Bio_Training_15-16_01_2020_WCS MDK.pdf	August 26, 2022
Bio_Transect Survey_12-17_03_2020_Kapoa Kapay.pdf	August 26, 2022
Bio_Transect Survey_12-17_03_2020_Len Nes.pdf	August 26, 2022
Bio_Transect Survey_12-17_03_2020_Sre Kokkrev.pdf	August 26, 2022
Bio_Transect Survey_12-17_03_2020-Taong Ket.pdf	August 26, 2022
Bio_Transect Survey_12-17_03_2020_Trang Koet.pdf	August 26, 2022
Bio_Transect Survey_1-3_03_2020_Chung Rang.pdf	August 26, 2022
Bio_Transect Survey_1-3_03_2020_Ou Reang.pdf	August 26, 2022
Bio_Transect Survey_1-3_03_2020_Roka ThmeiT27.pdf	August 26, 2022
Bio_Transect Survey_1-3_03_2020_Roka ThmeiT29.pdf	August 26, 2022
Bio_Transect Survey_1-3_03_2020_Roka ThmeiT36.pdf	August 26, 2022
Bio_Transect Survey_1-5_05_2020_Ou Chum T1.PDF	August 26, 2022
Bio_Transect Survey_1-5_05_2020_T02.PDF	August 26, 2022
Bio_Transect Survey_1-5_05_2020_Trapeang Yav T17.PDF	August 26, 2022
Bio_Transect Survey_16-21_05_2020_T04.PDF	August 26, 2022

Bio_Transect Survey_16-21_05_2020_T05.PDF	August 26, 2022
Bio_Transect Survey_16-21_05_2020_T08.PDF	August 26, 2022
Bio_Transect Survey_16-21_05_2020_T32.PDF	August 26, 2022
Bio_Transect Survey_16-21_05_2020_T33.PDF	August 26, 2022
Bio_Transect Survey_18-23_01_2020_Ou Theang T13.pdf	August 26, 2022
Bio_Transect Survey_18-23_01_2020_Ou Theang T21.pdf	August 26, 2022
Bio_Transect Survey_18-23_01_2020_Ou Theang T22.pdf	August 26, 2022
Bio_Transect Survey_18-23_01_2020_Srae Andoal T14.pdf	August 26, 2022
Bio_Transect Survey_21-26_04_2020_Lapakhe Ou Chum T01.pdf	August 26, 2022
Bio_Transect Survey_21-26_04_2020_Ou Parm T03.pdf	August 26, 2022
Bio_Transect Survey_21-26_04_2020_Ou Parm T07.pdf	August 26, 2022
Bio_Transect Survey_23-28_03_2020_Ou Plas Russei.pdf	August 26, 2022
Bio_Transect Survey_23-28_03_2020_Trapeang KhlongT25.pdf	August 26, 2022
Bio_Transect Survey_23-28_03_2020_Trapeang KhlongT26.pdf	August 26, 2022
Bio_Transect Survey_25-30_05_2020_T06.PDF	August 26, 2022
Bio_Transect Survey_25-30_05_2020_T09.PDF	August 26, 2022
Bio_Transect Survey_25-30_05_2020_T30.PDF	August 26, 2022
Bio_Transect Survey_25-30_05_2020_T31.PDF	August 26, 2022
Bio_Transect Survey_25-30_05_2020_T37.PDF	August 26, 2022
Bio_Transect Survey_27-29_01_2020_Chung Rang T28.pdf	August 26, 2022
Bio_Transect Survey_27-29_01_2020_Ou Reang T12.pdf	August 26, 2022
Bio_Transect Survey_27-29_01_2020_Roka Thmei T27.pdf	August 26, 2022
Bio_Transect Survey_27-29_01_2020_Roka Thmei T29.pdf	August 26, 2022
Bio_Transect Survey_27-29_01_2020_Rokah Thmei T36.pdf	August 26, 2022
Bio_Transect Survey_30_04_2020_Km154 T02.pdf	August 26, 2022
Bio_Transect Survey_30_04_2020_Lapakhe T01.pdf	August 26, 2022
Bio_Transect Survey_30_04_2020_Trapeang Yav T17.pdf	August 26, 2022
Bio_Transect Survey_3-8_04_2020_KSWS Site T16.pdf	August 26, 2022
Bio_Transect Survey_3-8_04_2020_Sre Chhuk T15.pdf	August 26, 2022
Bio_Transect Survey_3-8_04_2020_Sre Chhuk T23.pdf	August 26, 2022
Bio_Transect Survey_9-14_01_2020_ADK T19.pdf	August 26, 2022
Bio_Transect Survey_9-14_01_2020_Ou Dak Lae.pdf	August 26, 2022
Bio_Transect Survey_9-14_01_2020_Ou Kel T12.pdf	August 26, 2022
Bio_Transect Survey_9-14_01_2020_Ou Parm T7.pdf	August 26, 2022
Bio_Transect_10_1_2020_Km155 T02.PDF	August 26, 2022
Bio_Transect_10-15_06_2020_KM 155 T02.pdf	August 26, 2022
Bio_Transect_16-21_01_2020_Pu Hoam.PDF	August 26, 2022
Bio_Wildlife Survey_16-21.01.2020_T06 Km 163.PDF	August 26, 2022
Bio_Wildlife Survey_16-21_01_2020_T19 ADK.PDF	August 26, 2022
Bio_Wildlife Survey_25-30_01_2020_Km 175 T11.PDF	August 26, 2022
Bio_Wildlife Survey_25-30_01_2020_T10.PDF	August 26, 2022
Bio_Wildlife Survey_25-30_01_2020_T18 ADK.PDF	August 26, 2022
Wildlife Workshop_29_09_2020_Provincial MDK.pdf	August 26, 2022

Bird Book_03_07_2020_WCS.pdf	August 26, 2022
BirdBook_10-11_02_2020_Sam Veasna Center.PDF	August 26, 2022
Cambodia Bird Book_14-15_01_2020 PP.PDF	August 26, 2022
BMT monthly report Khmer April 2020.docx	August 26, 2022
BMT monthly report Khmer April 2021.docx	August 26, 2022
BMT monthly report Khmer August 2020.docx	August 26, 2022
BMT monthly report Khmer August 2021.docx	August 26, 2022
BMT monthly report Khmer Dec 2020.docx	August 26, 2022
BMT monthly report Khmer December 2021.docx	August 26, 2022
BMT monthly report Khmer Feb 2020.docx	August 26, 2022
BMT monthly report Khmer Feb 2021.docx	August 26, 2022
BMT monthly report Khmer Jan 2020.docx	August 26, 2022
BMT monthly report Khmer Jan 2021.docx	August 26, 2022
BMT monthly report Khmer July 2020.docx	August 26, 2022
BMT monthly report Khmer July 2021.docx	August 26, 2022
BMT monthly report Khmer June 2020.docx	August 26, 2022
BMT monthly report Khmer June 2021.docx	August 26, 2022
BMT monthly report Khmer March 2020.docx	August 26, 2022
BMT monthly report Khmer March 2021.docx	August 26, 2022
BMT monthly report Khmer May 2020.docx	August 26, 2022
BMT monthly report Khmer May 2021.docx	August 26, 2022
BMT monthly report Khmer Nov 2020.docx	August 26, 2022
BMT monthly report Khmer November 2021.docx	August 26, 2022
BMT monthly report Khmer October 2020.docx	August 26, 2022
BMT monthly report Khmer October 2021.docx	August 26, 2022
BMT monthly report Khmer September 2020.docx	August 26, 2022
BMT monthly report Khmer September 2021.docx	August 26, 2022
202001_KSWS_Sur_Report_Jan.pdf	August 26, 2022
202002_KSWS_Sur_Report_Feb.pdf	August 26, 2022
202005_KSWS_Sur_Report_May.pdf	August 26, 2022
202006_KSWS_Sur_Report_Jun.pdf	August 26, 2022
202007_KSWS_Sur_Report_Jul.pdf	August 26, 2022
202008_KSWS_Sur_Report_Aug.pdf	August 26, 2022
202009_KSWS_Sur_Report_Sep.pdf	August 26, 2022
202010_KSWS_Sur_Report_Oct.pdf	August 26, 2022
202011_KSWS_Sur_Report_Nov.pdf	August 26, 2022
202012_KSWS_Sur_Report_Dec.pdf	August 26, 2022
202101_Biodiversity_Report_Team_Jan.pdf	August 26, 2022
202102_Biodiversity_Report_Team_Feb.pdf	August 26, 2022
202103_Biodiversity_Report_Team_Mar.pdf	August 26, 2022
202104_Biodiversity_Report_Team_Apr.pdf	August 26, 2022
202105_Biodiversity_Report_Team_May.pdf	August 26, 2022
202106_Biodiversity_Report_Team_Jun.pdf	August 26, 2022

202107_Biodiversity_Report_Team_Jul.pdf	August 26, 2022
202108_Biodiversity_Report_Team_Aug.pdf	August 26, 2022
202109_Biodiversity_Report_Team_Sep.pdf	August 26, 2022
202110_Biodiversity_Report_Team_Oct.pdf	August 26, 2022
202111_Biodiversity_Report_Team_Nov.pdf	August 26, 2022
202112_Biodiversity_Report_Team_Dec.pdf	August 26, 2022
I-Stand_for 13 key species press conference at MoE (80 x 200).pdf	August 26, 2022
KSWS Brochure_EN.pdf	August 26, 2022
KSWS Brochure_KH.pdf	August 26, 2022
Women in conservation work_with USAID logo (I Stand size 80x200).pdf	August 26, 2022
2020-02-11_Asian elephant rescue_KSWS.doc	August 26, 2022
2020-02-11_Elephant falls into pool_KSWS.doc	August 26, 2022
2020-03-03_Asian elephant rescue_KSWS.doc	August 26, 2022
2020-04-22_Laksmeys speech for Earth Day_KSWS.docx	August 26, 2022
2020-09-29_Wild pig snare rescue_KSWS.doc	August 26, 2022
2020-10-03_MoE lead journalists to MDK_KSWS.doc	August 26, 2022
2020-10-03_Press Release MoE Journalist Delegation Keo Seima.docx	August 26, 2022
2020-10-26_Keo_Seima_biodiversity_monitoring_press_conference_EN_Final.pdf	August 26, 2022
2020-10-26_Keo_Seima_biodiversity_monitoring_press_release_KH_final.pdf	August 26, 2022
2020-11-29_WCS Cambodia_wild boar snare release_KSWS.doc	August 26, 2022
2021-07-23_Grab Offers Innovative Carbon Offset Option.docx	August 26, 2022
2021-08-13_Keo Seima Conservation Project Fruitfully Completed_Final_EN.doc	August 26, 2022
KSWS REDD+ Newsletter_No 18_Jan-Mar 2020_v.offline.pdf	August 26, 2022
KSWS REDD+ Newsletter_No 19_Apr-Jun 2020_v.offline.pdf	August 26, 2022
KSWS REDD+ Newsletter_No 20_Jul-Sept 2020_v.offline.pdf	August 26, 2022
KSWS REDD+ Newsletter_No 21_Oct-Dec 2020_v.offline.pdf	August 26, 2022
KSWS REDD+ Newsletter_No 22_Jan-Mar 2021_v.offline.docx.pdf	August 26, 2022
KSWS REDD+ Newsletter_No 23_Apr-Jun 2021_v.offline_FINAL.pdf	August 26, 2022
KSWS REDD+ Newsletter_No 24_Jul-Sep 2021_v.offline.pdf	August 26, 2022
KSWS REDD+ Newsletter_No 25_Oct-Dec 2021_v.offline.pdf	August 26, 2022
Topic_2_Radio_Program_Eating_and Utilize Wildlife_Increased_Hunting.mp3	August 26, 2022
Topic_3_Radio_Program_Waste Management.mp3	August 26, 2022
Topic_4_Radio_Program_Eco_tourist_Community_Development_through.mp3	August 26, 2022
Topic_5_Radio_Program_Threat on Gibbon Habitat.mp3	August 26, 2022
Topic_6_Radio_Program_Benefits of REDD+ Program.mp3	August 26, 2022
2021-01-29_Bamboo Producer Group sales drop.docx	August 26, 2022
2021-01-29_Install. of 31 signboards to raise awareness.doc	August 26, 2022

2021-02-03_Sambar deer trapped.doc	August 26, 2022
2021-02-05_Basic Ranger Patrol Skill.doc	August 26, 2022
2021-02-05_Patrol Data Collection Using SMART Mobile.docx	August 26, 2022
2021-02-05_Using SMART Mobile for Patrol Data Collection.docx	August 26, 2022
2021-02-28_Banteng figure correction.doc	August 26, 2022
2021-03-04_IBIS Rice message link to SMP webpage.doc	August 26, 2022
2021-03-04_World Wildlife Day 2021.doc	August 26, 2022
2021-05-05_WMT returns after setting up camera trap.doc	August 26, 2022
2021-07-13_Yellow-cheeked crested gibbon song.doc	August 26, 2022
2021-08-09_1st giant ibis chick of 2021 nestingseason.doc	August 26, 2022
2021-08-09_Celebrating International Day of the World's IP.doc	August 26, 2022
2021-08-12_KSCP closing workshop.doc	August 26, 2022
2021-08-15_USAID Deputy Director Laura visits KSWS.doc	August 26, 2022
2021-09-27_Lesser adjutant nest found in northern KSWS.doc	August 26, 2022
2021-10-04_Sarus crane eggs stolen.doc	August 26, 2022
2021-11-25_Agreement extension signed MoE and WCS.doc	August 26, 2022
2021-11-25_WCS Team met H.E Thong Savon MDK Governor.doc	August 26, 2022
2021-12-10_Energy-saving cook stoves distribution.doc	August 26, 2022
2021-12-13_MoE WCS bring students to visit KSWS.doc	August 26, 2022
2021-12-19_Election of Sre Antung CPA Management Commitee.doc	August 26, 2022
2021-12-20_key activities of KS REDD+ were integrated.doc	August 26, 2022
2021-12-21_Culvert construction in Chak Char.doc	August 26, 2022
2021-12-29_School material distribu at Pu Chu school AK.doc	August 26, 2022
1. tweet_activity_metrics_WCSCambodia_Jan 2020_no related tweet.csv	August 26, 2022
2. tweet_activity_metrics_WCSCambodia_Feb 2020_no tweet.csv	August 26, 2022
3. tweet_activity_metrics_WCSCambodia_Mar 2020_no tweet.csv	August 26, 2022
4. tweet_activity_metrics_WCSCambodia_Apr 2020_no tweet.csv	August 26, 2022
5. tweet_activity_metrics_WCSCambodia_May 2020_1 related tweet.csv	August 26, 2022
6. tweet_activity_metrics_WCSCambodia_Jun 2020_1 related tweet.csv	August 26, 2022
7. tweet_activity_metrics_WCSCambodia_Jul 2020_1 related tweet.csv	August 26, 2022
8. tweet_activity_metrics_WCSCambodia_Aug 2020_no related tweet.csv	August 26, 2022
1. Facebook Analytics Jan - Mar 2020.xlsx	August 26, 2022
2. Facebook Analytics Apr - Jun 2020.xlsx	August 26, 2022
3. Facebook Analytics Jul - Sept 2020.xlsx	August 26, 2022
4. Facebook Analytics Oct - Dec 2020.xlsx	August 26, 2022
index.html	August 26, 2022
comments.html	August 26, 2022
events.html	August 26, 2022
page_settings.html	August 26, 2022

no-data.txt	August 26, 2022
no-data.txt	August 26, 2022
anger.png	August 26, 2022
confused.png	August 26, 2022
dorothy.png	August 26, 2022
flame.png	August 26, 2022
haha.png	August 26, 2022
like.png	August 26, 2022
love.png	August 26, 2022
plane.png	August 26, 2022
selfie.png	August 26, 2022
sorry.png	August 26, 2022
toto.png	August 26, 2022
wow.png	August 26, 2022
yay.png	August 26, 2022
no-data.txt	August 26, 2022
no-data.txt	August 26, 2022
no-data.txt	August 26, 2022
no-data.txt	August 26, 2022
no-data.txt	August 26, 2022
no-data.txt	August 26, 2022
photos.html	August 26, 2022
posts_1.html	August 26, 2022
videos.html	August 26, 2022
0.html	August 26, 2022
1.html	August 26, 2022
page_profile_information.html	August 26, 2022
no-data.txt	August 26, 2022
no-data.txt	August 26, 2022
MNN Meeting_07_09_2020_MVI.pdf	August 26, 2022
MNN Meeting_10_10_2020_MVI MDK.pdf	August 26, 2022
WCS Crisis funding report_ELIE - Nov 2020.doc	August 26, 2022
Village Fair_01_02_2021_Keo Seima High School.pdf	August 26, 2022
Village Fair_03_02_2021_Srae Chhuk Secondary School.pdf	August 26, 2022
Village Fair_25_01_2021_Sre I.pdf	August 26, 2022
Village Fair_26_01_2021_Pu Ngoal.pdf	August 26, 2022
Village Fair_27_01_2021_Pu Rang.pdf	August 26, 2022
Village Fair_28_01_2021_ADK.pdf	August 26, 2022
Village Fair_29_01_2021_Ou Rona.pdf	August 26, 2022
Village Fair_31_01_2021_Srae Lvi.pdf	August 26, 2022
Farm Diary Coaching and Seed Delivery_14_06_2021_Ou Chra.pdf	August 26, 2022
Farm Diary Coaching_21_06_2021_Pu Cha.pdf	August 26, 2022
IBIS Promotion_09_02_2021_Pu Kong.pdf	August 26, 2022

IBIS Registration_15_03_2021_PuCha.pdf	August 26, 2022
IBIS Registration_16_03_2021_PuKong PuCha.pdf	August 26, 2022
IBIS Registration_17_03_2021_Pu Kong.pdf	August 26, 2022
New IBIS Registration_18_02_2021_Pu Cha.pdf	August 26, 2022
Post Harvest Info_05_02_2021_Pu Kong.pdf	August 26, 2022
Post Harvest Info_06_02_2021_Pu Kong.pdf	August 26, 2022
Post Harvest Info_07_02_2021_PuKong OuChra.pdf	August 26, 2022
Post Harvest Info_10_02_2021_Ou Chra.pdf	August 26, 2022
Post Harvest Info_14_02_2021_Ou Chra.pdf	August 26, 2022
Post Harvest Info_15_02_2021_Ou Chra.pdf	August 26, 2022
Post Harvest Info_16_02_2021_Ou Chra.pdf	August 26, 2022
Post Harvest Info_17_02_2021_OuChra PuKong.pdf	August 26, 2022
Post Harvest Info_19_02_2021_PuCha Pu Kong.pdf	August 26, 2022
Post Harvest Info_20_02_2021_PuCha Pu Kong.pdf	August 26, 2022
Post Harvest Info_21_02_2021_PuCha Pu Kong.pdf	August 26, 2022
Post Harvest Info_22_02_2021_PuCha Pu Kong.pdf	August 26, 2022
Post Harvest Info_23_02_2021_PuCha Pu Kong.pdf	August 26, 2022
Post Harvest Info_24_02_2021_PuCha.pdf	August 26, 2022
Renew Contract and Rice Seed_03_05_2021_Srae Preah.pdf	August 26, 2022
Renew Contract and Rice Seed_04_05_2021_Srae Preah.pdf	August 26, 2022
Renew Contract and Rice Seed_15_05_2021_Pu Kong.pdf	August 26, 2022
Renew Contract and Rice Seed_16_05_2021_Pu Cha.pdf	August 26, 2022
Renew Contract and Rice Seed_17_05_2021_Pu Kong.pdf	August 26, 2022
Renew Contract and Rice Seed_18_05_2021_Pu Cha.pdf	August 26, 2022
Renew Contract and Rice Seed_19_05_2021_Pu Kong.pdf	August 26, 2022
Renew Contract and Rice Seed_20_05_2021_Pu Cha.pdf	August 26, 2022
Renew Contract_01_05_2021_Srae Preah.pdf	August 26, 2022
Renew Contract_02_05_2021_Srae Preah.pdf	August 26, 2022
Renew Contract_09_05_2021_PK OC.pdf	August 26, 2022
Renew Contract_10_05_2021_Ou Chra.pdf	August 26, 2022
Renew Contract_11_05_2021_Ou Chra.pdf	August 26, 2022
Renew Contract_13_05_2021_Pu Kong.pdf	August 26, 2022
Renew Contract_14_05_2021_Ou Chra.pdf	August 26, 2022
Rice Seed Distribution_05_05_2021_PK OC PC.pdf	August 26, 2022
Rice Seed Distribution_06_05_2021_Srae Preah.pdf	August 26, 2022
Rice Seed Distribution_07_05_2021_Srae Khtum.pdf	August 26, 2022
Rice Seed Distribution_08_05_2021_PK OC PC.pdf	August 26, 2022
Rice Seed Distribution_12_05_2021_Srae Khtum_Not Entry.pdf	August 26, 2022
Sale Agreement Coaching and Seed Delivery_15_06_2021_Ou Chra.pdf	August 26, 2022
Seed Delivery_11_06_2021_Pu Kong.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_13_03_2020_Pu Cha.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_14_03_2020_Ou Chra.pdf	August 26, 2022

SMP_15_03_2020_Pu Kong.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_16_03_2020_Pu Kong.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_17_03_2020_Ou Chra&Pu Cha.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_17_05_2020_Pu Kong Pu Cha.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_18_05_2020_Ou Chra.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_19_05_2020_Pu Kong Ou Chra.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_20_05_2020_Ou Chra Pu Cha.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_21_05_2020_Pu Cha.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_22_05_2020_Pu Cha.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_23_05_2020_Pu Kong Pu Cha.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_23-28.docx	August 26, 2022
SMP_24_05_2020_Pu Kong Ou Chra Pu Cha.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_25_05_2020_Pu Kong Ou Chra Pu Cha.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_26_05_2020_KSWS.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_Bio and IBIS Presentation_12_08_2020_WCS MDK.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_Buy Rice_8-13_02_2020_Pu Cha.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_Checking Sre_10_10_2020_Pu Cha.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_Checking Sre_4_10_2020_Ou Chra.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_Checking Sre_5_10_2020_Ou Chra.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_Checking Sre_6_10_2020_Ou Chra.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_Checking Sre_7_10_2020_Ou Chra.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_Checking Sre_8_10_2020_Ou Chra.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_Checking Sre_9_10_2020_Pu Cha.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_Climate Change_20_02_2020_Ou Chra.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_Compost Production_15_08_2020_Pu Kong.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_Deliver information on upcoming meeting_18_11_2020_PuCha PuKong OuChra.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_Demo Farm_12_09_2020_Pu Kong.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_Demo Farm_16_08_2020_Pu Cha.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_Demo Farm_24_08_2020_Pu Kong.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_Dry Season Dissemination_09_07_2020_Pu Cha Pu Kong Ou Chra.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_External Auditor_17_10_2020_Ou Chra Pu Cha.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_Farm Demo_03_08_2020_Pu Cha.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_Farm Demo_04_08_2020_Pu Kong.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_Farm Diaries_11_10_2020_Pu Cha.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_Farm Diaries_12_10_2020_Ou Chra.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_Farm Diaries_13_10_2020_Ou Chra.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_Farm Diaries_14_10_2020_Ou Chra.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_Farm Diaries_15_10_2020_Ou Chra.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_Farm Diaries_18_10_2020_Ou Chra Pu Cha.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_Farm Diaries_19_10_2020_Ou Chra Pu Cha.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_Farm Diaries_20_10_2020_Ou Chra Pu Cha.pdf	August 26, 2022

SMP_Farm Diaries_21_10_2020_Ou Chra Pu Cha.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_Field Mapping_10_07_2020_Pu Cha.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_Field Mapping_10-11_06_2020_Pu Kong.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_Field Mapping_12_06_2020_Pu Kong.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_Field Mapping_15_06_2020_Pu Kong.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_Field Mapping_16_06_2020_Pu Kong.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_Field Mapping_17_06_2020_Pu Kong.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_Field Mapping_18_07_2020_Pu Cha.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_Field Mapping_19_07_2020_Pu Cha.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_Field Mapping_20_07_2020_Pu Cha.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_Field Mapping_21_07_2020_Pu Cha.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_Field Mapping_22_06_2020_Pu Kong.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_Field Mapping_22_07_2020_Pu Cha.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_Field Mapping_23_06_2020_Pu Kong.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_Field Mapping_23_07_2020_Pu Cha.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_Field Mapping_24_07_2020_Pu Cha.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_Field Mapping_25_07_2020_Pu Cha.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_Field Mapping_26_07_2020_Pu Cha.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_Field Mapping_27_07_2020_Pu Cha.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_Field Mapping_28_07_2020_Pu Cha.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_Field Mapping_29_07_2020_Pu Cha.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_Follow Up Farmer_14_08_2020_Ou Chra.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_Follow Up Farmer_23_08_2020_Pu Cha.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_Follow Up Farmer_7_09_2020_Pu Cha.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_Follow Up_14_09_2020_Ou Chra.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_Follow Up_23_09_2020_Pu Cha Pu Kong.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_Follow Up_24_09_2020_Pu Cha Pu Kong Ou Chra.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_IBIS Meeting_09_06_2020_Pu Kong.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_IBIS Meeting_18_06_2020_Ou Chra.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_IBIS Meeting_24_06_2020_Pu Cha.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_ICS_05_08_2020_Pu Cha.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_ICS_06_08_2020_Pu Cha.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_ICS_07_08_2020_Ou Chra.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_ICS_08_08_2020_Ou Chra.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_ICS_08_09_2020_Pu Cha.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_ICS_09_08_2020_Ou Chra.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_ICS_09_09_2020_Pu Cha.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_ICS_11_08_2020_Pu Cha.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_ICS_13_09_2020_Pu Cha.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_ICS_15_09_2020_Pu Cha.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_ICS_21_09_2020_Pu Cha.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_ICS_22_09_2020_Pu Cha.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_ICS_25_08_2020_Pu Kong.pdf	August 26, 2022

SMP_ICS_26_08_2020_Pu Kong.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_ICS_27_08_2020_Pu Kong.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_Identify Paddy Field for Visitors_22_11_2020_Pu Cha.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_Inform_15_02_2020_Pu Kong.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_Inform_16_02_2020_Pu Cha.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_Inform_18_02_2020_Ou Chra.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_Inform_19_02_2020_Ou Chra.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_Land Inspection_16_10_2020_Ou Chra Pu Cha.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_Mapping and ICS_10_09_2020_Pu Kong.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_MoE Visit_3_10_2020_Pu Cha_Not entry cos combine with WCS.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_Paddy Field Observation_14_11_2020_Pu Kong.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_Paddy Field Observation_15_11_2020_Ou Chra.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_Paddy Field Observation_16_11_2020_Pu Cha.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_Paddy Field Observation_17_11_2020_Pu Kong.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_Paddy Purchase_14_12_2020_PuKong PuCha OuChra.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_Post Harvest Training_23_10_2020_Pu Cha.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_Prepare for MoE Visit_1_10_2020_Pu Cha.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_Prepare for MoE Visit_2_10_2020_Pu Cha.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_Pre-Post Harvet Training_06_11_2020_Pu Kong.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_Provide Training Info_22_10_2020_Pu Cha.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_Pu Cha Meeting_13_08_2020_Pu Cha.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_Review Workplan_06_02_2020 Pu Kong.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_Review Workplan_07_02_2020 Ou Chra.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_Rice Demo_17_07_2020_Pu Cha Pu Kong Ou Chra.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_Rice Field Observation_05_11_2020_Pu Kong.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_Rice Field Observation_07_11_2020_Pu Cha.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_Rice Field Observation_08_11_2020_Ou Chra.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_Rice Field Observation_09_11_2020_Pu Kong.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_Rice Price Meeting_19_11_2020_Pu Kong.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_Rice Price Meeting_20_11_2020_Pu Cha.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_Rice Price Meeting_21_11_2020_Ou Chra.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_Rice Sale Agreement_05_02_2020 Pu Cha.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_Rice Sale Agreement_19_01_2020_Pu Cha.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_Rice Sale Agreement_23_01_2020_Pu Cha.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_Rice Sale Agreement_27_01_2020 Pu Cha.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_Rice Sale Agreement_28_01_2020 Pu Cha.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_Rice Seed_01_06_2020_Ou Chra.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_Rice Seed_02_06_2020_Ou Chra.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_Rice Seed_03_06_2020_Pu Cha.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_Rice Seed_04_06_2020_Pu Cha.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_Rice Seed_05_06_2020_Pu Kong Ou Chra.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_Rice Seed_06_06_2020_Pu Kong.pdf	August 26, 2022

SMP_Rice Seed_07_06_2020_Pu Kong.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_Rice Seed_08_06_2020_Pu Kong Ou Chra Pu Cha.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_Rice Seed_10_06_2020_Pu Kong.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_Rice Seed_13_06_2020_Pu Kong.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_Rice Seed_14_06_2020_Ou Chra Pu Cha.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_Rice Seed_19_06_2020_Pu Kong Ou Chra Pu Cha.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_Rice Seed_20_06_2020_Pu Kong Ou Chra Pu Cha.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_Signup Farmer_09_01_2020_Ou Chra.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_Signup Farmer_10_01_2020_Pu Kong.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_Signup Farmer_11_01_2020_Pu Kong.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_Signup Farmer_12_01_2020_Pu Kong.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_Signup Farmer_13_01_2020_Ou Chra.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_Signup Farmer_14_01_2020_Pu Cha.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_Signup Farmer_15_01_2020_Pu Cha.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_Signup Farmer_17_01_2020_Sre Preah.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_Signup Farmer_18_01_2020_Pu Kong.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_Signup Farmer_20_01_2020_Ou Chra.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_Signup Farmer_21_01_2020_Ou Chra.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_Signup Farmer_22_01_2020_Ou Chra.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_Threshing Record_08_12_2020_Pu Cha.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_Threshing Record_09_12_2020_PuCha PuKong OuChra.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_Threshing Record_10_12_2020_PuCha.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_Threshing Record_11_12_2020_Pu Cha.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_Threshing Record_12_12_2020_OuChra PuKong.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_Threshing Record_13_12_2020_OuChra PuCha.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_Threshing Record_15_12_2020_Pu Kong.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_Threshing Record_16_12_2020_Ou Chra.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_Threshing Record_17_12_2020_Pu Kong.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_Threshing Record_18_12_2020_Pu Kong.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_Threshing Record_19_12_2020_Ou Chra.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_Threshing Record_20_12_2020_Pu Cha.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_Threshing Record_21_12_2020_Pu Kong.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_Threshing Record_22_12_2020_Ou Chra.pdf	August 26, 2022
SMP_Threshing Record_23_12_2020_Pu Cha.pdf	August 26, 2022
Soil Coaching and Seed Delivery_02_06_2021_Pu Cha.pdf	August 26, 2022
Soil Coaching and Seed Delivery_03_06_2021_Pu Cha.pdf	August 26, 2022
Soil Coaching and Seed Delivery_04_06_2021_Pu Cha.pdf	August 26, 2022
Soil Coaching and Seed Delivery_06_06_2021_Pu Cha.pdf	August 26, 2022
Soil Coaching and Seed Delivery_07_06_2021_Pu Cha.pdf	August 26, 2022
Soil Coaching and Seed Delivery_12_06_2021_Pu Kong.pdf	August 26, 2022
Soil Coaching and Seed Delivery_13_06_2021_Ou Chra.pdf	August 26, 2022
Soil Coaching and Seed Delivery_16_06_2021_Ou Chra.pdf	August 26, 2022
Soil Coaching and Seed Delivery_19_06_2021_Pu Kong.pdf	August 26, 2022

Soil Coaching and Seed Delivery_20_06_2021_Ou Chra.pdf	August 26, 2022
Soil Coaching and Seed Delivery_22_06_2021_Pu Kong.pdf	August 26, 2022
Soil Coaching_01_06_2021_Pu Kong.pdf	August 26, 2022
Soil Coaching_05_06_2021_Pu Cha.pdf	August 26, 2022
Soil Coaching_08_06_2021_Pu Cha.pdf	August 26, 2022
Soil Coaching_18_06_2021_OC PK.pdf	August 26, 2022
Threshing Record_12_01_2021_Pu Kong.pdf	August 26, 2022
Threshing Record_14_01_2021_Pu Cha.pdf	August 26, 2022
Threshing Record_04_02_2021_PuCha and PuKong.pdf	August 26, 2022
VC Meeting_19_01_2021_Ou Chra.pdf	August 26, 2022
VC Meeting_21_01_2021_Pu Cha.pdf	August 26, 2022
VMN Committee Meeting_12_03_2021_Pu Kong.pdf	August 26, 2022
VMN Committee Meeting_13_03_2021_PuKong OuChra.pdf	August 26, 2022
VMN Committee Meeting_14_03_2021_PuCha OuChra.pdf	August 26, 2022
VMN Meeting_17_01_2021_Pu Cha.pdf	August 26, 2022
VMN Meeting_22_01_2021_Pu Kong.pdf	August 26, 2022
2020 Q1 Jahoo Quarterly Report_WCS.docx	August 26, 2022
2020 WHI Quarterly Report_Q2 Jahoo Community Conservation.pdf	August 26, 2022
2020 WHI Quarterly Report_Q3 Jahoo Community Conservation.pdf	August 26, 2022
2020 WHI Quarterly Report_Q4 Jahoo Community Conservation.pdf	August 26, 2022
2021 WHI Quarterly Report_Q1 Jahoo Community Conservation.docx	August 26, 2022
2021 WHI Quarterly Report_Q2 Jahoo Community Conservation.pdf	August 26, 2022
2021 WHI Quarterly Report_Q3 Jahoo Community Conservation.pdf	August 26, 2022
2021 WHI Quarterly Report_Q4 Jahoo Community Conservation.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Story Book Consultation_26_01_2021_La Pa Khe Primary School.pdf	August 26, 2022
REDD_Story Book Consultation_27_01_2021_Srae Preah.pdf	August 26, 2022
KSWS REDD+_period 4_2020-2021_Carbon calculations_Workbook guide.docx	August 26, 2022
KSWS_period4_verification_2020_carbon calculations_v5_OG.xlsx	August 26, 2022
leakage_belt_wgs84_utm48n.cpg	August 26, 2022
leakage_belt_wgs84_utm48n.dbf	August 26, 2022
leakage_belt_wgs84_utm48n.prj	August 26, 2022
leakage_belt_wgs84_utm48n.sbn	August 26, 2022
leakage_belt_wgs84_utm48n.sbx	August 26, 2022
leakage_belt_wgs84_utm48n.shp	August 26, 2022
leakage_belt_wgs84_utm48n.shp.xml	August 26, 2022
leakage_belt_wgs84_utm48n.shx	August 26, 2022
project_area_wgs84_utm48n.cpg	August 26, 2022
project_area_wgs84_utm48n.dbf	August 26, 2022
project_area_wgs84_utm48n.prj	August 26, 2022
project_area_wgs84_utm48n.sbn	August 26, 2022
project_area_wgs84_utm48n.sbx	August 26, 2022

project_area_wgs84_utm48n.shp	August 26, 2022
project_area_wgs84_utm48n.shp.xml	August 26, 2022
project_area_wgs84_utm48n.shx	August 26, 2022
Seima_defor_1998_2011_Oct21.img	August 26, 2022
Seima_defor_1998_2011_Oct21.img.aux.xml	August 26, 2022
Seima_defor_1998_2011_Oct21.img.vat.cpg	August 26, 2022
Seima_defor_1998_2011_Oct21.img.vat.dbf	August 26, 2022
Seima_defor_1998_2011_Oct21.img.xml	August 26, 2022
Seima_defor_1998_2011_Oct21.prj	August 26, 2022
Seima_defor_1998_2011_Oct21.rrd	August 26, 2022
Seima_defor_1998_2011_PD_21Oct2014.lyr	August 26, 2022
Activities data 2020-2022_v1.docx	August 26, 2022
Activities data 2020-2022_v2.1_FINAL.docx	August 26, 2022
Activities data 2020-2022_v2.docx	August 26, 2022
Defo_2020_2022_class_v2.CPG	August 26, 2022
Defo_2020_2022_class_v2.dbf	August 26, 2022
Defo_2020_2022_class_v2.prj	August 26, 2022
Defo_2020_2022_class_v2.sbn	August 26, 2022
Defo_2020_2022_class_v2.sbx	August 26, 2022
Defo_2020_2022_class_v2.shp	August 26, 2022
Defo_2020_2022_class_v2.shp.xml	August 26, 2022
Defo_2020_2022_class_v2.shx	August 26, 2022
Defo_2020_2022_combined_v2.CPG	August 26, 2022
Defo_2020_2022_combined_v2.dbf	August 26, 2022
Defo_2020_2022_combined_v2.prj	August 26, 2022
Defo_2020_2022_combined_v2.sbn	August 26, 2022
Defo_2020_2022_combined_v2.sbx	August 26, 2022
Defo_2020_2022_combined_v2.shp	August 26, 2022
Defo_2020_2022_combined_v2.shp.xml	August 26, 2022
Defo_2020_2022_combined_v2.shx	August 26, 2022
Defo_2020_2022_digitize_v2.CPG	August 26, 2022
Defo_2020_2022_digitize_v2.dbf	August 26, 2022
Defo_2020_2022_digitize_v2.prj	August 26, 2022
Defo_2020_2022_digitize_v2.sbn	August 26, 2022
Defo_2020_2022_digitize_v2.sbx	August 26, 2022
Defo_2020_2022_digitize_v2.shp	August 26, 2022
Defo_2020_2022_digitize_v2.shp.xml	August 26, 2022
Defo_2020_2022_digitize_v2.shx	August 26, 2022
Sak_Deforestation_2020_2022.CPG	August 26, 2022
Sak_Deforestation_2020_2022.dbf	August 26, 2022
Sak_Deforestation_2020_2022.prj	August 26, 2022
Sak_Deforestation_2020_2022.sbn	August 26, 2022
Sak_Deforestation_2020_2022.sbx	August 26, 2022

Sak_Deforestation_2020_2022.shp	August 26, 2022
Sak_Deforestation_2020_2022.shp.xml	August 26, 2022
Sak_Deforestation_2020_2022.shx	August 26, 2022
Change_2020_2022_4classes_recoded.img	August 26, 2022
Change_2020_2022_4classes_recoded.img.aux.xml	August 26, 2022
Change_2020_2022_4classes_recoded.rrd	August 26, 2022
Change_2020_2022_i36_filter_3x3.img	August 26, 2022
Change_2020_2022_i36_filter_3x3.img.xml	August 26, 2022
Change_2020_2022_i36_filter_3x3.rrd	August 26, 2022
3_Accuracy Assessment v2.xlsx	August 26, 2022
AA_Random_user_Sak_v2.CPG	August 26, 2022
AA_Random_user_Sak_v2.dbf	August 26, 2022
AA_Random_user_Sak_v2.prj	August 26, 2022
AA_Random_user_Sak_v2.sbn	August 26, 2022
AA_Random_user_Sak_v2.sbx	August 26, 2022
AA_Random_user_Sak_v2.shp	August 26, 2022
AA_Random_user_Sak_v2.shp.xml	August 26, 2022
AA_Random_user_Sak_v2.shx	August 26, 2022
Random_500_v2.cpg	August 26, 2022
Random_500_v2.dbf	August 26, 2022
Random_500_v2.prj	August 26, 2022
Random_500_v2.shp	August 26, 2022
Random_500_v2.shp.xml	August 26, 2022
Random_500_v2.shx	August 26, 2022
0_ReadMe_FIRMS.txt	August 26, 2022
2020-2022_FIRMS Check.jpg	August 26, 2022
Defo_2020_2021_Class_FIRMS_1km_v2.CPG	August 26, 2022
Defo_2020_2021_Class_FIRMS_1km_v2.dbf	August 26, 2022
Defo_2020_2021_Class_FIRMS_1km_v2.prj	August 26, 2022
Defo_2020_2021_Class_FIRMS_1km_v2.sbn	August 26, 2022
Defo_2020_2021_Class_FIRMS_1km_v2.sbx	August 26, 2022
Defo_2020_2021_Class_FIRMS_1km_v2.shp	August 26, 2022
Defo_2020_2021_Class_FIRMS_1km_v2.shp.xml	August 26, 2022
Defo_2020_2021_Class_FIRMS_1km_v2.shx	August 26, 2022
Defo_2020_2021_Combined_FIRMS_1km_v2.CPG	August 26, 2022
Defo_2020_2021_Combined_FIRMS_1km_v2.dbf	August 26, 2022
Defo_2020_2021_Combined_FIRMS_1km_v2.prj	August 26, 2022
Defo_2020_2021_Combined_FIRMS_1km_v2.sbn	August 26, 2022
Defo_2020_2021_Combined_FIRMS_1km_v2.sbx	August 26, 2022
Defo_2020_2021_Combined_FIRMS_1km_v2.shp	August 26, 2022
Defo_2020_2021_Combined_FIRMS_1km_v2.shp.xml	August 26, 2022
Defo_2020_2021_Combined_FIRMS_1km_v2.shx	August 26, 2022
DL_FIRE_J1V-C2_269644.zip	August 26, 2022

DL_FIRE_M-C61_269643.zip	August 26, 2022
DL_FIRE_SV-C2_269645.zip	August 26, 2022
Figure3.2.Landsat 8 image from 28 February 2022.jpg	August 26, 2022
Seima_REDD_FIRMS_2020_2021_c75.cpg	August 26, 2022
Seima_REDD_FIRMS_2020_2021_c75.dbf	August 26, 2022
Seima_REDD_FIRMS_2020_2021_c75.prj	August 26, 2022
Seima_REDD_FIRMS_2020_2021_c75.sbn	August 26, 2022
Seima_REDD_FIRMS_2020_2021_c75.sbx	August 26, 2022
Seima_REDD_FIRMS_2020_2021_c75.shp	August 26, 2022
Seima_REDD_FIRMS_2020_2021_c75.shx	August 26, 2022
20220522_Seima REDD+ Activity Data Generation.pptx	August 26, 2022
KSWS_2020_VCS-Non-Permanence-Risk_Governance score_OG.xlsx	August 26, 2022
KSWS_2020_VCS-Non-Permanence-Risk-Report_OG_v2.3.docx	August 26, 2022
Y4Q2_CAMPAS Quarterly Report_April-June2020_consolidate report_V2-Final.pdf	September 8, 2022
202101_USAID KSCP Quaterly Report Y3_Final-Updated-Approved (1).pdf	September 8, 2022
2020-01-14_Agenda KSWs staffs monthly meeting.docx	September 8, 2022
2020-01-14_Attendant list for KSWs monthly meeting.pdf	September 8, 2022
2020-01-14_Minute of KSWs Monthly meeting.docx	September 8, 2022
2020-02-14_Agenda KSWs monthly meeting.docx	September 8, 2022
2020-02-14_Attendant list KSWs monthly meeting.pdf	September 8, 2022
2020-02-14_Minute of KSWs Monthly meeting.docx	September 8, 2022
2020-03-13_Agenda KSWs monthly meeting.docx	September 8, 2022
2020-03-13_Attendant list KSWs monthly meeting.pdf	September 8, 2022
2020-03-13_Minute of KSWs Monthly meeting.docx	September 8, 2022
2020-06-12_Agenda for KSWs Monthly Meeting.docx	September 8, 2022
2020-06-12_Attedant list KSWs Monthly meeting.pdf	September 8, 2022
2020-06-12_Minute of KSWs Monthly meeting.pdf	September 8, 2022
2020-07-13_Agenda for KSWs Monthly Meeting.docx	September 8, 2022
2020-07-13_Minute of KSWs Monthly meeting.docx	September 8, 2022
2020-08-13_Agenda for KSWs Monthly Meeting.docx	September 8, 2022
2020-08-13_Attendant list of monthly meeting.pdf	September 8, 2022

2020-08-13_Minute of KSWs Monthly meeting.docx	September 8, 2022
2020-09-11_Agenda for KSWs Monthly Meeting.docx	September 8, 2022
2020-09-11_Minute of KSWs Monthly meeting.docx	September 8, 2022
2020-10-13_Agenda for KSWs Monthly Meeting.docx	September 8, 2022
2020-10-13_Attendant list KSWs monthly meeting.pdf	September 8, 2022
2020-10-13_Minute of KSWs Monthly meeting.docx	September 8, 2022
2020-11-13_Agenda for KSWs Monthly Meeting.docx	September 8, 2022
2020-12-14_Agenda for KSWs Monthly Meeting.docx	September 8, 2022
2021-02-13_KSWs monthly meeting_minutes.docx	September 8, 2022
2021-05-14_KSWs monthly meeting_minutes_April_2021.docx	September 8, 2022
2021-06-11_KSWs monthly meeting_minute_June_2021.docx	September 8, 2022
2021-08-11_KSWs monthly Meeting_Agenda_August_2021.docx	September 8, 2022
2021-08-11_KSWs_Monthly_meeting_minutes_August_2021.pdf	September 8, 2022
2021-10-11_KSWs Monthly meeting_minutes.docx	September 8, 2022
Attendant list of KSWs monthly meeting 14 May 2021.pdf	September 8, 2022
KSWs Counterpart Meeting_11_06_2021_KSWs HQ.pdf	September 8, 2022
KSWs Counterpart Meeting_13_01_2021_KSWs HQ.pdf	September 8, 2022
KSWs Counterpart Meeting_14_05_2021_KSWs HQ.pdf	September 8, 2022
KSWs_Counterpart Meeting_11_08_2021_KSWs HQ_Ready.pdf	September 8, 2022
KSWs_Counterpart Meeting_13_02_2021_KSWs HQ.pdf	September 8, 2022
20-03_Memo 2020_001_WCS COVID-19 Updated Travel Advisory (ENG+KHM).pdf	September 8, 2022
20-03_Memo 2020_002_Temporary Closure of WCS PP Office (ENG+KHM).pdf	September 8, 2022
20-03_Sharing Health Information related to COVID-19.msg	September 8, 2022
20-03_Temporary Closure of WCS Office in Phnom Penh.msg	September 8, 2022
20-12_Re URGENT Updated COVID 19 announcement 29th November.msg	September 8, 2022
21-02_RE Partial Closure of WCS Phnom Penh Office Temporarily.msg	September 8, 2022
21-02_WCS CAMBODIA WORK FROM HOME POLICY (ENG) final.docx	September 8, 2022

21-02_WCS CAMBODIA WORK FROM HOME POLICY (KHM) final.docx	September 8, 2022
21-03_200321-Table of COVID-19 For The world-8AM.pdf.pdf	September 8, 2022
21-03_Memo 2021_006_Mandatory Work Place Conditions.pdf	September 8, 2022
21-03-11 COVID-19 Vaccination for Expat Staff of FNGOs.pdf	September 8, 2022
21-04_COVID-19 Vaccination for WCS Cambodian Staff via MFAIC.msg	September 8, 2022
21-04_Temporary delay of WCS pay roll payment for April 2021.msg	September 8, 2022
21-04-10 List of Foreign NGOs for COVID-19 Vaccination.pdf	September 8, 2022
21-05_Memo 2021_009_Temporary Closure of WCS PP Office May 2021 (ENG).pdf	September 8, 2022
21-05_WCS CAMBODIA WORK FROM HOME POLICY (ENG) final.docx	September 8, 2022
21-05_WCS CAMBODIA WORK FROM HOME POLICY (KHM) final.docx	September 8, 2022
21-06_WCS Statement of Commitment Regarding COVID-19 Vaccination.msg	September 8, 2022
21-08_Memo 2021_006_Mandatory Work Place Conditions.pdf	September 8, 2022
21-08_Memo 2021_010_Number of Staff Allowed to WCS PP Office.pdf	September 8, 2022
21-08_Number of Staff Allowed to WCS Phnom Penh Office.msg	September 8, 2022
21-09_08_Sep_2021_WCS_Request_Letter_For_3rd_dose_Covid_Vaccination.pdf	September 8, 2022
21-10_Memo 2020_001_WCS COVID-19 Updated Travel Advisory.pdf	September 8, 2022
21-10_New WCS Office Seating Plan November 2021.wcs.pdf	September 8, 2022
SMART Wildlife_Health and SMART Training_WWF Srae Pok_03-05 11 21.pdf	September 8, 2022
WCS staff training on NRM management and Land using_MDK_13-14 10 21_Done.pdf	September 8, 2022
20-01_USAID partners staff eligible for Gender 101 certificates.msg	September 8, 2022
Khmer_Script_Gender_101_Gender_Equality_at_USAID.pdf	September 8, 2022
21_Sub_Decree_on_Measures_to_prevent_the_spread_of_Covid_19_ENG+KHM.pdf	September 8, 2022
21-03_Law on Measures to prevent the spread of Covid-19... (ENG+KHM).pdf	September 8, 2022
21-03_Sub Decree on Measures to prevent the spread of Covid-19... (ENG+KHM).pdf	September 8, 2022
21-04_2021-04-17 Revised Decision No. 50 SSR on Lockdown Phnom Penh Takhmao.pdf	September 8, 2022

21-04_Decision No. 49 SSR on Lockdown of Phnom Penh and Takhmao.pdf	September 8, 2022
21-08_Law on Measures to prevent the spread of Covid-19... (ENG+KHM).pdf	September 8, 2022
21-08_Sub Decree on Measures to prevent the spread of Covid-19... (ENG+KHM).pdf	September 8, 2022
Law Enforcement Field Monitoring_12-13_04_2021_Pu Kong.pdf	September 8, 2022
LE Meeting on patrol team capacity building_ Ou Chra Village_ 26 12 21.pdf	September 8, 2022
LE Meeting on patrol team restructure_ Pu Hiam Village_ 23 12 21.pdf	September 8, 2022
REDD patrol_ Andoung Kraloeng Village_ 05 06 and 11 12 21.pdf	September 8, 2022
REDD Patrol_ Andoung Kraloeng_ 06 07 and 21 11 21_ Done.pdf	September 8, 2022
REDD Patrol_ Khtong Village_ 05 06 19 20 24 and 25 11 21_ Done.pdf	September 8, 2022
REDD patrol_ Khtong Village_ 09 10 18 19 24 and 25 12 21.pdf	September 8, 2022
REDD Patrol_ Ou Rona Village_ 04 05 14 17 18 20 and 22 11 21.pdf	September 8, 2022
REDD patrol_ Pu Keh Village_ 05 06 15 16 23 and 24 12 21.pdf	September 8, 2022
REDD Patrol_ Pu Keh Village_ 05 06 16 17 21 and 22 11 21.pdf	September 8, 2022
REDD patrol_ Pu Ngoal Village_ 06 07 22 and 23 12 21.pdf	September 8, 2022
REDD Patrol_ Pu Rang Village_ 01 02 07 08 17 18 22 and 23 11 21.pdf	September 8, 2022
REDD patrol_ Pu Rang Village_ 01 02 08 09 17 18 22 and 23 12 21.pdf	September 8, 2022
REDD patrol_ Pu Tang Village_ 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 and 27 12 21.pdf	September 8, 2022
REDD patrol_ Srae I Village_ 02 03 17 18 22 25 and 26 12 21.pdf	September 8, 2022
REDD_Community Patrol_13_02_2020 Ou Rona.PDF	September 8, 2022
REDD_Patrol_14-15_11_2020_ADK.pdf	September 8, 2022
REDD_Work Plan and Patrol_25_06_2020_Sre Andoal.pdf	September 8, 2022
SMART Follow Up and Coaching_15 09 21_Rohout Station_Done.pdf	September 8, 2022
SMART Follow Up and Coaching_15_07_2021_Chombok Station.pdf	September 8, 2022
SMART Follow Up and Coaching_16 09 21_Phnom Seva Su-Station_Done.pdf	September 8, 2022
SMART Follow Up and Coaching_16_07_2021_Rohoot Station.pdf	September 8, 2022
SMART Follow Up and Coaching_20_07_2021_PuKong Station.pdf	September 8, 2022

SMART Follow Up and Coaching_21_07_2021_OuRang Station.pdf	September 8, 2022
SMART Follow Up and Coaching_30_07_2021_KeoSeima Station.pdf	September 8, 2022
SMART Training on smart mobile app_ Hkati Village_ 22 12 21.pdf	September 8, 2022
SMART Training on smart mobile app_ Pu Keh Village_ 19 12 21.pdf	September 8, 2022
SMART Training on smart mobile app_ Pu Nhav Village_ 20 12 21.pdf	September 8, 2022
SMART Training on smart mobile app_ Srae I Village_ 21 12 21.pdf	September 8, 2022
SMART Training_Community Patrol_22_01_2021_WCS MDK Office.pdf	September 8, 2022
Law Enforcement Meeting and SMART Coaching_08_06_2021_Srae Roneam.pdf	September 8, 2022
LE Meeting on Law enforcement improvement_ KS HQ_ 22 11 21 Only attendant list.pdf	September 8, 2022
20210707_KSWS_SMART Summaries(Jan to Dec 2020)_Community patrol.xlsx	September 8, 2022
CPA Committee candidate elective selection_CPA Sre Antung 14-15 10 21_Done.pdf	September 8, 2022
CPA Community committee election Srae Antung CPA_ Pu Ngoal and Pu Keh Village_ 22-23 11 21.pdf	September 8, 2022
CPA consultation meeting on community management plan_14-15 09 21_Ou Pung Rong_Done.pdf	September 8, 2022
CPA Consultation meeting on CPA borndary demarcate_CPA Ou Mpoek Lvi_26 10 21_Done.pdf	September 8, 2022
CPA Consultation meeting on CPA registration_ Kmoum Village_ 25 11 21_Done.pdf	September 8, 2022
CPA Consultation meeting on draft cpa by law_CPA Ou Mpoek Lvi_25 10 21_Done.pdf	September 8, 2022
CPA Consultation meeting on managment plan draft CPA Ou Pong Rong_28-29 10 21_Done.pdf	September 8, 2022
CPA Consultation meeting on membership registration_Srae Chhuk Com_28 10 21_Done.pdf	September 8, 2022
CPA consultation meeting on potancial area sselection for CPA_17 09 21_Srae Andoal_Done.pdf	September 8, 2022
CPA establishment application Sre Antung_10 09 21_Pu Keh and Pu Ngoal_Done.pdf	September 8, 2022
CPA Meeting on community committee role_ Pu Keh and Pu Ngoal Village_ 08 12 21.pdf	September 8, 2022
CPA Meeting on community committee temporary to ellection_ Srae Andoal Village_ 15 12 21.pdf	September 8, 2022
CPA Meeting on consultation of CPA establishment processing_ Kmoum Village_ 26 12 21.pdf	September 8, 2022
CPA Meeting on CPA establishment application preparation_ Kmoum Village_ 17 and 25 12 21.pdf	September 8, 2022
CPA Workshop on CPA facilitation and solution management_ DoE MDK_ 04-05 11 21.pdf	September 8, 2022

CPA_Bamboo Seedling Plantation_24-26_08_2021_Sre Preah CPA_Ready.pdf	September 8, 2022
CPA_O Mphoek Lvie Patrol Establishment_11_08_2021_Pu Nhav_Ready.pdf	September 8, 2022
CPA_Patrol_12-13_04_2021_Srae Preah CPA.pdf	September 8, 2022
ICT Announment recornizing IP community Identification_ Ou Rona Village_ 29-30 12 21.pdf	September 8, 2022
ICT Check and Verify land boundary_ Pu Trom Village_ 17 and 21 11 21_Done.pdf	September 8, 2022
ICT Land Title collection_ Srae Lvi Village_ 16 17 18 19 20 23 and 27 12 21.pdf	September 8, 2022
ICT Land using data collection for inventory_ Andoung Kraloeng Village_23 11 21.pdf	September 8, 2022
ICT Meeting on Community committee election_ Srae Andoal Village_ 24 11 21.pdf	September 8, 2022
ICT Meeting on community membership updating_ Chak Cha Village_ 08 11 21_Done.pdf	September 8, 2022
ICT Meeting on land conflict by follow up_ Andoung Kraloeng Village_ 22 10 21_Done.pdf	September 8, 2022
ICT Meeting on Land use invantory Srae Preah_ Ou Chra Village_27 10 21_Done.pdf	September 8, 2022
ICT Meeting on Land use invantory Srae Preah_ Srae Khtum and Srae Lvi Village_ 26 10 21_Done.pdf	September 8, 2022
ICT Registration Progress Field Visit_10-12_11_2020_Khtong SraeAndoal ChakChar.pdf	September 8, 2022
LH Consultation meeting on Health Care of CIAI_ Srae Lvi Village_ 30 11 21.pdf	September 8, 2022
LH Meeting on bamboo product, market and price improvement_ Pu Char Village_ 29 12 21.pdf	September 8, 2022
LH Meeting on Cattle raising creation and by law_ Pu Cha Village_ 29 12 21.pdf	September 8, 2022
LH Meeting on livelihood data collection and plan_ Ou Rona Village_ 16 11 21.pdf	September 8, 2022
LH Meeting on livelihood data collection and plan_ Srae Preah Village_ 22 11 21.pdf	September 8, 2022
LH Meeting on livelihood improvement and data collection_ Pu Ngoal Village_ 24 12 21.pdf	September 8, 2022
LH Meeting on livelihood improvement and data collection_ Pu Trom Village_ 13 12 21.pdf	September 8, 2022
LH Meeting on saving group committee election and by law draft_ Pu Hiam_ 21 12 21.pdf	September 8, 2022
LH Meeting on saving group committee election and by law draft_ Pu Rang_ 20 12 21.pdf	September 8, 2022
LH Meeting to Bamboo Handy Craft Shop for preparing USAID visit_ Pu Cha Village_14 11 21.pdf	September 8, 2022
Project Dissemination_20_05_2020_Sre Roneam.PDF	September 8, 2022

REDD Community patrol strenthening meeting_ Khtong Village_ 17 11 24_ Done.pdf	September 8, 2022
REDD Compliance consultation meeting on setting patrol boundary_ Ou Rona Village_ 24 11 21.pdf	September 8, 2022
REDD Compliance consultation meeting on setting patrol boundary_ Pu Rang Village_ 23 11 21.pdf	September 8, 2022
REDD Compliance consultation meeting on setting patrol boundary_ Pu Tang Village_ 22 11 21.pdf	September 8, 2022
REDD Compliance consultation meeting on setting patrol boundary_ Srae I Village_ 21 11 21.pdf	September 8, 2022
REDD District Integration Plan Workshop_ Keo Seima District Hall_ 26 11 21.pdf	September 8, 2022
REDD District Integration Plan Workshop_ Ou Reang District Hall_ 30 11 21.pdf	September 8, 2022
REDD District Integration Plan Workshop_ Saen Monourom District Hall_ 25 11 21.pdf	September 8, 2022
REDD Meeting on Budget diversion_ Pu Tang Village_ 25 11 21 no attendant list.pdf	September 8, 2022
REDD Meeting on CCM strengthening of REDD documentation using_ Ou Chra Village_ 19 12 21.pdf	September 8, 2022
REDD Meeting on community budget contract exstention_ Andoung Kraloeng Village_ 23 12 21.pdf	September 8, 2022
REDD Meeting on community budget contract exstention_ Chak Cha Village_ 14 12 21.pdf	September 8, 2022
REDD Meeting on community budget contract exstention_ Hkati Village_ 13 12 21.pdf	September 8, 2022
REDD Meeting on community budget contract exstention_ Khtong Village_ 10 12 21.pdf	September 8, 2022
REDD Meeting on community budget contract exstention_ Ou Am Village_ 14 12 21.pdf	September 8, 2022
REDD Meeting on community budget contract exstention_ Ou Rona Village_ 16 12 21.pdf	September 8, 2022
REDD Meeting on community budget contract exstention_ Pu Hiam Village_ 13 12 21.pdf	September 8, 2022
REDD Meeting on community budget contract exstention_ Pu Rang Village_ 13 12 21.pdf	September 8, 2022
REDD Meeting on community budget contract exstention_ Pu Tang Village_ 14 12 21.pdf	September 8, 2022
REDD Meeting on community budget contract exstention_ Srae I Village_ 15 12 21.pdf	September 8, 2022
REDD Meeting on community budget contract exstention_ Srae Lvi Village_ 13 12 21.pdf	September 8, 2022
REDD Meeting on community budget contract exstention_ Srae Preah Village_ 15 12 21.pdf	September 8, 2022
REDD Meeting on community committee strengthening_ Pu Hiam Village_ 13 12 21.pdf	September 8, 2022
REDD Meeting on material distribution to the Student_ Andoung Kraloeng Village_ 24 12 21.pdf	September 8, 2022

REDD Meeting on material distribution to the Student_ Pu Trom Village_ 11 12 21.pdf	September 8, 2022
REDD Meeting on Procurement committee creation_ Chak Cha Village_ 07 12 21.pdf	September 8, 2022
REDD Meeting on procurement committee creation_ Romnea Commune Hall_ 16 11 21.pdf	September 8, 2022
REDD Meeting on REDD fund agreement exstantion_ Pcheda Hotel MDK_ 10 12 21.pdf	September 8, 2022
REDD Meeting on REDD fund agreement exstantion_ Pcheda Hotel MDK_ 12 12 21.pdf	September 8, 2022
REDD Village focal point interview_ 24 09 21_ WCS Office (Pu Hiam, A.Kraloeng)_ Done.pdf	September 8, 2022
REDD_Focal Person Selection Dissemination_ 26_07_2021_SraeAndoal ChakChar Khmoum.pdf	September 8, 2022
21-05_ Nuttall et al. - Long-term monitoring_KSWS.pdf	September 8, 2022
20-10_Press conference_KSWS_AMC.mp4	September 8, 2022
20201024-Draft Agenda_Press Conference.pdf	September 8, 2022
Bio Human and Wildlife conflict and Data record_ KSWS location_ 23-28 12 21.pdf	September 8, 2022
Bio snare and camera trapping_ 22-28 09 21_ Srae Plaeng K163_ Done.pdf	September 8, 2022
Bio Training on method for Wildlife observation_ MDK_ 21 12 21.pdf	September 8, 2022
Bio_Snare and Camera trapping_ 25-29 08 21_ Srae Chhuk area_ Ready.pdf	September 8, 2022
Topic_1_Radio_Program_Benefits_of_Forest_Protection_and_Consevation.mp3	September 8, 2022
Mondulkiri NGO Network Meeting_ 10_07_2020_MVI.pdf	September 8, 2022
Village Fair_ 02_02_2021_ Srae Preah Secondary School.pdf	September 8, 2022
SMP_CPA and ICT Condition_ 10_08_2020_Pu Kong.pdf	September 8, 2022
22-06_Calculation error in 2016-2017_KSWS REDD+.docx	September 8, 2022
20220125_Marthews_Extension of defor predictions Keo Seima REDD+ Project.pptx	September 8, 2022
21-05_KSWS Baseline Extension Request_Verra_v20210525.pdf	September 8, 2022
21-08_02_Baseline extension_KSWS_Verra.pdf	September 8, 2022
Figure 3.9_KSWS REDD+ pred act defor_2022_large text_v2.png	September 8, 2022
Seima baseline extension_defor rate yr 11 v20211004 (2).xlsx	September 8, 2022
final_classification_utm48n_indian1960_nodata_filtered_13feb2011.img	September 8, 2022
final_classification_utm48n_indian1960_nodata_filtered_13feb2011.img.aux.xml	September 8, 2022

final_classification_utm48n_indian1960_nodata_filtered_13feb2011.img.vat.dbf	September 8, 2022
final_classification_utm48n_indian1960_nodata_filtered_13feb2011.img.xml	September 8, 2022
final_classification_utm48n_indian1960_nodata_filtered_13feb2011.rrd	September 8, 2022
deforpredmod10_32648_fg.dbf	September 8, 2022
deforpredmod10_32648_fg.prj	September 8, 2022
deforpredmod10_32648_fg.shp	September 8, 2022
deforpredmod10_32648_fg.shx	September 8, 2022
deforpredmod11_32648_fg.dbf	September 8, 2022
deforpredmod11_32648_fg.prj	September 8, 2022
deforpredmod11_32648_fg.shp	September 8, 2022
deforpredmod11_32648_fg.shx	September 8, 2022
deforpredmod12_32648_fg.dbf	September 8, 2022
deforpredmod12_32648_fg.prj	September 8, 2022
deforpredmod12_32648_fg.shp	September 8, 2022
deforpredmod12_32648_fg.shx	September 8, 2022
deforpredmod13_32648_fg.dbf	September 8, 2022
deforpredmod13_32648_fg.prj	September 8, 2022
deforpredmod13_32648_fg.shp	September 8, 2022
deforpredmod13_32648_fg.shx	September 8, 2022
deforpredmod14_32648_fg.dbf	September 8, 2022
deforpredmod14_32648_fg.prj	September 8, 2022
deforpredmod14_32648_fg.shp	September 8, 2022
deforpredmod14_32648_fg.shx	September 8, 2022
deforpredmod15_32648_fg.dbf	September 8, 2022
deforpredmod15_32648_fg.prj	September 8, 2022
deforpredmod15_32648_fg.shp	September 8, 2022
deforpredmod15_32648_fg.shx	September 8, 2022

deforpredmod16_32648_fg.dbf	September 8, 2022
deforpredmod16_32648_fg.prj	September 8, 2022
deforpredmod16_32648_fg.shp	September 8, 2022
deforpredmod16_32648_fg.shx	September 8, 2022
deforpredmod17_32648_fg.dbf	September 8, 2022
deforpredmod17_32648_fg.prj	September 8, 2022
deforpredmod17_32648_fg.shp	September 8, 2022
deforpredmod17_32648_fg.shx	September 8, 2022
deforpredmod18_32648_fg.dbf	September 8, 2022
deforpredmod18_32648_fg.prj	September 8, 2022
deforpredmod18_32648_fg.shp	September 8, 2022
deforpredmod18_32648_fg.shx	September 8, 2022
deforpredmod19_32648_fg.dbf	September 8, 2022
deforpredmod19_32648_fg.prj	September 8, 2022
deforpredmod19_32648_fg.shp	September 8, 2022
deforpredmod19_32648_fg.shx	September 8, 2022
deforpredmod20_32648_fg.dbf	September 8, 2022
deforpredmod20_32648_fg.prj	September 8, 2022
deforpredmod20_32648_fg.shp	September 8, 2022
deforpredmod20_32648_fg.shx	September 8, 2022
deforpredmod21_32648_fg.dbf	September 8, 2022
deforpredmod21_32648_fg.prj	September 8, 2022
deforpredmod21_32648_fg.shp	September 8, 2022
deforpredmod21_32648_fg.shx	September 8, 2022
VCS-Risk-Report-Calculation-Tool-v4.0_KSWS REDD+_2020-2021.xls	September 8, 2022
Attendant list of KSWS monthly meeting on 14 January 2021.pdf	September 12, 2022
KSWS Couter part monthly meeting_11 10 21_ Online meeting No Att..pdf	September 12, 2022

20-05_WCS Cambodia Guidelines for Re-Opening Office and Field Operations - Phase 1.msg	September 12, 2022
20-05_WCS Cambodia Guidelines for Re-Opening Office and Field Operations - Phase 2.msg	September 12, 2022
20-07_Memo 2020_002_Rollover of Annual Leave from FY20 to FY21 (ENG+KHM).pdf	September 12, 2022
20-07_Rollover of Annual Leave from FY20 to FY21 due to COVID19 disruptions.msg	September 12, 2022
20-07_WCS Cambodia UPDATED Guidelines for Office and Field Operations Phase 3.msg	September 12, 2022
20-12_URGENT Guidelines for Re-Opening Office and Field Operations Effective from 800am December 16th 2020.msg	September 12, 2022
21-04_Memo_2021_008_Temporary delay of WCS pay roll payment for April 2021.pdf	September 12, 2022
21-05_UPDATED office operating protocols and Temporary Closure of WCS Office in Phnom Penh.msg	September 12, 2022
LE Patrol team member restructure and new selection_ Pu Hiam Village_ 26 11 21.pdf	September 12, 2022
SMART Coaching smart mobile data collection to law enforcement team_ KWS HQ_ 14 10 21_ Done.pdf	September 12, 2022
CPA consultation meeting on potancial area selection for CPA Srae Antung_Pu Keh Village_ 27 10 21_ Done.pdf	September 12, 2022
CPA Meeting on CPA managment plan review and updating_ Srae Preah meeting hall, Ou Chra Village_ 29 11 21_ Done.pdf	September 12, 2022
CPA Potential site identification to CPA establishment_ Andoung Kraloeng Village_ 16-17 11 21.pdf	September 12, 2022
CPA Potential site identification to CPA establishment_ Andoung Kraloeng Village_ 16-18 12 21.pdf	September 12, 2022
CPA Sub-Community committee election Srae Antung CPA_ Pu Ngoal and Pu Keh Village_ 26-27 11 21.pdf	September 12, 2022
ICT Annountment recornizing IP community Identification_ Andoung Kraloeng Village_ 28-29 12 21.pdf	September 12, 2022
ICT Training on form completion and GPS using to set land boundary_ Ou Chra Village_ 22 11 21.pdf	September 12, 2022
ICT Training on form completion and GPS using to set land boundary_ Srae Lvi Village_ 15 11 21.pdf	September 12, 2022
LH Consultation meeting livelihoods mechanism and budget managment_ Ou Chra Village_ 25 10 21_ Done.pdf	September 12, 2022
LH Consultation meeting livelihoods mechanism and budget managment_ Pu Cha Village_ 27 10 21_ Done.pdf	September 12, 2022
LH Consultation meeting livelihoods mechanism and budget managment_ Pu Kong Village_ 27 10 21_ Done.pdf	September 12, 2022
REDD Com consultation meeting community scoring and mechanism solving_ Chak Cha Village_ 22 12 21.pdf	September 12, 2022
REDD Com consultation meeting community scoring and mechanism solving_ Hkati Village_ 19 12 21.pdf	September 12, 2022
REDD Com consultation meeting community scoring and mechanism solving_ Khtong Village_ 25 12 21.pdf	September 12, 2022

REDD Com consultation meeting community scoring and mechanism solving_ Kmoum Village_ 23 12 21.pdf	September 12, 2022
REDD Com consultation meeting community scoring and mechanism solving_ Pu Cha Village_ 20 12 21.pdf	September 12, 2022
REDD Com consultation meeting community scoring and mechanism solving_ Pu Hiam Village_ 11 12 21.pdf	September 12, 2022
REDD Com consultation meeting community scoring and mechanism solving_ Pu Kong Village_ 21 12 21.pdf	September 12, 2022
REDD Compliance consultation meeting on setting patrol boundary and strenthening_ Ou Am Village_ 24 11 21.pdf	September 12, 2022
REDD Compliance consultation meeting on setting patrol boundary_ Pu Ngoal and Pu Keh Village_ 25 11 21.pdf	September 12, 2022
REDD Compliance Ibis Rice membership updated and provisional Non-Compliance_ Ou Chra Village_27 10 21_ Done.pdf	September 12, 2022
REDD Compliance meeting on hotline Grievance and redd compliance_Pu Ngoal Village_14 10 21_ Done.pdf	September 12, 2022
REDD Compliance Meeting on IBIS Rice membership updated_ Pu Cha- Ou Chra- Pu Kong Village_03 11 21.pdf	September 12, 2022
REDD Compliance meeting on result of community_Puchar Ochra Pukong Srepreah and Gati Villages_06-10 11 21_ Done.pdf	September 12, 2022
REDD Village focal point interview_18-19 09 21_WCS Office (Pu Trom, Pu Rang, Pu Tang, Srae I)_ Done.pdf	September 12, 2022
2020_KSWS key species population status report_WCS Cambodia.pdf	September 12, 2022
20-10_Press conference_statment_OG_TSKhmer.pdf	September 12, 2022
20-10-14_Aide Memoire_KSWS key species status_English.pdf	September 12, 2022
20201025_Aide_Memoire_KSWS_key_species_status_Khmer.pdf	September 12, 2022
20-10_26_KSWS_Key species status_press conference (1).jpeg	September 12, 2022
20-10_26_KSWS_Key species status_press conference (1).jpg	September 12, 2022
20-10_26_KSWS_Key species status_press conference (2).jpeg	September 12, 2022
20-10_26_KSWS_Key species status_press conference (3).jpeg	September 12, 2022
20-10_26_KSWS_Key species status_press conference (4).jpeg	September 12, 2022
20-10_26_KSWS_Key species status_press conference (5).jpeg	September 12, 2022
20-10_26_KSWS_Key species status_press conference (6).jpeg	September 12, 2022
20-10_26_KSWS_Key species status_press conference (7).jpeg	September 12, 2022
20-10_26_KSWS_Key species status_press conference (8).jpeg	September 12, 2022
Bio Human and Wildlife conflict_22-28 09 21_Ou Am, Ou Chra, Pu Kong, Ou Troun_ Done.pdf	September 12, 2022
Bio Monitoring transect line operation and tree painting_ Ou Theang Srae Chhuk T21 and T22_ 26-30 11 21_ Done.pdf	September 12, 2022

Bio Monitoring transect line operation and tree painting_ Srae Chhuk T24 and T33_ 26-30 11 21_ Done.pdf	September 12, 2022
Bio Monitoring transect line operation and tree painting_ Srae Chhuk T30 and T31_ 26-30 11 21_ Done.pdf	September 12, 2022
Bio Monitoring transect line operation and trees painting_ Andoung Kraloeng T19_ 18-19 12 21.pdf	September 12, 2022
Bio Monitoring transect line operation and trees painting_ Chong Rang T28 T29 and T36_ 01-07 11 21_ Done.pdf	September 12, 2022
Bio Monitoring transect line operation and trees painting_ Km156 T01 and T02_ 11-16 12 21.pdf	September 12, 2022
Bio Monitoring transect line operation and trees painting_ Ou Daklea T03 T05 and T06_ 01-07 11 21_ Done.pdf	September 12, 2022
Bio Monitoring transect line operation and trees painting_ Ou Pam T07 T10 and T11_ 01-07 11 21_ Done.pdf	September 12, 2022
Bio Monitoring transect line operation and trees painting_ Ou Rang T12 T20 and T27_ 01-07 11 21_ Done.pdf	September 12, 2022
Bio Monitoring transect line operation and trees painting_ Roka and Tramkit T34 and T35_ 23-28 12 21.pdf	September 12, 2022
Bio Monitoring transect line operation and trees painting_ Royang and Ou Kmoum T23 and T32_ 26-30 11 21_ Done.pdf	September 12, 2022
Bio Monitoring transect line operation and trees painting_ Royang T25 and T26_ 11-16 12 21.pdf	September 12, 2022
Bio Monitoring transect line operation and trees painting_ Sr Plaeng Ou Troun T04 T08 and T09_ 01-07 11 21_ Done.pdf	September 12, 2022
Bio Monitoring transect line operation and trees painting_ Srae Chhuk T13 T14 and T37_ 25-30 11 21_ Done.pdf	September 12, 2022
Bio Monitoring transect line operation and trees painting_ Srae Chhuk T15 and T16_ 11-15 12 21.pdf	September 12, 2022
Bio Monitoring transect line operation and trees painting_ Srae Yev T17 T18 and T19_ 01-07 11 21_ Done.pdf	September 12, 2022
Bio Monitoring transect line operation and trees painting_ T38 T39 and T40_ 23-29 12 21.pdf	September 12, 2022
Bio_Snare and Camera trapping_15-21 08 21_Doeum Chambak Station Srae Chhuk_Ready.pdf	September 12, 2022
22_KSWS REDD+ verification village site visits.xlsx	September 14, 2022
22-08_KSWS REDD+ 4th Verification 2020-2021_agenda_v9.docx	September 14, 2022
18009.02 Keo Seima Cambodia_VCS_CCB_Sampling Plan_V2_Appendix B_OG.docx	October 3, 2022
22-10_Grievance database extract_2020-2021.xlsx	October 24, 2022
Sre Lvi ICT Restructure on 24112021.pdf	October 24, 2022
O Chrar_ (1).jpg	October 24, 2022
O Chrar_ (10).jpg	October 24, 2022
O Chrar_ (11).jpg	October 24, 2022
O Chrar_ (12).jpg	October 24, 2022
O Chrar_ (13).jpg	October 24, 2022
O Chrar_ (2).jpg	October 24, 2022

O Chrar_ (3).jpg	October 24, 2022
O Chrar_ (4).jpg	October 24, 2022
O Chrar_ (5).jpg	October 24, 2022
O Chrar_ (6).jpg	October 24, 2022
O Chrar_ (7).jpg	October 24, 2022
O Chrar_ (8).jpg	October 24, 2022
O Chrar_ (9).jpg	October 24, 2022
22-09-08_KSWS REDD+_Activity Data Generation.pptx	October 24, 2022
22-09-08_KSWS REDD+_Extension of defor predictions Keo Seima REDD+ Project.pptx	October 24, 2022
22-09-20_KSWS REDD+_IBIS Rice.pptx	October 24, 2022
22-09-20_KSWS REDD+_M&E.pdf	October 24, 2022
22-09-20_KSWS REDD+_M&E_indicator calc.xlsx	October 24, 2022
22-09-20_KSWS REDD+_Overview.pptx	October 24, 2022
22-09-20_KSWS REDD+_SMP_IBIS Rice.pptx	October 24, 2022
22-09-21_KSWS REDD+_Biodiversity.pptx	October 24, 2022
22-09-21_KSWS REDD+_Community overview.pptx	October 24, 2022
22-09-21_KSWS REDD+_Law enforcement.pptx	October 24, 2022
18009.02_Keo Seima_CCBv3.1_Round 1 Findings_20221201_responses.docx	January 5, 2023
18009.02_Keo Seima_VCS Round 1 Findings_20221201_responses.xlsx	January 5, 2023
KSWS REDD+_VCS CCB MR_2020-2021_v1.4.pdf	January 5, 2023
2016_Sub-Decree 72_Exploration & Industrial Mining Licenses_Eng.pdf	January 9, 2023
21_MIKE_elephant deaths.xlsx	January 9, 2023
21-08_CAMPAS carbon calculation_reporting.xlsx	January 9, 2023
21-08_EP Landscape forest cover monitoring.xlsx	January 9, 2023
21-10_KSWS_Consolidated subgrant proposal reviews_AM.xlsx	January 9, 2023
22_12_IUCN_Red_List_plants_MR_VVB_findings_and_project_responses.xlsx	January 9, 2023
22_Eld's deer camera trap images.pdf	January 9, 2023
220902_KSWS LAW ENFORCEMENT STRATEGY_v 1.0_DRAFT.docx	January 9, 2023
22-10_KSWS REDD+_SBMs_indicators_MIR_final.xlsx	January 9, 2023
CCB_VALID_REP_ENG_1650_16NOV2015.pdf	January 9, 2023
CCB_VALID_REP_ENG_1650_4APR2017_gap.pdf	January 9, 2023
Community financial guideline manual_C4C_KSWS.docx	January 9, 2023
KSWS SOP Kratie site_Eng_2020_Final.pdf	January 9, 2023
KSWS SOP MDK site_Eng_2020_Final.pdf	January 9, 2023
KSWS_2020_VCS-Non-Permanence-Risk_Governance score_OG v2.xlsx	January 9, 2023
KSWS_2020_VCS-Non-Permanence-Risk-Report_OG_v2.4.docx	January 9, 2023
KSWS_2020_VCS-Non-Permanence-Risk-Report_OG_v2.4.pdf	January 9, 2023

KSWS_period4_verification_2020_carbon calculations_v5.2_OG.xlsx	January 9, 2023
KSWS_SIA_report_2017.pdf	January 9, 2023
Large Area Deforestation from Uncontrolled Fire and Disease.docx	January 9, 2023
Mining Law 2001.pdf	January 9, 2023
MoU WCS MOFAIC 2020.pdf	January 9, 2023
SPF PD FULL v5.1_11NOV2015_dual validated.pdf	January 9, 2023
Sub_decree_72_Mining licenses and exploration_KH.pdf	January 9, 2023
Supporting Document on Land Dispute Findings.docx	January 9, 2023
WWF 2020_Population status of ungulates in the EPL_SWS_PPWS.pdf	January 9, 2023
Annual workplan_KSWS_FY22_MOE_signed.pdf	January 9, 2023
FY19_KSWS AnnualWorkPlan_Review Jan19_Final.xlsx	January 9, 2023
FY20_KSWS AnnualWorkPlan-Final-Review-WHI.xlsx	January 9, 2023
FY20_KSWS AnnualWorkPlan Final.pdf	January 9, 2023
FY22_KSWS_Annual work plan_6-month review_offline_v22-03-01 (2).xlsx	January 9, 2023
FY23_KSWS Annual Work Plan_Signed.pdf	January 9, 2023
Workplan_KSWS_FY21-Signed.pdf	January 9, 2023
KSWS Adaptive work plan for Feb 2020.xlsx	January 9, 2023
KSWS Adaptive work plan for Jan FY'20-RT2.xlsx	January 9, 2023
KSWS Adaptive work plan for Mar 2020-RT.xlsx	January 9, 2023
KSWS Budget request for Apr 2020.xlsx	January 9, 2023
KSWS Budget request for LE meals.xlsx	January 9, 2023
Budget request for LE meals for Aug 2020.xlsx	January 9, 2023
KSWS Budget request for Aug 2020.xlsx	January 9, 2023
KSWS Budget request for Aug 2020-RT.xlsx	January 9, 2023
Office rent in Aug 2020.xlsx	January 9, 2023
Approved request.docx	January 9, 2023
KSWS Budget request for Dec'20-RT.xlsx	January 9, 2023
Office rent in Dec'20.xlsx	January 9, 2023
The advance request detail annual plan, Approved.xlsx	January 9, 2023
The advance request REDD+, Approved.xlsx	January 9, 2023
Budget request for LE meals for Jul 2020.xlsx	January 9, 2023
KSWS Budget request for Jul 2020.xlsx	January 9, 2023
Budget request for LE meals for Jun 2020.xlsx	January 9, 2023
KSWS Budget request for Jun 2020(1).xlsx	January 9, 2023
KSWS Budget request for Jun 2020(2).xlsx	January 9, 2023
Budget request for LE meals for May 2020.xlsx	January 9, 2023
KSWS Budget request for May 2020.xlsx	January 9, 2023
Monitoring team buget in May, 2020_KSWS.xlsx	January 9, 2023
KSWS Budget request for Nov 2020-RT.xlsx	January 9, 2023
Office rent in Nov 2020.xlsx	January 9, 2023
KSWS Budget request for Oct 2020-RT.xlsx	January 9, 2023

Office rent in Oct 2020.xlsx	January 9, 2023
Advance request is approved.xlsx	January 9, 2023
KSWS Budget request for Sep 2020, RT.xlsx	January 9, 2023
KW - Advance Request_Ecotourism Sept-RT.xlsx	January 9, 2023
Office rent in Sep 2020.xlsx	January 9, 2023
a-KSWS Adaptive work plan for Jan 2021-RT.xlsx	January 9, 2023
b-KSWS Adaptive work plan for Feb 2021_RT.XLSX	January 9, 2023
c-KSWS Adaptive work plan for Mar 2021_RT.XLSX	January 9, 2023
d-KSWS Adaptive work plan for Apr 2021_RT (1).xlsx	January 9, 2023
e-KSWS Adaptive work plan for May 2021_RT (Approved).XLSX	January 9, 2023
f-KSWS Adaptive work plan for June 2021-RT (Approved).XLSX	January 9, 2023
g-KSWS Adaptive work plan for July 2021 (updated)-rt (1).XLSX	January 9, 2023
h-KSWS Adaptive work plan for Aug 2021-RT.XLSX	January 9, 2023
i-KSWS Adaptive work plan for Sep 2021-RT.XLSX	January 9, 2023
k-KSWS Adaptive work plan for Oct-21_A.xlsx	January 9, 2023
2020_2021_KSWS REDD+_M&E data_attendees.xlsx	January 9, 2023
2021_Keo Seima Demography report_USAID KSCP_Khiev Samnang.pdf	January 9, 2023
Final General Population Census 2019-English_full.pdf	January 9, 2023
FY21SM01 PU CHAR VILLAGE.pdf	January 9, 2023
FY21SM02 O ARM VILLAGE.pdf	January 9, 2023
FY21SM03 PU KONG VILLAGE.pdf	January 9, 2023
FY21SM04 O CHRAR VILLAGE.pdf	January 9, 2023
FY21SM05 SRE LVY VILLAGE.pdf	January 9, 2023
FY21SM06 PU HAM VILLAGE.pdf	January 9, 2023
FY21SM07 PU RANG VILLAGE.pdf	January 9, 2023
FY21SM08 SRE ANGDUL VILLAGE.pdf	January 9, 2023
FY21SM09 CHOK CHAR VILLAGE.pdf	January 9, 2023
FY21SM10 PU TANG VILLAGE.pdf	January 9, 2023
FY21SM11 SRE Y VILLAGE.pdf	January 9, 2023
FY21SM12 KTUNG VILLAGE (2).pdf	January 9, 2023
FY21SM12 KTUNG VILLAGE.pdf	January 9, 2023
FY21SM13 PU NGOUL VILLAGE.pdf	January 9, 2023
FY21SM14 GATIE VILLAGE.pdf	January 9, 2023
FY21SM15 SRE PHREAS.pdf	January 9, 2023
FY21SM16 ANGDONG KRALEONG.pdf	January 9, 2023
FY21SM17 PU THROM VILLAGE.pdf	January 9, 2023
REDD Consultation_10-11_08_2020_Pu Hoam and ADK.pdf	January 9, 2023
REDD Consultation_15_07_2020_Ou Am.pdf	January 9, 2023
REDD Consultation_16_07_2020_Pu Keh.pdf	January 9, 2023
REDD Consultation_17_07_2020_Pu Ngoal.pdf	January 9, 2023
REDD Consultation_22_07_2020_Ou Rona.pdf	January 9, 2023
REDD_Consultation_04_03_2020_Pu Trom.PDF	January 9, 2023

REDD_Consultation_05_03_2020_Pu Tang.PDF	January 9, 2023
REDD_Consultation_06_03_2020_Sre I.PDF	January 9, 2023
REDD_Consultation_09_03_2020_ADK.PDF	January 9, 2023
REDD_Consultation_09_03_2020_Srae Preah.PDF	January 9, 2023
REDD_Consultation_10_03_2020_Pu Hoam.PDF	January 9, 2023
REDD_Consultation_10_03_2020_Pu Kong.PDF	January 9, 2023
REDD_Consultation_11_03_2020_Ou Chra.pdf	January 9, 2023
REDD_Consultation_11_03_2020_Pu Rang.PDF	January 9, 2023
REDD_Consultation_12_03_2020_Chak Char.PDF	January 9, 2023
REDD_Consultation_12_03_2020_Pu Cha.PDF	January 9, 2023
REDD_Consultation_13_03_2020_Sre Andoal.PDF	January 9, 2023
REDD_Consultation_13_03_2020_Gatie.pdf	January 9, 2023
REDD_Consultation_13_03_2020_Khmoum.PDF	January 9, 2023
REDD_Consultation_14_03_2020_Khtong.PDF	January 9, 2023
REDD_Consultation_16_03_2020_Sre Lvi.pdf	January 9, 2023
MIR at O Am village.pdf	January 9, 2023
MIR at O RONA village.pdf	January 9, 2023
MIR at Pu Kesh village.pdf	January 9, 2023
MIR at Pu Ngaol village.pdf	January 9, 2023
MIR at Sre Live village.pdf	January 9, 2023
MIR meeting at AK.pdf	January 9, 2023
MIR meeting at Gatie village.pdf	January 9, 2023
MIR meeting at Ochra Village.pdf	January 9, 2023
MIR meeting at Pu Char Village.pdf	January 9, 2023
MIR meeting at Pu Haim.pdf	January 9, 2023
MIR meeting at Pu Kong Village.pdf	January 9, 2023
MIR meeting at Pu Rang.pdf	January 9, 2023
MIR meeting at Pu Tang.pdf	January 9, 2023
MIR meeting at Pu Trom.pdf	January 9, 2023
MIR meeting at Sre I.pdf	January 9, 2023
MIR_ Pu kes_Second time.pdf	January 9, 2023
MIR_ Sre Andoul.pdf	January 9, 2023
MIR_chork cha.pdf	January 9, 2023
MIR_khmom.pdf	January 9, 2023
MIR_khtung.pdf	January 9, 2023
MIR_meeting_at_Srae_Preah_Village.pdf	January 9, 2023
លិខិតរបស់សាលាឧទ្ធព័ន្ធ (០២) (1).png	January 9, 2023
លិខិតរបស់សាលាឧទ្ធព័ន្ធ (០១).png	January 9, 2023
20160314_145550.jpg	January 9, 2023
DSCF4468.jpg	January 9, 2023
DSCF4469.jpg	January 9, 2023
DSCF4470.jpg	January 9, 2023
DSCF4474.jpg	January 9, 2023

IMG_2933.jpg	January 9, 2023
IMG_4184.JPG	January 9, 2023
IMG_4219.JPG	January 9, 2023
IMG_9415.JPG	January 9, 2023
IMG_9426.JPG	January 9, 2023
Land measure in Orona on 14.3.16- Summary Report.docx	January 9, 2023
O Rona_location_KSWS.png	January 9, 2023
Result of Orona from Appeal court.docx	January 9, 2023
Summary of O Rona Case 29.2.16.docx	January 9, 2023
Deika's_1.pdf	January 9, 2023
Deika's_2.pdf	January 9, 2023
Deika's_3.pdf	January 9, 2023
Decision ruling.pdf	January 9, 2023
Orona case.pdf	January 9, 2023
WCS Employee Health Guide.final report.pdf	January 9, 2023
WCS MERP Poster - Mondulkiri (2).pdf	January 9, 2023
WCS SHR Agreement (Signed).pdf	January 9, 2023
WCS Site Health Review.final report.pdf	January 9, 2023
Baseline extension modeling results v1.0.xlsx	January 9, 2023
KSWS_forest_classification_validation_values.txt	January 9, 2023
KSWS_forest_classification_validation_fixed_WGS.cpg	January 9, 2023
KSWS_forest_classification_validation_fixed_WGS.dbf	January 9, 2023
KSWS_forest_classification_validation_fixed_WGS.prj	January 9, 2023
KSWS_forest_classification_validation_fixed_WGS.shp	January 9, 2023
KSWS_forest_classification_validation_fixed_WGS.shx	January 9, 2023
WCSanalysis1_comparison.docx	January 9, 2023
WCSanalysis1_extension.docx	January 9, 2023
WCSanalysis1_original.docx	January 9, 2023
WCSanalysis2_comparison.docx	January 9, 2023
WCSanalysis2_extension.docx	January 9, 2023
WCSanalysis2_original.docx	January 9, 2023
WCSanalysis3_comparison.docx	January 9, 2023
WCSanalysis3_extension.docx	January 9, 2023
WCSanalysis3_original.docx	January 9, 2023
KingwoodDeforDataExample.csv	January 9, 2023
OUTd22005awh.dbf	January 9, 2023
OUTd22005awh.prj	January 9, 2023
OUTd22005awh.sbn	January 9, 2023
OUTd22005awh.sbx	January 9, 2023
OUTd22005awh.shp	January 9, 2023
OUTd22005awh.shp.xml	January 9, 2023
OUTd22005awh.shx	January 9, 2023
OUTd22005awl.dbf	January 9, 2023

OUTd22005awl.prj	January 9, 2023
OUTd22005awl.sbn	January 9, 2023
OUTd22005awl.sbx	January 9, 2023
OUTd22005awl.shp	January 9, 2023
OUTd22005awl.shp.xml	January 9, 2023
OUTd22005awl.shx	January 9, 2023
OUTd22005fw.dbf	January 9, 2023
OUTd22005fw.prj	January 9, 2023
OUTd22005fw.sbn	January 9, 2023
OUTd22005fw.sbx	January 9, 2023
OUTd22005fw.shp	January 9, 2023
OUTd22005fw.shp.xml	January 9, 2023
OUTd22005fw.shx	January 9, 2023
OUTd22008awh.dbf	January 9, 2023
OUTd22008awh.prj	January 9, 2023
OUTd22008awh.sbn	January 9, 2023
OUTd22008awh.sbx	January 9, 2023
OUTd22008awh.shp	January 9, 2023
OUTd22008awh.shp.xml	January 9, 2023
OUTd22008awh.shx	January 9, 2023
OUTd22008awl.dbf	January 9, 2023
OUTd22008awl.prj	January 9, 2023
OUTd22008awl.sbn	January 9, 2023
OUTd22008awl.sbx	January 9, 2023
OUTd22008awl.shp	January 9, 2023
OUTd22008awl.shp.xml	January 9, 2023
OUTd22008awl.shx	January 9, 2023
OUTd22008fw.dbf	January 9, 2023
OUTd22008fw.prj	January 9, 2023
OUTd22008fw.sbn	January 9, 2023
OUTd22008fw.sbx	January 9, 2023
OUTd22008fw.shp	January 9, 2023
OUTd22008fw.shp.xml	January 9, 2023
OUTd22008fw.shx	January 9, 2023
OUTd22010awh.dbf	January 9, 2023
OUTd22010awh.prj	January 9, 2023
OUTd22010awh.sbn	January 9, 2023
OUTd22010awh.sbx	January 9, 2023
OUTd22010awh.shp	January 9, 2023
OUTd22010awh.shp.xml	January 9, 2023
OUTd22010awh.shx	January 9, 2023
OUTd22010awl.dbf	January 9, 2023
OUTd22010awl.prj	January 9, 2023

OUTd22010awl.sbn	January 9, 2023
OUTd22010awl.sbx	January 9, 2023
OUTd22010awl.shp	January 9, 2023
OUTd22010awl.shp.xml	January 9, 2023
OUTd22010awl.shx	January 9, 2023
OUTd22010fw.dbf	January 9, 2023
OUTd22010fw.prj	January 9, 2023
OUTd22010fw.sbn	January 9, 2023
OUTd22010fw.sbx	January 9, 2023
OUTd22010fw.shp	January 9, 2023
OUTd22010fw.shp.xml	January 9, 2023
OUTd22010fw.shx	January 9, 2023
OUTd22011awh.dbf	January 9, 2023
OUTd22011awh.prj	January 9, 2023
OUTd22011awh.sbn	January 9, 2023
OUTd22011awh.sbx	January 9, 2023
OUTd22011awh.shp	January 9, 2023
OUTd22011awh.shp.xml	January 9, 2023
OUTd22011awh.shx	January 9, 2023
OUTd22011awl.dbf	January 9, 2023
OUTd22011awl.prj	January 9, 2023
OUTd22011awl.sbn	January 9, 2023
OUTd22011awl.sbx	January 9, 2023
OUTd22011awl.shp	January 9, 2023
OUTd22011awl.shp.xml	January 9, 2023
OUTd22011awl.shx	January 9, 2023
OUTd22011fw.dbf	January 9, 2023
OUTd22011fw.prj	January 9, 2023
OUTd22011fw.sbn	January 9, 2023
OUTd22011fw.sbx	January 9, 2023
OUTd22011fw.shp	January 9, 2023
OUTd22011fw.shp.xml	January 9, 2023
OUTd22011fw.shx	January 9, 2023
OUTd22012awh.dbf	January 9, 2023
OUTd22012awh.prj	January 9, 2023
OUTd22012awh.sbn	January 9, 2023
OUTd22012awh.sbx	January 9, 2023
OUTd22012awh.shp	January 9, 2023
OUTd22012awh.shp.xml	January 9, 2023
OUTd22012awh.shx	January 9, 2023
OUTd22012awl.dbf	January 9, 2023
OUTd22012awl.prj	January 9, 2023
OUTd22012awl.sbn	January 9, 2023

OUTd22012awl.sbx	January 9, 2023
OUTd22012awl.shp	January 9, 2023
OUTd22012awl.shp.xml	January 9, 2023
OUTd22012awl.shx	January 9, 2023
OUTd22012fw.dbf	January 9, 2023
OUTd22012fw.prj	January 9, 2023
OUTd22012fw.sbn	January 9, 2023
OUTd22012fw.sbx	January 9, 2023
OUTd22012fw.shp	January 9, 2023
OUTd22012fw.shp.xml	January 9, 2023
OUTd22012fw.shx	January 9, 2023
OUTd2cart.dbf	January 9, 2023
OUTd2cart.prj	January 9, 2023
OUTd2cart.sbn	January 9, 2023
OUTd2cart.sbx	January 9, 2023
OUTd2cart.shp	January 9, 2023
OUTd2cart.shp.xml	January 9, 2023
OUTd2cart.shx	January 9, 2023
OUTd2defor02polys.dbf	January 9, 2023
OUTd2defor02polys.prj	January 9, 2023
OUTd2defor02polys.sbn	January 9, 2023
OUTd2defor02polys.sbx	January 9, 2023
OUTd2defor02polys.shp	January 9, 2023
OUTd2defor02polys.shp.xml	January 9, 2023
OUTd2defor02polys.shx	January 9, 2023
OUTd2defor02polysgt10.dbf	January 9, 2023
OUTd2defor02polysgt10.prj	January 9, 2023
OUTd2defor02polysgt10.sbn	January 9, 2023
OUTd2defor02polysgt10.sbx	January 9, 2023
OUTd2defor02polysgt10.shp	January 9, 2023
OUTd2defor02polysgt10.shp.xml	January 9, 2023
OUTd2defor02polysgt10.shx	January 9, 2023
OUTd2defor08polys.dbf	January 9, 2023
OUTd2defor08polys.prj	January 9, 2023
OUTd2defor08polys.sbn	January 9, 2023
OUTd2defor08polys.sbx	January 9, 2023
OUTd2defor08polys.shp	January 9, 2023
OUTd2defor08polys.shp.xml	January 9, 2023
OUTd2defor08polys.shx	January 9, 2023
OUTd2defor08polysgt10.dbf	January 9, 2023
OUTd2defor08polysgt10.prj	January 9, 2023
OUTd2defor08polysgt10.sbn	January 9, 2023
OUTd2defor08polysgt10.sbx	January 9, 2023

OUTd2defor08polysgt10.shp	January 9, 2023
OUTd2defor08polysgt10.shp.xml	January 9, 2023
OUTd2defor08polysgt10.shx	January 9, 2023
OUTd2defor10polys.dbf	January 9, 2023
OUTd2defor10polys.prj	January 9, 2023
OUTd2defor10polys.sbn	January 9, 2023
OUTd2defor10polys.sbx	January 9, 2023
OUTd2defor10polys.shp	January 9, 2023
OUTd2defor10polys.shp.xml	January 9, 2023
OUTd2defor10polys.shx	January 9, 2023
OUTd2defor10polysgt10.dbf	January 9, 2023
OUTd2defor10polysgt10.prj	January 9, 2023
OUTd2defor10polysgt10.sbn	January 9, 2023
OUTd2defor10polysgt10.sbx	January 9, 2023
OUTd2defor10polysgt10.shp	January 9, 2023
OUTd2defor10polysgt10.shp.xml	January 9, 2023
OUTd2defor10polysgt10.shx	January 9, 2023
OUTd2defor12polys.dbf	January 9, 2023
OUTd2defor12polys.prj	January 9, 2023
OUTd2defor12polys.sbn	January 9, 2023
OUTd2defor12polys.sbx	January 9, 2023
OUTd2defor12polys.shp	January 9, 2023
OUTd2defor12polys.shp.xml	January 9, 2023
OUTd2defor12polys.shx	January 9, 2023
OUTd2defor12polysgt10.dbf	January 9, 2023
OUTd2defor12polysgt10.prj	January 9, 2023
OUTd2defor12polysgt10.sbn	January 9, 2023
OUTd2defor12polysgt10.sbx	January 9, 2023
OUTd2defor12polysgt10.shp	January 9, 2023
OUTd2defor12polysgt10.shp.xml	January 9, 2023
OUTd2defor12polysgt10.shx	January 9, 2023
OUTd2river.dbf	January 9, 2023
OUTd2river.prj	January 9, 2023
OUTd2river.sbn	January 9, 2023
OUTd2river.sbx	January 9, 2023
OUTd2river.shp	January 9, 2023
OUTd2river.shp.xml	January 9, 2023
OUTd2river.shx	January 9, 2023
OUTelev.dbf	January 9, 2023
OUTelev.prj	January 9, 2023
OUTelev.sbn	January 9, 2023
OUTelev.sbx	January 9, 2023
OUTelev.shp	January 9, 2023

OUTelev.shp.xml	January 9, 2023
OUTelev.shx	January 9, 2023
OUTrandom.dbf	January 9, 2023
OUTrandom.prj	January 9, 2023
OUTrandom.sbn	January 9, 2023
OUTrandom.sbx	January 9, 2023
OUTrandom.shp	January 9, 2023
OUTrandom.shp.xml	January 9, 2023
OUTrandom.shx	January 9, 2023
OUTslope.dbf	January 9, 2023
OUTslope.prj	January 9, 2023
OUTslope.sbn	January 9, 2023
OUTslope.sbx	January 9, 2023
OUTslope.shp	January 9, 2023
OUTslope.shp.xml	January 9, 2023
OUTslope.shx	January 9, 2023
OUTsoils.dbf	January 9, 2023
OUTsoils.prj	January 9, 2023
OUTsoils.shp	January 9, 2023
OUTsoils.shx	January 9, 2023
OUTt2port2005.dbf	January 9, 2023
OUTt2port2005.prj	January 9, 2023
OUTt2port2005.sbn	January 9, 2023
OUTt2port2005.sbx	January 9, 2023
OUTt2port2005.shp	January 9, 2023
OUTt2port2005.shp.xml	January 9, 2023
OUTt2port2005.shx	January 9, 2023
OUTt2port2008.dbf	January 9, 2023
OUTt2port2008.prj	January 9, 2023
OUTt2port2008.sbn	January 9, 2023
OUTt2port2008.sbx	January 9, 2023
OUTt2port2008.shp	January 9, 2023
OUTt2port2008.shp.xml	January 9, 2023
OUTt2port2008.shx	January 9, 2023
OUTt2port2010.dbf	January 9, 2023
OUTt2port2010.prj	January 9, 2023
OUTt2port2010.sbn	January 9, 2023
OUTt2port2010.sbx	January 9, 2023
OUTt2port2010.shp	January 9, 2023
OUTt2port2010.shp.xml	January 9, 2023
OUTt2port2010.shx	January 9, 2023
OUTt2port2011.dbf	January 9, 2023
OUTt2port2011.prj	January 9, 2023

OUTt2port2011.sbn	January 9, 2023
OUTt2port2011.sbx	January 9, 2023
OUTt2port2011.shp	January 9, 2023
OUTt2port2011.shp.xml	January 9, 2023
OUTt2port2011.shx	January 9, 2023
OUTt2port2012.dbf	January 9, 2023
OUTt2port2012.prj	January 9, 2023
OUTt2port2012.sbn	January 9, 2023
OUTt2port2012.sbx	January 9, 2023
OUTt2port2012.shp	January 9, 2023
OUTt2port2012.shp.xml	January 9, 2023
OUTt2port2012.shx	January 9, 2023
OUTt2town2005.dbf	January 9, 2023
OUTt2town2005.prj	January 9, 2023
OUTt2town2005.sbn	January 9, 2023
OUTt2town2005.sbx	January 9, 2023
OUTt2town2005.shp	January 9, 2023
OUTt2town2005.shp.xml	January 9, 2023
OUTt2town2005.shx	January 9, 2023
OUTt2town2008.dbf	January 9, 2023
OUTt2town2008.prj	January 9, 2023
OUTt2town2008.sbn	January 9, 2023
OUTt2town2008.sbx	January 9, 2023
OUTt2town2008.shp	January 9, 2023
OUTt2town2008.shp.xml	January 9, 2023
OUTt2town2008.shx	January 9, 2023
OUTt2town2010.dbf	January 9, 2023
OUTt2town2010.prj	January 9, 2023
OUTt2town2010.sbn	January 9, 2023
OUTt2town2010.sbx	January 9, 2023
OUTt2town2010.shp	January 9, 2023
OUTt2town2010.shp.xml	January 9, 2023
OUTt2town2010.shx	January 9, 2023
OUTt2town2011.dbf	January 9, 2023
OUTt2town2011.prj	January 9, 2023
OUTt2town2011.sbn	January 9, 2023
OUTt2town2011.sbx	January 9, 2023
OUTt2town2011.shp	January 9, 2023
OUTt2town2011.shp.xml	January 9, 2023
OUTt2town2011.shx	January 9, 2023
OUTt2town2012.dbf	January 9, 2023
OUTt2town2012.prj	January 9, 2023
OUTt2town2012.sbn	January 9, 2023

OUTt2town2012.sbx	January 9, 2023
OUTt2town2012.shp	January 9, 2023
OUTt2town2012.shp.xml	January 9, 2023
OUTt2town2012.shx	January 9, 2023
OUTd22005awh.csv	January 9, 2023
OUTd22005awl.csv	January 9, 2023
OUTd22005fw.csv	January 9, 2023
OUTd22008awh.csv	January 9, 2023
OUTd22008awl.csv	January 9, 2023
OUTd22008fw.csv	January 9, 2023
OUTd22010awh.csv	January 9, 2023
OUTd22010awl.csv	January 9, 2023
OUTd22010fw.csv	January 9, 2023
OUTd22011awh.csv	January 9, 2023
OUTd22011awl.csv	January 9, 2023
OUTd22011fw.csv	January 9, 2023
OUTd22012awh.csv	January 9, 2023
OUTd22012awl.csv	January 9, 2023
OUTd22012fw.csv	January 9, 2023
OUTd2cart.csv	January 9, 2023
OUTd2defor02polys.csv	January 9, 2023
OUTd2defor02polysgt10.csv	January 9, 2023
OUTd2defor08polys.csv	January 9, 2023
OUTd2defor08polysgt10.csv	January 9, 2023
OUTd2defor10polys.csv	January 9, 2023
OUTd2defor10polysgt10.csv	January 9, 2023
OUTd2defor12polys.csv	January 9, 2023
OUTd2defor12polysgt10.csv	January 9, 2023
OUTd2river.csv	January 9, 2023
OUTelev.csv	January 9, 2023
OUTrandom.csv	January 9, 2023
OUTslope.csv	January 9, 2023
OUTsoils.csv	January 9, 2023
OUTt2port2005.csv	January 9, 2023
OUTt2port2008.csv	January 9, 2023
OUTt2port2010.csv	January 9, 2023
OUTt2port2011.csv	January 9, 2023
OUTt2port2012.csv	January 9, 2023
OUTt2town2005.csv	January 9, 2023
OUTt2town2008.csv	January 9, 2023
OUTt2town2010.csv	January 9, 2023
OUTt2town2011.csv	January 9, 2023
OUTt2town2012.csv	January 9, 2023

KHM_adm0.dbf	January 9, 2023
KHM_adm0.prj	January 9, 2023
KHM_adm0.sbn	January 9, 2023
KHM_adm0.sbx	January 9, 2023
KHM_adm0.shp	January 9, 2023
KHM_adm0.shx	January 9, 2023
KHM_adm1.dbf	January 9, 2023
KHM_adm1.prj	January 9, 2023
KHM_adm1.sbn	January 9, 2023
KHM_adm1.sbx	January 9, 2023
KHM_adm1.shp	January 9, 2023
KHM_adm1.shx	January 9, 2023
VNM_roads.dbf	January 9, 2023
VNM_roads.prj	January 9, 2023
VNM_roads.shp	January 9, 2023
VNM_roads.shx	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod10_32648_LB.dbf	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod10_32648_LB.prj	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod10_32648_LB.shp	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod10_32648_LB.shx	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod11_32648_LB.dbf	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod11_32648_LB.prj	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod11_32648_LB.shp	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod11_32648_LB.shx	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod12_32648_LB.dbf	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod12_32648_LB.prj	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod12_32648_LB.shp	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod12_32648_LB.shx	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod13_32648_LB.dbf	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod13_32648_LB.prj	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod13_32648_LB.shp	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod13_32648_LB.shx	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod14_32648_LB.dbf	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod14_32648_LB.prj	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod14_32648_LB.shp	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod14_32648_LB.shx	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod15_32648_LB.dbf	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod15_32648_LB.prj	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod15_32648_LB.shp	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod15_32648_LB.shx	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod16_32648_LB.dbf	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod16_32648_LB.prj	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod16_32648_LB.shp	January 9, 2023

deforpredmod16_32648_LB.shx	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod17_32648_LB.dbf	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod17_32648_LB.prj	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod17_32648_LB.shp	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod17_32648_LB.shx	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod18_32648_LB.dbf	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod18_32648_LB.prj	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod18_32648_LB.shp	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod18_32648_LB.shx	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod19_32648_LB.dbf	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod19_32648_LB.prj	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod19_32648_LB.shp	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod19_32648_LB.shx	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod20_32648_LB.dbf	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod20_32648_LB.prj	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod20_32648_LB.shp	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod20_32648_LB.shx	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod21_32648_LB.dbf	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod21_32648_LB.prj	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod21_32648_LB.shp	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod21_32648_LB.shx	January 9, 2023
KSWS_REDD_LB_forest_intersection.dbf	January 9, 2023
KSWS_REDD_LB_forest_intersection.prj	January 9, 2023
KSWS_REDD_LB_forest_intersection.shp	January 9, 2023
KSWS_REDD_LB_forest_intersection.shx	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod10_32648_PA.dbf	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod10_32648_PA.prj	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod10_32648_PA.shp	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod10_32648_PA.shx	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod11_32648_PA.dbf	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod11_32648_PA.prj	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod11_32648_PA.shp	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod11_32648_PA.shx	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod12_32648_PA.dbf	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod12_32648_PA.prj	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod12_32648_PA.shp	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod12_32648_PA.shx	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod13_32648_PA.dbf	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod13_32648_PA.prj	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod13_32648_PA.shp	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod13_32648_PA.shx	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod14_32648_PA.dbf	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod14_32648_PA.prj	January 9, 2023

deforpredmod14_32648_PA.shp	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod14_32648_PA.shx	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod15_32648_PA.dbf	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod15_32648_PA.prj	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod15_32648_PA.shp	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod15_32648_PA.shx	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod16_32648_PA.dbf	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod16_32648_PA.prj	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod16_32648_PA.shp	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod16_32648_PA.shx	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod17_32648_PA.dbf	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod17_32648_PA.prj	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod17_32648_PA.shp	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod17_32648_PA.shx	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod18_32648_PA.dbf	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod18_32648_PA.prj	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod18_32648_PA.shp	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod18_32648_PA.shx	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod19_32648_PA.dbf	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod19_32648_PA.prj	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod19_32648_PA.shp	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod19_32648_PA.shx	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod20_32648_PA.dbf	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod20_32648_PA.prj	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod20_32648_PA.shp	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod20_32648_PA.shx	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod21_32648_PA.dbf	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod21_32648_PA.prj	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod21_32648_PA.shp	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod21_32648_PA.shx	January 9, 2023
KSWS_REDD_PA_forest_intersection.dbf	January 9, 2023
KSWS_REDD_PA_forest_intersection.prj	January 9, 2023
KSWS_REDD_PA_forest_intersection.shp	January 9, 2023
KSWS_REDD_PA_forest_intersection.shx	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod10_32648_fg.dbf	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod10_32648_fg.prj	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod10_32648_fg.shp	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod10_32648_fg.shx	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod11_32648_fg.dbf	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod11_32648_fg.prj	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod11_32648_fg.shp	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod11_32648_fg.shx	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod12_32648_fg.dbf	January 9, 2023

deforpredmod12_32648_fg.prj	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod12_32648_fg.shp	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod12_32648_fg.shx	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod13_32648_fg.dbf	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod13_32648_fg.prj	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod13_32648_fg.shp	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod13_32648_fg.shx	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod14_32648_fg.dbf	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod14_32648_fg.prj	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod14_32648_fg.shp	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod14_32648_fg.shx	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod15_32648_fg.dbf	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod15_32648_fg.prj	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod15_32648_fg.shp	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod15_32648_fg.shx	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod16_32648_fg.dbf	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod16_32648_fg.prj	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod16_32648_fg.shp	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod16_32648_fg.shx	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod17_32648_fg.dbf	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod17_32648_fg.prj	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod17_32648_fg.shp	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod17_32648_fg.shx	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod18_32648_fg.dbf	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod18_32648_fg.prj	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod18_32648_fg.shp	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod18_32648_fg.shx	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod19_32648_fg.dbf	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod19_32648_fg.prj	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod19_32648_fg.shp	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod19_32648_fg.shx	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod20_32648_fg.dbf	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod20_32648_fg.prj	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod20_32648_fg.shp	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod20_32648_fg.shx	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod21_32648_fg.dbf	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod21_32648_fg.prj	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod21_32648_fg.shp	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod21_32648_fg.shx	January 9, 2023
KSWs_REDD_RR_forest_intersect_types_fixed.cpg	January 9, 2023
KSWs_REDD_RR_forest_intersect_types_fixed.dbf	January 9, 2023
KSWs_REDD_RR_forest_intersect_types_fixed.prj	January 9, 2023
KSWs_REDD_RR_forest_intersect_types_fixed.shp	January 9, 2023

KSWs_REDD_RR_forest_intersect_types_fixed.shx	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod18.tfw	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod18.tif	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod18.tif.aux.xml	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod18.tif.ovr	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod18.tif.vat.cpg	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod18.tif.vat.dbf	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod19.tfw	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod19.tif	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod19.tif.aux.xml	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod19.tif.ovr	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod19.tif.vat.cpg	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod19.tif.vat.dbf	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod20.tfw	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod20.tif	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod20.tif.aux.xml	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod20.tif.ovr	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod20.tif.vat.cpg	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod20.tif.vat.dbf	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod21.tfw	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod21.tif	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod21.tif.aux.xml	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod21.tif.ovr	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod21.tif.vat.cpg	January 9, 2023
deforpredmod21.tif.vat.dbf	January 9, 2023
Budget Plan_KSWs_FY22.signed.pdf	January 9, 2023
Seima accounting MASTER.xlsx	January 9, 2023
Seima Financial Projections v20220701.xlsx	January 9, 2023
Support Doc for VCS Finding 12.docx	January 9, 2023
19. 2020-08-18 Keo Seima Emissions Reduction Purchase and Sale Agreement_All Signed.pdf	January 9, 2023
20. NCP 2020-11 option.pdf	January 9, 2023
21. EMISSIONS REDUCTION PURCHASE AGREEMENT.PDF	January 9, 2023
22 23.Keo Seima Emissions Reduction Purchase and Sale Agreement_Signed1.pdf	January 9, 2023
27 28. Hartree_Keo Seima ERPA _Signed.pdf	January 9, 2023
29 30. Keo Seima ERPA Delta March 31 2021-signed.pdf	January 9, 2023
31 32 33. 2021_03_31_RGC_SCC_Seima_Transaction_Approval_Agreement_signed-ts_signed.pdf	January 9, 2023
34. Keo Seima ERPA Radicle May 2021 - GP-Paris_ Signed.pdf	January 9, 2023
35. Keo Seima ERPA - 1201 tonnes - Everland May 2021-signed.pdf	January 9, 2023
36. Keo Seima ERPA ENGIE June 2021-signed.pdf	January 9, 2023

18009.02 Keo Seima Round 2 VCS Findings_20230116_responses.xlsx	January 17, 2023
18009.02_Keo Seima_CCBv3.1_Checklist - Round 2 Findings_20230116_responses.docx	January 17, 2023
KSWs REDD+_VCS CCB MR_2020-2021_v1.5.pdf	January 17, 2023
KSWs_2020-2021_VCS-Non-Permanence-Risk_Governance score_OG v2.5.xlsx	January 17, 2023
KSWs_2020-2021_VCS-Non-Permanence-Risk-Report_OG_v2.5.pdf	January 17, 2023
Seima Financial Projections v20220701_update.xlsx	January 17, 2023
18009.02 Keo Seima Round 2 VCS Findings_20230116_responses.xlsx	January 26, 2023
18009.02_Keo Seima_CCBv3.1_Checklist - Round 2 Findings_20230116_responses.docx	January 26, 2023
KSWs REDD+_VCS CCB MR_2020-2021_v1.5.pdf	January 26, 2023
KSWs_2020-2021_VCS-Non-Permanence-Risk_Governance score_OG v2.5.xlsx	January 26, 2023
KSWs_2020-2021_VCS-Non-Permanence-Risk-Report_OG_v2.5.pdf	January 26, 2023
KSWs_2020-2021_VCS-Non-Permanence-Risk-Report_OG_v2.6.pdf	January 26, 2023
Seima Financial Projections v20220701_update.xlsx	January 26, 2023
18009.02 Keo Seima Round 3 Findings Log_20230228_responses.xlsx	March 1, 2023
KSWs REDD+_VCS CCB MR_2020-2021_v1.6.pdf	March 1, 2023
KSWs REDD+_VCS CCB MR_2020-2021_v1.7.pdf	March 7, 2023
KSWs REDD+_VCS CCB MR_2020-2021_v1.8_final.pdf	April 26, 2023
KSWs REDD+_VCS CCB MR_2020-2021_v1.9.docx	April 26, 2023

APPENDIX B: VCS LIST OF FINDINGS

Finding Number	1
VCS Standard Version 4.3 22 June 2020, (Section)	3.1.3
VCS Standard Version 4.3 22 June 2020, (Description)	Projects and the implementation of project activities shall not lead to the violation of any applicable law, regardless of whether or not the law is enforced.
Requirement Met (Y, N or Pending)	Y
Evidence Used to Assess (Location in PD/MR or Supporting Documents)	MR Section 1.7.6
Aster Global Round 1 Findings	The audit team reviewed the MR and noted additional laws/regulations primarily related to the Covid-19. During interviews with the project, it was noted that these new regulations impacted the project as it limited meetings and meeting sizes. The VVB is reasonably assured the project is following the new laws/regulations. However, there is no statement within the MR that provides assurance that the project is in compliance with all national and local laws and regulations in the host country, as required by the MR template. Additionally, no evidence has been provide to the VVB to determine whether or not the project is in compliance with all laws.
Round NCR/CL/OFI	1 CL: Please clarify in line with the findings.
Round 1 Response from Project Proponent	Assurance added to 2.5.6 National and Local Laws of the MR "<i>The project is in compliance with all national and local laws and regulations in the host country that are relevant to the project activities. In order to be granted permission to operation under Article 21 and Article 22 of the 2015 Law on Associations and Non-Governmental Organizations, NGOs must in compliance with all existing national laws and regulations. The continued license to operate held by all NGO project partners is demonstration of their compliance with these laws and regulations.</i>" See document MoU WCS MOFAIC 2020.pdf in supplementary documents
Aster Global Round 2 Findings	The audit team finds that reasonable assurance has been made with regards to compliance with national and local laws and regulations. <u>This finding is closed.</u>

Finding Number	2
-----------------------	---

VCS Version 22 June 2020, (Section)	Standard 4.3	3.2.3
VCS Version 22 June 2020, (Description)	Standard 4.3	Where an implementation partner is acting in partnership with the project proponent, the implementation partner shall be identified in the project description. The implementation partner shall identify its roles and responsibilities with respect to the project, including but not limited to, implementation, management and monitoring of the project, over the project crediting period.
Requirement Met (Y, N or Pending)		Y
Evidence to (Location in PD/MR or Supporting Documents)	Used to Assess	MR
Aster Global Round 1 Findings		It is unclear to the audit team where this criterion is addressed in the MR.
Round 1 NCR/CL/OFI	1	CL: Please clarify in line with the finding and if necessary updated the MR.
Round 1 Response from Project Proponent		Additional explanation of implementing partner role in section 2.1.4 Other Entities Involved in the Project, WCS: <i>"Lead technical and implementing partner Provides technical and financial support across project activities, including support for securing access rights and land tenure for indigenous and local communities, biodiversity monitoring, forest cover monitoring, law enforcement monitoring, sub-grants to other local stakeholders, communications, outreach including for laws and regulations, grievance and feedback redress mechanism, monitoring and evaluation (including Standard and Unique Benefit Metrics) and reporting."</i>
Aster Global Round 2 Findings		The implementation partner has been identified with explicit language detailing their role with regards to implementation, project management and monitoring. <u>This finding is closed.</u>

Finding Number	3
VCS Version 22 June 2020, (Section)	Standard 4.3
	3.2.9

VCS Version 22 June 2020 (Description)	Standard 4.3	Projects shall prepare a non-permanence risk report in accordance with the VCS Program document AFOLU Non-Permanence Risk Tool at both validation and verification. In the case of projects that are not validated and verified simultaneously, having their initial risk assessments validated at the time of VCS project validation will assist VCU buyers and sellers by providing a more accurate early indication of the number of VCUs projects are expected to generate. The non-permanence risk report shall be prepared using the VCS Non-Permanence Risk Report Template, which may be included as an annex to the project description or monitoring report, as applicable, or provided as a stand-alone document.
Requirement Met (Y, N or Pending)		Y
Evidence to (Location in PD/MR or Supporting Documents)	Used to Assess	KSWS_2020_VCS-Non-Permanence-Risk-Report_OG_v2.3.docx
Aster Global Round 1 Findings		A Non-Permanence Risk report using template version 4.0 was provided to the verification team. It is unclear to the audit team why the project did not include section 4.2 as described in the template in the risk report.
Round NCR/CL/OFI	1	CL: Please clarify in line with the finding and update project documentation as necessary.
Round 1 Response from Project Proponent		Section 4.2 added to updated version 2.4 of the Non-Permanence Risk report
Aster Global Round 2 Findings		Audit team confirms Section 4.2 has been added to updated version 2.4 of the Non-Permanence Risk report. <u>This finding is closed.</u>

Finding Number	4
VCS Version 22 June 2020 (Section)	Standard 4.3
VCS Version 22 June 2020 (Description)	Standard 4.3
Requirement Met (Y, N or Pending)	Y
Evidence to (Location in PD/MR or Supporting Documents)	Used to Assess
Aster Global Round 1 Findings	MR
	The project proponent shall use the VCS Monitoring Report Template or an approved combined monitoring report template available on the Verra website, as appropriate, and adhere to all instructional text within the template.
	The audit team reviewed the project's Monitoring Report against the VCS template, and it is unclear to the audit team why sub-sections are not numbered in accordance with the labels outlined in the template. One example of this discrepancy is can be found in the first sub-section under Chapter 2: General. "Project Description" is labeled as section 2.1 in the template, but labeled as 1.3 in the project's MR.)

Round NCR/CL/OFI	1	CL: Please clarify in line with the finding and update project documentation as necessary, ensuring sub-chapters are correctly numbered.
Round 1 Response from Project Proponent		The numbering has been corrected in the updated MR submitted to the auditor
Aster Global Round 2 Findings	2	The audit team has confirmed that the numbering of sections and sub-sections in the updated MR now follows the numbering outlined in the template. <u>This finding is now closed.</u>

Finding Number	5
VCS Standard Version 4.3 22 June 2020, (Section)	3.10.3
VCS Standard Version 4.3 22 June 2020, (Description)	1) For non-grouped projects, the entire project area shall be under the control of the project proponent at the time of validation, or shall come to be under the control of the project proponent by the first verification event.
Requirement Met (Y, N or Pending)	Y
Evidence Used to Assess (Location in PD/MR or Supporting Documents)	PD, MR
Aster Global Round 1 Findings	This was confirmed at validation and the project area has not changed. However, the MR notes that multiple exploration licenses for mining concessions have been approved within the project area. It is unclear how these exploration licenses do not confer use rights. Additional clarity within the MR is needed, specifically related to the regulations that govern concessions.
Round NCR/CL/OFI	1 CL: Please clarify in line with the finding.
Round 1 Response from Project Proponent	Granting of the exploration licenses grants limited use rights but control of the entirety of the project area remains with MoE. As such, while there is overlapping use rights, control remains with MOE. See additional text in Section 2.5.1 of the MR. Language in Section 2.5.6 has been updated to clarify that while use rights are granted by these licenses it does not confer ownership or control.
Aster Global Round 2 Findings	The audit team notes that clarification has been added to clarify that exploration licenses do not confer use rights. Additionally, the audit team was provided with Mining Law 2001.pdf which attests to the clarification provided in MR. <u>This finding is closed.</u>

Finding Number	6
-----------------------	----------

VCS Version 22 June 2020 (Section)	Standard 4.3	3.14.4
VCS Version 22 June 2020 (Description)	Standard 4.3	All GHG emission reductions shall be converted to CO ₂ e using 100-year global warming potential (GWP) values. For GHG emission reductions occurring on or after 1 January 2021, all ex-ante estimates and ex-post calculations shall be converted to CO ₂ e using GWP values from the IPCC Fifth Assessment Report (AR5). ⁹ See Table 2 for the GWP values for methane and nitrous oxide established in AR5. ¹⁰ For GHG emission reductions occurring on or before 31 December 2020, all ex-ante estimates and ex-post calculations may be converted to CO ₂ e using either the GWP values from the IPCC Fourth Assessment Report (AR4) or those from AR5. Projects that complete validation on or before 31 July 2021 may use GWP values from AR4 for ex-ante emission reduction estimates, though such projects shall use GWP values from AR5 for ex-post calculations.
Requirement Met (Y, N or Pending)		Y
Evidence Used to Assess (Location in PD/MR or Supporting Documents)		MR Table 3.5
Aster Global Round 1 Findings		The audit team reviewed Table 3.5 and noted that the incorrect GWP values are used.
Round 1 NCR/CL/OFI	1	NCR: Please update the MR and all calculations to use the GWP defined in and required by the VCS Standard.
Round 1 Response from Project Proponent		GWP values from the IPCC Fifth Assessment Report, Table 8.A.1 have been used to update row 46 and 47 in sheet 'Ex-post Fire Non-CO ₂ ' for both years for ex-post non-CO ₂ emissions estimates. % non-CO ₂ emissions remain non-significant, below the 5% threshold. Table 3.5 in the MR has also been updated with these AR5 values. See KSWs_period4_verification_2020_carbon calculations_v5.2_OG.xlsx
Aster Global Round 2 Findings		In Table 3.5, MR the audit team notes the GWP values have been updated to those found in Table 8.A.1. Similarly, the GWP values (and dependent calculated values) have been updated in KSWs_period4_verification_2020_carbon calculations_v5.2_OG.xlsx. <u>This issue is closed.</u>

Finding Number	7	
VCS Version 22 June 2020, (Section)	Standard 4.3	3.19.1
VCS Version 22 June 2020, (Description)	Standard 4.3	Deviations from the project description are permitted at verification.

Requirement Met (Y, N or Pending)	Y
Evidence Used to Assess (Location in PD/MR or Supporting Documents)	MR Section 1.4.4
Aster Global Round 1 Findings	No project description deviations occurred during the monitoring period. After a review of previous monitoring reports, the VVB found that there may still be PD Deviations that need to be reported as they are still in place.
Round 1 NCR/CL/OFI	CL: Please ensure that all PD Deviations that are still being used are correctly reported in the MR.
Round 1 Response from Project Proponent	PD deviations from previous MRs have now been incorporated into Section 2.2.4 of the MR.
Aster Global Round 2 Findings	The previous PD deviation has now been reported. <u>This finding is now closed.</u>

Finding Number	8
VCS Standard Version 4.3 22 June 2020, (Section)	3.21.1
VCS Standard Version 4.3 22 June 2020, (Description)	VCUs used in the context of Paris Agreement Article 6 mechanisms and international Paris- related programs such as CORSIA shall meet any and all relevant requirements established under such mechanisms and programs. This includes, in particular, any requirements relating to double counting and corresponding adjustments. ¹³ Project proponents shall demonstrate adherence to such requirements by applying the relevant VCU label to their VCUs in the Verra Registry. See Section 3.22 below for more information about VCU labeling.
Requirement Met (Y, N or Pending)	Y
Evidence Used to Assess (Location in PD/MR or Supporting Documents)	
Aster Global Round 1 Findings	It is unclear to the audit team if the VCUs from this project are being used in the context of Paris Agreement Article 6 Mechanisms.
Round 1 NCR/CL/OFI	CL: Please clarify in line with the finding.
Round 1 Response from Project Proponent	The project's credits are not being used in the context of any Paris Agreement Article 6 Mechanisms.
Aster Global Round 2 Findings	Audit team notes the VCUs from this project are not being used in the context of Paris Agreement Article 6 Mechanisms. <u>This finding is closed.</u>

Finding Number	9
-----------------------	---

VCS Version 22 June 2020 (Section)	Standard 4.3 2020,	3.21.6
VCS Version 22 June 2020 (Description)	Standard 4.3 2020,	Where projects are eligible to participate under one or more programs to create another form of GHG-related environmental credit, but are not currently doing so, a list of such programs shall be provided to the validation/verification body.
Requirement Met (Y, N or Pending)		Y
Evidence to (Location in PD/MR or Supporting Documents)	Used to Assess	
Aster Global Round 1 Findings		It is unclear to the audit team if this requirement has been met.
Round NCR/CL/OFI	1	CL: Please clarify in-line with the finding.
Round 1 Response from Project Proponent		Clarification that the project is not eligible to participate under any other program to create GHG-related environmental credits has been added to section 2.1.9.
Aster Global Round 2 Findings		The audit team noted that clarification regarding no participation under any other GHG-related environmental credit program has been added. <u>This finding is closed.</u>

Finding Number	10	
VCS Version 17 January 2023 (Section)	Standard 4.4 2023	3.17 Sustainable Development Contributions
VCS Version 17 January 2023 (Description)	Standard 4.4 2023	3.17.2 Projects that complete a verification to the Climate, Community & Biodiversity (CCB) Program or the Sustainable Development Verified Impact Standard (SD ViSta) Program at the same time as a VCS Program verification and report contributions to at least three SDGs in the CCB or SD ViSta project documentation do not need to conduct a separate demonstration of conformance with the requirements set out in Section 3.17.1.
Requirement Met (Y, N or Pending)		Y
Evidence to (Location in PD/MR or Supporting Documents)	Used to Assess	MR
Aster Global Round 1 Findings		It is unclear to the VVB where the project proponent reports contributions to at least three SDGs in the CCB project documentation.
Round NCR/CL/OFI	1	CL: Please clarify in line with the finding.

Round 1 Response from Project Proponent	SDGs and Cambodian SDGs listed in the table in 2.1.10 Sustainable Development
Aster Global Round 2 Findings	The VVB reviewed the MR and confirmed that this requirement is met. This finding is closed.

Finding Number	11
VCS AFOLU Non-Permanence Risk Tool, Version 4.0 19 September 2019 (Section)	Table 1 Project Management (PM)
VCS AFOLU Non-Permanence Risk Tool, Version 4.0 19 September 2019 (Description)	a) Species planted (where applicable) associated with more than 25% of the stocks on which GHG credits have previously been issued are not native or proven to be adapted to the same or similar agro-ecological zone(s) in which the project is located.
Requirement Met (Y, N or Pending)	Y
Evidence Used to Assess (Location in PD/MR or Supporting Documents)	MR Section 1.15.6
Aster Global Round 1 Findings	It is unclear to the audit team what species are planted and whether or not these species planted are being planted within the GHG accounting area.
Round NCR/CL/OFI 1	CL: Please clarify in line with the finding and if necessary update the VCS NPRT to provide additional information.
Round 1 Response from Project Proponent	The project is an AUDD project, not ARR. As such, no GHG credits are being issued for any planted species. This score is therefore not applicable to the project.
Aster Global Round 2 Findings	Thank for the additional clarification, this finding is closed.

Finding Number	12
VCS AFOLU Non-Permanence Risk Tool, Version 4.0 19 September 2019 (Section)	Table 1 Project Management (PM)
VCS AFOLU Non-Permanence Risk Tool, Version 4.0 19 September 2019 (Description)	f) Mitigation: Adaptive management plan in place.
Requirement Met (Y, N or Pending)	Y

Evidence to Assess (Location in PD/MR or Supporting Documents)	Used Assess NPRR
Aster Global Round 1 Findings	The VVB wasn't provided a copy of the adaptive management plan and demonstrate that the management plan satisfies the requirements for an adaptive management plan.
Round 1 NCR/CL/OFI	CL: Please clarify in line with the finding.
Round 1 Response from Project Proponent	See additional language on adaptive management included in the NPRR and additional clarifications below. See also section 2.3.8 of the MR. See "Adaptive Management" folder of supplementary documents for examples of evidence. Management of KSWs is under the mandate of MoE and supported by WCS. It operates under an adaptive management cycle involving systematic monitoring, annual participatory review of progress, drafting of work plans, and updating of strategic plans. As such, no single adaptive management plan exists. Instead, it is a series of activity planning, implementation, monitoring and review that results in changes to subsequent activity planning (see also Section 2.3.8 of the MR). Annual work plans are developed by the implementing partner and approved by the project proponent. These follow the project's overarching theory of change and key activities as identified in the PD. Sub-activities within this annual workplan change year-on-year as the project adapts to changing circumstances, identified via internal project team reviews as well as reviews with a broader set of stakeholders (see Activity 1.6 of the table in Section 2.2.1 of the PD). As an example, see the greatly expanded number of activities under Activity 1.1 in FY22's workplan (July '21 - June '22) vs the FY21 workplan (July '20 - June '21) (although many other examples exist within the AAWP). Identification of these changing circumstances also occur at more regular frequencies during the implementation year, for example during monthly team meetings to review monthly progress and adapt workplans for the next month. See, for example, the monthly SMART reports in the Law Enforcement folder of the first set of documents previously provided as an example. The folder "Adaptive Management" also includes the monthly workplans of the project broken down by key project teams (workplan and associated budget) demonstrating the iterative monthly approach the project takes to management. In the folder 01_Project Management folder (previously provided) we also provided minutes of meeting from each monthly meeting, again demonstrating the ongoing review and re-prioritization of project activities. Periodic identification of new needs based on project teams understanding of challenges encountered also occur (development of the Community Financial Guideline Manual is an example of a necessary, new product elaborated following community team's review of the community distribution program - also provided in the Adaptive Management folder). Longer-term monitoring events that occur over multi-year periods also iteratively inform the implementation of project activities (for e.g. the biodiversity monitoring and social impact monitoring - both also provided in the Adaptive Management folder).

Aster Global Round 2 Findings	Thank you for the additional clarification and supporting documentation. The VVB reviewed the supporting documentation provided by the project and is reasonably assured that the project has an adaptive management plans in place. Importantly, the combination of supporting documentation demonstrate the project has an adaptive management approach to management. This finding is closed.
--------------------------------------	--

Finding Number	13
VCS AFOLU Non-Permanence Risk Tool, Version 4.0 19 September 2019 (Section)	Table 2 Financial Viability (FV)
VCS AFOLU Non-Permanence Risk Tool, Version 4.0 19 September 2019 (Description)	d) Project cash flow breakeven point is less than 4 years from the current risk assessment
Requirement Met (Y, N or Pending)	Y
Evidence Used to Assess (Location in PD/MR or Supporting Documents)	NPRR
Aster Global Round 1 Findings	Please provide a cash flow analysis to demonstrate that the "Project cashflow breakeven point is less than 4 years from current risk assessment." Additionally, please provide supporting evidence to support assertions in the cash flow analysis.
Round NCR/CL/OFI	1 CL: Please clarify in line with the finding.
Round 1 Response from Project Proponent	Additional supporting files and a guidance document have been provided in the folder named VCS Findings No. 12 . Within this folder, the file "Seima Financial Projections v20220701.xlsx" demonstrates how sales by end of FY21 (equating to 30 June 21 and the closest date for which financial data is available that corresponds to the risk assessment date of 31 Dec 2021) greatly exceed the budgeted costs for the current FY22. Total adjusted sales equate to \$21,538,248 while project costs, as budgeted in the Annual Approved Work Plan (AAWP) for FY22 amount to \$6,194,985, clearly indicating the project's cash flow will be positive during the next fiscal year (i.e. less than 4 years from the risk assessment).

Aster Global Round 2 Findings	Thank you for the clarification and additional supporting evidence. The VVB reviewed the provided financial quantification spreadsheets and supporting evidence. The VCS NPRT states "The cash flow breakeven point is the year in which the cumulative cash flow is positive (i.e., cash flow in exceeds cash flow out) and stays positive." Currently, the project has only provided a cumulative cash flow through the end of FY30. The project's crediting period is from 01 January 2010 - 31 December 2069. As a result, the VVB is required to assess whether or not the project has a cash flow breakeven point, which is where cumulative cash flow is positive and stays positive. It is possible that although the break-even point has already been reached, but the project cash ultimately is negative in future years in the crediting period. Additionally, it is unclear to the VVB why the cash flow analysis does not account for year prior to 2016 as the project start date is 01 January 2010.
Round 2 NCR/CL/OFI	CL: Please clarify in line with the finding and if necessary update the cash flow analysis.
Round 2 Response from Project Proponent	The calculation has been updated to include only the most recent 5 years, and the text in the NPRR has also been updated to show -0.78 based on data from 2017-2021. Total risk score remain unchanged.
Aster Global Round 3 Findings	The VVB reviewed the updated analysis and confirmed that the risk selected by the project is appropriate.

Finding Number	14
VCS AFOLU Non-Permanence Risk Tool, Version 4.0 19 September 2019 (Section)	Table 2 Financial Viability (FV)
VCS AFOLU Non-Permanence Risk Tool, Version 4.0 19 September 2019 (Description)	i) Mitigation: Project has available as callable financial resources at least 50% of total cash out before project reaches breakeven
Requirement Met (Y, N or Pending)	Y
Evidence Used to Assess (Location in PD/MR or Supporting Documents)	NPRR
Aster Global Round 1 Findings	The VCS NPRT states "Via grant money raised and increasing sales the project has already achieved breakeven, with enough operating reserve to continue implementation for several years even with no additional sales." Considering the project has already reached breakeven it is unclear to the audit team how the project can claim this mitigation credit.

Round NCR/CL/OFI	1	CL: Please clarify in line with the finding.
Round 1 Response from Project Proponent		See the additional documents provided under finding 12. The document entitled "Seima Financial Projections v20220701.xlsx" calculates in cell B3 of the Forecast tab that the project has 454% of the callable resources to cover the next year's cost. Breakeven is achieved in the fiscal year of the assessment i.e. FY22 and, as such, the callable resources at the point of assessment are greater than the 50% minimum required. Some minor language edits were made to the NPRT to better reflect the above clarification. Nevertheless, we dispute the interpretation that a project that has already achieved breakeven and has accumulated reserves (callable financial resources) sufficient to cover project costs should not be able to claim this mitigation credit, the intent of which is to demonstrate the good financial standing of the project. Under Aster's interpretation a project with only 51% of the available callable resources before reaching breakeven (i.e. a less financially secure project than ours) would be able to claim this credit, while a project that has achieved breakeven and accumulated large reserves would not be able to.
Aster Global Round 2 Findings		Thank you for the clarification. The audit team understands the project's point of view but our current understanding is that this mitigation credit cannot be claimed if the project has already reached breakeven. Considering, the project and VVB cannot come to agreement on this item, the VVB is going to reach out to Verra to ensure that the VVB understands Verra's intention related to how the criterion for this mitigation credit should be applied. This finding is marked as pending clarification from Verra.
Round NCR/CL/OFI	2	Pending clarification from Verra.
Round 2 Response from Project Proponent		
Aster Global Round 3 Findings		The Project has removed the mitigation credit from the VCS NPRT. This finding is closed.

Finding Number	15
VCS AFOLU Non-Permanence Risk Tool, Version 4.0 19 September 2019 (Section)	Table 6 Land Tenure
VCS AFOLU Non-Permanence Risk Tool, Version 4.0 19 September 2019 (Description)	c) In more than 5% of the project area, there exist disputes over land tenure or ownership
Requirement Met (Y, N or Pending)	Y

Evidence to Assess (Location in PD/MR or Supporting Documents)	Used Assess	NPRR, MR
Aster Global Round 1 Findings		The VVB reviewed the MR and noted that there do appear to be some land disputes but not enough information is provided for the VVB to evaluate whether or not the current risk score is appropriate. Please clearly describe each land dispute and the size of the disputed area.
Round NCR/CL/OFI	1	CL: Please clarify in line with the finding.
Round 1 Response from Project Proponent		See additional clarifications in the document "Supporting Document on Land Dispute Findings" demonstrating that disputes do not constitute 5% of the project area.
Aster Global Round 2 Findings		Thank you for clarification. After a review of the project's response and supporting evidence provided to the VVB is reasonable assured that the land disputes do represent more than 5% of the project area. This finding is closed.

Finding Number	16
VCS AFOLU Non-Permanence Risk Tool, Version 4.0 19 September 2019 (Section)	Table 6 Land Tenure
VCS AFOLU Non-Permanence Risk Tool, Version 4.0 19 September 2019 (Description)	d) There exist disputes over access/use rights (or overlapping rights)
Requirement Met (Y, N or Pending)	Y
Evidence Used to Assess (Location in PD/MR or Supporting Documents)	NPRR, MR
Aster Global Round 1 Findings	The MR mentions that there are disputes over use rights, therefore it is unclear how the current risk score is appropriate.
Round 1 NCR/CL/OFI	NCR: Please provide evidence that there do not currently exist any disputes over access/use rights.
Round 1 Response from Project Proponent	A dispute was recognized within the project area and therefore this risk score has been applied.
Aster Global Round 2 Findings	The VVB reviewed the updated risk score for this item and confirmed the risk score is appropriate. This finding is closed.

Finding Number	17
-----------------------	----

VCS AFOLU Non-Permanence Risk Tool, Version 4.0 19 September 2019 (Section)	Table 6 Land Tenure
VCS AFOLU Non-Permanence Risk Tool, Version 4.0 19 September 2019 (Description)	g) Mitigation: Where disputes over land tenure, ownership or access/use rights exist, documented evidence is provided that projects have implemented activities to resolve the disputes or clarify overlapping claims
Requirement Met (Y, N or Pending)	Y
Evidence Used to Assess (Location in PD/MR or Supporting Documents)	NPRR, MR
Aster Global Round 1 Findings	No evidence has been provided that demonstrates that the selection of this mitigation is appropriate.
Round 1 NCR/CL/OFI	CL: Please clarify in line with the finding and provide evidence as required.
Round 1 Response from Project Proponent	The project implements numerous project activities to avoid and resolve land use disputes, as described in multiple sections of the MR. Most notably: - Establishment of ICTs clarifying ownership and use rights - Establishment of CPAs to secure use rights - Established grievance mechanism - Support to PA zonation process further expanding and clarifying the community and sustainable use zones in which the disputed areas now reside upon official approval of zones (30th November 2022) Description of these activities are provided in the table in Section 2.2.1 along with supporting evidence. See also the document "Supporting Document on Land Dispute Findings"
Aster Global Round 2 Findings	Thank you for clarification. After a review of the project's response, supporting evidence provided to the VVB, and observations during the site visit the VVB is reasonably assured that this mitigation score is appropriate. This finding is closed.

Finding Number	18
VCS AFOLU Non-Permanence Risk Tool, Version 4.0 19 September 2019 (Section)	Table 7 Community Engagement
VCS AFOLU Non-Permanence Risk Tool, Version 4.0 19 September 2019 (Description)	a) Less than 50 percent of households living within the project area who are reliant on the project area, have been consulted

Requirement Met (Y, N or Pending)	Y
Evidence Used to Assess (Location in PD/MR or Supporting Documents)	NPRR, MR
Aster Global Round 1 Findings	Insufficient supporting evidence has been provided to confirm the risk score.
Round 1 NCR/CL/OFI	CL: Please provide additional evidence demonstrating the current risk score is appropriate.
Round 1 Response from Project Proponent	During project development and as outlined in Section 1.2.2.1 of the PD, extensive consultation with communities in the project area or within a 20 km buffer of the project area boundary identified 20 villages with reliance on the project area, all of whom chose to participate in the REDD+ project ('participating villages'). Of these, 17 had both land use and NTFP reliance (considered within and reliant), and 3 villages outside the project area that had no land use but NTFP reliance (considered outside and reliant). An additional 82 households (HH) outside these 20 villages had some significant reliance on the project area (representing approximately 12% of households that are reliant on the project area but located outside the project area). Community participation and consultation is a core part of KSWs REDD+ project design and implementation. Project teams have a close relationship with community members and legal representatives, and community participation in project activities is high. Participation and consultation is both informal, during meetings related to ongoing project activities, and in formalized consultations processes for general project activity development and implementation, and specifically related to REDD+ processes. One of many examples of formalized general project activities include the agreements related to the Cash for Communities program, in which all 20 villages were consulted with on the design and implementation of this process, with agreements signed by the legal representatives of all households within each community (i.e. 100% of households consulted with); see folder "Community benefit sharing agreements". Formalized consultation for specific REDD+ processes include meetings and consultations related to project verification, conducted for each verification period. See meeting reports signed by legal representatives for each community (i.e. 100% of households consulted with) in folders "MR 2018-2019 in 2020" and "MR 2020-2021 in 2022". In addition to this complete coverage of households through their legal representatives, monitoring and evaluation data shows a high level of individual participation. In 2020 and 2021, a total of 3,227 people (unique individuals; 1660 female) attended KSWs REDD+ project activities, as recorded in attendance lists and in project M&E databases (2,994 from the 20 target villages, and 233 others from outside the project villages). The total population of the 20 target villages is 20,270 people (2021, Demography report. USAID Keo Seima Conservation Project, Wildlife Conservation Society). There is no robust data available on the number of HHs per village. Average HH size in rural areas is 4.2 (2019, General Population Census of Cambodia), giving an estimated 4,815 HHs within the target villages. If including the additional 82HH outside these 20 villages the total increases to 4,897 HHs. The typical experience of the

	project is that HHs are normally represented by one person during meetings and consultations (or a mother and a dependent child below the age of 6, the latter of which would not be recorded in M&E data). Conservatively excluding attendees below the age of 14, this equates to 57% (2,768 HHs of 4,897 total HHs) consulted with, 55% of those inside the project area (2249 of 4110 HHs), and 66% of those outside the project area (519 of 788 HHs). See "2020_2021_KSWS REDD+_M&E data_attendees.xlsx". It should also be noted that during this period, COVID-19 restrictions were in place, reducing the opportunities for direct consultation with community members; figures are typically even higher.
Aster Global Round 2 Findings	Thank you for the clarification and additional supporting evidence. After a review of the project's response and supporting evidence, the VVB is reasonably assured this risk rating is appropriate.

Finding Number	19
VCS AFOLU Non-Permanence Risk Tool, Version 4.0 19 September 2019 (Section)	Table 7 Community Engagement
VCS AFOLU Non-Permanence Risk Tool, Version 4.0 19 September 2019 (Description)	b) Less than 20 percent of households living within 20 km of the project boundary outside the project area, and who are reliant on the project area, have been consulted
Requirement Met (Y, N or Pending)	Y

Evidence to Assess (Location in PD/MR or Supporting Documents)	Used	NPRR, MR
Aster Global Round 1 Findings		Insufficient supporting evidence has been provided to confirm the risk score.
Round 1 NCR/CL/OFI	1	CL: Please provide additional evidence demonstrating the current risk score is appropriate.
Round 1 Response from Project Proponent		See response to finding above demonstrating 100% consultation in all villages inside and outside of the project area that are reliant on the project area.
Aster Global Round 2 Findings		Thank you for the clarification and additional supporting evidence. After a review of the project's response and supporting evidence, the VVB is reasonably assured this risk rating is appropriate.

Finding Number	20
VCS AFOLU Non-Permanence Risk Tool, Version 4.0 19 September 2019 (Section)	Table 8 Political Risk
VCS AFOLU Non-Permanence Risk Tool, Version 4.0 19 September 2019 (Description)	b) Governance score of -0.79 to less than -0.32
Requirement Met (Y, N or Pending)	Y
Evidence to Assess (Location in PD/MR or Supporting Documents)	WGI 2021 Interactive > Interactive Data Access (worldbank.org)
Aster Global Round 1 Findings	The VVB reviewed the project's analysis and noted that the most recent five years of data was not used.
Round 1 NCR/CL/OFI	1 NCR: Please update the analysis to include the 5 years of most recent data.
Round 1 Response from Project Proponent	The calculation was updated to include the years 2017-2021). The resulting governance of -0.77 did not change. The raw data and calculation have been provided as supporting evidence in the file "KSWs_2020_VCS-Non-Permanence-Risk_Governance score_OG v2". See column BX for averages.

Aster Global Round 2 Findings	The VVB reviewed the updated risk score for this item and confirmed the risk score is appropriate. However, the VVB noted that the project's calculation includes 6 years of data (2016-2021). This does not change the risk score but the text within the VCS Non-Permanence Risk Report provided by the project is not correct. Specifically, the Project's NPRT states "Cambodia's governance score is -0.77 based on data from 2017-2021. Information on Cambodia's REDD+ Readiness is compiled on the REDD+ Cambodia website (http://www.cambodia-redd.org/) the Forest Carbon Partnership Facility country database also keeps records of Cambodia's REDD+ Readiness progress http://www.forestcarbonpartnership.org/cambodia ." However, based on the projects provided analysis -0.77 is actually based on 6 years of data.
Round 2 NCR/CL/OFI	CL: Please ensure that all reporting documentation contains accurate statements.
Round 2 Response from Project Proponent	The calculation has been updated to include only the most recent 5 years, and the text in the NPRR has also been updated to show -0.78 based on data from 2017-2021. Total risk score remain unchanged.
Aster Global Round 3 Findings	The VVB reviewed the updated analysis and confirmed that the risk selected by the project is appropriate.

Finding Number	21
VCS AFOLU Non-Permanence Risk Tool, Version 4.0 19 September 2019 (Section)	Table 10 Natural Risks
VCS AFOLU Non-Permanence Risk Tool, Version 4.0 19 September 2019 (Description)	b) Pest and Disease Outbreaks (PD)
Requirement Met (Y, N or Pending)	Y
Evidence Used to Assess (Location in PD/MR or Supporting Documents)	
Aster Global Round 1 Findings	It is reported that no large areas of forest fire or disease related deforestation has been observed during this monitoring period. The NPRR states that further confirmation was provided in a letter from a long-term local expert, however the audit team was not provided this letter for review.
Round 1 NCR/CL/OFI	CL: Please clarify in line with the finding and provide necessary evidence to support the risk score selected pertaining to Pest and Disease Outbreaks, and update project documentation as necessary.

Round 1 Response from Project Proponent	The reference to the expert letter has been removed. While provided at the time of validation this document can no longer be located on our internal servers. The report "Large Area Deforestation from Uncontrolled Fires and Disease/Pest Outbreak" has nevertheless been added to the supplementary documents provided to the auditor. Review of the deforestation polygons for the monitoring period confirm that forest loss continues to occur primarily due to small-scale agricultural expansion as typified by the mosaic nature of forest loss. No events large enough to trigger the 5% threshold were observed (the data layers of monitored deforestation provided to the auditor confirm this).
Aster Global Round 2 Findings	Thank you for the clarification and additional supporting evidence. The VVB reviewed the analysis of fire that was used at validation and confirmed it appropriate. Additionally, during review of the Remote Sensing analyses that are used to monitoring deforestation and fire, the VVB is reasonably assured this risk rating is appropriate.

Finding Number	22
VM0015 v1.1 (Section)	4.1.2.1 Projection of the annual areas of baseline deforestation in the reference region
VM0015 v1.1 (Description)	4.1.2.1 Projection of the annual areas of baseline deforestation in the reference region The method to be used depends on the baseline approach selected
Requirement Met (Y, N or Pending)	Y
Evidence Used to Assess (Location in PD/MR or Supporting Documents)	KSWS_period4_verification_2020_carbon_calculations_v5_OG, deforpredmod20_32648_fg.shp, deforpredmod21_32648_fg.shp
Aster Global Round 1 Findings	Based on the available geospatial files, the VVB could not verify the projected annual areas of baseline deforestation in the reference region for years 2020 and 2021.
Round NCR/CL/OFI	1 CL: Please address line with the finding and providing supporting evidence as needed.
Round 1 Response from Project Proponent	See "Spatial" folder in the supplementary documents provided to the auditor for this round of findings. This includes the original and updated code (folder: 1. Model Code), the factor maps (folder: 2. Model Input) and the modeled projections of deforestation in the baseline (folder: 3. Model Output)
Aster Global Round 2 Findings	Projected annual areas of baseline deforestation in the reference region for 2020 and 2021 confirmed. <u>This item is addressed.</u>

Finding Number	23
VM0015 v1.1 (Section)	4.1.2.1 Projection of the annual areas of baseline deforestation in the reference region

VM0015 (Description)	v1.1	Approach "b": <u>Time function</u> --On Page 44-47
Requirement Met (Y, N or Pending)		Y
Evidence Used to Assess (Location in PD/MR or Supporting Documents)		MR, PDD, Seima baseline extension_defor rate yr 11 v20211004 (2)
Aster Global Round 1 Findings		It is unclear to the VVB how "Capped annual deforestation predicted after t optim." is calculated for year project period 12 (Cell K14) and 13 (Cell K15). Additional information is required within the MR showing which baseline equations have been applied to extend the baseline. Please clarify where values from the Seima baseline extension_defor rate yr 11 v20211004.xlsx workbook are used in the KWSW_period4_verification_2020_carbon calculations_v5_OG.xlsx workbook. The MR states "Figure 3.7 Modelled deforestation 2010–2021 in comparison to observations from the historical reference period. Extension period in pink demonstrating a decline in predicted deforestation following toptim being achieved." With that noted, it is unclear to the audit team why deforestation in the project area is increasing. The audit team was not provided spatial files showing the difference between Dense Forest and Open Forest nor the reference region.
Round NCR/CL/OFI	1	CL: Please clarify in line with the findings and where appropriate provide the additional files requested. CL: Please add additional information to the MR so the reader is able to understand exactly what validated parameters and equations were applied for the baseline extension.

Round 1 Response from Project Proponent	With regards to the capped annual deforestation: The parameter Taveragei is reached in year 11. This is the year in which Aaveragei is exhausted. After this, as per equation (7) of VM0015 the project must assume a linear decrease of ABSLRRi,t down to zero over 20 years. The formula used in cells K14 and K15 is consistent with equation (7). With regards to additional information on baseline equations: See extensive additional edits and inclusions to Section 3.2.1.5 of the MR. With regards to clarifying where the values come from: See the additional workbook provided in the Supporting Documents entitled "Baseline extension modeling results v1.0.xlsx". This workbook includes the outputs from the same generalized linear model (GLM) using spatially explicit deforestation risk explanatory variables used for the PD to determine the deforestation risk in the project area and leakage belt. This is the missing link between the two excel files mentioned. Seima baseline extension_defor rate yr 11 v20211004.xlsx calculates the capped estimates to be used in the model. "Baseline extension modeling results v1.0.xlsx" determines the activity data for the project area and leakage belt for the two extension years. The values in column L and M correspond with the values inputted into the workbook "KSWs_period4_verification_2020_carbon calculations_v5_OG.xlsx." The output files from this modeling work have also been provided. With regards to the deforestation increasing in the project area: This was explained at length during the call with auditor. While deforestation <u>amounts</u> (i.e. the input value into the model) across the reference region are stable in year 11 and decrease in year 12, it is the spatial prediction of deforestation risk that determines the activity data in the leakage belt and project area. The modeling demonstrates a gradually progressing frontier of deforestation that fully engulfs the leakage belt and project area in years 11 and 12 of the baseline. This is a natural progression of the deforestation risk from the first ten years of modeling. The additional spatial output files from the modeling provided along with these responses will help clarify this progression. With regards to spatial files: We have provided additional spatial files that should cover all needs.
---	---

Aster Global Round 2 Findings	The VVB confirmed that Eq.7 of the Methodology is correctly applied for the calculation of Cells K14 & 15. Year for t average is correctly assessed applying of Eq.6 of the Methodology. <u>This finding is closed.</u> The VVB confirmed that Eq. in Approach “b”: Time function in the Methodology were used to extend the baseline. The VVB also confirmed that Section 3.2.1.5 Projected baseline of "KWS REDD+_VCS CCB MR_2020-2021_v1.4.pdf" was updated in detail from "KWS REDD+_VCS CCB MR_2020-2021_v1.2.pdf". <u>This finding is closed.</u> Upon review of the additional workbook "Baseline extension modeling results v1.0.xlsx" and response provided here, the VVB confirmed that values produced from “Seima baseline extension_defor rate yr 11 v20211004.xlsx” are appropriately used in the workbook "KWS_period4_verification_2020_carbon calculations_v5_OG.xlsx." <u>This item is closed.</u> The VVB confirmed that, mathematically, the decrease in deforestation was due to the following condition in the Methodology: $T_{optimali} + T_{averagei} < \text{Project crediting period}$: $ABSLRR_{i,t}$ calculated for the year $t = T_{optimali}$ is applicable only to the first $T_{averagei}$ years following after year $T_{optimali}$. For the following 20 years assume a linear decrease of $ABSLRR_{i,t}$ down to zero hectares per year in $t = T_{average} + 20$. The VVB also confirms the following statement in the Methodology "Deforestation can increase in the future only if there are no constraints to the conversion of forest land to non-forest land. This is typically the case when the project area is located in a country or region still with significant forest cover (Olander et al., 2006)." aligns with the response from the Project Proponent regarding the decrease in deforestation. <u>This finding is closed.</u> The VVB confirmed that the findings issued are addressed with the additional spatial files provided. <u>This item is closed.</u>
---	--

Finding Number	24
VM0015 v1.1 (Section)	4.2.1 Preparation of factor maps
VM0015 v1.1 (Description)	To create the <u>Factor Maps</u> use one of the following two approaches
Requirement Met (Y, N or Pending)	Y
Evidence Used to Assess (Location in PD/MR or Supporting Documents)	MR, Geospatial fles
Aster Global Round 1 Findings	Factor maps are not provided to the VVB.
Round 1 NCR/CL/OFI	CL: Please address in line with the finding and provide all factor maps and relevant input/output information.

Round 1 Response from Project Proponent	See "Spatial" folder in the supplementary documents provided to the auditor for this round of findings. This includes the original and updated code (folder: 1. Model Code), the factor maps (folder: 2. Model Input) and the modeled projections of deforestation in the baseline (folder: 3. Model Output)
Aster Global Round 2 Findings	The VVB confirmed all relevant input and output information are provided. <u>This item is addressed.</u>

Finding Number	25
VM0015 (Section) v1.1	PART 3 – METHODOLOGY FOR MONITORING AND RE-VALIDATION OF THE BASELINE
VM0015 (Description) v1.1	Appendix 6 provides an overview of the tables that should be prepared to report monitoring results.
Requirement Met (Y, N or Pending)	Y
Evidence Used to Assess (Location in PD/MR or Supporting Documents)	KSWs REDD+_VCS CCB MR_2020-2021_v1.2.pdf
Aster Global Round 1 Findings	It's unclear why a similar table to "Table 3.19 Total net baseline carbon stock change in the leakage belt (Table 21.d of the Methodology)" for project area in "KSWs REDD+_VCS CCB MR_2020-2021_v1.2.pdf" has not been included in the MR.
Round NCR/CL/OFI 1	CL: Please address in line with findings.
Round 1 Response from Project Proponent	This has been added to section 3.2.1.6.
Aster Global Round 2 Findings	The VVB confirmed that tables required for reporting had been correctly included in the updated "KSWs REDD+_VCS CCB MR_2020-2021_v1.4.pdf". In particular, the VVB confirmed that tables in Section 3.2.2 Project Emissions of "KSWs REDD+_VCS CCB MR_2020-2021_v1.4.pdf" were in line with Section 1.1.4 Monitoring of impacts of natural disturbances and other catastrophic events / 1.2.1 Monitoring of carbon stock changes and GHG emissions associated to leakage prevention activities, PART 3 – METHODOLOGY FOR MONITORING AND RE-VALIDATION OF THE BASELINE of the Methodology (e.g., Table 29 in the Methodology corresponds to Table 3.23 of the MR). <u>This finding is closed.</u>

APPENDIX C: CCB LIST OF FINDINGS

CCB Program Rule 3.5.3 - The project proponent shall prepare a monitoring report that describes, in detail, for each relevant Climate, Community & Biodiversity Standards criterion and indicator, how the project was implemented during the period covered by the verification and what outcomes and impacts have been generated since the start of the project and over the current monitoring period. The project proponent shall use one of the following documents: 1) Projects that are using the CCB Program alone: the CCB Monitoring Report Template 2) Projects that are using the CCB Program together with a recognized GHG program: the combined project description [sic] template for that program (e.g., the CCB & VCS Monitoring Report Template)	Although not tied to a specific indicator, the VVB noted a formatting issue with section numbers resulting in a nonconformance with the requirement identified in CCB Program Rules Section 3.5.3. Because section numbers for addressing CCB indicators may differ between the MR under verification and the CCB & VCS Monitoring Report (MR) Template, the MR initially reviewed for Round 1 of the verification is hereafter referred to as “MR v1.2” to help avoid confusion with anticipated receipt of the MR with correct section numbering.
Evidence Used to Assess Conformance:	Pre-verification Draft Monitoring Report (MR) v1.2
Findings:	The VVB noted MR v1.2 section and subsection numbers in the Table of Contents are consistent with the section and subsection numbers specified by the CCB & VCS Monitoring Report Template (MR Template). The VVB noted the subsection numbers present throughout the body of the MR v1.2 document do not match the subsection numbers presented in the Table of Contents or the subsection numbers identified in the MR Template. The VVB further noted this discrepancy creates inconsistencies throughout MR v1.2 for subsection references. The VVB determined this is a nonconformance to be corrected.
Non-conformance Request (NCR) / Clarification Request (CL):	Please address the NCR in line with the findings.
Date Issued:	22 November 2022
Project Proponent Response/Actions and Date:	This issue with Word’s automated numbering has been addressed in the latest version of the MR.

	(5 January 2023)
Evidence Used to Close NCR/CL:	The VVB reviewed the revised MR and confirmed the section and subsection numbering is now consistent with the MR Template. Item closed.
Date Closed:	12 January 2023

Indicator G1.1 – Identify the primary Project Proponent which is responsible for the project’s design and implementation and provide contact details.	The VVB reviewed information from the Pre-verification Draft Monitoring Report (MR) undergoing CCB and VCS verification (MR v1.2); and the Project Description (PD) available on the Verra project webpage, identified as v2.10 (29 December 2014). The VVB also reviewed PD v7.1 (11 November 2015), which was provided to the VVB as a support document, and which was identified as the PD for gap validation conducted as part of a previous VVB audit, and specifically addressed a change in project proponent.
Evidence Used to Assess Conformance:	Monitoring Report (MR) v1.2 Title Page box; MR v1.2 Sections “1.3.3” and “1.4.4”; MR Template Sections 2.1.3 and 2.2.4; Reduced Emissions from Deforestation and Degradation in Seima Protection Forest, Project Description (PD) v2.10; Reduced Emissions from Deforestation and Degradation in Keo Seima Wildlife Sanctuary, PD v7.1; Reduced Emissions from Deforestation and Degradation in Keo Seima Wildlife Sanctuary, Monitoring & Implementation Report v3.3
Findings:	The VVB confirmed the Project Proponent and contact information provided on the Title Page box and Section 1.3.3 of the MR are consistent with the information provided in PD v7.1. However, related items noted by the VVB include: 1) The VVB noted the MR section (MR v1.2 Section “1.4.4” reporting on Project Description Deviations (Rules 3.5.7-3.5.10) states “No deviations were previously applied.” The VVB noted the initial project Monitoring & Implementation Report (MIR) (v3.3, 15 December 2016) Section 4.2 identified the change in project proponent as a deviation. The MR template instructions include the requirement to “Describe and report on any project description deviations applied in previous monitoring reports.”

	The VVB determined this is a nonconformance to be corrected for this section of the MR undergoing verification. 2) The VVB noted the PD validated against the VCS program (PD v2.10, dated 29 December 2014) used a different project name than the name used in PD v7.1 and the MR under verification. The VVB noted the previous project description deviation applied in the initial MIR did not specifically identify or include the change in project name associated with the change in project proponent as part of the PD deviation. The VVB noted PD v7.1 Annex 6.1 (Document History) identifies the protected area name change, but does not specifically address the project name change. CCB Program Rules (v3.1) Section 3.3.1 states “In order to use a recognized GHG program to substitute for Climate, Community & Biodiversity Standards Climate Section, the project must <i>inter alia</i> have the same name, ...” The VVB determined clarification is required for this item in the MR. 3) The VVB was unable to find the CCB Validation Report located on the Verra website.
Non-conformance Request (NCR) / Clarification Request (CL):	Please address the nonconformance as requested for item 1, and please provide clarification as requested for item 2 in line with the findings for Indicator G1.1. Please provide the VVB a copy of the CCB Validation Report and ensure that a copy is posted on the Verra website.
Date issued:	22 November 2022
Project Proponent Response/Actions and Date:	Item 1 Added the following text to this section: <u>Previous deviations</u> <i>During the first monitoring period (1 January 2010 to 31 December 2015) the project proponent changed from the Forestry Administration (FA) of the Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries (MAFF) to the Ministry of Environment (MoE). The Project Description was updated to account for the change of project proponent from the Forestry Administration to the Ministry of Environment (see Section 2.1). The change of project proponent required a Gap Validation review and report which</i>

were conducted by SCS Global Services and issued on 4 April 2017 which concluded “Based on the results of our validation activities, it is our opinion that there is nothing inherent in the change in project proponent, as described above, that would impact upon the validation statement previously issued by SCS in respect to the CCB Standards”. The Gap Validation Report (CCB_VALID_REP_ENG_1650_4APR2017.pdf) is available on the Verra Project Database.

Item 2

The PD validated against the VCS program (PD v2.10, dated 29 December 2014) used the project name **Reduced Emissions from Deforestation and Degradation in Seima Protection Forest**, with a project area of **166,983 ha**, the project proponent was the **Royal Government of Cambodia (RGC)**, represented by **Forestry Administration of the Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries (MAFF)**, and the project start date was **1 January 2010**

The PD dual validated against the VCS and CCB program (PD v5.1, dated 11 November 2015) used the project name **Reduced Emissions from Deforestation and Degradation in Seima Protection Forest**, with a project area of **166,983 ha**, the project proponent was the **Royal Government of Cambodia (RGC)**, represented by **Forestry Administration of the Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries (MAFF)**, and the project start date was **1 January 2010**.

The same activities and without-project scenario were used in both.

The gap validation PD (PD 7.1, dated 11 November 2015) accounted for the change in project proponent, using the name **Reduced Emissions from Deforestation and Degradation in Keo Seima Wildlife Sanctuary**, explaining the change in name from **Seima Protection Forest** to **Keo Seima Wildlife Sanctuary** throughout, including in the **Summary Description of the Project (G3)**.

	As such, under Rule 3.3.1, the project believes it has used and continues to use the same project name in order to use a “recognized GHG program to substitute for Climate, Community & Biodiversity Standards Climate Section”. Conformance was confirmed by a VVB in the gap validation report (v1, dated 4 April 2017). The project have provided the following documents to the VVB and will request that Verra upload these to the registry: CCB_VALID_REP_ENG_1650_4APR2017_gap.pdf CCB_VALID_REP_ENG_1650_16NOV2015.pdf SPF PD FULL v5.1_11NOV2015_dual validated.pdf (5 January 2023)
Evidence Used to Close NCR / CL:	The VVB reviewed the clarification provided, revisions to MR (v1.4) Section 2.2.4, and supporting documentation provided. The VVB acknowledges receipt of a copy the Gap Validation Report (dated 4 April 2017) that substantiates validation of the project deviation to address the change in Project Proponent. The VVB confirmed the previous deviation has been included in MR Section 2.2.4 in conformance with template instructions. The VVB acknowledges the clarification provided regarding the project name change. The VVB reviewed the copy of the Gap Validation Report (dated 4 April 2017). The VVB noted the Gap Validation Report references Sub-Decree No. 83 on Keo Seima Wildlife Sanctuary (KSWS), which is described as a “legally-enforced document issued by the Royal Government of Cambodia, specifically referencing the transfer in management of the Keo Seima Wildlife Sanctuary, including a map of the project area which is identical to the 292,690 ha defined in Sub-Decree 143, which established the Seima Protection Forest.” Inclusion of this information in the Gap Validation Report, along with the project name used on the Verra Registry project page, supports the project is using the same name as the VCS program.

	The VVB notes the project has indicated the CCB Validation Report and CCB Gap Validation Report have been provided to Verra for uploading to the registry.
	Item closed.
Date Closed:	12 January 2023

Indicator G1.2 – Define the project’s climate, community and biodiversity objectives.	The VVB reviewed PD v7.1 for information presented for Indicator G1.2, and reviewed MR v1.2 sections on Minor Changes to Project Description (Rules 3.5.6) and Project Description Deviations (Rules 3.5.7-3.5.10).
Evidence Used to Assess Conformance:	PD v7.1 Section 2.2.1; MR v1.2 Sections “1.4.3” and “1.4.4”
Findings:	This indicator was originally addressed through successful validation of the PD and does not need to be reevaluated at verification unless minor changes to the PD or PD deviations are applied during this monitoring period. The VVB determined from review of MR v1.2 that no minor changes to the PD or PD deviations related to Indicator G1.2 were applied for this monitoring period. Item closed.
Date Closed:	22 November 2022

Indicator G1.3 – Provide the location (country, sub-national jurisdictions(s)) and a brief overview of the basic physical and social parameters of the project.	The VVB reviewed PD v7.1 for information presented for Indicator G1.3, and reviewed MR v1.2 sections on Minor Changes to Project Description (Rules 3.5.6) and Project Description Deviations (Rules 3.5.7-3.5.10).
Evidence Used to Assess Conformance:	PD v7.1 Section 1.1; MR v1.2 Sections “1.4.3” and “1.4.4”
Findings:	This indicator was originally addressed through successful validation of the PD and does not need to be reevaluated at verification unless minor changes to the PD or PD deviations are applied during this monitoring period. The VVB determined from review of MR v1.2 that no minor changes to the PD or PD deviations related to Indicator G1.3 were applied for this monitoring period. Item closed.
Date Closed:	22 November 2022

Indicator G1.4 - Define the boundaries of the Project Area where project activities aim to generate net climate benefits and the Project Zone	The VVB reviewed PD v7.1 for information presented for Indicator G1.4, and reviewed MR v1.2 sections on Minor Changes to Project Description (Rules 3.5.6) and Project Description Deviations (Rules 3.5.7-
--	---

where project activities are implemented.	3.5.10). The VVB was provided geospatial files to substantiate the respective project boundaries.
Evidence Used to Assess Conformance:	PD v7.1 Section 4.4; MR v1.2 Figure 2.1; MR v1.2 Sections “1.4.3” and “1.4.4”; Geospatial files
Findings:	This indicator was originally addressed through successful validation of the PD and does not need to be reevaluated at verification unless minor changes to the PD or PD deviations are applied during this monitoring period. The VVB determined from review of MR v1.2 that no minor changes to the PD or PD deviations related to Indicator G1.4 were applied for this monitoring period. Review of provided geospatial files substantiate that the project boundaries have not changed. Item closed.
Date Closed:	22 November 2022

Indicator G1.5 - Explain the process of stakeholder identification and analysis used to identify Communities, Community Groups and Other Stakeholders.	The VVB reviewed PD v7.1 for information presented for Indicator G1.5, and reviewed MR v1.2 sections on Minor Changes to Project Description (Rules 3.5.6) and Project Description Deviations (Rules 3.5.7-3.5.10).
Evidence Used to Assess Conformance:	PD v7.1 Sections 1.2.2 and 2.7; MR v1.2 Sections “1.4.3” and “1.4.4”
Findings:	This indicator was originally addressed through successful validation of the PD and does not need to be reevaluated at verification unless minor changes to the PD or PD deviations are applied during this monitoring period. For reference, the VVB noted the validated PD identified two community groups, indigenous and Khmer. The VVB determined from review of MR v1.2 that no minor changes to the PD or PD deviations related to Indicator G1.5 were applied for this monitoring period. Item closed.
Date Closed:	22 November 2022

Indicator G1.6 -List all Communities, Community Groups and Other Stakeholders identified using the process explained in G 1.5.	The VVB reviewed PD v7.1 for information presented for Indicator G1.6, and reviewed MR v1.2 sections on Minor Changes to Project Description (Rules 3.5.6) and Project Description Deviations (Rules 3.5.7-3.5.10).
Evidence Used to Assess Conformance:	PD v7.1 Sections 1.2.2 and 2.7; MR v1.2 Sections “1.4.3” and “1.4.4”
Findings:	This indicator was originally addressed through successful validation of the PD and does not need to be reevaluated at verification unless minor changes to the PD or PD deviations are applied during this

	monitoring period. The VVB determined from review of MR v1.2 that no minor changes to the PD or PD deviations related to Indicator G1.6 were applied for this monitoring period. Item closed.
Date Closed:	22 November 2022

Indicator G1.7 - Provide a map identifying the location of Communities and the boundaries of the Project Area(s), of the Project Zone, including any High Conservation Value areas (identified in CM1 and B1), and of additional areas that are predicted to be impacted by project activities identified in CL3, CM3 and B3.	The VVB reviewed PD v7.1 for information presented for Indicator G1.7, and reviewed MR v1.2 sections on Minor Changes to Project Description (Rules 3.5.6) and Project Description Deviations (Rules 3.5.7-3.5.10).
Evidence Used to Assess Conformance:	PD v7.1 Sections 1.2.2, 1.2.4, and 1.2.6; MR v1.2 Sections “1.4.3” and “1.4.4”
Findings:	This indicator was originally addressed through successful validation of the PD and does not need to be reevaluated at verification unless minor changes to the PD or PD deviations are applied during this monitoring period. The VVB determined from review of MR v1.2 that no minor changes to the PD or PD deviations related to Indicator G1.7 were applied for this monitoring period. Item closed.
Date Closed:	22 November 2022

Indicator G1.8 - Briefly describe each project activity and the expected outputs, outcomes and impacts of the activities identifying the causal relationships that explain how the activities will achieve the project’s predicted climate, community and biodiversity benefits.	The VVB reviewed PD v7.1 for information presented for Indicator G1.8, and reviewed MR v1.2 sections on Minor Changes to Project Description (Rules 3.5.6) and Project Description Deviations (Rules 3.5.7-3.5.10).
Evidence Used to Assess Conformance:	PD v7.1 Section 2.2; MR v1.2 Sections “1.4.3” and “1.4.4”
Findings:	This indicator was originally addressed through successful validation of the PD and does not need to be reevaluated at verification unless minor changes to the PD or PD deviations are applied during this monitoring period. The VVB determined from review of MR v1.2 that no minor changes to the PD or PD deviations related to Indicator G1.8 were applied for this monitoring period. Item closed.

Date Closed:	22 November 2022
--------------	------------------

Indicator G1.9 - Define the project start date and lifetime, and GHG accounting period and biodiversity and community benefits assessment period if relevant, and explain and justify any differences between them. Define an implementation schedule, indicating key dates and milestones in the project's development.	The VVB reviewed MR v1.2 for information presented for Indicator G1.9 for the project start date and lifetime, and GHG accounting period and biodiversity and community benefits assessment period if relevant, for consistency with information presented for these items for Indicator G1.9 in PD v7.1. The VVB reviewed MR v1.2 for presence and content of information regarding implementation schedule, including key dates and milestones in the project's development.
Evidence Used to Assess Conformance:	PD v7.1 title page box and Sections 1.5 and 1.6; MR v1.2 title page box and Sections "1.3.5" and "1.3.6"; PD v7.1 Annex 2.1 and Annex 2.5; MR v1.2 Sections "1.3.1" and "1.4.1"
Findings:	The VVB confirmed the project start date and lifetime, GHG accounting period, and biodiversity and community benefits assessment period provided in MR v1.2 are consistent with the information provided in PD v7.1. The VVB noted MR v1.2 Sections "1.3.1" and "1.4.1" present a summary and detailed description, respectively, of the implementation schedule for several activities identified in the MR as associated with each objective for the monitoring period. The VVB noted the implementation schedule is generally consistent with most objectives and action identified in PD v7.1, but the "actions" identified in PD v7.1 are rephrased as "activities" in MR v1.2. The VVB noted questions regarding the specific "actions" or "activities" are covered by separate findings issued by the VVB for the specific indicators involved and are not repeated here. Item closed.
Date Closed:	22 November 2022

Indicator G1.10 - Identify likely natural and human-induced risks to the expected climate, community and biodiversity benefits during the project lifetime and outline measures needed and <i>taken</i> to mitigate these risks.	The VVB reviewed MR v1.2 for information presented on mitigation measures taken for natural and human-induced risks to expected climate, community, and biodiversity benefits during the project lifetime, particularly for benefits identified by the Project Proponent in the Project Summary section of the title box for PD v7.1. The VVB reviewed supporting documentation and obtained additional
---	---

	information on risks and mitigation measures during site observations and through interviews with selected stakeholders during the site visit.
Evidence Used to Assess Conformance:	PD v7.1 Sections 2.3.2 and Annex 2.2 (Non-Permanence Risk Assessment); PD v7.1 Project Summary section of Title Page box; MR v1.2 Section “1.4.6” including subsections; MR v1.2 Sections “1.11.1” and “1.11.2”; support documents provided for VVB review; site visit observation and interviews
Findings:	Instructions for the MR Template Section 2.2.6 include the following requirement for reporting on conformance with Indicator G1.10: “Describe actions needed and implemented to mitigate likely natural and human-induced risks to the expected climate, community, and biodiversity benefits during this monitoring period.” The MR Template also states: “The Project Risks Table (see Appendix 2) may be used if appropriate.” The VVB noted the following: 1) The VVB noted MR v1.2 Section “1.4.6” (corresponding to MR Template Section 2.2.6) specifically addresses climate benefit risks for Indicator G1.10 through cited use, and reported summary for results, of the VCS AFOLU Non-Permanence Risk Tool (NPRT). The VVB determined risks to climate benefits, and associated mitigation steps taken, as presented in the NPRT, are being assessed as part of the concurrent VCS verification. The VVB determined successful verification of the NPRT will address the risk to climate benefits required by Indicator G1.10. 2) The VVB noted MR v1.2 Section “1.4.6” (corresponding to MR Template Section 2.2.6) states the risk analysis based on NPRT is holistic, covering community benefits of the project. The VVB noted neither this MR Section, nor the NPRT, describe actions during this monitoring period needed and implemented to mitigate likely natural and human-induced risks to the expected community benefits that were identified in the Project Summary section of the PD Title Page box. The VVB determined this is a nonconformance to be corrected. 3) The VVB noted MR v1.2 Section “1.4.6” (corresponding to MR Template Section 2.2.6) states

	the risk analysis based on NPRT is holistic, covering biodiversity benefits of the project. The VVB noted neither this MR Section, nor the NPRT, describe actions during this monitoring period needed and implemented to mitigate likely natural and human-induced risks to the expected biodiversity benefits that were identified in the Project Summary section of the PD Title Page box. The VVB determined this is a nonconformance to be corrected. 4) The VVB noted MR v1.2 does not use the Project Risks Table provided in Appendix 2 of the MR Template. The VVB noted MR Template instructions indicate use of this table is optional.
Non-conformance Request (NCR):	Please address the nonconformance as requested for items 2 and 3 in line with the findings for Indicator G1.10.
Date Issued:	22 November 2022
Project Proponent Response/Actions and Date:	2) and 3) Section 2.2.6 has been updated using the Project Risk Table from Appendix 2 of the MR Template. It outlines risks, their possible impact on climate, community and biodiversity benefits and includes mitigation actions including references to sections of the MR where these are described in greater detail. (5 January 2023)
Evidence Used to Close NCR:	The VVB reviewed the clarification provided, revisions to MR (v1.4) Section 2.2.6, and supporting documentation provided. The VVB noted the Project Risks Table has now been included in the MR to identify the risks, describe the potential impacts, and identify the actions needed to mitigate these risks, with reference to specific activities undertaken during the monitoring period. The VVB cross-referenced information provided on actions needed to mitigate identified risks against the information provided in MR Section 2.2.1 for actions implemented during the monitoring period, which include actions to mitigate these risks. The VVB determined MR Section 2.2.6 now identifies likely natural and human-induced risks to the expected climate, community and biodiversity benefits and outlines measures needed and taken to mitigate these risks during the monitoring period. The VVB noted extensive supporting documentation was provided to

	substantiate the activities undertaken during the monitoring period to mitigate these potential risks. Item closed.
Date Closed:	12 January 2023

Indicator G1.11 - Describe the measures needed and <i>taken</i> to maintain and enhance the climate, community and biodiversity benefits beyond the project lifetime.	The VVB reviewed MR v1.2 for information presented on actions needed or implemented to maintain the climate, community, and biodiversity benefits beyond the project lifetime. The VVB compared these actions to measures identified in PD v7.1 Section 2.3.1 as needed or implemented to ensure benefit permanence, and to the benefits identified by the Project Proponent in the Project Summary section of the title box for PD v7.1. The VVB reviewed supporting documentation and obtained additional information on these measures during site observations and through interviews with selected stakeholders during the site visit.
Evidence Used to Assess Conformance:	PD v7.1 Sections 2.3.1; PD v7.1 Project Summary section of Title Page box; MR v1.2 Section “1.4.7”; support documents provided for VVB review; site visit observation and interviews
Findings:	Instructions for the MR Template Section 2.2.7 include the following requirement for reporting on conformance with Indicator G1.11: “Describe the measures needed and implemented since the last CCB validation or verification to maintain and enhance the climate, community, and biodiversity benefits beyond the project lifetime.” The VVB noted the following: 1) The VVB noted MR v1.2 Section “1.4.7” (corresponding to MR Template Section 2.2.7) presents the same list of 8 items, with only a couple minor wording changes noted, as the list presented in PD v7.1 Section 2.3.1. The VVB noted MR v1.2 does not clearly identify which of these measures were needed and implemented since the last CCB verification. The VVB noted clarification is required for this item. 2) The VVB noted MR v1.2 Section “1.4.7” (corresponding to MR Template Section 2.2.7) refers to “measures to ensure long-term sustainability of the outcomes”. The VVB noted clarification is required for whether “long-term” extends beyond the project

	lifetime, specifically including but not limited to measure #3.
Clarification Request (CL):	Please provide clarification as requested for items 1 and 2 in line with the findings for Indicator G1.11.
Date Issued:	22 November 2022
Project Proponent Response/Actions and Date:	1) First paragraph expanded to clarify all these actions are ongoing, including in the last reporting period. Added “implementation” in addition to “establishment” to some numbered items to clarify their ongoing nature. 2) First paragraph expanded to clarify benefit permanence throughout and beyond the project lifetime. Some measures/activities (e.g. 3 and 8) are specific to the project <i>implementation</i> period, but their effective implementation during the project lifetime leads to permanence of <i>benefits</i> beyond the direct project lifetime, which is what this section requests. Additional clarifying language added throughout this section. (5 January 2023)
Evidence Used to Close CL:	The VVB reviewed the clarification provided here and in MR (v1.4) Section 2.2.7. The VVB noted MR Section 2.2.7 provides clarification that the identified measures were ongoing through the monitoring period and indicates details are provided in other sections of the MR. The VVB determined information provided in MR Sections 2.2.1 and 2.3.13, summarizing activities implemented during the monitoring period, along with review of supporting documentation and results of interviews with project staff and community members, that measures to maintain and enhance the climate, community, and biodiversity benefits beyond the project lifetime were undertaken during the monitoring period.
Date Closed:	12 January 2023
Indicator G1.12 - Demonstrate that financial mechanisms adopted, including actual and projected revenues from GHG emissions reductions or removals and other sources, provide an adequate actual and projected flow of funds for project implementation and to	The VVB reviewed PD v7.1 for information presented for Indicator G1.12, and reviewed MR v1.2 sections on Minor Changes to Project Description (Rules 3.5.6) and Project Description Deviations (Rules 3.5.7-3.5.10).

achieve the project's climate, community and biodiversity benefits.	
Evidence Used to Assess Conformance:	PD v7.1 Sections 2.3.2 and Annex 2.2 (Non-Permanence Risk Assessment); MR v1.2 Sections "1.4.3" and "1.4.4"
Findings:	This indicator was originally addressed through successful validation of the PD, including the VCS AFOLU Non-Permanence Risk Tool (NPRT). The VVB determined from review of MR v1.2 that no minor changes to the PD or PD deviations related to Indicator G1.12 were applied for this monitoring period. The VVB determined financial mechanisms adopted, including actual and projected revenues from GHG emissions reductions or removals and other sources as presented in the NPRT, are being assessed as part of the concurrent VCS verification. The VVB determined successful verification of the NPRT will address the requirements for Indicator G1.12. Item closed.
Date Closed:	22 November 2022

Indicator G1.13 - Specify the Project Area(s) and Communities that may be included under the programmatic approach, and identify any new Project Area(s) and Communities that have been included in the project since the last validation or verification against the CCB Standards.	The VVB reviewed information provided in the MR undergoing verification and compared this information to information previously provided in the validated PD.
Evidence Used to Assess Conformance:	PD v7.1 Section 2.1; MR v1.2 Section "1.4.5"
Findings:	The VVB confirmed this is not a grouped project and this indicator does not apply. The VVB noted the MR specifically states "Not applicable" under the heading for Grouped Projects, and further noted the specific section headings for the Indicator G1.13 items were deleted in conformance with MR template instruction. Item closed.
Date Closed:	22 November 2022

Indicator G1.14 - Specify the eligibility criteria and process for project expansion under the programmatic approach <i>and demonstrate that these have been met for any new Project Areas and Communities that have been included in the project since the last validation or verification against the CCB Standards.</i>	The VVB reviewed information provided in the MR undergoing verification and compared this information to information previously provided in the validated PD.
Evidence Used to Assess Conformance:	PD v7.1 Section 2.1; MR v1.2 Section “1.4.5”
Findings:	The VVB confirmed this is not a grouped project and this indicator does not apply. The VVB noted the MR specifically states “Not applicable” under the heading for Grouped Projects, and further noted the specific section heading for the Indicator G1.14 item was deleted in conformance with MR template instruction. Item closed.
Date Closed:	22 November 2022

Indicator G1.15 - Establish scalability limits, if applicable, and describe measures needed and <i>taken</i> to address any risks to climate, community and biodiversity benefits if the project expands beyond those limits.	The VVB reviewed information provided in the MR undergoing verification and compared this information to information previously provided in the validated PD.
Evidence Used to Assess Conformance:	PD v7.1 Section 2.1; MR v1.2 Section “1.4.5”
Findings:	The VVB confirmed this is not a grouped project and this indicator does not apply. The VVB noted the MR specifically states “Not applicable” under the heading for Grouped Projects, and further noted the specific section headings for the Indicator G1.15 items were deleted in conformance with MR template instruction. Item closed.
Date Closed:	22 November 2022

Indicator G2.1 - Describe the most likely land-use scenario within the Project Zone in the absence of the project, describing the range of potential land-use scenarios and the associated drivers of land use changes and justifying why the land-	The VVB reviewed PD v7.1 for information presented for Indicator G2.1, and reviewed MR v1.2 sections on Minor Changes to Project Description (Rules 3.5.6) and Project Description Deviations (Rules 3.5.7-3.5.10).
--	---

use scenario selected is most likely. It is allowable for different locations within the Project Zone to have different without-project land use scenarios.	
Evidence Used to Assess Conformance:	PD v7.1 Section 4.5 (including subsections); MR v1.2 Sections “1.4.3” and “1.4.4”
Findings:	This indicator was originally addressed through successful validation of the PD and does not need to be reevaluated at verification unless minor changes to the PD or PD deviations are applied during this monitoring period. The VVB determined from review of MR v1.2 that no minor changes to the PD or PD deviations related to Indicator G2.1 were applied for this monitoring period. Item closed.
Date Closed:	22 November 2022

Indicator G2.2 - Document that project benefits including climate, community and biodiversity benefits would not have occurred in the absence of the project, explaining how existing laws, regulations and governance arrangements, or lack of laws and regulations and their enforcement, would likely affect land use and justifying that the benefits being claimed by the project are truly ‘additional’ and would not have occurred without the project. Identify any distinct climate, community and biodiversity benefits intended for use as offsets and specify how additionality is established for each of these benefits.	The VVB reviewed PD v7.1 for information presented for Indicator G2.2, and reviewed MR v1.2 sections on Minor Changes to Project Description (Rules 3.5.6) and Project Description Deviations (Rules 3.5.7-3.5.10).
Evidence Used to Assess Conformance:	PD v7.1 Section 4.6 (including subsections); MR v1.2 Sections “1.4.3” and “1.4.4”
Findings:	This indicator was originally addressed through successful validation of the PD and does not need to be reevaluated at verification unless minor changes to the PD or PD deviations are applied during this monitoring period. The VVB determined from review of MR v1.2 that no minor changes to the PD or PD deviations related to Indicator G2.2 were applied for this monitoring period. Item closed.
Date Closed:	22 November 2022

Indicator G3.1 - Describe how full project documentation has been made accessible to Communities and Other Stakeholders, how summary project documentation (including how to access full documentation) has been actively disseminated to Communities in relevant local or regional languages, and how widely publicized information meetings have been held with Communities and Other Stakeholders.	The VVB reviewed MR v1.2 for information presented on how full project documentation was reported by the Project Proponent as having been made accessible to communities and other stakeholders. The VVB reviewed supporting documentation provided by the Project Proponent for substantiating meetings held to discuss or provide information regarding the project. The VVB reviewed supporting documentation provided by the Project Proponent for newsletters, press releases, brochures, and other media used for project information dissemination. The VVB reviewed internet websites cited as locations where the full MR was made publicly available during the public consultation period. The VVB interviewed representative community stakeholders during the site visit to substantiate how information had been made available or disseminated.
Evidence Used to Assess Conformance:	MR v1.2 Sections “1.5.1”, “1.5.2”, and “1.5.3”; Supporting documentation (as provided in folders “01_Project Management”, “04_Communities”, “06_Communications”), and “07_Partner orgs”; interviews with representative community members and other stakeholders during site visit
Findings:	The VVB determined the documentation provided by the Project Proponent, as substantiated by interviews with community members and other stakeholders during the site visit, demonstrates full project documentation for the monitoring period has been made available. The VVB confirmed MR v1.2 is available, in English, through a link on the WCS website, and that MR Summary v1.5, in Khmer, available through link on the WCS website, and that both documents are available through the Verra Project Database. Item closed.
Opportunity for Improvement (OFI):	The VVB noted an OFI, which does not require action by the Project Proponent to close this finding. The VVB noted on WCS Cambodia’s Keo Seima Wildlife Sanctuary webpage that the link identified as being for the Verra Database did not connect to the Verra Project Database.

	[12 January 2023 update: the VVB noted the referenced link connects to the Verra Project Database]
Date Closed:	22 November 2022

Indicator G3.2 - Explain how relevant and adequate information about potential costs, risks and benefits to Communities has been provided to them in a form they understand and in a timely manner prior to any decision they may be asked to make with respect to participation in the project.	The VVB reviewed MR v1.2 for information presented on how relevant and adequate information was reported by the Project Proponent as having been provided to communities. The VVB reviewed supporting documentation provided by the Project Proponent for substantiating meetings held with communities to discuss or provide information regarding relevant potential costs, risks, and benefits. The VVB interviewed representative community stakeholders during the site visit to substantiate how information had been made available or disseminated.
Evidence Used to Assess Conformance:	MR v1.2 Section “1.5.4”; Supporting documentation (as provided in folders “01_Project Management” and “04_Communities”); interviews with representative community members and other stakeholders during site visit
Findings:	The VVB noted the initial evaluation for adequacy of sharing of information regarding costs, risks, and benefits to communities for participation in the project would have been addressed through validation and verification of the PD and initial monitoring report. The VVB determined the documentation provided by the Project Proponent, as substantiated by interviews with community members during the site visit, demonstrates community members are generally well-informed about potential costs, risks, and benefits associated with continued participation in the project. Item closed.
Date Closed:	22 November 2022

Indicator G3.3 - Describe the measures taken, and communications methods used, to explain to Communities and Other Stakeholders the process for validation and/or verification against the CCB Standards by an independent Auditor, providing them with timely information about the Auditor’s site visit before the site visit occurs and facilitating direct and independent	The VVB reviewed MR v1.2 for information presented by the Project Proponent for measures taken to explain the CCBS verification process to communities and other stakeholders, and for informing communities of the auditor’s visit. The VVB interviewed representative community stakeholders during the site visit to substantiate how this information had been made available or disseminated.
---	--

communication between them or their representatives and the Auditor.	
Evidence Used to Assess Conformance:	MR v1.2 Sections “1.5.5” and “1.5.6”; interviews with representative community members and other stakeholders during site visit; observations of postings in villages announcing the VVB site visit
Findings:	The VVB observed postings in each village visited announcing the VVB’s site visit and photographed these postings as evidence of conformance. The VVB noted from interviews with community members during the site visit that community members are generally well-informed about the verification process and were made aware of the VVB’s site visit. The VVB noted the Project Proponent arranged and facilitated direct and independent communication with representative communities and other stakeholders in accordance with requests provided by the VVB as part of the site sampling and study plan development. Item closed.
Opportunity for Improvement (OFI):	The VVB noted an OFI, which does not require action by the Project Proponent to close this finding. The VVB noted MR v1.2 indicates the VVB visit was anticipated for July/August 2022, but the VVB noted the actual site visit was held in October 2022. [12 January 2023 update: the VVB noted the MR was updated to reference October 2022 for the VVB visit]
Date Closed:	22 November 2022

Indicator G3.4 - Describe how Communities including all the Community Groups and Other Stakeholders have influenced project design and <i>implementation</i> through Effective Consultation, particularly with a view to optimizing Community and Other Stakeholder benefits, respecting local customs, values and institutions and maintaining high conservation values. Project proponents must document consultations and indicate if and how the project design and implementation has been revised based on such input. A plan must be developed and <i>implemented</i> to	The VVB reviewed MR v1.2 for information presented by the Project Proponent for how communities and other stakeholders may have influenced project design and implementation during the monitoring period. The VVB reviewed supporting documentation provided by the Project Proponent for substantiating meetings held with communities. The VVB interviewed representative community members and other stakeholders during the site visit to substantiate their level of engagement during the crediting period.
--	--

continue communication and consultation between the project proponents and Communities, including all the Community Groups, and Other Stakeholders about the project and its impacts to facilitate adaptive management throughout the life of the project.	
Evidence Used to Assess Conformance:	MR v1.2 Sections “1.5.7” and “1.5.8”; Supporting documentation (as provided in folders “01_Project Management” and “04_Communities”); interviews with representative community members and other stakeholders during site visit
Findings:	The VVB noted meetings held with communities, including community groups represented, and meetings held with other stakeholders have been well-documented with supporting documentation by the Project Proponent for the monitoring period. The VVB noted interviews with representative community members in the villages visited during the VVB site visit substantiate the communities’ general agreement that communities, and community groups within those communities, were actively engaged and helped influence project implementation during the monitoring period. The VVB noted the Project Proponent provided documentation for adaptive management has influenced project implementation. Item closed.
Date Closed:	22 November 2022
Indicator G3.5 - Demonstrate that all consultations and participatory processes have been undertaken directly with Communities and Other Stakeholders or through their legitimate representatives, ensuring adequate levels of information sharing with the members of the groups.	The VVB reviewed MR v1.2 for information presented by the Project Proponent for how consultations and participatory processes were reported as undertaken with communities and other stakeholders during the monitoring period. The VVB reviewed supporting documentation provided by the Project Proponent for substantiating meetings held with communities and other stakeholders. The VVB interviewed representative community members and other stakeholders during the site visit to substantiate their level of engagement during the monitoring period.
Evidence Used to Assess Conformance:	MR v1.2 Section “1.5.9”; Supporting documentation (as provided in folders “01_Project Management” and “04_Communities”); interviews with representative

	community members and other stakeholders during site visit
Findings:	The VVB noted meetings held with communities or representatives of the communities, and meetings held with other stakeholders have been well-documented with supporting documentation by the Project Proponent for the monitoring period. The VVB noted interviews with representative community members in the villages visited during the VVB site visit substantiate the communities' general agreement that communities, or the communities' representatives, were actively engaged for consultations and in participatory processes with the Project Proponent during the monitoring period. The VVB determined the information reviewed and interviews provided reasonable assurance that consultations and other participatory processes were held and adequate levels of information sharing was undertaken with communities or their representatives, and with other stakeholders, during the monitoring period. Item closed.
Opportunity for Improvement (OFI):	The VVB noted an OFI, which does not require action by the Project Proponent to close this finding. The VVB noted during the site visit interviews with representative village members in Sre I that a concern was voiced that information provided to "committee members" was not believed to be consistently provided to the rest of the community members, resulting in community members relying on WCS staff to provide information directly to the community members.
Date Closed:	22 November 2022

Indicator G3.6 - Describe the measures needed and <i>taken</i> to enable effective participation, as appropriate, of all Communities, including all the Community Groups, that want and need to be involved in project design, implementation, monitoring and evaluation throughout the project lifetime, and describe how they have been implemented in a culturally appropriate and gender sensitive manner.	The VVB reviewed MR v1.2 for information presented by the Project Proponent for how effective participation was enabled for communities wanting and needing to be involved in project implementation, monitoring, and evaluation. The VVB reviewed supporting documentation provided by the Project Proponent for involvement by communities, including identified community groups. The VVB interviewed representative community members and other stakeholders during the site visit to substantiate their level of engagement during the monitoring period.
---	--

Evidence Used to Assess Conformance:	MR v1.2 Section “1.5.10”; Supporting documentation (as provided in folders “01_Project Management”, “04_Communities”, “05_Biodiversity monitoring”, and “07_Partner orgs”); interviews with representative community members and other stakeholders during site visit
Findings:	The VVB noted meetings and training sessions held with community members have been well-documented with supporting documentation by the Project Proponent for the monitoring period. The VVB noted interviews with representative community members in the villages visited during the VVB site visit substantiate the communities’ general agreement that communities were actively engaged and participated in design, implementation, monitoring, and evaluation of most project activities during the monitoring period. The VVB noted from interviews with community members during the site visit that a concern raised in several villages was that the community patrol monitoring was not as effective as the communities want them to be. The VVB noted the following concerns voiced about effectiveness of the community patrol monitoring: 1) A common concern voiced in several communities was the belief that the community patrols were not receiving effective or timely support from the PDOE rangers, WCS staff, or local police or authorities when illegal activities are encountered and reported. 2) Communication is often difficult while out on patrol. 3) Another concern brought to the attention of the VVB was that community patrol members are concerned with safety during encounters with individuals engaged in illegal activities, and several voiced concern they had not been provided training in self-defense. The VVB determined clarification is required for how these three items are currently handled as part of project activities.

Clarification Request (CL):	Please provide clarification for items 1, 2, and 3 in line with the findings for Indicator G3.6.
Date Issued:	22 November 2022
Project Proponent Response/Actions and Date:	1) The project takes all reports seriously. As noted in the draft Law Enforcement Strategy, the project recognizes the current design of the sub-station network spreads the limited ranger force too thinly to respond adequately to all issues within their vicinity. Rangers teams actively implement their own patrols and patrol plans, and may not be able to immediately respond or react to reports from community members whilst also dealing with illegal activity identified during their own activities. The draft Strategy aims to address some of these increase by reducing the number of substations and increasing the number of rangers in each. This would allow a greater degree of responsiveness. The project also continues to build capacity of current and new rangers through trainings and supports management through SMART to improve effectiveness. The project acknowledges that improvements can still be made with regards to law enforcement effectiveness, however it has certainly improved compared to the baseline scenario. 2) Large parts of the project area have basic phone reception, and the project supports phone credit for community focal points. The project is also currently testing systems independent of phone reception, including satellite-based systems like Garmin InReach, and solutions for local communications like VHF and UHF radios. 3) Community patrol teams supported by the project operate under a set of measures implemented to ensure safety of community patrol members – including minimum patrol size, patrol target selection supported by SMART officers, inclusion of armed government officials within patrol teams, and support for communications through provision of phone credit to focal points. These voluntary and community-funded patrols do carry a degree of inherent risk when

	confronting offenders, especially when patrols are organized and implemented independent of the project. As outlined in the draft Law Enforcement Strategy, and discussed in other Responses, the project will adapt the role of the project-supported patrols to increase the monitoring aspect and decrease the degree of confrontation. The project will expand de-escalation, personal safety, first aid, and risk avoidance training. The project cannot provide martial or combat training to any community members, who do not have the mandate to engage in physical altercations with suspected offenders. The project also plans to support short-range communications with handheld radios. (5 January 2023)
Evidence Used to Close CL:	The VVB acknowledges the clarification provided in response to concerns brought to the attention of the VVB regarding effectiveness of community patrols. The responses provided substantiates the Project Proponent and project staff are aware of these concerns, and clarification has been provided to the VVB regarding additional measures that are being evaluated to facilitate more effective participation by community patrols. Item closed.
Date Closed:	12 January 2023
Indicator G3.7 - Describe the measures needed and <i>taken</i> to ensure that the project proponent and all other entities involved in project design and implementation are not involved in or complicit in any form of discrimination or sexual harassment with respect to the project.	The VVB reviewed MR v1.2 for information presented by the Project Proponent for measures taken to ensure conformance with requirements of Indicator G3.7. The VVB reviewed supporting documentation provided by the Project Proponent. The VVB interviewed representative community members and other stakeholders during the site visit to substantiate assertions made in the MR.
Evidence Used to Assess Conformance:	MR v1.2 Section “1.5.11”; Supporting documentation (as provided in folder “01_Project Management”); interviews with representative community members and other stakeholders during site visit
Findings:	The VVB noted the Project Proponent provided documentation for internal policies in place regarding discrimination and sexual harassment, and provided documentation for national laws governing these issues. The VVB noted from site visit interviews with

	representative community members from the villages visited, including women and indigenous peoples, that none reported any known incidences of discrimination or sexual harassment with respect to the project or project personnel. Item closed.
Date Closed:	22 November 2022

Indicator G3.8 - Demonstrate that a clear grievance redress procedure has been formalized to address disputes with Communities and Other Stakeholders that may arise during project planning, implementation and evaluation with respect but not limited to, Free, Prior and Informed Consent, rights to lands, territories and resources, benefit sharing, and participation. The project shall include a process for receiving, hearing, responding to and attempting to resolve Grievances within a reasonable time period. The Feedback and Grievance Redress Procedure shall take into account traditional methods that Communities and Other Stakeholders use to resolve conflicts. The Feedback and Grievance Redress Procedure shall have three stages with reasonable time limits for each of the following stages. First, the Project Proponent shall attempt to amicably resolve all Grievances, and provide a written response to the Grievances in a manner that is culturally appropriate. Second, any Grievances that are not resolved by amicable negotiations shall be referred to mediation by a neutral third party. Third, any Grievances that are not resolved through mediation shall be referred either to a) arbitration, to the	The VVB reviewed MR v1.2 for information presented by the Project Proponent regarding implementation of the grievance redress procedure and disposition of grievances received during the monitoring period. The VVB reviewed the process outlined in MR v1.2 against the process outlined in PD v7.1. The VVB reviewed supporting documentation provided by the Project Proponent. The VVB interviewed representative community members and other stakeholders during the site visit to substantiate assertions made in the MR.
---	---

extent allowed by the laws of the relevant jurisdiction or b) competent courts in the relevant jurisdiction, without prejudice to a party's ability to submit the Grievance to a competent supranational adjudicatory body, if any. The Feedback and Grievance Redress Procedure must be publicized and accessible to Communities and Other Stakeholders. Grievances and project responses, including any redress, must be documented and made publicly available.	
Evidence Used to Assess Conformance:	MR v1.2 Section "1.5.12" (including subsections); PD v7.1 Section 2.7.9; Supporting documentation (22-10_Grievance database extract_2020-2021.xlsx); interviews with representative community members and other stakeholders during site visit; site visit observations of community grievance boxes
Findings:	The VVB noted community grievance boxes were present in each village visited. The VVB noted from site visit interviews that community members were generally aware of the project's grievance redress process. The VVB noted that community members from Sre I did not demonstrate as clear an understanding of the grievance redress process as community members in other villages visited. The VVB noted MR v1.2 identifies 25 of 26 reported items as being general complaints or concerns about illegal logging or land clearing, illegal selling of bushmeat, and non-project related complaint against an official. The VVB concurred these items are not grievances about the REDD+ project or activities, and noted the Project Proponent referred reports of illegal activities to the PDOE for action. The VVB noted MR v1.2, as supported by documentation provided for VVB review, identified the remaining item as relevant feedback related to concerns about committee structure member composition, specifically that the same people should not be REDD+ committee members as well as ICT committee members. The VVB noted the Project

	Proponent provided documentation demonstrating the grievance redress process outlined by the PD was followed, with explanation of the process provided to the concerned individual. The VVB noted the grievance was resolved following new elections of ICT members, as substantiated by interviews with community members during the VVB site visit. Item closed.
Opportunity for Improvement (OFI):	The VVB noted an OFI, which does not require action by the Project Proponent to close this finding. The VVB noted that the village of Sre I may benefit from additional information to better their understanding for the project's grievance redress process.
Date Closed:	22 November 2022

Indicator G3.9 - Describe measures needed and <i>taken</i> to provide orientation and training for the project's workers and relevant people from the Communities with an objective of building locally useful skills and knowledge to increase local participation in project implementation. These capacity building efforts should target a wide range of people in the Communities, with special attention to women and vulnerable and/or marginalized people. Identify how training is passed on to new workers when there is staff turnover, so that local capacity will not be lost.	The VVB reviewed MR v1.2 for information presented by the Project Proponent regarding measures taken during the monitoring period to build the capacity of participating communities through job training and employment. The VVB reviewed supporting documentation provided by the Project Proponent. The VVB interviewed representative community members and other stakeholders during the site visit to substantiate information provided in the MR and in supporting documentation.
Evidence Used to Assess Conformance:	MR v1.2 Section "1.5.13"; Supporting documentation (as provided in folders "01_Project management"; "02_Legal" > Zoning; "03_Law enforcement" > Activity reports_gov; "04_Communities; "05_Biodiversity monitoring" > Activity reports); interviews with representative community members and other stakeholders during site visit
Findings:	The VVB noted the Project Proponent provided extensive documentation for training undertaken for the project's workers and relevant people from the communities. The VVB noted from site visit interviews with representative community members from the villages visited that this training included a

	range of community groups including women and indigenous peoples. The VVB noted the MR indicates ongoing training, mostly on an annual basis or more frequently ensures local capacity, once built, is not lost. The VVB substantiated through review of supporting documents and interviews that regular, on-going training was provided during this monitoring period. Item closed.
Date Closed:	22 November 2022

Indicator G3.10 - <i>Demonstrate</i> that people from the Communities are given an equal opportunity to fill all work positions (including management) if the job requirements are met. Explain how workers are selected for positions and where relevant, describe the measures needed and <i>taken</i> to ensure Community members, including women and vulnerable and/or marginalized people, are given a fair chance to fill positions for which they can be trained.	The VVB reviewed MR v1.2 for information presented by the Project Proponent regarding how people from the communities were provided an equal employment opportunity, and measures needed and designed to ensure women and vulnerable or marginalized people are given a fair chance to fill positions for which they can be trained. The VVB searched supporting documentation provided by the Project Proponent for information on hiring. The VVB interviewed representative community members and other stakeholders during the site.
Evidence Used to Assess Conformance:	MR v1.2 Section “1.5.14”; PD v7.1 Section 2.6.3; interviews with representative community members and other stakeholders during site visit; support documents regarding capacity-building training (included in part of evidence reviewed for Indicator G3.9)
Findings:	Instructions for Section 2.3.14 of the MR Template are: “Demonstrate that people from the communities have been given an equal opportunity to fill all work positions (including management) if the job requirements are met. Where relevant, describe the activities and/or processes implemented to ensure community members, including women and vulnerable and/or marginalized people, are given a fair chance to fill positions for which they can be trained.” The VVB noted the following: 1) The VVB noted the MR identifies the strategy and intent by the Project Proponent to ensure people from the communities were provided an equal employment opportunity. The VVB noted the MR also identified

	how these individuals are provided with capacity-building training to enable them to have opportunities for advancement into more senior positions. Supporting documentation and interviews referenced for VVB findings for Indicator G3.9 substantiated the capacity-building training being provided. 2) The VVB noted MR v1.2 Section “1.5.14” states only 68 out of 596 full-time equivalent positions provided by the project were held by women. The VVB noted MR v1.2 Section “1.5.14” and PD v7.1 Section 2.6.3 state that “Special effort is made to encourage applications from typically under-represented groups—notably women and ethnic minority applicants. This is achieved particularly with announcements through local networks and word of mouth as appropriate.” The VVB determined clarification is required in the MR, along with supporting documentation, to demonstrate how women are provided a fair chance to fill positions for which they can be trained.
Clarification Request (CL):	Please provide clarification for item 2 in line with the findings for Indicator G3.10.
Date Issued:	22 November 2022
Project Proponent Response/Actions and Date:	Additional text added: <i>During this monitoring period (2020–2021), the project provided 596 full-time equivalent positions, 68 of whom were to women. These full-time equivalent positions were within government, NGOs, and communities implementing project activities. The project is familiar with many of the female community members who may be suitable for project staff roles, approaching and encouraging suitable candidates to apply. Female staff in several key project positions including the lead Community Protected Area staff member and the Communities and REDD+ Technical Advisor are encouraged to identify and request applications from potential candidates, whilst demonstrating and inclusive and positive work environment for women within the project.. During interview processes, the recruitment panel is sensitive to gender issues and considers ways the role could be adapted to potential female candidates.</i>

	<i>Natural resource management is traditionally a male dominated field for a number of reasons, with concerns around risky, physically intensive work with long periods away from home. A number of the positions within the project are seasonal with multi-day trips to the forest, including the support staff for the biodiversity monitoring program. These roles are often not attractive to women, who may have activities in their village and home which requires their presence. Traditional and cultural gender roles in this area and in Cambodia in general place expectations on women to be the main child carers.</i> <i>Despite this context the project succeeds in encouraging female employment and has doubled the number of female FTE roles since the last reporting period, with the proportion being 11.4% for this period. Comparing this to the national ranger force (less than 4% women) and to other Cambodian REDD+ projects, the efforts taken by the project to support female employment can be seen to be effective. Development of a gender equality strategy is planned for the next reporting period, which will expand and build on this further.</i> <i>The project uses a combination of formalized policies protecting and normalizing women's roles in the workplace (such as the WCS Harassment Sexual Harassment Policy) and programs to encourage female participation (such as MOE promoting female rangers https://phnompenhpost.com/national-post-depth/woman-ranger-risks-her-life-wildlife). The project also builds in processes to encourage female community representation (such as including indicators related to female representation in community leadership positions for the Cash For Communities program). (5 January 2023)</i>
Evidence Used to Close CL:	The VVB confirmed the clarification provided in this response was included in MR (v1.4) Section 2.3.14. The VVB determined the clarification provided, along with supporting documentation reviewed and results of site visit interviews, substantiates women are provided a fair chance to fill positions for which they can be trained, and further that special efforts have

	been made to increase the percentage of female representation within the project workforce. Item closed.
Date Closed:	12 January 2023

Indicator G3.11 - Submit a list of all relevant laws and regulations covering worker's rights in the host country. Describe measures needed and <i>taken</i> to inform workers about their rights. Provide assurance that the project meets or exceeds all applicable laws and/or regulations covering worker rights and, where relevant, demonstrate how compliance is achieved.	The VVB reviewed MR v1.2 for information presented by the Project Proponent regarding measures taken during the monitoring period to build the capacity of participating communities through job training and employment. The VVB reviewed supporting documentation provided by the Project Proponent. The VVB interviewed representative project staff to substantiate information provided in the MR and in supporting documentation.
Evidence Used to Assess Conformance:	MR v1.2 Section "1.5.15"; PD v7.1 Sections 3.1.2 and 3.1.3; Supporting documentation (as provided in folders "01_Project management" > Policies etc; "02_Legal"); interviews with representative project staff members
Findings:	The VVB noted the MR lists the relevant national labor law governing worker rights, which was noted as consistent with information provided in the PD. The VVB noted the MR, as substantiated by interviews with representative project staff, adheres to WCS's internal policies and regulations for employee rights, and employees are made aware of these rights. The VVB noted the MR provides the assurance that these policies meet or exceed the requirements of the laws and regulations covering workers' rights and conditions. Item closed.
Date Closed:	22 November 2022

Indicator G3.12 - Comprehensively assess situations and occupations that might arise through the implementation of the project and pose a substantial risk to worker safety. Describe measures needed and <i>taken</i> to inform workers of risks and to explain how to minimize such risks. Where worker safety cannot be guaranteed, project proponents must show how the risks are minimized using best work practices in line with	The VVB reviewed MR v1.2 for information presented by the Project Proponent regarding substantial risks to worker safety that have arisen due to project implementation, how workers were informed of such risks, and how these risks have been minimized. The VVB reviewed supporting documentation provided by the Project Proponent. The VVB interviewed representative project staff to substantiate information provided in the MR and in supporting documentation.
---	--

the culture and customary practices of the communities.	
Evidence Used to Assess Conformance:	MR v1.2 Section “1.5.16”; Supporting documentation (as provided in folder “01_Project management” > Policies etc); interviews with representative community members and other stakeholders during site visit
Findings:	Instructions for Section 2.3.16 of the MR Template are: “Provide an assessment of substantial risks to worker safety that have arisen due to project implementation. Describe activities and/or processes implemented to inform workers of risks and how to minimize such risks. Show how risks have been minimized.” The VVB noted the following: 1) The VVB noted MR v1.2 Section “1.5.16” states “A risk assessment has been conducted for the project, and will be formally updated at appropriate intervals.” The VVB noted this statement is not clear in whether an assessment was undertaken during this monitoring period of substantial risks to worker safety that have arisen due to project implementation. The VVB noted in a separate finding for Indicator G3.6 that concerns were expressed by community members over safety for community patrol members during encounters with individuals engaged in illegal activities. The VVB determined clarification is required for this item. 2) The VVB noted MR v1.2 identified the SOP on law enforcement patrols, including code of conduct and safety, was updated and available in English and Khmer. The VVB noted an English version was not supplied for VVB review, but a supporting document in Khmer entitled (as translated) “Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs) for Law Enforcement in Prey Lang and Surrounding Areas” was provided, but appears to specifically address Prey Lang rather than Keo Seima. The VVB determined clarification is required, along with supporting documentation for this SOP.
Clarification Request (CL):	Please provide clarification for items 1 and 2 in line with the findings for Indicator G3.12.
Date Issued:	22 November 2022
Project Proponent Response/Actions and Date:	1) An independent risk assessment and site health review was initiated in October 2021, with site visits in December 2021, and the review published in 2022. See WCS Site Health

	Review.final report.pdf. The review is being conducted by International SOS, and assesses the capabilities of the local healthcare system in Mondulkiri, as well as potential health risks likely to be faced by WCS staff working in the Keo Seima Wildlife Sanctuary. The report also makes recommendations for reducing and mitigating health risks which will be integrated into project activities. Text outlining this has been added to section 2.3.16. For community patrols, in addition to the review of risks and health care facilities, changes in strategy are being developed to ensure community patrol team safety, as outlined in 220902_KSWs LAW ENFORCEMENT STRATEGY_v 1.0_DRAFT.docx and discussed further in Finding 3 of G3.6. 2) See <i>KSWs SOP Kratie site_Eng_2020_Final.pdf</i> and <i>KSWs SOP MDK site_Eng_2020_Final.pdf</i> for correct English language law enforcement SOPs. Please discard the Khmer document related to Prey Lang, which was provided in error. (5 January 2023)
Evidence Used to Close CL:	The VVB confirmed the clarification provided in this response for item 1 was included in MR (v1.4) Section 2.3.16. The VVB review of the provided supporting documentation substantiated the updated site health review was conducted. The VVB confirmed work-related safety risks related to patrols and encounters with individuals engaged in illegal activities are addressed in the SOPs on law enforcement patrols. Review of previously provided support documents and interviews with park rangers substantiates training provided and that measures were taken to inform rangers of risks and how to minimize risks. Item closed.
Date Closed:	12 January 2023
Indicator G4.1 - Describe the project's governance structures, and roles and responsibilities of all the	The VVB reviewed PD v7.1 for information presented for Indicator G4.1, and reviewed MR v1.2 sections on Minor Changes to Project Description (Rules 3.5.6)

entities involved in project design and implementation. For projects using a programmatic approach, identify any new entities included in the project since the last validation or verification against the CCB Standards.	and Project Description Deviations (Rules 3.5.7-3.5.10).
Evidence Used to Assess Conformance:	PD v7.1 Sections 1.3 and 1.4; MR v1.2 Sections “1.4.3” and “1.4.4”
Findings:	This indicator was originally addressed through successful validation of the PD and does not need to be reevaluated at verification unless this is a grouped project or there are minor changes to the PD or PD deviations are applied during this monitoring period. This is not a grouped project, and the VVB determined from review of MR v1.2 that no minor changes to the PD or PD deviations related to Indicator G1.3 were applied for this monitoring period. Item closed.
Date Closed:	22 November 2022

Indicator G4.2 - Document key technical skills required to implement the project successfully, including community engagement, biodiversity assessment and carbon measurement and monitoring skills. Document the management team’s expertise and prior experience implementing land management and carbon projects at the scale of this project. If relevant experience is lacking, the proponents must either demonstrate how other organizations are partnered with to support the project or have a recruitment strategy to fill the gaps.	The VVB reviewed MR v1.2 for information presented by the Project Proponent regarding maintenance of key technical skills required to successfully implement the project, as well as the expertise and experience of the management team and partnering/teaming organizations. The VVB compared the information presented in the MR to the information provided in the validated PD. The VVB selected and interviewed representative project management staff from the MoE, WCS, and partnering organizations.
Evidence Used to Assess Conformance:	MR v1.2 Sections “1.6.1”, “1.6.2”, and “1.6.3”; PD v7.1 Sections 1.3 and 1.4; interviews with representative project management staff from MoE, WCS, and partnering organizations
Findings:	The VVB reviewed the MR and supporting documentation to evaluate conformance with requirements for Indicator G4.2. The VVB noted the following: 1) The VVB noted the MR presents information on the organizations involved in the project implementation,

	including information on the maintenance of key technical skills through the continued participation of those organizations, as well as the expertise and experience at the organizational level for these participating organizations, including MoE, WCS, and partnering NGOs. 2) The VVB noted that although the MR does not identify specific individuals involved in the project management during the monitoring period, the primary participating organizations are identified as the MoE and WCS. The VVB reviewed the information provided and interviewed representative project management staff within the MoE, WCS, and partnering organizations associated with the project. The VVB concluded the MoE and WCS are organizations with sufficient capacity and organizational structure to ensure staff with the requisite expertise and experience for successfully implementing the project are maintained within the respective organizations. 3) The VVB noted through interviews with participating NGO partners that staff turnover was identified as a challenge for the implementation of REDD+ project activities as the turnover required time and budget to train new staff. The interviews also identified the challenge in strengthening the capacity of new staff and their understanding for working on ICT lands before continuing to implement their work. The VVB provides this information as an observation, but did not determine this observation represented a substantive concern for continued maintenance of key technical and management skills required for successful project implementation. The VVB concluded the project proponent has continued to ensure that the project utilizes appropriate project management staff for project implementation and has maintained continuity with partnering supporting organizations. Item closed.
Date Closed:	22 November 2022
Indicator G4.3 - Document the financial health of the implementing	The VVB reviewed MR v1.2 for information presented by the Project Proponent regarding the

organization(s). Provide assurance that the Project Proponent and any of the other entities involved in project design and implementation are not involved in or are not complicit in any form of corruption such as bribery, embezzlement, fraud, favoritism, cronyism, nepotism, extortion, and collusion, and describe any measures needed <i>and taken</i> to be able to provide this assurance.	financial health of the implementing organizations, as well as assurances provided through activities or processes for avoidance of corruption or other unethical behavior. The VVB reviewed supporting documentation provided by the Project Proponent. The VVB interviewed representative project staff and community members to substantiate information provided in the MR and in supporting documentation.
Evidence Used to Assess Conformance:	MR v1.2 Sections “1.6.4” and “1.6.5”; Independent Auditors’ Reports for years ending June 30, 2019 and June 30, 2021 for WCS (links provided in MR v1.2 footnote 18); other supporting documentation (as provided in folder “01_Project management” > Policies etc); interviews with representative project staff and community members
Findings:	The VVB reviewed the MR and supporting documentation to evaluate conformance with requirements for Indicator G4.3. The VVB noted the following: 1) The VVB noted the MR describes the financial health and stability of the Project Proponent, MoE, based on annual allocations from the national budget. The VVB noted the MR describes the financial health of WCS, and provided links to annual audits that substantiate the financial health of WCS for supporting project implementation. 2) The VVB noted the MR describes the activities and processes in place for avoidance of corruption or other unethical behavior and provides support documents to substantiate these processes. 3) Instructions for the MR Template Section 4.3.10 (corresponding to MR v1.2 Section “1.6.5”) includes the requirement: “Provide assurance that the project is not complicit in any form of corruption.” The VVB noted this assurance statement is not provided in the MR. The VVB determined this is a nonconformance to be corrected.
Non-conformance Request (NCR):	Please address the nonconformance as requested for item 3 in line with the findings for Indicator G4.3.

Date Issued:	22 November 2022
Project Proponent Response/Actions and Date:	3) We note that the requirement to “provide assurances that the project is not complicit in any form of corruption” is a requirement for the VVB in its verification report (section 4.3.10 of the CCB and VCS Verification Report). We note that as per point (2) above, we have adequately provided the required information as per the MR Template for section 2.4.5. Nevertheless, additional assurances have been provided to this section. (5 January 2023)
Evidence Used to Close NCR:	The VVB acknowledges the misstatement in item 3 of the finding for this indicator. The VVB withdraws the NCR Finding. The VVB also acknowledges the additional statement added to Section 2.4.5 of the MR. The VVB conducted additional review to reach a reasonable level of assurance that the project is not complicit in any form of corruption. An internet search identified a USAID Report and several articles and opinion pieces from the monitoring period stating illegal timber harvesting has occurred within Keo Seima Wildlife Sanctuary and alleging the illegal activities may have been taken through the complicity and alleged corruption of government officials. A review of these internet items noted these items did not name the Project Proponent or state any other entities involved in the project were involved in or complicit in any form of corruption such as bribery, embezzlement, fraud, favoritism, cronyism, nepotism, extortion, and collusion in association with any project activities. The VVB further determined that these internet items and allegations did not distinguish between tree clearing activities that may be occurring within the leakage zone and activities within the project area. The VVB noted supporting documents provided by the project included eight other USAID Reports that were issued during the monitoring period for the USAID Keo Seima Conservation Project make no allegations of complicity or corruption of government officials, but instead include references for support from national and local government authorities in

	addressing criminal activity, including addressing illegal land-grabbing, and the arrest and detention of military and police personnel accused of illegal logging in the Keo Seima Wildlife Sanctuary, and cancellation of land titles that were determined to have been illegally awarded (support documents including annual reports 2020_USAID-KSCP Annual Report FY 2020 Approved.pdf, and 2021_USAID_KCSP_Annual Report_Y3.pdf). The VVB determined with reasonable assurance based on review of supporting documentation that was provided, information reviewed during an internet search, and results of site interviews, that the Project Proponent or other entities involved in the project are not involved in or complicit in any form of corruption in association with project activities. Item closed.
Date Closed:	12 January 2023 [NCR withdrawn]

Indicator G5.1 - Describe and map statutory and customary tenure/use/access/management rights to lands, territories and resources in the Project Zone including individual and collective rights and including overlapping or conflicting rights. If applicable, describe measures needed and <i>taken</i> by the project to help to secure statutory rights. <i>Demonstrate</i> that all Property Rights are recognized, respected, and supported.	The VVB reviewed MR v1.2 for information presented by the Project Proponent regarding recognition, respect, and support for existing property rights. The VVB compared the information presented in the MR to the information provided in the validated PD. The VVB selected and interviewed representative project management staff and representative community members to substantiate information provided in the MR.
Evidence Used to Assess Conformance:	MR v1.2 Section “1.7.1”; MR v1.2 Sections “1.4.3” and “1.4.4”; PD v7.1 Section 3.2 (including subsections); interviews with project management staff and representative community members
Findings:	The VVB noted statutory and customary tenure/use/access/management rights to lands and resource in the project zone were previously assessed and confirmed as part of validation of the PD. The VVB determined from review of MR v1.2 that no minor changes to the PD or PD deviations related to Indicator G5.1 were applied for this monitoring period. Review of provided geospatial files substantiate that the project boundaries have not

	changed. The VVB noted MR v1.2 Section “1.7.1” describes how property rights have been recognized, respected, and supported. The VVB determined through interviews that communities in general believe property rights have been recognized, respected, and supported. The VVB notes that a separate finding regarding possible boundary questions issued for Indicator G5.3 will not be repeated or further considered for Indicator G5.1. Item closed.
Date Closed:	22 November 2022

Indicator G5.2 - Demonstrate with documented consultations and agreements that a. the project will not encroach uninvited on private property, community property, or government property, b. the Free, Prior, and Informed Consent⁵ <i>has been obtained</i> of those whose property rights are affected by the project through a transparent, agreed process. Free, Prior and Informed Consent is defined as: - ‘Free’ means no coercion, intimidation, manipulation, threat and bribery; - ‘Prior’ means sufficiently in advance of any authorization or commencement of activities and respecting the time requirements of their decision-making processes; - ‘Informed’ means that information is provided that covers (at least) the following aspects a. the nature, size, pace, reversibility and scope of any proposed project or activity; b. the reason/s or purpose of the project and/or activity; c. the duration of the above; d. the locality of areas that will be affected; e. a preliminary assessment of the likely economic, social, cultural and	The VVB reviewed MR v1.2 for information presented by the Project Proponent regarding conformance with requirements for Indicator G5.2. The VVB compared the information presented in the MR to the information provided in the validated PD. The VVB selected and interviewed representative project management staff and representative community members to substantiate information provided in the MR.
--	--

environmental impact, including potential risks and fair and equitable benefit sharing in a context that respects the precautionary principle; f. personnel likely to be involved in the execution of the proposed project (including Indigenous Peoples, private sector staff, research institutions, government employees, and others); and g. procedures that the project may entail; and - ‘Consent’ means that there is the option of withholding consent and that the parties have reasonably understood it. - Collective rights holders must be able to participate through their own freely chosen representatives and customary or other institutions following a transparent process for obtaining their Free, Prior and Informed Consent that they have defined. c. appropriate restitution or compensation has been allocated to any parties whose lands have been or will be affected by the project.	
Evidence Used to Assess Conformance:	MR v1.2 Section “1.7.2”; MR v1.2 Sections “1.4.3” and “1.4.4”; PD v7.1 Section 3.7.1; interviews with project management staff and representative community members
Findings:	The VVB noted government ownership of the lands comprising the project area was confirmed as part of validation of the PD. The VVB noted the validated PD also documented FPIC was appropriately achieved with each of the 20 villages with customary rights to use the State Public Lands comprising the project area. The VVB determined from review of MR v1.2 that no minor changes to the PD or PD deviations related to Indicator G5.2. The VVB noted interviews with project management staff and representative community members substantiated the information provided in the MR regarding FPIC. The VVB notes that a separate finding regarding possible boundary questions issued for Indicator G5.3 will not be repeated or further considered for Indicator G5.2. Item closed.

Date Closed:	22 November 2022
Indicator G5.3 - <i>Demonstrate</i> that project activities do not lead to involuntary removal or relocation of Property Rights Holders from their lands or territories, and does not force them to relocate activities important to their culture or livelihood. If any relocation of habitation or activities is undertaken within the terms of an agreement, the project proponents must <i>demonstrate</i> that the agreement was made with the Free, Prior, and Informed Consent of those concerned and includes provisions for just and fair compensation.	The VVB reviewed MR v1.2 for information presented by the Project Proponent regarding conformance with requirements for Indicator G5.3. The VVB compared the information presented in the MR to the information provided in the validated PD. The VVB selected and interviewed representative project management staff and representative community members to substantiate information provided in the MR.
Evidence Used to Assess Conformance:	MR v1.2 Section “1.7.3”; PD v7.1 Section 3.7.2; interviews with project management staff and representative community members
Findings:	Instructions for Section 2.5.3 of the MR Template are: “Demonstrate that project activities have not led to involuntary removal or relocation of property rights holders from their lands or territories, and has not forced rights holders to relocate activities important to their culture or livelihood.” The VVB noted the following: 1) The VVB noted, as summarized in findings for Indicator G5.2, that the project area is located on government lands and noted the MR states the project has not resulted in involuntary relocation of legitimate and customary occupants from these lands. Interviews with project management staff and representative community members substantiates that establishment of the project did not result in involuntary relocation of legitimate landowners from these lands. 2) The VVB noted through interviews conducted during the site visit to selected villages that community members in two of the villages reported conflicts with MoE rangers over the boundaries of their ICT or community areas, resulting in destruction of crops by the rangers. The VVB noted these concerns were raised by either Pu Ngaol or Pu Keh

	community members (unclear during interview which village affected), and Sre I. The VVB determined clarification is required, with supporting documentation, for whether these incidents represented a forced relocation of activities important to the livelihoods of the affected communities.
Clarification Request (CL):	Please provide clarification for item 2 in line with the findings for Indicator G5.3.
Date Issued:	22 November 2022
Project Proponent Response/Actions and Date:	2) See supplementary information provided in the document "Supporting Document on Land Dispute Findings". (5 January 2023)
Evidence Used to Close CL:	The VVB reviewed supporting documentation provided for six land disputes reported for Pu Keh village and four land disputes reported for Sre I village during the monitoring period. The VVB noted that of the incidents documented by the project, only one of the ten disputes related to areas within the REDD+ project area. In this case, the project documented the community member was stopped from clearing forest in the REDD+ project area to build a house. The VVB noted the assessment by the project determined eight of the ten disputes involving areas outside the 2017 customary use area for the villages, resulting in the determination that rangers wrongly informed communities to stop clearing forest in two of the ten disputes. The VVB noted documentation provided indicates both of these disputes the community is still using the land that was initially disputed. The VVB noted documentation provided by the project identified only one instance of "crop destruction" by rangers, consisting of destruction of cashew trees planted in an area outside the 2017 customary use area, but outside the REDD+ project area. The VVB noted the supporting documentation provided by the project identified measures that were taken after the monitoring period to resolve disputes within these areas. The VVB noted the project documentation provided states:

	“ In order to resolve these complaints, the project has supported zonation including during the monitoring period. All ten of the disputed areas discussed here now fall within recently approved (30th November 2022) and expanded Community and Sustainable Use Zones, opening up a legal pathway for community members to legally obtain access to this land and resolve the ongoing disputes, ensuring future security of rights. Rangers will have these zonation maps loaded onto the SMART devices, so the boundaries of these areas are clear and knowable, as will community patrol teams. Outreach will be conducted with local communities to ensure boundaries are clear, and physical boundary demarcation will take place.” Based on the supporting documentation and assessment provided, the VVB is reasonably assured project activities do not lead to involuntary removal or relocation of Property Rights Holders from their lands or territories, and does not force them to relocate activities important to their culture or livelihood. Based on mitigative actions identified as taken by the project following the end of the monitoring period and after the VVB site visit, the VVB intends to issue a Forward Action Request (FAR) for the VVB as part of the next verification to check on the status of these measures. Item closed.
Date Closed:	12 January 2023
Forward Action Request (FAR):	For the next verification covering the monitoring period following the period 01 January 2020 – 31 December 2021, the VVB is requested to check the status of the measures identified as undertaken by the project in November 2022 regarding land dispute concerns voiced by the villages of Pu Keh and Sre I.

Indicator G5.4 - Identify any illegal activities that could affect the project’s climate, community or biodiversity impacts (e.g. illegal logging) taking place in the Project Zone and describe measures needed and <i>taken</i> to reduce these activities so that project benefits are not derived from illegal activities.	The VVB reviewed MR v1.2 for information presented by the Project Proponent regarding conformance with requirements for Indicator G5.4. The VVB compared the information presented in the MR to the information provided in the validated PD. The VVB reviewed supporting documentation provided by the Project Proponent. The VVB selected and interviewed representative project management
--	--

	staff and representative community members to substantiate information provided in the MR.
Evidence Used to Assess Conformance:	MR v1.2 Sections “1.7.4” and “1.3.1”; PD v7.1 Section 3.8; Supporting documentation (as provided in folders “03_Law Enforcement”, “04_Communities”, and “05_Biodiversity monitoring” > SMART reports); interviews with representative community members and other stakeholders during site visit
Findings:	Instructions for Section 2.5.4 of the MR Template are: “Identify any illegal activities that could affect the project’s impacts. Describe activities and/or processes implemented to reduce these activities so that project benefits are not derived from illegal activities.” The VVB noted the following: 1) The VVB noted MR v1.2 Section “1.7.4” (corresponding to MR Template Section 2.5.4) does not identify illegal activities that could affect the project’s impacts. The VVB noted illegal activities that could affect the project’s impacts are identified in other sections of the MR. The VVB determined clarification is required within the MR section addressing Indicator G5.4. 2) The VVB noted MR v1.2 Section “1.7.4” (corresponding to MR Template Section 2.5.4) identifies activities and processes put in place to reduce illegal activities that could affect the project’s impacts. Review of supporting documentation and interviews with project staff, partnering organizations, and representative community members substantiated the regular patrols and reporting undertaken, and efforts for establishing legal land tenure and land management systems. 3) The VVB noted MR v1.2 Section “1.7.4” (corresponding to MR Template Section 2.5.4) states: “Safeguards will be put in place to ensure that project funds are not used to promote illegal activities (e.g., by screening of grants for community projects).” The VVB noted this same statement is made in PD v7.1 Section 3.8. The VVB determined clarification is required, along with supporting documentation, for whether these safeguards have been put in place, and what these safeguards are.

Clarification Request (CL):	Please provide clarification for items 1 and 3 in line with the findings for Indicator G5.4.
Date Issued:	22 November 2022
Project Proponent Response/Actions and Date:	1. Additional language identifying illegal activities that could affect the project's impacts are now listed in Section 2.5.4. 3. Wording clarified, and additional text added: Safeguards have been put in place to ensure that project funds are not used to promote illegal activities. For activities directly implemented by the project proponent or lead implementing partner, internal safeguards include financial control systems and audits, with budgetary oversight from regional and global offices in the case of the implementing partner. Project planning processes include a review of proposed activities to identify any that may directly or indirectly promote illegal activities. For funding provided to other stakeholders, review of proposed activities also takes place. Sub-grant proposals by project partners are reviewed separately by a team of around five, with any concerns around legality raised during review. During implementation, partners <i>sign agreement and submit to financial review and monitoring by project staff. Proposed activities under the Cash For Communities program are also reviewed by project teams, and financial guidelines and accounting processes ensure funding is used for only the approved activities.</i> See 21-10_KSWS_Consolidated subgrant proposal reviews_AM.xlsx for example of the review document. Any legal non-compliance would be raised in Suitability of Approach or in general comments. (5 January 2023)
Evidence Used to Close CL:	The VVB confirmed the clarification provided in this response was included in MR (v1.4) Section 2.5.4. The VVB noted the supporting documentation provided for VVB review documents the thorough and systematic review process that was conducted to screen grants for community projects. The VVB determined the clarification provided, along with

	supporting documentation reviewed and results of site visit interviews, substantiates that safeguards were in place and used during the monitoring period to ensure that project funds are not used to promote illegal activities. Item closed.
Date Closed:	12 January 2023

Indicator G5.5 -Identify any ongoing or unresolved conflicts or disputes over rights to lands, territories and resources and also any disputes that were resolved during the last twenty years where such records exist, or at least during the last ten years. If applicable, describe measures needed and <i>taken</i> to resolve conflicts or disputes. <i>Demonstrate</i> that no activity is undertaken by the project that could prejudice the outcome of an unresolved dispute relevant to the project over lands, territories and resources in the Project Zone.	The VVB reviewed MR v1.2 for information presented by the Project Proponent regarding conformance with requirements for Indicator G5.5. The VVB reviewed the validated PD for information related to Indicator G5.5. The VVB reviewed supporting documentation provided by the Project Proponent. The VVB selected and interviewed representative project management staff and representative community members to substantiate information provided in the MR.
Evidence Used to Assess Conformance:	MR v1.2 Section “1.7.5”; PD v7.1 Section 3.8; Supporting documentation (as provided in folder “04_Communities”; interviews with representative community members and other stakeholders during site visit
Findings:	CCB Standards v3.1, Indicator G5.5 includes requirements to: “Identify any ongoing or unresolved conflicts or disputes over rights to lands, territories and resources and also any disputes that were resolved during the last twenty years where such records exist, or at least during the last ten years. If applicable, describe measures needed and taken to resolve conflicts or disputes.” Instructions for Section 2.5.4 [sic, 2.5.5] of the MR Template are: “Demonstrate that no activity has been undertaken by the project that could prejudice the outcome of an unresolved dispute relevant to the project. If applicable, describe activities and/or processes implemented to resolve conflicts or disputes.” The VVB noted the following: 1) The VVB noted MR v1.2 Section “1.7.5” (corresponding to MR Template Section 2.5.5) does

	not identify any unresolved disputes relevant to the project. The VVB noted the PD does not provide information for any ongoing or unresolved disputes. The VVB noted, as summarized in the findings for Indicator G5.3, that community members in two villages (Pu Ngaol or Pu Keh, and Sre I) identified disputes over crop planting areas and project boundaries. The VVB noted these community members stated that the Ranger teams destroyed these crops in the disputed areas and threatened the villagers. The VVB determined that clarification is required for these incidents, specifically whether the disputes have been resolved, and clarification for how the actions taken by the Ranger teams would not constitute an activity undertaken by the project that could prejudice the outcome of an unresolved dispute relevant to the project. 2) The VVB noted only one dispute, a land claim by O Rona ICT, is identified in MR v1.2 Section “1.7.5”, with the dispute noted as settled through actions resulting in a hearing by the Cambodia Supreme Court. The VVB noted information on this dispute is not provided in supporting documentation for VVB review. The VVB determined clarification, along with supporting documentation, is required for this dispute and dispute resolution. 3) The VVB noted MR v1.2 Section “1.7.5” (corresponding to MR Template Section 2.5.5) provides the processes by which disputes over land and land tenure are generally and typically resolved. The VVB noted the MR is not clear whether there are or have been specific conflicts or disputes over land rights or resources that were ongoing during the monitoring period or resolved within at least the last 10 years. The VVB determined clarification is required for this item, along with supporting documentation.
Clarification Request (CL):	Please provide clarification for items 1, 2, and 3 in line with the findings for Indicator G5.5.
Date Issued:	22 November 2022
Project Proponent Response/Actions and Date:	1) See supplementary information provided in the document “Supporting Document on Land Dispute Findings”.

	2) See folder O Rona for documentation of this case. 3) Additional language added to section 2.5.5 to clarify presence of these minor disputes in the project zone, in addition to the resolved O Rona case previously included. (5 January 2023)
Evidence Used to Close CL:	The VVB confirmed the clarification provided in this response was included in MR (v1.4) Section 2.5.5. The VVB noted supporting documentation for item 2 of this findings was provided for VVB review. The VVB noted the supporting documentation and review that allowed closure of findings for Indicator 5.3 also address the findings for item 1 for Indicator 5.5. The VVB determined the clarification provided in MR Section 2.5.5 in response to item 3 of this finding describes the activities and/or processes implemented by the project to resolve conflicts or disputes. Supporting documentation provided for Indicator 5.3 substantiates the process described was followed for specific disputes that arose during the monitoring period. Item closed.
Date Closed:	12 January 2023

Indicator G5.6 - Submit a list of all national and local laws and regulations in the host country that are relevant to the project activities. Provide assurance that the project is complying with these and, where relevant, demonstrate how compliance is achieved.	The VVB reviewed MR v1.2 for information presented by the Project Proponent regarding conformance with requirements for Indicator G5.6. The VVB reviewed the validated PD for information related to Indicator G5.6. The VVB reviewed supporting documentation provided by the Project Proponent. The VVB selected and interviewed representative project management staff and representative community members to substantiate information provided in the MR.
Evidence Used to Assess Conformance:	MR v1.2 Section “1.7.6”; PD v7.1 Section 3.1 (including subsections); Supporting documentation (as provided in folder “02_Legal”; interviews with representative community members and other stakeholders during site visit
Findings:	The VVB noted the MR identifies national and local laws and regulations in Cambodia that went into effect or changed during the monitoring period, specifically regarding COVID-19 health measures, mining concessions, and granting of state property. The VVB noted the COVID-19 measures were identified as

	relevant to project activities and site interviews substantiated compliance with these regulations. The VVB noted the MR provides the assurance statement that the KSWs REDD+ project acted in compliance with the COVID-19 laws and regulations. Item closed.
Date Closed:	22 November 2022

Indicator G5.7 - Document that the project has approval from the appropriate authorities, including the established formal and/or traditional authorities customarily required by the Communities.	The VVB reviewed PD v7.1 for information presented for Indicator G5.7, and reviewed MR v1.2 sections on Minor Changes to Project Description (Rules 3.5.6) and Project Description Deviations (Rules 3.5.7-3.5.10).
Evidence Used to Assess Conformance:	PD v7.1 Sections 3.2 and 3.7 (including subsections); MR v1.2 Sections “1.4.3” and “1.4.4”
Findings:	This indicator was originally addressed through successful validation of the PD and does not need to be reevaluated at verification unless minor changes to the PD or PD deviations are applied during this monitoring period. The VVB determined from review of MR v1.2 that no minor changes to the PD or PD deviations related to Indicator G5.7 were applied for this monitoring period. Item closed.
Date Closed:	22 November 2022

Indicator G5.8 - Demonstrate that the Project Proponent(s) has the unconditional, undisputed and unencumbered ability to claim that the project will or did generate or cause the project’s climate, community and biodiversity benefits.	The VVB reviewed PD v7.1 for information presented for Indicator G5.8, and reviewed MR v1.2 sections on Minor Changes to Project Description (Rules 3.5.6) and Project Description Deviations (Rules 3.5.7-3.5.10).
Evidence Used to Assess Conformance:	PD v7.1 Sections 3.2 and 3.7 (including subsections); MR v1.2 Sections “1.4.3” and “1.4.4”
Findings:	This indicator was originally addressed through successful validation of the PD and does not need to be reevaluated at verification unless minor changes to the PD or PD deviations are applied during this monitoring period. The VVB determined from review of MR v1.2 that no minor changes to the PD or PD deviations related to Indicator G5.8 were applied for this monitoring period. Item closed.
Date Closed:	22 November 2022

Indicator G5.9 - Identify the tradable climate, community and biodiversity benefits of the project and specify how double counting is avoided, particularly for offsets sold on the voluntary market and generated in a country participating in a compliance mechanism.	The VVB reviewed MR v1.2 for information presented by the Project Proponent regarding Other Programs. The VVB reviewed PD v7.1 for information presented for Indicator G5.9.
Evidence Used to Assess Conformance:	MR v1.2 Section “1.3.9”; PD v7.1 Sections 3.3, 3.4, and 3.5
Findings:	The VVB noted MR v1.2 Section “1.3.9” (corresponding to MR Template Section 2.1.9) provides the statement: “There are no other crediting programs being conducted in the area.” The VVB determined through the evaluation undertaken for the concurrent VCS verification that there were no other crediting programs identified as being undertaken for the project area. Item closed.
Date Closed:	22 November 2022

Waiver for Climate Section - From CCB Standards v3.1 (2017): This section [Climate Section] is used to demonstrate a project’s net positive climate benefits and not for claiming greenhouse gas (GHG)⁷¹ emissions reductions and removals units that may be used as offsets. This section is not required⁷² for projects that have met the requirements of a recognized GHG Program.⁷³ ⁷¹ Greenhouse gases are defined as gaseous components of the atmosphere that trap infrared heat and contribute to the Earth’s greenhouse effect. In addition to carbon dioxide (CO₂), prominent GHGs related to forests include methane (CH₄) and nitrous oxides (N₂O). ⁷² The optional Gold Level for climate change adaptation GL1 may be used if the project is eligible for a	As part of the verification process, the VVB initially reviewed information provided in the MR undergoing verification and compared this information to information previously provided in the validated PD. For the Climate Section, the VVB considered the following: CCB Program Rules v3.1 (2017), Section 3.3.1: In order to use a recognized GHG program to substitute for Climate, Community & Biodiversity Standards Climate Section, the project must <i>inter alia</i> have the same name, the same project area, the same project proponent, the same project start date (i.e., the date on which activities that lead to the generation of GHG emission reductions or removals are implemented), the same activities and the same without-project scenario in both programs. CCB Program Rules v3.1 (2017), Section 3.3.3: In order to demonstrate that a project has met the verification requirements of the CCB Program and a recognized GHG program, the combined template for the recognized GHG program and the CCB Program document (e.g., the CCB & VCS
---	--

waiver of the Climate Section CL1-4. ⁷³ A list of GHG Programs recognized by the CCB Program is published on the VCS website. See also the <i>CCB Program Rules</i>.	<i>Project Monitoring Report Template</i>) shall be used to demonstrate that the project meets the requirements for verification of the recognized GHG program. The time period documented for verification must be the same under both the CCB Program and the recognized GHG program. CCB Program Rules v3.1 (2017), Section 3.3.4: If a project meets the requirements of a recognized GHG program resulting in a waiver of the Climate Section of the <i>Climate, Community & Biodiversity Standards</i>, the project must be verified to the CCB Program at the same time and every time that it is verified to the recognized GHG program. It may be verified to the GHG program without being verified to the CCB Program.
Evidence Used to Assess Conformance:	PD v7.1; MR v1.2
Findings:	The VVB determined through project document review that the project is eligible for and will meet the requirements for a waiver of the Climate Section CL 1 to CL 4 of the CCB Standards with successful concurrent verification to VCS Standards for this monitoring period. Item closed.
Date Closed:	22 November 2022

Indicator CL1.1 - Estimate the total GHG emissions inside the Project Area under the without-project land use scenario (described in G2) using an Approved or Defensible methodological approach. The timeframe for this analysis is the project GHG accounting period or the project lifetime. In the without-project scenario, it is allowable for the analysis to exclude GHG emissions from sources such as biomass burning, fossil fuel combustion, synthetic fertilizers, and to exclude non-CO2 GHG emissions such as CH4 and N2O gases, in cases where this can be justified as conservative. The analysis of GHG emissions or removals must include	As part of the verification process, the VVB initially reviewed information provided in the MR undergoing verification and compared this information to information previously provided in the validated PD to confirm eligibility for a waiver of the Climate Section CL 1 to CL 4 of the CCB Standards.
--	--

carbon pools expected to increase significantly under the without-project scenario.	
Evidence Used to Assess Conformance:	PD v7.1; MR v1.2
Findings:	The VVB determined through project document review that the project is eligible for and will meet the requirements for a waiver of the Climate Section CL 1 to CL 4 of the CCB Standards with successful concurrent verification to VCS Standards for this monitoring period. Item closed.
Date Closed:	22 November 2022

Indicator CL2.1 - Estimate the total GHG emissions expected from land use activities inside the project area under the with-project land use scenario using an Approved or Defensible methodological approach. This estimate must be based on clearly defined and defensible assumptions about changes in GHG emissions under the with-project scenario over the project lifetime or the project GHG accounting period. The GHG emissions estimate must include non CO2 emissions such as CH4 and N2O (in terms of CO2-equivalent), and GHG emissions from sources such as biomass burning, fossil fuel combustion, use of synthetic fertilizers and the decomposition of Nfixing species, etc., if those GHG emissions sources are cumulatively likely to account for more than 20% of the project's expected total GHG emissions in the with-project scenario.	As part of the verification process, the VVB initially reviewed information provided in the MR undergoing verification and compared this information to information previously provided in the validated PD to confirm eligibility for a waiver of the Climate Section CL 1 to CL 4 of the CCB Standards.
Evidence Used to Assess Conformance:	PD v7.1; MR v1.2
Findings:	The VVB determined through project document review that the project is eligible for and will meet the requirements for a waiver of the Climate Section CL 1 to CL 4 of the CCB Standards with successful

	concurrent verification to VCS Standards for this monitoring period. Item closed.
Date Closed:	22 November 2022

Indicator CL2.2 - Demonstrate that the net climate impact of the project is positive. The net climate impact of the project is the difference between the total GHG emissions or removals in the without-project scenario (including CO ₂ and non-CO ₂ GHG emissions) and total GHG emissions or removals resulting from project activities, minus any project-related negative offsite climate impacts ('Leakage' see CL3).	As part of the verification process, the VVB initially reviewed information provided in the MR undergoing verification and compared this information to information previously provided in the validated PD to confirm eligibility for a waiver of the Climate Section CL 1 to CL 4 of the CCB Standards.
Evidence Used to Assess Conformance:	PD v7.1; MR v1.2
Findings:	The VVB determined through project document review that the project is eligible for and will meet the requirements for a waiver of the Climate Section CL 1 to CL 4 of the CCB Standards with successful concurrent verification to VCS Standards for this monitoring period. Item closed.
Date Closed:	22 November 2022

Indicator CL3.1 - Determine the types of Leakage that are expected and estimate offsite increases in GHG emissions due to project activities using an Approved or Defensible methodological approach. Where relevant, define and justify where Leakage is most likely to take place.	As part of the verification process, the VVB initially reviewed information provided in the MR undergoing verification and compared this information to information previously provided in the validated PD to confirm eligibility for a waiver of the Climate Section CL 1 to CL 4 of the CCB Standards.
Evidence Used to Assess Conformance:	PD v7.1; MR v1.2
Findings:	The VVB determined through project document review that the project is eligible for and will meet the requirements for a waiver of the Climate Section CL 1 to CL 4 of the CCB Standards with successful concurrent verification to VCS Standards for this monitoring period. Item closed.

Date Closed:	22 November 2022
--------------	------------------

Indicator CL3.2 - Describe the measures taken to mitigate Leakage.	As part of the verification process, the VVB initially reviewed information provided in the MR undergoing verification and compared this information to information previously provided in the validated PD to confirm eligibility for a waiver of the Climate Section CL 1 to CL 4 of the CCB Standards.
Evidence Used to Assess Conformance:	PD v7.1; MR v1.2
Findings:	The VVB determined through project document review that the project is eligible for and will meet the requirements for a waiver of the Climate Section CL 1 to CL 4 of the CCB Standards with successful concurrent verification to VCS Standards for this monitoring period. Item closed.
Date Closed:	22 November 2022

Indicator CL3.3 - Non-CO2 emissions must be included if they are likely to account for more than 20% of the total Leakage emissions (in terms of CO2-equivalent) following the procedures for including or excluding non-CO2 emissions described in CL 2.1.	As part of the verification process, the VVB initially reviewed information provided in the MR undergoing verification and compared this information to information previously provided in the validated PD to confirm eligibility for a waiver of the Climate Section CL 1 to CL 4 of the CCB Standards.
Evidence Used to Assess Conformance:	PD v7.1; MR v1.2
Findings:	The VVB determined through project document review that the project is eligible for and will meet the requirements for a waiver of the Climate Section CL 1 to CL 4 of the CCB Standards with successful concurrent verification to VCS Standards for this monitoring period. Item closed.
Date Closed:	22 November 2022

Indicator CL4.1 - Develop and implement a plan for monitoring changes in relevant carbon pools, non-CO2 GHGs and emissions sources and leakage (as identified in CL1, CL2 and CL3) using an Approved or Defensible methodological approach and	As part of the verification process, the VVB initially reviewed information provided in the MR undergoing verification and compared this information to information previously provided in the validated PD to confirm eligibility for a waiver of the Climate Section CL 1 to CL 4 of the CCB Standards.
---	---

following the defined frequency of monitoring of defined parameters. Emissions sources to monitor must include any sources expected to cumulatively contribute more than 20% of total GHG emissions in the with-project scenario (See footnote to CL2.1). Where the methodological approach used to estimate leakage under CL3 requires monitoring, this leakage must be monitored.	
Evidence Used to Assess Conformance:	PD v7.1; MR v1.2
Findings:	The VVB determined through project document review that the project is eligible for and will meet the requirements for a waiver of the Climate Section CL 1 to CL 4 of the CCB Standards with successful concurrent verification to VCS Standards for this monitoring period. Item closed.
Date Closed:	22 November 2022

Indicator CL4.2 - Disseminate the monitoring plan and any results of monitoring undertaken in accordance with the monitoring plan, ensuring that they are made publicly available on the internet and summaries are communicated to the Communities and Other Stakeholders through appropriate means.	As part of the verification process, the VVB initially reviewed information provided in the MR undergoing verification and compared this information to information previously provided in the validated PD to confirm eligibility for a waiver of the Climate Section CL 1 to CL 4 of the CCB Standards. Because the MR template requires the Project Proponent to provide a description of conformance with Indicator CL4.2, the VVB also compared the actions described in the MR as undertaken during this monitoring period to the plan presented in the PD for disseminating the monitoring plan and associated related to climate benefits.
Evidence Used to Assess Conformance:	PD v7.1 Section 8.1.1; MR v1.2 Section “1.8.4”; Supporting documentation (as provided in folders “01_Project Management”, “04_Communities”, “06_Communications”), and “07_Partner orgs”; interviews with representative community members and other stakeholders during site visit
Findings:	The VVB determined through project document review that the project is eligible for and will meet the requirements for a waiver of the Climate Section CL 1 to CL 4 of the CCB Standards with successful

	concurrent verification to VCS Standards for this monitoring period. The VVB determined the documentation provided by the Project Proponent, as substantiated by interviews with community members and other stakeholders during the site visit, demonstrates full project documentation for the monitoring period has been made available. The VVB confirmed MR v1.2 is available, in English, through a link on the WCS website, and that MR Summary v1.5, in Khmer, available through link on the WCS website, and that both documents are available through the Verra Project Database. Item closed.
Date Closed:	22 November 2022

Indicator GL1.1 - Identify likely regional or sub-national climate change and climate variability scenarios and impacts, using available studies, and identify potential changes in the local land use scenario due to these climate change scenarios in the absence of the project.	The VVB reviewed PD v7.1 for information associated with the optional gold level for climate change adaptation benefits (indicators for GL1), and reviewed MR v1.2 for conformance with requirements for reporting on climate change adaptation benefits.
Evidence Used to Assess Conformance:	PD v7.1 title page box; MR v1.2 Section “1.10”
Findings:	The PD did not identify pursuit of the optional gold level for climate change adaptation benefits. MR v1.2 Section “1.10” (corresponding to MR Template Section 3.3) states this section is “Not applicable”. Item closed.
Date Closed:	22 November 2022

Indicator GL1.2 - Demonstrate that current or anticipated climate changes are having or are likely to have an impact on the well-being of Communities and/or the conservation status of biodiversity in the Project Zone and surrounding regions.	The VVB reviewed PD v7.1 for information associated with the optional gold level for climate change adaptation benefits (indicators for GL1), and reviewed MR v1.2 for conformance with requirements for reporting on climate change adaptation benefits.
Evidence Used to Assess Conformance:	PD v7.1 title page box; MR v1.2 Section “1.10”
Findings:	The PD did not identify pursuit of the optional gold level for climate change adaptation benefits. MR v1.2 Section “1.10” (corresponding to MR Template

	Section 3.3) states this section is “Not applicable”. Item closed.
Date Closed:	22 November 2022

Indicator GL1.3 - Describe measures needed and <i>taken</i> to assist Communities and/or biodiversity to adapt to the probable impacts of climate change based on the causal model that explains how the project activities will achieve the project’s predicted adaptation benefits.	The VVB reviewed PD v7.1 for information associated with the optional gold level for climate change adaptation benefits (indicators for GL1), and reviewed MR v1.2 for conformance with requirements for reporting on climate change adaptation benefits.
Evidence Used to Assess Conformance:	PD v7.1 title page box; MR v1.2 Section “1.10” (including subsection “1.10.1”).
Findings:	The PD did not identify pursuit of the optional gold level for climate change adaptation benefits. MR v1.2 Section “1.10” and subsection “1.10.1” (corresponding to MR Template Section 3.3 and subsection 3.3.1 respectively) state these sections are “Not applicable”. Item closed.
Date Closed:	22 November 2022

Indicator GL1.4 - Include indicators for adaptation benefits for Communities and/or biodiversity in the monitoring plan. <i>Demonstrate</i> that the project activities assist Communities and/or biodiversity to adapt to the probable impacts of climate change. Assessment of impacts of project activities on Communities must include an evaluation of the impacts by the affected Communities.	The VVB reviewed PD v7.1 for information associated with the optional gold level for climate change adaptation benefits (indicators for GL1), and reviewed MR v1.2 for conformance with requirements for reporting on climate change adaptation benefits.
Evidence Used to Assess Conformance:	PD v7.1 title page box; MR v1.2 Section “1.10”
Findings:	The PD did not identify pursuit of the optional gold level for climate change adaptation benefits. MR v1.2 Section “1.10” (corresponding to MR Template Section 3.3) states this section is “Not applicable”. Item closed.
Date Closed:	22 November 2022

Indicator CM1.1 - Describe the Communities at the start of the project and significant community	The VVB reviewed PD v7.1 for information presented for Indicator CM1.1, and reviewed MR v1.2 sections on Minor Changes to Project Description (Rules 3.5.6)
---	---

changes in the past, including well-being information, and any community characteristics. Describe the social, economic and cultural diversity within the Communities and the differences and interactions between the Community Groups.	and Project Description Deviations (Rules 3.5.7-3.5.10).
Evidence Used to Assess Conformance:	PD v7.1 Section 1.2.2 (including subsections); MR v1.2 Sections “1.4.3” and “1.4.4”
Findings:	This indicator was originally addressed through successful validation of the PD and does not need to be reevaluated at verification unless minor changes to the PD or PD deviations are applied during this monitoring period. The VVB determined from review of MR v1.2 that no minor changes to the PD or PD deviations related to Indicator CM1.1 were applied for this monitoring period. Item closed.
Date Closed:	22 November 2022

Indicator CM1.2 - Evaluate whether the Project Zone includes any of the following High Conservation Values (HCVs) related to community well-being and describe the qualifying attributes for any identified HCVs: a. Areas that provide critical ecosystem services; b. Areas that are fundamental for the livelihoods of Communities; and c. Areas that are critical for the traditional cultural identity of Communities. Identify the areas that need to be managed to maintain or enhance the identified HCVs.	The VVB reviewed PD v7.1 for information presented for Indicator CM1.1, and reviewed MR v1.2 sections on Minor Changes to Project Description (Rules 3.5.6) and Project Description Deviations (Rules 3.5.7-3.5.10).
Evidence Used to Assess Conformance:	PD v7.1 Section 1.2.6; MR v1.2 Sections “1.4.3” and “1.4.4”
Findings:	This indicator was originally addressed through successful validation of the PD and does not need to be reevaluated at verification unless minor changes to the PD or PD deviations are applied during this monitoring period. The VVB determined from review

	of MR v1.2 that no minor changes to the PD or PD deviations related to Indicator CM1.2 were applied for this monitoring period. Item closed.
Date Closed:	22 November 2022

Indicator CM1.3 - Describe the expected changes in the well-being conditions and other characteristics of Communities under the without-project land use scenario, including the impact of likely changes on all ecosystem services in the Project Zone identified as important to Communities.	The VVB reviewed PD v7.1 for information presented for Indicator CM1.1, and reviewed MR v1.2 sections on Minor Changes to Project Description (Rules 3.5.6) and Project Description Deviations (Rules 3.5.7-3.5.10).
Evidence Used to Assess Conformance:	PD v7.1 Section 6.1 (including subsections); MR v1.2 Sections “1.4.3” and “1.4.4”
Findings:	This indicator was originally addressed through successful validation of the PD and does not need to be reevaluated at verification unless minor changes to the PD or PD deviations are applied during this monitoring period. The VVB determined from review of MR v1.2 that no minor changes to the PD or PD deviations related to Indicator CM1.3 were applied for this monitoring period. Item closed.
Date Closed:	22 November 2022

Indicator CM2.1 - Use appropriate methodologies to assess the impacts, including predicted and actual, direct and indirect benefits, costs and risks, on each of the identified Community Groups (identified in G1.5) resulting from project activities under the with-project scenario. The assessment of impacts must include changes in well-being due to project activities and an evaluation of the impacts by the affected Community Groups. This assessment must be based on clearly defined and defensible assumptions about changes in well-being of the Community Groups under the	The VVB reviewed MR v1.2 for information presented on impacts on each community group in comparison to the anticipated impacts identified in PD 7.1 for each community group. For reference, the VVB noted the validated PD identified two community groups for purposes of conformance with Indicator G1.5, “indigenous” and “Khmer”. The VVB reviewed supporting documentation and obtained additional information during site observations and through interviews with project staff, participating organizations, and representative community members during the site visit.
---	---

with-project scenario, including potential impacts of changes in all ecosystem services identified as important for the Communities (including water and soil resources), over the project lifetime.	
Evidence Used to Assess Conformance:	PD v7.1 Sections 6.1.1 and 6.2; MR v1.2 Section “1.11.1”; Supporting documentation (as provided in folders “04_Communities”, “07_Partner orgs”); interviews with project staff, participating organizations, and representative community members during the site visit
Findings:	Instructions for Section 4.1.1 of the MR Template are: “Complete the table below to describe all the impacts on each community group resulting from project activities under the with-project scenario. Impacts must include all those identified in the project description and any other unplanned impacts. Explain and justify key assumptions, rationale and methodological choices. Explain how the affected groups have participated in the evaluation of impacts. Provide all relevant references. Copy and paste the table as needed.” The VVB noted the following: 1) The VVB noted the tables of information presented in the MR do not follow the format of the table provided in the MR Template. The VVB noted however, the table in MR v1.2 presents information that is required by the MR Template table. The VVB determined the reformatted table used in the MR to be a non-material change based on the information presented meeting the overall intent of the table presented in the MR Template. 2) The VVB noted MR v1.2 does not report on all anticipated impacts identified in PD v7.1. Because MR Template instructions are that impacts must include all those identified in the project description and any other unplanned impacts, the VVB determined this is a nonconformance to be corrected. 3) The VVB noted MR v1.2 provides descriptions to demonstrate how the affected groups have participated in the evaluation of some impacts. The VVB noted the MR does not clearly identify how the affected groups have participated in the evaluation of each

	impact. The VVB determined clarification is required for this item. 4) The VVB noted the Project Proponent provided a substantial amount of supporting documents containing information on the monitoring of activities. The VVB noted the MR does not cite specific references in support of the assertions regarding community impacts. The VVB determined clarification is required for this item.
Non-conformance Request (NCR) / Clarification Request (CL):	Please address the nonconformance as requested for item 2, and please provide clarification as requested for items 3 and 4 in line with the findings for Indicator CM2.1.
Date Issued:	22 November 2022
Project Proponent Response/Actions and Date:	2) New tables consistent with the MR template including all the impacts to the community groups have now been included. 3) Language included in Section 4.1.1 clarifying how community groups affected participated in the evaluation of each impact. 4) References now included in each impact table. (5 January 2023)
Round 2 Findings:	The VVB reviewed revisions in MR v1.4 Section 4.1.1 and noted the following: 1) The VVB confirmed the MR now presents information using the table format provided in the MR template. The VVB confirmed all impacts identified in PD v7.1 Section 6.1.1 are now addressed in MR v1.4 Section 4.1.1. The VVB concurred the types of benefits are reasonably described for each impact. The VVB noted the change in well-being described for each impact includes the type and magnitude of the impact, explains and justifies key assumptions, rationale and methodological choices, and explains how the affected groups have participated in the evaluation of impacts. Review of supporting documentation provided for project activities in MR Section 2.2.1 for each impact substantiates the activities undertaken during the monitoring period and the positive benefits as described. 2) The VVB noted the tables in MR 4.1.1 refer to specific activities in Section “2.1.1”. The VVB noted

	the specific referenced activities are not presented in MR Section 2.1.1 but are presented in MR Section 2.2.1. Clarification is required for the section references.
Clarification Request (CL):	Please provide clarification within the MR as requested for item 2 in line with the findings for Indicator CM2.1.
Date Issued:	12 January 2023
Project Proponent Response/Actions and Date:	2) This error has been addressed by correcting the reference numbering. (17 January 2023)
Evidence Used to Close CL:	The VVB reviewed the updated MR and confirms section 4.1.1 now references the correct section (2.2.1). Item closed.
Date Closed:	30 January 2023

Indicator CM2.2 - Describe measures needed and <i>taken</i> to mitigate any negative well-being impacts on Community Groups and for maintenance or enhancement of the high conservation value attributes (identified in CM1.2) consistent with the precautionary principle.	The VVB reviewed MR v1.2 for information presented on measures implemented to mitigate any negative impacts on each community group in comparison to the mitigation measures identified in PD 7.1 as needed. For reference, the VVB noted the validated PD identified two community groups for purposes of conformance with Indicator G1.5, “indigenous” and “Khmer”. The VVB reviewed supporting documentation and obtained additional information during site observations and through interviews with project staff, participating organizations, and representative community members during the site visit.
Evidence Used to Assess Conformance:	PD v7.1 Section 6.2; MR v1.2 Section “1.11.2”; Supporting documentation (as provided in folders “04_Communities”, “07_Partner orgs”); interviews with project staff, participating organizations, and representative community members during the site visit
Findings:	Instructions for Section 4.1.2 of the MR Template are: “Describe activities and/or processes implemented to mitigate any negative well-being impacts on community groups and for maintenance or enhancement of high conservation value (HCV) attributes identified in the project description. Explain how such actions are consistent with the precautionary principle.” The VVB noted the following:

	1) The VVB noted information presented in MR v1.2 Section “1.1.2” (corresponding to MR Template Section 4.1.2) provides descriptions of activities or processes implemented to mitigate the potential negative impacts listed in this section. 2) The VVB noted MR v1.2 does not report on all potential negative well-being impacts identified in PD v7.1. Because MR Template instructions are that mitigation activities and/or processes implemented for any negative well-being and community-related HCV impacts identified in the PD be described, clarification is requested for whether mitigation activities and/or processes were implemented for the other negative impacts to well-being identified in the PD. 3) The VVB noted the assessment and threat mitigation descriptions provided in MR 1.2 Section “1.11.2” generally substantiate how the actions would be consistent with the precautionary principle. 4) The VVB noted supporting documentation was provided to substantiate the mitigation activities undertaken during the monitoring period for some, but not all of the potential negative impacts. The VVB noted interviews conducted with project staff, participating partner organizations, and representative community members substantiated most mitigation activities selected for further review. 5) The VVB noted through site visit interviews that concerns were raised regarding actions that were described by community members in two villages (Pu Ngaol or Pu Keh, and Sre I) that may be considered as negative impacts representing potentially unnecessarily harsh punishment and unclear rules. These reported negative impacts included reported crop destruction by Ranger teams in areas that community members indicated they understood to be allowable for crops, and also included reported accompanying threats by the Ranger teams against the community members. The VVB determined this item requires clarification, along with supporting documentation, for consistency of these actions with the measures reported as implemented to mitigate
--	---

	these types of negative impacts (MR v1.2, Activity 2.1). 6) The VVB noted through site visit interviews that community members in several of the villages visited raised concerns about the effectiveness of support requested of PDOE rangers when illegal activities are encountered during community patrols. Concerns noted during the interviews included that reportedly: a) community patrols frequently are told PDOE rangers are too busy to respond or assist in a timely fashion; b) PDOE rangers don't punish illegal loggers but instead verbally reprimand them and release them; c) illegal logging has increased since the pandemic restrictions on travel have eased; d) community patrols may not have sufficient patrol equipment or training on self-defense to be effective; and e) some community members expressed belief that illegal loggers have received permission from PDOE rangers to cut trees on community lands. Community members in one village reported the belief that other community members have resumed or are engaged in illegal timber cutting based on the belief that there are no serious repercussions from PDOE for illegal activities. The VVB determined this item requires clarification, along with supporting documentation, for consistency of these actions with the measures reported as implemented to mitigate these types of negative impacts (MR v1.2, Activity 2.6).
Clarification Request (CL):	Please provide clarification as requested for items 2, 5, and 6 in line with the findings for Indicator CM2.2.
Date Issued:	22 November 2022
Project Proponent Response/Actions and Date:	2. Missing negative impacts from PD now included in the MR and additional language identifying mitigation actions provided in the Table in Section 4.1.2 5. See supplementary information provided in the document "Supporting Document on Land Dispute Findings". 6. See supplementary information provided in the document "Supporting Document on Land Dispute Findings". (5 January 2023)
Evidence Used to Close CL:	The VVB reviewed revisions in MR v1.4 Section 4.1.2 and noted the following (item numbers

	correspond to item numbering for Round 1 findings and corresponding numbering used for responses): 2) The VVB noted a potential negative impacts had missing for Objective 2 has been added to MR v1.4 Section 4.1.2, and additional clarification was provided for other actions/impacts. The VVB noted two activities/potential negative impacts identified in PD v7.1 for Objective 4 have now been included with another activity/actions. 5) The VVB reviewed supporting documentation referenced in the response for item 5 of this finding. The VVB noted the concerns raised were addressed through review of the response and supporting documentation provided for findings for Indicator G5.3. The VVB noted the documentation provided in response to the related finding for Indicator G5.3 along with proposed mitigative measures reported as implemented in November 2022 after the VVB site visit addressed the requested clarification for item 5 of the findings for Indicator CM2.2. The VVB noted the FAR identified for Indicator CM2.2 would address the implementation of these identified mitigative measures during the next verification. 6) The VVB reviewed supporting documentation referenced in the response for item 6 of this finding. The VVB also reviewed supporting documentation for the response to findings for Indicator 3.6 regarding safety concerns and effectiveness of support expressed by community patrol members. The VVB noted support documentation provided for policies and procedures for patrols substantiates measures are in place to address potential negative impacts associated with activities identified for Objective 2. Item closed.
Date Closed:	12 January 2023

Indicator CM2.3 - Demonstrate that the net well-being impacts of the project are positive for all identified Community Groups compared with their anticipated well-being conditions under the without-project	The VVB reviewed MR v1.2 for information presented on net positive community well-being on all identified community groups. For reference, the VVB noted the validated PD identified two community groups for purposes of conformance with Indicator G1.5, “indigenous” and “Khmer”. The VVB reviewed
---	--

land use scenario (described in CM1).	supporting documentation and obtained additional information during site observations and through interviews with project staff, participating organizations, and representative community members during the site visit.
Evidence Used to Assess Conformance:	MR v1.2 Sections “1.11.1”, “1.11.2”, and 1.11.3”; PD v7.1 Sections 6.1 (including subsections) and 6.2; Supporting documentation (as provided in folders “04_Communities”, “07_Partner orgs”); interviews with project staff, participating organizations, and representative community members during the site visit
Findings:	Instructions for Section 4.1.3 of the MR Template are: “Demonstrate that the net well-being impacts of the project are positive for all identified community groups compared with their well-being conditions under the without-project land use scenario.” The VVB noted the following: 1) The VVB noted information presented in MR v1.2 Section “1.1.3” (corresponding to MR Template Section 4.1.3) provides descriptions for positive community benefits during the monitoring period for each of the predicted positive impacts identified in PD Section 6.1.1. The VVB noted supporting documentation and interviews with community members, project staff, and participating partner organizations substantiated reported positive impacts selected for further review. 2) The VVB noted from review of supporting documentation and interviews with representative community members that the overall belief by community members in villages participating in the project is that the project has resulted in net positive well-being impacts for both identified community groups. The VVB noted that although separate findings were issued for Indicators CM2.1 and CM2.2 regarding reporting for all impacts and mitigation for negative impacts identified in the PD, the anticipated information provided to close these findings would not change the net positive impact from project implementation compared to the without-project land use scenario. Item closed.
Date Closed:	22 November 2022

Indicator CM2.4 - Demonstrate that no High Conservation Values (identified in CM1.4) are negatively affected by the project.	The VVB reviewed MR v1.2 for information presented on protection of community-related HCVs. The VVB reviewed supporting documentation and obtained additional information during site observations and through interviews with project staff, participating organizations, and representative community members during the site visit.
Evidence Used to Assess Conformance:	MR v1.2 Section “1.11.4”; PD v7.1 Sections 6.1.2 and 6.2; Supporting documentation (as provided in folders “04_Communities”, “07_Partner orgs”); interviews with project staff, participating organizations, and representative community members during the site visit
Findings:	Instructions for Section 4.1.4 of the MR Template are: “Demonstrate that none of the HCVs related to community well-being in the project zone identified in the project description are negatively affected by the project.” The VVB noted information is provided in the MR to describe specific measures undertaken to protect the community-related HCVs identified in the PD. The VVB noted supporting documentation and interviews with community members provide general support for the activities identified. The VVB determined that the information provided, and supporting documentation, demonstrate that the project has not negatively affected community-related HCVs. Item closed.
Date Closed:	22 November 2022

Indicator CM3.1 - Identify any potential positive and negative impacts that the project activities are likely to cause on the well-being of Other Stakeholders.	The VVB reviewed MR v1.2 and PD v7.1 for information presented for Indicator CM3.1, and reviewed MR v1.2 sections on Minor Changes to Project Description (Rules 3.5.6) and Project Description Deviations (Rules 3.5.7-3.5.10).
Evidence Used to Assess Conformance:	MR v1.2 Section “1.12” (including subsections); PD v7.1 Section 6.2; MR v1.2 Sections “1.4.3” and “1.4.4”
Findings:	This indicator was originally addressed through successful validation of the PD and does not need to be reevaluated at verification unless minor changes to the PD or PD deviations are applied during this monitoring period. The VVB determined from review of MR v1.2 that no minor changes to the PD or PD deviations related to Indicator CM3.1 were applied for this monitoring period. Item closed.

Date Closed:	22 November 2022
Indicator CM3.2 - Describe the measures needed <i>and taken</i> to mitigate the negative well-being impacts on Other Stakeholders.	The VVB reviewed MR v1.2 and PD v7.1 for information presented for Indicator CM3.2.
Evidence Used to Assess Conformance:	MR v1.2 Section “1.12.1”; PD v7.1 Section 6.2; interviews with project staff, participating organizations, and representative community members during the site visit
Findings:	The VVB noted the MR is consistent with the PD in stating that no negative offsite stakeholder impacts are expected. The VVB noted that no negative impacts to other stakeholders that would require mitigation were identified through interviews with project staff, participating organizations, and representative community members during the site visit. Item closed.
Date Closed:	22 November 2022
Indicator CM3.3 - Demonstrate that the project activities do not result in net negative impacts on the well-being of Other Stakeholders.	The VVB reviewed MR v1.2 and PD v7.1 for information presented for Indicator CM3.3.
Evidence Used to Assess Conformance:	MR v1.2 Section “1.12.2”; PD v7.1 Section 6.2; interviews with project staff, participating organizations, and representative community members during the site visit
Findings:	The VVB noted the MR states that no negative offsite stakeholder impacts from project activities are expected or observed. The VVB noted that no negative impacts to other stakeholders engaged in legal activities were identified through interviews with project staff, participating organizations, and representative community members during the site visit. Item closed.
Date Closed:	22 November 2022
Indicator CM4.1 - Develop and implement a monitoring plan that identifies community variables to be monitored, Communities, Community Groups and Other Stakeholders to be monitored, the types of measurements, the sampling methods, and the frequency of	The VVB reviewed MR v1.2 for information presented for community monitoring implemented and reported on for the monitoring period in comparison to the monitoring plan presented in PD v7.1. The VVB reviewed supporting documentation and interviewed project staff, participating organizations, and representative community members during the site visit.

monitoring and reporting. Monitoring variables must be directly linked to the project's objectives for Communities and Community Groups and to predicted outputs, outcomes and impacts identified in the project's causal model related to the well-being of Communities (described in G1.8). Monitoring must assess differentiated impacts, including and benefits, costs and risks, for each of the Community Groups and must include an evaluation by the affected Community Groups.	
Evidence Used to Assess Conformance:	MR v1.2 Section "1.13.1" (including subsections); PD Section "8.1.4" (including subsections); Supporting documentation (as provided in folders "01_Project Management", "03_Law enforcement", and "04_Communities"); interviews with project staff, participating organizations, and representative community members during the site visit
Findings:	The VVB reviewed the results of the reported community monitoring in comparison to the monitoring plan presented in PD v7.1. The VVB noted the following: 1) The VVB noted PD v7.1 identifies Household Surveys (HHS) and Demographic Surveys to be conducted at least every five years. The VVB noted the MR identifies the baseline HHS was conducted in 2012, the next HHS was conducted in 2017, and the next HHS is planned for 2022. The VVB determined the project is in conformance with this aspect of monitoring for the monitoring period under verification. 2) The VVB noted PD v7.1 identifies "Annual meeting and Consultation Workshops" as the second component for community monitoring. The VVB noted information provided in MR v1.2 is consistent with the required frequency and sampling methods identified in the PD for this aspect of monitoring. The VVB noted the Project Proponent provided extensive documentation for VVB review for the weekly, monthly, and annual reporting that was undertaken.

	The VVB determined from review of the information presented in the MR, supporting documentation provided for VVB review, and through interviews with project staff, participating organizations, and representative community members that monitoring was conducted and reported on for the monitoring period in accordance with the monitoring plan presented in PD v7.1. Item closed.
Date Closed:	22 November 2022
Indicator CM4.2 - Develop and implement a monitoring plan to assess the effectiveness of measures taken to maintain or enhance all identified High Conservation Values related to community well-being.	The VVB reviewed MR v1.2 for information presented for monitoring implemented and reported on for community-related HCVs for the monitoring period in comparison to the monitoring plan presented in PD v7.1. The VVB reviewed supporting documentation and interviewed project staff, participating organizations, and representative community members during the site visit.
Evidence Used to Assess Conformance:	MR v1.2 Section “1.13.1” (including subsections); PD Section “8.1.4” (including subsections); Supporting documentation (as provided in folders “01_Project Management”, “03_Law enforcement”, “04_Communities”, and 08_Carbon calculations); interviews with project staff, participating organizations, and representative community members during the site visit
Findings:	The VVB reviewed the results of the reported monitoring for community-related HCVs in comparison to the monitoring plan presented in PD v7.1. The VVB noted the following: 1) The VVB noted PD v7.1 identifies community HCV monitoring in included, in part, in Household Surveys (HHS) and Demographic Surveys to be conducted at least every five years. The VVB noted the MR identifies the baseline HHS was conducted in 2012, the next HHS was conducted in 2017, and the next HHS is planned for 2022. The VVB determined the project is in conformance with this aspect of monitoring for the monitoring period under verification. 2) The VVB noted PD v7.1 identifies remote sensing to be used for monitoring land use changes that could affect forested areas considered community-related

	HCVs, with information to be supplemented by use of the SMART database. The VVB noted information provided in MR v1.2 is consistent with the required frequency and sampling methods identified in the PD for this aspect of monitoring. The VVB noted the Project Proponent provided extensive documentation for VVB review for this aspect of monitoring. 3) The VVB noted PD v7.1 identifies annual meetings as an additional component for community-related HCV monitoring. The VVB noted information provided in MR v1.2 is consistent with the required frequency and sampling methods identified in the PD for this aspect of monitoring. The VVB noted the Project Proponent provided documentation for VVB review for annual meetings that were undertaken. The VVB determined from review of the information presented in the MR, supporting documentation provided for VVB review, and through interviews with project staff, participating organizations, and representative community members that monitoring was conducted and reported on for the monitoring period in accordance with the monitoring plan presented in PD v7.1. Item closed.
Date Closed:	22 November 2022

Indicator CM4.3 - Disseminate the monitoring plan, and any results of monitoring undertaken in accordance with the monitoring plan, ensuring that they are made publicly available on the internet and summaries are communicated to the Communities and Other Stakeholders through appropriate means.	The VVB reviewed MR v1.2 for information presented for actions described as undertaken for disseminating the results of community monitoring undertaken for the monitoring period in comparison to the actions described in the monitoring plan presented in PD v7.1. The VVB reviewed supporting documentation and interviewed project staff, participating organizations, and representative community members during the site visit.
Evidence Used to Assess Conformance:	PD v7.1 Section 8.1.4; MR v1.2 Section “1.13.2”; Supporting documentation (as provided in folders “01_Project Management”, “04_Communities”, “06_Communications”), and “07_Partner orgs”; interviews with representative community members and other stakeholders during site visit
Findings:	The VVB noted information provided in MR v1.2 is consistent with the methods identified in the PD for disseminating community monitoring results. The VVB noted the Project Proponent provided extensive

	documentation for VVB review for annual meetings that were undertaken. The VVB determined the documentation provided by the Project Proponent, as substantiated by interviews with community members and other stakeholders during the site visit, demonstrates full project documentation for the monitoring period has been made available. The VVB confirmed MR v1.2 is available, in English, through a link on the WCS website, and that MR Summary v1.5, in Khmer, available through link on the WCS website, and that both documents are available through the Verra Project Database. Item closed.
Date Closed:	22 November 2022

Indicator GL2.1 - a. Demonstrate that Smallholders/Community Members or Communities either own or have management rights, statutory or customary, individually or collectively, to land in the Project Area. The Smallholders/Community Members or Communities have rights to claim that their activities will or did generate or cause the project's climate, community and biodiversity benefits. OR b. Demonstrate that the Project Zone is in a low human development country OR in an administrative area of a medium or high human development country in which at least 50% of the households within the Communities are below the national poverty line.	The VVB reviewed PD v7.1 for information associated with the optional gold level for exceptional community benefits (indicators for GL2), and reviewed MR v1.2 for conformance with requirements for reporting on optional criteria for exceptional community benefits.
Evidence Used to Assess Conformance:	PD v7.1 title page box; MR v1.2 Section "1.14"
Findings:	The PD did not identify pursuit of the optional gold level for exceptional community benefits. MR v1.2 Section "1.10" (corresponding to MR Template Section 4.4) states this section is "Not applicable". Item closed.
Date Closed:	22 November 2022

Indicator GL2.2 - Demonstrate that the project generates short-term and long-term net positive well-being benefits for Smallholders/Community Members. Include indicators of well-being impacts on Smallholder/Community Members in the monitoring plan. The assessment of impacts must include changes in well-being due to project activities and an evaluation of the impacts by the affected mallholders/Community Members.	The VVB reviewed PD v7.1 for information associated with the optional gold level for exceptional community benefits (indicators for GL2), and reviewed MR v1.2 for conformance with requirements for reporting on optional criteria for exceptional community benefits.
Evidence Used to Assess Conformance:	PD v7.1 title page box; MR v1.2 Section “1.14” (including subsection “1.14.1”).
Findings:	The PD did not identify pursuit of the optional gold level for exceptional community benefits. MR v1.2 Section “1.14” and subsection “1.14.1”, (corresponding to MR Template Section 4.4 and subsection 4.4.1 respectively) state these sections are “Not applicable”. Item closed.
Date Closed:	22 November 2022

Indicator GL2.3 - Identify, through a participatory process, risks for the Smallholders/Community Members to participate in the project, including those related to tradeoffs with food security, land loss, loss of yields and short-term and long-term climate change adaptation. Explain how the project is designed to avoid such tradeoffs and the measures taken to manage the identified risks. Include indicators of risks for Smallholders/Community Members in the monitoring plan.	The VVB reviewed PD v7.1 for information associated with the optional gold level for exceptional community benefits (indicators for GL2), and reviewed MR v1.2 for conformance with requirements for reporting on optional criteria for exceptional community benefits.
Evidence Used to Assess Conformance:	PD v7.1 title page box; MR v1.2 Section “1.14”
Findings:	The PD did not identify pursuit of the optional gold level for exceptional community benefits. MR v1.2 Section “1.10” (corresponding to MR Template Section 4.4) states this section is “Not applicable”. Item closed.
Date Closed:	22 November 2022

Indicator GL2.4 - Identify Community Groups that are marginalized and/or vulnerable. <i>Demonstrate</i> that the project generates net positive impacts on the well-being of all identified marginalized and/or vulnerable Community Groups. <i>Demonstrate</i> that any barriers or risks that might prevent benefits going to marginalized and/or vulnerable Smallholder/Community Members have been identified and addressed. <i>Demonstrate</i> that measures are taken to identify any marginalized and/or vulnerable Smallholders/Community Members, whose well-being may be negatively affected by the project, and that measures are taken to avoid, or when unavoidable to mitigate, any such impacts.	The VVB reviewed PD v7.1 for information associated with the optional gold level for exceptional community benefits (indicators for GL2), and reviewed MR v1.2 for conformance with requirements for reporting on optional criteria for exceptional community benefits.
Evidence Used to Assess Conformance:	PD v7.1 title page box; MR v1.2 Section “1.14” (including subsection “1.14.2”).
Findings:	The PD did not identify pursuit of the optional gold level for exceptional community benefits. MR v1.2 Section “1.14” and subsection “1.14.2” (corresponding to MR Template Section 4.4 and subsection 4.4.2 respectively) state these sections are “Not applicable”. Item closed.
Date Closed:	22 November 2022

Indicator GL2.5 - Demonstrate that the project generates net positive impacts on the well-being of women and that women participate in or influence decision-making and include indicators of impacts on women in the monitoring plan.	The VVB reviewed PD v7.1 for information associated with the optional gold level for exceptional community benefits (indicators for GL2), and reviewed MR v1.2 for conformance with requirements for reporting on optional criteria for exceptional community benefits.
Evidence Used to Assess Conformance:	PD v7.1 title page box; MR v1.2 Section “1.14” (including subsection “1.14.3”)
Findings:	The PD did not identify pursuit of the optional gold level for exceptional community benefits. MR v1.2 Section “1.14” and subsection “1.14.3” (corresponding to MR Template Section 4.4 and

	subsection 4.4.3 respectively) state these sections are “Not applicable”. Item closed.
Date Closed:	22 November 2022

Indicator GL2.6 - Describe the design and implementation of a benefit sharing mechanism, demonstrating that Smallholders/Community Members have fully and effectively participated in defining the decision-making process and the distribution mechanism for benefit sharing; and demonstrating transparency, including on project funding and costs as well as on benefit distribution.	The VVB reviewed PD v7.1 for information associated with the optional gold level for exceptional community benefits (indicators for GL2), and reviewed MR v1.2 for conformance with requirements for reporting on optional criteria for exceptional community benefits.
Evidence Used to Assess Conformance:	PD v7.1 title page box; MR v1.2 Section “1.14” (including subsection “1.14.4”)
Findings:	The PD did not identify pursuit of the optional gold level for exceptional community benefits. MR v1.2 Section “1.14” and subsection “1.14.4” (corresponding to MR Template Section 4.4 and subsection 4.4.4 respectively) state these sections are “Not applicable”. Item closed.
Date Closed:	22 November 2022

Indicator GL2.7 - Explain how relevant and adequate information about predicted and actual benefits, costs and risks has been communicated to Smallholders/Community Members and provide evidence that the information is understood.	The VVB reviewed PD v7.1 for information associated with the optional gold level for exceptional community benefits (indicators for GL2), and reviewed MR v1.2 for conformance with requirements for reporting on optional criteria for exceptional community benefits.
Evidence Used to Assess Conformance:	PD v7.1 title page box; MR v1.2 Section “1.14”
Findings:	The PD did not identify pursuit of the optional gold level for exceptional community benefits. MR v1.2 Section “1.10” (corresponding to MR Template Section 4.4) states this section is “Not applicable”. Item closed.
Date Closed:	22 November 2022

Indicator GL2.8 - Describe the project’s governance and	The VVB reviewed PD v7.1 for information associated with the optional gold level for exceptional
--	--

implementation structures, and any relevant self-governance or other structures used for aggregation of Smallholders/Community members, and demonstrate that they enable full and effective participation of Smallholders/Community Members in project decision-making and implementation.	community benefits (indicators for GL2), and reviewed MR v1.2 for conformance with requirements for reporting on optional criteria for exceptional community benefits.
Evidence Used to Assess Conformance:	PD v7.1 title page box; MR v1.2 Section “1.14” (including subsection “1.14.5”)
Findings:	The PD did not identify pursuit of the optional gold level for exceptional community benefits. MR v1.2 Section “1.14” and subsection “1.14.5” (corresponding to MR Template Section 4.4 and subsection 4.4.5 respectively) state these sections are “Not applicable”. Item closed.
Date Closed:	22 November 2022

Indicator GL2.9 - Demonstrate how the project is developing the capacity of Smallholders/Community Members, and relevant local organizations or institutions, to participate effectively and actively in project design, implementation and management.	The VVB reviewed PD v7.1 for information associated with the optional gold level for exceptional community benefits (indicators for GL2), and reviewed MR v1.2 for conformance with requirements for reporting on optional criteria for exceptional community benefits.
Evidence Used to Assess Conformance:	PD v7.1 title page box; MR v1.2 Section “1.14” (including subsection “1.14.6”)
Findings:	The PD did not identify pursuit of the optional gold level for exceptional community benefits. MR v1.2 Section “1.14” and subsection “1.14.6” (corresponding to MR Template Section 4.4 and subsection 4.4.6 respectively) state these sections are “Not applicable”. Item closed.
Date Closed:	22 November 2022

Indicator B1.1 - Describe biodiversity within the Project Zone at the start of the project and threats to that biodiversity, using appropriate methodologies.	The VVB reviewed PD v7.1 for information presented for Indicator B1.1, and reviewed MR v1.2 sections on Minor Changes to Project Description (Rules 3.5.6) and Project Description Deviations (Rules 3.5.7-3.5.10).
Evidence Used to Assess Conformance:	PD v7.1 Sections 1.2.5, 4.5.4, and 7.1.1; MR v1.2 Sections “1.4.3” and “1.4.4”
Findings:	This indicator was originally addressed through successful validation of the PD and does not need to

	be reevaluated at verification unless minor changes to the PD or PD deviations are applied during this monitoring period. The VVB determined from review of MR v1.2 that no minor changes to the PD or PD deviations related to Indicator B1.1 were applied for this monitoring period. Item closed.
Date Closed:	22 November 2022

Indicator B1.2 - Evaluate whether the Project Zone includes any of the following High Conservation Values (HCVs) related to biodiversity and describe the qualifying attributes for any identified HCVs: a. Globally, regionally or nationally significant concentrations of biodiversity values; i. protected areas ii. threatened species iii. endemic species iv. areas that support significant concentrations of a species during any time in their lifecycle. b. Globally, regionally or nationally significant large landscape-level areas where viable populations of most if not all naturally occurring species exist in natural patterns of distribution and abundance; c. Threatened or rare ecosystems. Identify the areas that need to be managed to maintain or enhance the identified HCVs.	The VVB reviewed PD v7.1 for information presented for Indicator B1.2, and reviewed MR v1.2 sections on Minor Changes to Project Description (Rules 3.5.6) and Project Description Deviations (Rules 3.5.7-3.5.10).
Evidence Used to Assess Conformance:	PD v7.1 Sections 1.2.5 and 1.2.6; MR v1.2 Sections “1.4.3” and “1.4.4”; MR v1.2 Section “1.15.1”
Findings:	This indicator was originally addressed through successful validation of the PD and does not need to be reevaluated at verification unless minor changes to the PD or PD deviations are applied during this monitoring period. The VVB determined from review of MR v1.2 that no minor changes to the PD or PD

	deviations related to Indicator B1.2 were applied for this monitoring period. The VVB noted MR v1.2 Section “1.15.1” states the project updated the list of threatened species for the project area based on new or additional species records documented or reported, and updated the status of species based on changes made in IUCN Red List status between 2019 and 2021. The VVB reviewed lists of HCV species provided in the MR and determined documentation of additional species and reported changes in status did change the project’s qualifying attributes under Indicator B1.2 categories a, b, or c. Item closed.
Date Closed:	22 November 2022

Indicator B1.3 – Identify the areas that need to be managed to maintain or enhance the identified HCVs.	The VVB reviewed PD v7.1 for information presented for Indicator B1.3, and reviewed MR v1.2 sections on Minor Changes to Project Description (Rules 3.5.6) and Project Description Deviations (Rules 3.5.7-3.5.10).
Evidence Used to Assess Conformance:	PD v7.1 Sections 1.2.5 and 1.2.6; MR v1.2 Sections “1.4.3” and “1.4.4”
Findings:	This indicator was originally addressed through successful validation of the PD and does not need to be reevaluated at verification unless minor changes to the PD or PD deviations are applied during this monitoring period. The VVB determined from review of MR v1.2 that no minor changes to the PD or PD deviations related to Indicator B1.3 were applied for this monitoring period.
Date Closed:	22 November 2022

Indicator B1.4 - Describe how the without-project land use scenario would affect biodiversity conditions in the Project Zone.	The VVB reviewed PD v7.1 for information presented for Indicator B1.4, and reviewed MR v1.2 sections on Minor Changes to Project Description (Rules 3.5.6) and Project Description Deviations (Rules 3.5.7-3.5.10).
Evidence Used to Assess Conformance:	PD v7.1 Sections 1.2.5 and 1.2.6; MR v1.2 Sections “1.4.3” and “1.4.4”
Findings:	This indicator was originally addressed through successful validation of the PD and does not need to be reevaluated at verification unless minor changes to the PD or PD deviations are applied during this monitoring period. The VVB determined from review

	of MR v1.2 that no minor changes to the PD or PD deviations related to Indicator B1.4 were applied for this monitoring period.
Date Closed:	22 November 2022

Indicator B2.1 - Use appropriate methodologies to estimate changes in biodiversity, including assessment of predicted and actual, positive and negative, direct and indirect impacts, resulting from project activities under the with-project scenario in the Project Zone and over the project lifetime. This estimate must be based on clearly defined and defensible assumptions.	The VVB reviewed MR v1.2 for information presented on changes in biodiversity in comparison to the anticipated impacts identified in PD 7.1. The VVB reviewed supporting documentation and obtained additional information during site observations and through interviews with project staff, participating organizations, and representative community members during the site visit.
Evidence Used to Assess Conformance:	MR v1.2 Section “1.15.1”; PD v7.1 Sections 7.1.1 and 7.2.1; Supporting documentation (as provided in folder “05_Biodiversity monitoring”); interviews with project staff, participating organizations, and representative community members during the site visit
Findings:	Instructions for Section 5.1.1 of the MR Template are: “Complete the table below to describe all the changes in biodiversity resulting from project activities under the with-project scenario in the project zone during this monitoring period. Impacts must include all those identified in the project description and any other unplanned impacts. Explain and justify key assumptions, rationale and methodological choices. Provide all relevant references. Copy and paste the table as needed.” The VVB noted MR Section “1.15.1” (corresponding to MR Template Section 5.1.1) does not provide the information required by the MR Template for Indicator B2.1, or in the format required by the MR Template. The VVB noted the following: 1) The VVB noted MR Section “1.15.1” does not describe all the changes in biodiversity resulting from project activities under the with-project scenario in the project zone during this monitoring period. The VVB determined this is a nonconformance to be corrected. 2) The VVB noted MR Section “1.15.1” does not include all impacts identified in the PD or any other

	unplanned impacts. The VVB determined this is a nonconformance to be corrected. 3) The VVB noted MR Section “1.15.1” does not explain and justify key assumptions, rationale and methodological choices. The VVB determined this is a nonconformance to be corrected. 4) The VVB noted MR Section “1.15.1” does not provide all relevant references. The VVB determined this is a nonconformance to be corrected. 5) The VVB noted MR Section “1.15.1” (corresponding to MR Template Section 5.1.1) does not present the required information in the appropriate tabular format in accordance with instructions from the MR Template. The VVB determined this is a nonconformance to be corrected.
Non-conformance Request (NCR):	Please address the nonconformances as requested for items 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 in line with the findings for Indicator B2.1.
Date Issued:	22 November 2022
Project Proponent Response/Actions and Date:	1) Biodiversity changes boxes added to section 5.1.1 2) Impacts included in boxes added to 5.1.1. 3) Justifications added to boxes. Summary assumptions and methods added to boxes, with extensive documentation of biodiversity monitoring and forest cover monitoring elsewhere in the MR. 4) Explicit reference to the results published in provided report added (Griffin O. & Nuttall M. (2020) and peer-reviewed journal article Nuttall et al. 2021) 5) Biodiversity change tables added. (5 January 2023)
Evidence Used to Close NCR:	The VVB reviewed the revisions to Section 5.1.1 of MR v1.4. The VVB confirmed the revised MR includes the biodiversity changes identified for the two predicted net benefits for biodiversity identified in PD v1.7 Section 7.1.1. The VVB confirmed the revised MR includes summaries, using the Changes in Biodiversity from the MR template, for each of the species with population trends predicted to have population trend declines slowed or populations recovered from project activities compared to without-

	project conditions. The VVB noted the monitored changes are described appropriately as actual if trends were monitored, and predicted if the trend was based on habitat protection efforts without direct species monitoring. The VVB noted the justifications for change for the species population trends and forest cover trend include explanations and justifications for key assumptions, rationale and methodological choices. The VVB noted relevant references are identified to substantiate the biodiversity changes reported. Review of the supporting documentation and explanations substantiates with reasonable assurance the appropriateness of changes in biodiversity presented in MR Section 5.1.1. Item closed.
Date Closed:	12 January 2023

Indicator B2.2 - <i>Demonstrate</i> that the project's net impacts on biodiversity in the Project Zone are positive, compared with the biodiversity conditions under the without-project land use scenario (described in B1).	The VVB reviewed MR v1.2 for information presented on net impacts from the project on biodiversity in the project zone. The VVB reviewed supporting documentation and obtained additional information during site observations and through interviews with project staff, participating organizations, and representative community members during the site visit.
Evidence Used to Assess Conformance:	MR v1.2 Section "1.15.3"; PD v7.1 Sections 7.1.1; Supporting documentation (as provided in folder "05_Biodiversity monitoring"); interviews with project staff, participating organizations, and representative community members
Findings:	Instructions for Section 5.1.3 of the MR Template relevant to Indicator B2.2 are: "Demonstrate that the project's net impacts on biodiversity in the project zone are positive compared with conditions under the without-project land use scenario." The VVB noted the following: 1) The VVB noted MR v1.2 Section "1.15.3" (corresponding to MR Template Section 5.1.3) provides a description generally consistent with description for without-project scenario outlined in the PD. The VVB noted from review of supporting documentation and interviews with project staff, participating organizations, and representative community members that the overall conclusion for net positive impacts on biodiversity within the project

	area from project activities is appropriate. The VVB noted in separate findings for Indicator B2.3 that full impacts within the project zone have not been fully described as mitigated. The VVB noted that this indicator required consideration of unmitigated negative impacts on biodiversity resulting from project activities in comparison to the positive impacts to biodiversity expected to result from project activities. The VVB determined clarification will be required for this item following resolution of findings for Indicator B2.3 2) The VVB noted Table 5.2 in MRv1.2 states that data are from Nuttall et al. 2021, which is a document provided for VVB review by the Project Proponent. The VVB noted the project-related result reported in the MR for Banteng and Gaur as “Decline slowed” for “Actual with-project population trend (2020)” was not able to be substantiated through review of Nuttall et al. 2021 or through information presented in the MR. The VVB determined clarification is required, along with supporting documentation, to substantiate this item.
Clarification Request (CL):	Please provide clarification as requested for items 1 and 2 in line with the findings for Indicator B2.2.
Date Issued:	22 November 2022
Project Proponent Response/Actions and Date:	1) Additional text added. Mitigation measures for HCVs added in response to B2.3. <i>With clear biodiversity benefits in the project area, no anticipated negative impacts from project activities in the project area or leakage belt, and potential off-site negative impacts identified and mitigated, the project demonstrates strong net positive biodiversity impacts across the project zone compared to the baseline scenario.</i> 2) Populations of gaur and banteng have collapsed across Cambodia outside of protected areas, and have been lost entirely from most areas due to deforestation and poaching, especially with snares. The IUCN Red List assessment (https://www.iucnredlist.org/species/)

	2888/46362970#geographic-range) for banteng states: <i>“In Cambodia ... [t]he species was until very recently likely to have been widespread in the northern and eastern forests as well as parts of the Cardamom Mountain range, but with the onset of rampant agroforestry enterprises and other forms of land-grabbing concessions occupied Cambodian range is likely to have significantly decreased in the last half decade. The bulk of the Cambodian population remains in protected areas in the eastern forests, centred on Monduliri province”</i> Gaur, being forest cattle, are affected by many of the same threats but also by deforestation, rates of which have been substantially faster outside of the project area and other comparatively-well protected sites. Thus because the project has prevented and reduced agroforestry enterprises, land-grabbing, and other types of forest loss compared to the baseline, in addition to conducting anti-snare patrols and law enforcement to reduce poaching, populations of these two species have reduced at a rate lower than the baseline model and compared to outside the project area and other well-protected sites. The comparative effectiveness in reducing deforestation is part of the REDD+ project, but also independently demonstrated in various scientific publications including https://www.nature.com/articles/s41598-022-19660-0 (5 January 2023)
Evidence Used to Close CL:	The VVB reviewed the clarification provided and confirmed clarification was provided, with sufficient and adequate supporting documentation that mitigation for potential negative impacts was implemented during the monitoring period, as further described in the findings resolution for Indicator B2.3. The VVB acknowledges the clarification and additional supporting documentation provided regarding Banteng and Gaur population trends as a result of the project. The VVB determined the supporting documentation provides reasonable assurance for the accuracy of the reported trends.

	Item closed.
Date Closed:	12 January 2023

Indicator B2.3 - Describe measures needed and <i>taken</i> to mitigate negative impacts on biodiversity and any measures needed and <i>taken</i> for maintenance or enhancement of the High Conservation Value attributes (identified in B1.2) consistent with the precautionary principle.	The VVB reviewed MR v1.2 for information presented on measures implemented to mitigate any negative impacts resulting from the project for biodiversity and biodiversity-related HCV attributes and compared this information to mitigation measures identified in PD 7.1 as needed. The VVB reviewed supporting documentation and obtained additional information during site observations and through interviews with project staff, participating organizations, and representative community members during the site visit.
Evidence Used to Assess Conformance:	PD v7.1 Sections 7.1.1, 7.1.2, 7.2.1, and 7.2.2; MR v1.2 Section “1.15.2”; supporting documentation (as provided in folder “05_Biodiversity monitoring”); interviews with project staff, participating organizations, and representative community members
Findings:	Instructions for Section 5.1.2 of the MR Template are: “Describe activities and/or processes implemented to mitigate negative impacts on biodiversity and any measures taken for maintenance or enhancement of the HCV attributes. Explain how such actions are consistent with the precautionary principle.” The VVB noted the following: 1) The VVB noted PD v7.1 states that no negative impacts on biodiversity are expected to occur within the project area from project activities. The VVB noted review of supporting documentation and interviews with project staff, participating organizations, and representative community members substantiates there are no negative impacts expected on biodiversity within the project area from project activities. 2) The VVB noted PD v7.1 states possible impacts could occur outside the project area through displacement of deforestation pressures in those areas resulting from increased protections implemented within the project area. The VVB noted the PD states deforestation leakage is addressed through VCS leakage mitigation and not further addressed as part of the CCB indicator. The VVB noted “Leakage” is

	being evaluated as part of the concurrent VCS verification. 3) The VVB noted PD v7.1 states possible impacts could occur outside the project area through displacement of hunting/trapping pressures in those areas resulting from increased protections implemented within the project area. The VVB noted MR v1.2 does not clearly identify whether all the mitigation activities described in the PD as needed to address this item have been implemented. The VVB determined clarification is required for this item. 4) The VVB noted MR v1.2 Section “1.15.2” does not clearly identify measures taken for maintenance or enhancement of the biodiversity-related HCV attributes identified in PD v7.1. The VVB determined clarification is required for this item.
Clarification Request (CL):	Please provide clarification as requested for items 3 and 4 in line with the findings for Indicator B2.3.
Date Issued:	22 November 2022
Project Proponent Response/Actions and Date:	3) Added clarification that no specific negative impacts are anticipated, and that all activities are thus within the precautionary principle approach. Table added to 5.2.1 identifying and detailing the implementation of off-site mitigations, and added a brief summary and link to this section added to 5.1.2. 4) added additional text noting activities that maintain or enhance HCV values, and linked to section 5.1.4 which gives further detail. (5 January 2023)
Evidence Used to Close CL:	The VVB reviewed the clarification provided and confirmed the reference was added to MR Section 5.1.2 for the table added in Section 5.2.1 that identifies mitigative measures implemented for potential off-site negative impacts identified in the PD. Review of supporting documentation and results of interviews with project staff, rangers, and community members substantiated the mitigation measures identified were undertaken during the monitoring period. The VVB confirmed MR Section 5.1.2 summarizes measures taken for maintenance and enhancement of HCV attributes and references Section 5.1.4 for more details. Review of supporting documentation and results of interviews with project staff, rangers, and community members substantiated the identified

	measures were undertaken during the monitoring period for the maintenance and enhancement of biodiversity-related HCV attributes. Item closed.
Date Closed:	12 January 2023
Indicator B2.4 - Demonstrate that no High Conservation Values (identified in B1.2) are negatively affected by the project.	The VVB reviewed MR v1.2 for information presented on effects from the project on biodiversity-related HCVs identified for Indicator B1.2 in PD 7.1. The VVB reviewed supporting documentation and obtained additional information during site observations and through interviews with project staff, participating organizations, and representative community members during the site visit.
Evidence Used to Assess Conformance:	MR v1.2 Section “1.15.4”; PD v7.1 Sections 7.1.2; supporting documentation (as provided in folder “05_Biodiversity monitoring”); interviews with project staff, participating organizations, and representative community members
Findings:	Instructions for Section 5.1.4 of the MR Template are: “Demonstrate that no HCVs related to biodiversity in the project zone have been negatively affected by the project.” The VVB noted the following: 1) The VVB noted MR v1.2 Section “1.15.4” (corresponding to MR Template Section 5.1.4) provides a summary of HCVs and project activity targets that are generally consistent with information provided for these items in PD v7.1. The VVB noted the MR summarizes interventions identified as implemented for HCV1 through HCV3 for biodiversity-related HCVs. The VVB noted review of supporting documentation and interviews with project staff, participating organizations, and representative community members substantiates there are no negative impacts expected on biodiversity-related HCVs within the project area from project activities. 2) The VVB noted in separate findings for Indicator B2.3 that the PD identified possible impacts could occur outside the project area through displacement of hunting/trapping pressures in those areas resulting from increased protections implemented within the project area. The VVB noted MR Section “1.15.4” does not clearly demonstrate that no biodiversity-related HCVs have been negatively affected in the

	project zone. The VVB determined clarification is required for this item. 3) The VVB noted MR v1.2 Section “1.15.4” (corresponding to MR Template Section 5.1.4) provides a summary of HCVs and project activity targets that are generally consistent with information provided for these items in PD v7.1. The VVB noted the MR summarizes interventions identified as implemented for HCV4 and HCV5 which are identified as community-related HCVs previously treated under Indicator CM2.4 in the Community section of the MR. The VVB determined clarification is required for the duplication of community information within the section for this biodiversity indicator.
Clarification Request (CL):	Please provide clarification as requested for items 2 and 3 in line with the findings for Indicator B2.4.
Date Issued:	22 November 2022
Project Proponent Response/Actions and Date:	2) Clarification of how displacement of negative biodiversity impacts from project area to leakage belt is avoided has been added to 5.2. thus demonstrating no biodiversity HCVs have been negatively impacted across the project zone. 3) HCV 5 and HCV 6 are included here as they represent forests, which are important for biodiversity, even if they are not globally, regionally, or nationally significant (HCV 1, HCV 2) or contain rare, threatened, or endangered ecosystems (HCV 3). As such, although forests not meeting criteria for HCV 1, 2, or 3 but meeting criteria for HCV 5 or 6 may be comparatively lower priority, they are still HCVs related to biodiversity (5 January 2023)
Evidence Used to Close CL:	The VVB reviewed the clarifications provided here and in MR v1.4 Section 5.1.4. The VVB noted the clarification provided to MR Section 5.1.4 refers to details available in sections 5.1, 5.2, and 5.3 for details on on-site and offsite negative impacts and mitigation undertaken for these impacts. These impacts and mitigation were reviewed and substantiated by the VVB as part of resolution of other findings for Indicators B2.2 and B2.3. Review of supporting documentation and results of interviews with project staff, rangers, and community members substantiated

	no biodiversity-related HVCs were negatively affected by the project. The VVB acknowledges the clarification provided for the duplication of HCV 5 and HCB 6 within the biodiversity-related HCV assessment. Review of supporting documentation and results of interviews with project staff, rangers, and community members substantiated the implementation during the monitoring period of the interventions identified in MR Section 5.1.4. Item closed.
Date Closed:	12 January 2023

Indicator B2.5 - Identify all species used by the project and <i>show</i> that no known invasive species are introduced into any area affected by the project and that the population of any invasive species does not increase as a result of the project.	The VVB reviewed MR v1.2 for information presented on species used by the project and demonstration that no known invasive species have been introduced into, or increased in population, as a result of project activities. The VVB reviewed supporting documentation and obtained additional information during site observations and through interviews with project staff, participating organizations, and representative community members during the site visit.
Evidence Used to Assess Conformance:	MR v1.2 Section “1.15.5”; PD v7.1 Sections 7.1.3 (including subsections); supporting documentation (as provided in folder “05_Biodiversity monitoring”); interviews with project staff, participating organizations, and representative community members
Findings:	The VVB noted the MR states all reforestation activities managed by the project use native tree or bamboo species. The VVB noted the MR identifies efforts being undertaken to control populations of one invasive plant species that had become established in the project area prior to project implementation. The VVB noted review of supporting documentation and interviews with project staff, participating organizations, and representative community members substantiates the project is not utilizing known invasive species, or expected to result in introduction, or increase in population, of known invasive species. Item closed.
Date Closed:	22 November 2022

Indicator B2.6 - Describe possible adverse effects of non-native species used by the project on the region's environment, including impacts on native species and disease introduction or facilitation. Justify any use of non-native species over native species.	The VVB reviewed MR v1.2 for information presented on non-native species used by the project. The VVB reviewed supporting documentation and obtained additional information during site observations and through interviews with project staff, participating organizations, and representative community members during the site visit.
Evidence Used to Assess Conformance:	MR v1.2 Sections "1.15.5" and "1.15.6"; PD v7.1 Sections 7.1.3 (including subsections); supporting documentation (as provided in folder "05_Biodiversity monitoring"); interviews with project staff, participating organizations, and representative community members
Findings:	The VVB noted MR v1.2 states the project uses native tree or bamboo species for reforestation activities managed by the project and that the project does not introduce or contribute to the proliferation of non-native species. The VVB noted review of supporting documentation and interviews with project staff, participating organizations, and representative community members substantiates the project is not utilizing non-native species for project reforestation activities. Item closed.
Date Closed:	22 November 2022

Indicator B2.7- <i>Guarantee</i> that no GMOs are used to generate GHG emissions reductions or removals.	The VVB reviewed MR v1.2 and PD v7.1 for information presented on whether GMOs are reported as used by the project. The VVB reviewed supporting documentation and obtained additional information during site observations and through interviews with project staff, participating organizations, and representative community members during the site visit.
Evidence Used to Assess Conformance:	MR v1.2 Section "1.15.7; PD v7.1 Sections 7.1.3 (including subsections); supporting documentation (as provided in folder "05_Biodiversity monitoring"); interviews with project staff, participating organizations, and representative community members
Findings:	The VVB noted MR v1.2 provides the assertion that no GMOs are currently used in the Project Zone, and also provides the statement that use of GMOs will not be supported by REDD+ funded project activities. The VVB noted review of supporting documentation and interviews with project staff, participating

	organizations, and representative community members substantiates the project is not using GMOs to generate GHG emission reductions or removals. Item closed.
Date Closed:	22 November 2022

Indicator B2.8 - Describe the possible adverse effects of, and justify the use of, fertilizers, chemical pesticides, biological control agents and other inputs used for the project.	The VVB reviewed MR v1.2 and PD v7.1 for information presented on use of inputs by the project. The VVB reviewed supporting documentation and obtained additional information during site observations and through interviews with project staff, participating organizations, and representative community members during the site visit.
Evidence Used to Assess Conformance:	MR v1.2 Section “1.15.8; PD v7.1 Section 7.1.4.1; supporting documentation (as provided in folder “05_Biodiversity monitoring”); interviews with project staff, participating organizations, and representative community members
Findings:	The VVB noted MR v1.2 provides the assertion that no pesticides or fertilizers are used in project-supported activities. The VVB noted review of supporting documentation and interviews with project staff, participating organizations, and representative community members substantiates the project is not using pesticides or fertilizers for project activities. The VVB noted review of supporting documentation and interviews with project staff, participating organizations, and representative community members substantiates project activities within village lands in the project zone, but outside the project area, promote sustainable agricultural practices such as compost and plant-based pest deterrents. Item closed.
Date Closed:	22 November 2022

Indicator B2.9 - Describe the process for identifying, classifying and managing all waste products resulting from project activities.	The VVB reviewed PD v7.1 for information presented for Indicator B2.9, and reviewed MR v1.2 sections on Minor Changes to Project Description (Rules 3.5.6) and Project Description Deviations (Rules 3.5.7-3.5.10).
Evidence Used to Assess Conformance:	PD v7.1 Section 7.1.4.2; MR v1.2 Sections “1.4.3” and “1.4.4”
Findings:	This indicator was originally addressed through successful validation of the PD and does not need to be reevaluated at verification unless minor changes to the PD or PD deviations are applied during this monitoring period. The VVB noted MR v1.2 included

	an additional section not included in the MR Template, Section “1.15.9”, that states: “No project activities have generated waste outside of waste generated by typical human habitation and trash production from day to day living.” The VVB determined from review of MR v1.2 that no minor changes to the PD or PD deviations related to Indicator B2.9 were applied for this monitoring period. Item closed.
Date Closed:	22 November 2022

Indicator B3.1 - Identify potential negative impacts on biodiversity that the project activities are likely to cause outside the Project Zone.	The VVB reviewed MR v1.2 in comparison to PD v7.1 for information presented on potential negative impacts from the project identified on biodiversity outside the project zone. The VVB reviewed supporting documentation and obtained additional information during site observations and through interviews with project staff, participating organizations, and representative community members during the site visit.
Evidence Used to Assess Conformance:	MR v1.2 Section “1.16.1”; PD v7.1 Section 7.2.1; Supporting documentation (as provided in folder “05_Biodiversity monitoring”); interviews with project staff, participating organizations, and representative community members
Findings:	Instructions for Section 5.2.1 of the MR Template relevant to Indicator B3.1 are: “Complete the table below to describe any negative impacts on biodiversity outside of the project zone resulting from project activities. Add rows as needed.” The VVB noted the following: 1) The VVB noted a separate finding for Indicator B2.3 identified several potential negative biodiversity impacts identified in PD v7.1 outside the project area but within the project zone that are not clearly identified or addressed, and the VVB noted PD v7.1 Section 7.2.1 identifies the same potential negative offsite biodiversity impacts were identified for areas outside the project zone. The VVB noted MR v1.2 Section “1.16.1” (corresponding to MR Template Section 5.2.1) states: “No negative offsite biodiversity impacts from project activities observed.” The VVB determined clarification is required for this statement along with supporting documentation for activities used for observations outside the project zone.

Clarification Request (CL):	Please provide clarification as requested for item 1 in line with the findings for Indicator B3.1.
Date Issued:	22 November 2022
Project Proponent Response/Actions and Date:	Negative Offsite Impact table added to Section 5.2.1 to clarify, which lists potential off-site impacts (B3.1) and mitigations (B3.2). 21-08_CAMPAS carbon calculation_reporting.xlsx shows off-site forest cover monitoring. (5 January 2023)
Evidence Used to Close CL:	The VVB reviewed the clarification provided and confirmed the table added to MR v1.4 Section 5.2.1 identifies the potential negative offsite impacts identified in PD v1.7. Item closed.
Date Closed:	12 January 2023

Indicator B3.2 - Describe the measures needed and <i>taken</i> to mitigate these negative impacts on biodiversity outside the Project Zone.	The VVB reviewed MR v1.2 in comparison to PD v7.1 for information presented on mitigation measures taken for negative impacts from the project identified on biodiversity outside the project zone. The VVB reviewed supporting documentation and obtained additional information during site observations and through interviews with project staff, participating organizations, and representative community members during the site visit.
Evidence Used to Assess Conformance:	MR v1.2 Section “1.16.1”; PD v7.1 Section 7.2.1; Supporting documentation (as provided in folder “05_Biodiversity monitoring”); interviews with project staff, participating organizations, and representative community members
Findings:	Instructions for Section 5.2.1 of the MR Template relevant to Indicator B3.2 within the table provided for the template are: “Describe the actions taken to mitigate negative impact.” The VVB noted the following: 1) The VVB noted a separate finding for Indicator B3.1 requests clarification for potential negative offsite biodiversity impacts not addressed in MR v1.2 Section “1.16.1” that are identified in PD v7.1 for areas outside the project zone. The VVB determined clarification will be required for Indicator B3.2 following resolution of findings for Indicator B3.1.
Clarification Request (CL):	Please provide clarification as requested for item 1 in line with the findings for Indicator B3.2.

Date Issued:	22 November 2022
Project Proponent Response/Actions and Date:	Negative Offsite Impact table added to Section 5.2.1 to clarify, which lists potential off-site impacts (B3.1) and mitigations (B3.2). 21-08_CAMPAS carbon calculation_reporting.xlsx shows off-site forest cover monitoring. (5 January 2023)
Evidence Used to Close CL:	The VVB reviewed the clarification provided and confirmed the table added to MR v1.4 Section 5.2.1 identifies mitigative measures implemented for potential off-site negative impacts identified in the PD. Review of supporting documentation and results of interviews with project staff, rangers, and community members substantiated the mitigation measures identified were undertaken during the monitoring period. Item closed.
Date Closed:	12 January 2023

Indicator B3.3 - Evaluate unmitigated negative impacts on biodiversity outside the Project Zone and compare them with the project's biodiversity benefits within the Project Zone. Justify and demonstrate that the net effect of the project on biodiversity is positive.	The VVB reviewed MR v1.2 in comparison to PD v7.1 for information presented to demonstrate the net effect of the project on biodiversity is positive. The VVB reviewed supporting documentation and obtained additional information during site observations and through interviews with project staff, participating organizations, and representative community members during the site visit.
Evidence Used to Assess Conformance:	MR v1.2 Section "1.16.2"; PD v7.1 Section 7.2.3; Supporting documentation (as provided in folder "05_Biodiversity monitoring"); interviews with project staff, participating organizations, and representative community members
Findings:	Instructions for Section 5.2.2 of the MR Template are: "Describe the evaluation of unmitigated negative impacts on biodiversity outside the project zone and compare them with the project's biodiversity benefits within the project zone. Justify and demonstrate that the net effect of the project on biodiversity is positive." The VVB noted the following: 1) The VVB noted separate findings were issued for Indicators B2.2 and B2.3 for impacts and mitigation in the project zone, and separate findings were issued for Indicators B3.1 and B3.2 for impacts and mitigation for impacts outside the project zone. The VVB determined clarification will be required for Indicator

	B3.3 following resolution of findings for these other indicators to describe the evaluation of unmitigated negative impacts on biodiversity outside the project zone and compare them with the project's biodiversity benefits within the project zone. 2) The VVB noted MR v1.2 Section "1.16.2" (corresponding to MR Template Section 5.2.2) states: "No negative offsite biodiversity impacts from project activities observed." The VVB noted MR Section "1.16.2" does not justify and demonstrate that the net effect of the project on biodiversity is positive. The VVB determined this is a nonconformance to be corrected.
Non-conformance Request (NCR) / Clarification Request (CL):	Please address the nonconformance as requested for item 2, and please provide clarification as requested for item 1 in line with the findings for Indicator B3.3.
Date Issued:	22 November 2022
Project Proponent Response/Actions and Date:	1) Addressed in additional MR text and tables, and through the responses to B2.2, B2.3, B3.1, and B3.2. 2) Additional table added to section 5.2.1 and text in 5.2.2: <i>Potential negative offsite impacts and their mitigations are raised above, and no negative offsite biodiversity impacts from project activities have been observed. The project is likely to have positive biodiversity impacts outside the project area through source populations of species protected within the project area.</i> <i>Given the project's substantial and well-documented positive biodiversity impacts within the project zone, including supporting stable populations of the critically endangered black-shanked douc and endangered yellow-cheeked crested gibbons, an absence of negative on-site impacts of project activities, likely positive offsite impacts noted above, and an absence of negative off-site impacts, it's clear that the project's net impact on biodiversity is positive.</i> (5 January 2023)
Evidence Used to Close NCR /CL:	The VVB reviewed the clarification provided here and the revision to MR v1.4 Section 5.2.2. The VVB noted that the resolution of findings for Indicators

	B3.1 and B3.2 substantiated that there are no unmitigated negative impacts on biodiversity outside the project zone. Findings for Indicators B2.1, B2.2, B2.3, and B2.4 substantiated the project's positive benefits on biodiversity within the project zone. The VVB concludes with reasonable assurance that the net effect on biodiversity from the project is positive. Item closed.
Date Closed:	12 January 2023

Indicator B4.1 - Develop and implement a monitoring plan that identifies biodiversity variables to be monitored, the areas to be monitored, the sampling methods, and the frequency of monitoring and reporting. Monitoring variables must be directly linked to the project's biodiversity objectives and to predicted activities, outcomes and impacts identified in the project's causal model related to biodiversity (described in G1.8).	The VVB reviewed MR v1.2 for information presented for biodiversity monitoring implemented and reported on for the monitoring period in comparison to the monitoring plan presented in PD v7.1. The VVB reviewed supporting documentation and interviewed project staff, participating organizations, and representative community members during the site visit.
Evidence Used to Assess Conformance:	MR v1.2 Section "1.17.1" (including subsections); PD Section "8.1.5" (including subsections); Supporting documentation (as provided in folder "05_Biodiversity monitoring", "03_Law enforcement" [monthly SMART reports], and "07_Partner orgs" [WHI]); interviews with project staff, participating organizations, and representative community members during the site visit
Findings:	The VVB reviewed the results of the reported biodiversity monitoring in comparison to the monitoring plan presented in PD v7.1. The VVB noted PD v7.1 Table 8.1.8 identifies the methodologies to be used for biodiversity monitoring within the project area for selected species, the variables to be monitored, the areas to be monitored, and frequency of monitoring. The VVB noted the following: 1) The VVB noted "Fecal DNA based capture-recapture" monitoring for Asian elephant is to be conducted every 5-8 years. The VVB noted the MR identified elephant fecal DNA survey was last conducted in 2016. The VVB determined the next

sampling was not specifically required during the current monitoring period (2020 – 2021), but must be conducted again in or before 2024 based on the frequency identified by the monitoring plan, so no new reporting is required for this MR.

2) The VVB noted “Line transect based Distance sampling” monitoring for nine selected species (Banteng, Gaur, Sambar, Eld’s Deer, Black-shanked Douc, Germain’s Silvered Langur, Yellow-cheeked Gibbon, and Green Peafowl) is to be conducted every 2 years. The VVB noted PD v7.1 also identifies seven species to be used as “indicator species” (Gaur, Red Muntjac, Wild Pig, Long-tailed Macaque, Stump-tailed Macaque, Pig-tailed Macaque, and Green Peafowl), for monitoring changes in the level of a direct threat such as hunting, with monitoring to be conducted by the line transect based distance sampling every two years. The VVB noted the Project Proponent provided supporting documentation to substantiate this monitoring was conducted in 2020 and the results summarized and presented in the MR.

3) The VVB noted “Sign based occupancy surveys” monitoring for Smooth-coated Otter is to be conducted every 4 years. The VVB noted no information was provided that documents monitoring for this monitoring period or to document the last monitoring event for this species. The VVB determined clarification is required for this item.

4) The VVB noted “Camera-trap based occupancy surveys” for Banteng, Eld’s Deer, and carnivores is to be conducted every 4 years. The VVB noted no information was provided that documents monitoring for this monitoring period or to document the last monitoring event for this species. The VVB determined clarification is required for this item.

5) The VVB noted “SMART data based indices” monitoring for signs of hunting (camps, snares, gunshots, etc.), observations of humans, and signs of habitat loss/degradation is to be conducted on an on-going basis and summarized annually. The VVB noted the Project Proponent provided supporting documentation to substantiate the on-going

	monitoring, with monthly reports provided as well as annual summaries. 6) The VVB noted “SMART data based indices” monitoring for observations of key wildlife species and signs of wildlife species are to be conducted on an on-going basis and summarized annually. The VVB noted the Project Proponent provided supporting documentation to substantiate the on-going monitoring, with monthly reports provided as well as annual summaries. 7) The VVB noted PD v7.1 also plans for monitoring biodiversity impacts outside the project area, including beyond the project zone. The VVB noted the following: a) The VVB noted “Fecal DNA based capture-recapture surveys” monitoring for Asian elephants is to be conducted across the “Entire Landscape” every 8 years. The VVB noted the MR identified elephant fecal DNA survey was last conducted in 2016. The VVB determined the next sampling is not required until 2024 based on the frequency identified by the monitoring plan, so no new reporting is required for this MR. b) The VVB noted “CITES Monitoring of Illegal Killing of Elephants (MIKE) program is to be conducted across the “Entire Landscape” on an on-going basis and reported annually. The VVB noted MR Section “1.17.16” does not provide results for this monitoring. The VVB determined clarification is required along with supporting documentation to substantiate this monitoring took place during the monitoring period. c) The VVB noted “Line transect based Distance sampling surveys” monitoring is to be conducted on “Adjacent PAs (PPWS & MPF)” every 2 years. The VVB noted MR Section “1.17.16” states this monitoring is conducted, but provides no results. The VVB determined clarification is required along with supporting documentation to substantiate this monitoring took place during the monitoring period.
--	--

	d) The VVB noted “Remote sensing data” monitoring is to be conducted across the “Entire Landscape” on an ongoing basis and reported annually. The VVB noted MR Section “1.17.16” states forest cover monitoring “extends beyond boundaries of the Project Zone.” The VVB determined clarification is required for the extent of this monitoring to substantiate it has covered the “Entire Landscape.” e) The VVB noted “SMART data” monitoring is to be conducted “Inside and outside Project Area” on an ongoing basis and reported monthly. The VVB noted the Project Proponent provided supporting documentation to substantiate the on-going monitoring, with monthly reports provided as well as annual summaries. f) The VVB noted “SMART data” monitoring is to be conducted “Inside and outside Project Area: on an ongoing basis and reported monthly. The VVB noted the Project Proponent provided supporting documentation to substantiate the on-going monitoring, with monthly reports provided as well as annual summaries.
Clarification Request (CL):	Please provide clarification as requested for items 3, 4, 7b, 7c, and 7d in line with the findings for Indicator B4.1.
Date Issued:	22 November 2022
Project Proponent Response/Actions and Date:	3) Otter surveys took place in 2019 and were reported in the 2018-2019 third verification Monitoring Report (Section 5.3.1.3) 4) The PD states “Occupancy surveys <i>may</i> also be implemented for rarer ungulates such as banteng and Eld’s deer and would likely utilize camera-traps and/or dung searches.” (see p.167 of PD v7.1). Whilst camera trapping is on going across the protected area, and banteng, Eld’s deer, and small carnivores have been recorded, no occupancy-based analysis has been conducted for these species in this monitoring period, due to feasibility (low encounter rate requiring high cost investment in camera traps; first recent camera trap records of Eld’s deer captured at the site in 2022, see 22_Eld’s deer camera

	trap images.pdf) and as the project has focused on other priorities critical to management. 7b) See 21_MIKE_elephant deaths.xlsx 7c) The project notes this is external monitoring not conducted by the project and entirely outside of the project area. See WWF 2020_Population status of ungulates in the EPL_SWS_PPWS.pdf 7d) Remote sensed forest cover monitoring is conducted by other parties including WWF in the adjacent PPWS and SWS (previously MPF) as part of their support to protected area management. The KSWs project also supports Eastern Plains Landscape-wide forest cover monitoring with the University of Maryland Hansen et al. dataset. Whilst this data is not appropriate for Activity Data generation, it can provide insights into wider landscape processes and the associated development and evolution of deforestation drivers. See 21-08_EP Landscape forest cover monitoring.xlsx Hansen, M. C., P. V. Potapov, R. Moore, M. Hancher, S. A. Turubanova, A. Tyukavina, D. Thau, S. V. Stehman, S. J. Goetz, T. R. Loveland, A. Kommareddy, A. Egorov, L. Chini, C. O. Justice, and J. R. G. Townshend. 2013. "High-Resolution Global Maps of 21st-Century Forest Cover Change." <i>Science</i> 342 (15 November): 850-53. 10.1126/science.1244693 (5 January 2023)
Round 2 Findings:	The VVB reviewed clarifications and supporting documentation referenced and noted the following (item numbers correspond to item numbering for Round 1 findings and corresponding numbering used for responses): 3) The VVB reviewed Section 5.3.1.3 of the previously verified 2018-2019 MR which substantiated otter surveys were conducted in 2019 using occupancy methods. 4) The VVB reviewed the clarification provided in the response and notes the referenced text conflicts with Table 8.1.8 of PD v1.7 that identifies camera-based

	occupancy surveys for banteng, Eld's deer, and carnivores as being conducted at salt licks and key migration routes every 4 years. The VVB noted these camera-based occupancy surveys were not reported as conducted in 2018 or 2019 in Section 5.3.1.3 of the previously verified 2018-2019 MR. The VVB determined clarification is required for this item to document the last monitoring event using this method for these species, and whether monitoring frequency is in conformance with the monitoring plan identified in the validated PD, or whether this change in monitoring frequency would be considered a minor change to the PD. 7b) The VVB reviewed the supporting documentation that substantiates the ongoing data collection for the MIKE program. 7c) The VVB reviewed the supporting documentation that substantiates the referenced ungulate monitoring was conducted in 2020. 7d) The VVB reviewed the clarification provided. The clarification and supporting documentation substantiate forest cover monitoring extended beyond boundaries of the Project Zone.
Clarification Request (CL):	Please provide clarification as requested for item 4 in line with the findings for Indicator B4.1.
Date Issued:	12 January 2023
Project Proponent Response/Actions and Date:	4) This minor change to the biodiversity monitoring program has been added to section 2.2.3 Minor Changes to Project Description (Rules 3.5.6). As these species are not trigger species under GL3, and the project continues to comprehensively monitor changes in biodiversity resulting from project activities within and outside the project zone under B4, the change is minor and the project remains in compliance with CCB standards. (17 January 2023)
Evidence Used to Close CL:	The VVB determined that the minor change in monitoring does not result in a material change in monitoring outcomes for these species. A Minor Change to the PD has been added to section 2.2.3 of the MR. Item closed.

Date Closed:	30 January 2023
Indicator B4.2 - Develop and implement a monitoring plan to assess the effectiveness of measures taken to maintain or enhance all identified High Conservation Values related to globally, regionally or nationally significant Biodiversity (identified in B1.2) present in the Project Zone.	The VVB reviewed MR v1.2 for information presented for monitoring for assessing HCVs as implemented and reported on for the monitoring period in comparison to the monitoring plan presented in PD v7.1. The VVB reviewed supporting documentation and interviewed project staff, participating organizations, and representative community members during the site visit.
Evidence Used to Assess Conformance:	MR v1.2 Section “1.17.1” (including subsections); PD Section “8.1.5” (including subsections); Supporting documentation (as provided in folder “05_Biodiversity monitoring”, “03_Law enforcement” [monthly SMART reports], and “07_Partner orgs” [WHI]); interviews with project staff, participating organizations, and representative community members during the site visit
Findings:	The VVB noted monitoring for biodiversity HCVs is embedded within the overall biodiversity monitoring plan in PD v7.1, and further noted most of the biodiversity monitoring is focused on HCV species. The VVB noted separate findings issued for biodiversity monitoring presented in MR v1.2 for Indicator B4.1 also cover the HCV species and will not be repeated for Indicator B4.2 findings. The VVB determined successful resolution of findings for Indicator B4.1 should allow closure of findings for Indicator B4.2.
Clarification Request (CL):	Pending closure of findings for Indicator B4.1
Date Issued:	22 November 2022
Project Proponent Response/Actions and Date:	Linked finding in B4.1 resolved, thus also resolving this finding. (5 January 2023)
Round 2 Findings:	The VVB noted separate findings issued for biodiversity monitoring presented in MR v1.2 for Indicator B4.1 also cover the HCV species and will not be repeated for Indicator B4.2 findings. The VVB determined successful resolution of the remaining open finding for Indicator B4.1 should allow closure of findings for Indicator B4.2.
Clarification Request (CL):	Pending closure of findings for Indicator B4.1
Date Issued:	12 January 2023
Project Proponent Response/Actions and Date:	(none)

Evidence Used to Close CL:	As demonstrated in the findings for Indicator B4.1, a Minor Change in monitoring did not result in a material change in monitoring outcomes for the HCV species involved. Item closed.
Date Closed:	30 January 2023

Indicator B4.3 - Disseminate the monitoring plan and the results of monitoring, ensuring that they are made publicly available on the internet and summaries are communicated to the Communities and Other Stakeholders through appropriate means.	The VVB reviewed MR v1.2 for information presented for monitoring implemented and reported on for biodiversity-related HCVs for the monitoring period in comparison to the monitoring plan presented in PD v7.1. The VVB reviewed supporting documentation and interviewed project staff, participating organizations, and representative community members during the site visit.
Evidence Used to Assess Conformance:	PD v7.1 Section 8.1.5.9; MR v1.2 Section “1.17.2”; Supporting documentation (as provided in folder “05_Biodiversity monitoring”, “03_Law enforcement” [monthly SMART reports], and “07_Partner orgs” [WHI]), and “07_Partner orgs”; interviews with representative community members and other stakeholders during site visit
Findings:	The VVB noted information provided in MR v1.2 is generally consistent with the methods identified in the PD for disseminating biodiversity monitoring results. The VVB noted the Project Proponent provided extensive documentation for VVB review for annual reporting of monitoring results that were collected on an on-going basis and required to be reported on annually, as well as provided documentation for reporting for the Line transect based Distance sampling surveys. The VVB determined the documentation provided by the Project Proponent, as substantiated by interviews with community members and other stakeholders during the site visit, demonstrates full project documentation for the monitoring period has been made available. The VVB confirmed MR v1.2 is available, in English, through a link on the WCS website, and that MR Summary v1.5, in Khmer, available through link on the WCS website, and that both documents are available through the Verra Project Database. Item closed.
Date Closed:	21 November2022

Indicator GL3.1 - Demonstrate that the Project Zone includes a site of high biodiversity conservation priority by meeting either the vulnerability or irreplaceability criteria defined below, identifying the ‘Trigger’ species that cause(s) the site to meet any of the following qualifying conditions and providing evidence that the qualifying conditions are met: 1.1 Vulnerability Regular occurrence of a globally threatened species (according to the IUCN Red List) at the site: a. Critically Endangered (CR) and Endangered (EN) species - presence of at least a single individual; or b. Vulnerable species (VU) - presence of at least 30 individuals or 10 pairs. OR 1.2 Irreplaceability A minimum proportion of a species’ global population present at the site at any stage of the species’ lifecycle according to the following thresholds: a. Restricted-range species - species with a global range less than 50,000 km² and 5% of global population at the site; or b. Species with large but clumped distributions - 5% of the global population at the site; or c. Globally significant congregations - 1% of the global population seasonally at the site; or d. Globally significant source populations - 1% of the global population at the site.	The VVB reviewed PD v7.1 for information presented for Indicator GL3.1, and reviewed MR v1.2 sections on Minor Changes to Project Description (Rules 3.5.6) and Project Description Deviations (Rules 3.5.7-3.5.10).
Evidence Used to Assess Conformance:	PD v7.1 Section 7.3 (including subsections); MR v1.2 Sections “1.4.3” and “1.4.4”; MR v1.2 Section “1.18”;

	IUCN Red List of Threatened Species (https://iucnredlist.org); supporting documentation (as provided in folder “05_Biodiversity monitoring”, “03_Law enforcement” [monthly SMART reports], and “07_Partner orgs” [WHI])
Findings:	This indicator was originally addressed through successful validation of the PD and does not need to be reevaluated at verification unless minor changes to the PD or PD deviations are applied during this monitoring period. For reference, the VVB noted PD v7.1 documents the project meets both the Vulnerability criterion as well as the Irreplaceability criterion for Indicator GL3.1. The VVB noted the following: 1) The VVB noted MR v1.2 Section “1.15.1” states the IUCN Red List status for species recorded for the project were updated during the monitoring period, that additional threatened species have been documented from the project area during the monitoring period, and provides updated number of species for each IUCN threat category for the project. The VVB determined this updated information on eligibility of the project under Indicator GL3.1 represented a biodiversity change to project design not requiring a project description deviation that occurred during the monitoring period compared with the validated project description. The VVB noted from review of MR v1.2 that no minor changes to the PD or PD deviations related to Indicator GL3.1 were identified as applied for this monitoring period. The VVB determined this is a nonconformity to be corrected. 2) The VVB noted MR v1.2 Table 5.10 provides an update for threatened animal species for the project. The VVB noted MR v1.2 indicates 60 animal species with IUCN Red List status of Critically Endangered (CE), Endangered (EN), or Vulnerable (VU) have now been documented in the project area, including 12 CE animal species, 17 EN animal species, and 31 VU animal species. The VVB noted the MR identifies updated IUCN Red List assessments resulted in re-categorization of the status for several species. The VVB noted supporting documentation provided in monitoring reports and other documents, including

	photographic documentation, substantiates the regular occurrence of CR and EN species resulting in the project continuing to meet eligibility criteria for GL 3.1. 3) The VVB noted MR v1.2 Table 5.8 provides an update for threatened plant species for the project. The VVB noted MR v1.2 indicates 25 threatened plant species with IUCN Red List status of CE, EN, or VU have now been recorded in the project area including one CR species, 10 EN species, and 14 VU species. The VVB noted several inconsistencies between the information reported in MR v1.2 for these species and information available through the IUCN Red List website. The VVB noted: Status for both <i>Dalbergia oliveri</i> and <i>Dalbergia cochinchinensis</i> is listed by IUCN as CR (based on assessments reported as updated in 2020); <i>Dalbergia bariensis</i> is listed by IUCN as a synonym of <i>Dalbergia oliveri</i>; IUCN states <i>Cinnamomum cambodianum</i> (as of 2019) is only known from Mount Bokor in Cambodia which is not near the project area or project zone; IUCN lists the mainland subspecies of <i>Vatica odorata</i> as Least Concern; <i>Swietenia macrophylla</i> is identified by IUCN as a neotropical species not native to southeast Asia; the variety of <i>Litchi chinensis</i> listed as VU appears to be native to and restricted to Hainan and Guangdong; and <i>Lagerstroemia floribunda</i> did not appear to be assessed in the IUCN Red List. The VVB determined these discrepancies require clarification.
Non-conformance Request (NCR) / Clarification Request (CL):	Please address the nonconformance as requested for item 1, and please provide clarification as requested for item 3 in line with the findings for Indicator GL3.1.
Date Issued:	22 November 2022
Project Proponent Response/Actions and Date:	1) Added additional text to 2.2.3 Minor Changes to Project Description (Rules 3.5.6) and an additional Table 5.2 in 5.1.1 Biodiversity Changes (B2.1). 3) See file “22_12_IUCN_Red_List_plants_MR_VVB_findings_and_project_responses.xlsx”. The project believes that seven of the eight issues were correct as originally stated in the MR. In one case, a minor error in which an incorrect subspecies was listed will be corrected, and corresponding figures updated

	throughout the MR (85 to 84 threatened species, 27 to 26 Endangered species, removed species from threatened plant table). (5 January 2023)
Evidence Used to Close NCR / CL:	The VVB reviewed clarifications and supporting documentation referenced and noted the following (item numbers correspond to item numbering for Round 1 findings and corresponding numbering used for responses): 1) The VVB confirmed from review of MR v1.4 that a minor change to the PD related to Indicator GL3.1 was identified as applied for this monitoring period consistent with the response provided. 3) The VVB reviewed the supplemental responses and references provided to support the requested clarification requested for specific HCV plant species identified in the MR. The VVB noted the following: a) The VVB noted the supplemental project response regarding clarification for <i>Dalbergia bariensis</i> states: “Taxonomic lumping and splitting commonly occurs within the <i>Dalbergia</i> genus due to its high scientific interest and the genus' intense research focus. Whilst neither CITES nor the IUCN are consider taxonomic authorities, these species were lumped by CITES during CoP 19 on the 30th of June 2021 and by the IUCN when the species was assessed in July 2020. It should be noted that the July 2020 IUCN Red List assessment which split these taxa was not published until 2022 (see Year Published field in Assessment Information section). As such, the Monitoring Report is accurate in its classification of this species during the monitoring period.” The VVB noted the explanation for the referenced taxonomic change that was not reported until after the end of the monitoring plan resulted in the project's continued inclusion of this species which is no longer considered a valid species by IUCN. The VVB acknowledges the response regarding the taxonomic expertise of the IUCN and CITES entities, but notes the IUCN relies on experts for the contributed Red List Assessments. The VVB noted IUCN lists <i>Dalbergia oliveri</i>, the species into which the form “<i>Dalbergia bariensis</i>” was merged, as Critically Endangered. The

	VVB determined this does not materially affect the documented occurrence of IUCN threatened species in the project zone, only the number reported. b) The VVB noted the supplemental project response regarding clarification for <i>Dalbergia cochinchinensis</i> states: “Whilst the IUCN Red List assessment changing the status of <i>Dalbergia cochinchinensis</i> from VU to CR took place 21 May 2020, the updated assessment was not published until 2022 (see Year Published field in Assessment Information section). As such, the Monitoring Report is accurate in its classification of this species during the monitoring period.” The VVB noted the explanation for the referenced IUCN status change that was not reported until after the end of the monitoring plan, resulting in the listing in the MR of this species as Vulnerable, rather than the updated Critically Endangered category, which is a category of greater conservation concern. However, the VVB determined this does not materially affect the documented occurrence of IUCN-listed threatened species in the project zone, only the number reported. c) The VVB noted the supplemental project response regarding clarification for <i>Dalbergia oliveri</i> states: “Whilst the assessment changing the status of <i>Dalbergia oliveri</i> from EN to CR took place 3 July 2020, the updated assessment was not published until 2022 (see Year Published field in Assessment Information section). As such, the Monitoring Report is accurate in its classification of this species during the monitoring period.” The VVB noted the explanation for the referenced IUCN status change that was not reported until after the end of the monitoring plan, resulting in the listing in the MR of this species as Endangered rather than the updated Critically Endangered category, which is a category of greater conservation concern. However, the VVB determined this does not materially affect the documented occurrence of IUCN-listed threatened species in the project zone.
--	--

d) The VVB noted the supplemental project response regarding clarification for *Cinnamomum cambodianum* states:

“Whilst an important tool is assessing species risk, the IUCN is neither a taxonomic authority nor a distribution/population status authority. Range maps for many species are at best rough approximations, and depending on the quality of the assessment records and locations can be misled. In 2004 botanical surveys were conducted throughout KSWS by Dr Andrew MacDonald of the University of Texas. *Cinnamomum cambodianum* is identified as being present in KSWS throughout these surveys. Kew Gardens also acknowledges the species as more widespread than first thought.”

The VVB noted the IUCN Red List website acknowledges the limitations for accuracy of range maps provided on the site. The VVB notes the IUCN Red List website identifies the Kew Royal Botanic Gardens as part of the IUCN Red List Partnership. The VVB noted the Kew Gardens website depicts an entire country as part of the species range if a record exists for that country, and currently identifies the range of this species as including Vietnam as well as Cambodia. The VVB was provided with references to unpublished botanical surveys that were reported as documenting this species in Keo Seima Wildlife Sanctuary, but the actual documents were not provided to the VVB and were not readily located through internet searches. However, the VVB determined this does not materially affect the documented occurrence of other IUCN-listed threatened species in the project zone.

e) The VVB noted the supplemental project response regarding clarification for *Vatica odorata* states:

“*Vatica odorata odorata* is the correct subspecies, and is already recorded at the site. *Vatica odorata mindanensis* will be removed from the table, and other figures updated.”

The VVB confirmed *Vatica odorata* has been removed from Table 5.8 in MR v1.4 Section 5.4 as a species categorized as Endangered by IUCN.

f) The VVB noted the supplemental project response regarding clarification for *Lagerstroemia floribunda* states:

“*Lagerstroemia anisoptera* Koehne (Published in: Koehne. (1883). In: Engl. Bot. Jahrb. 4: 407.) is considered a synonym of *Lagerstroemia floribunda* Jack (Published in: Jack. (1820). In: Malay. Misc. 1: 5: 38) according to the Hassler, M. (2022). Synonymic Checklists of the Vascular Plants of the World. As such, the project considers the assessment for *Lagerstroemia anisoptera* to cover the status of *Lagerstroemia floribunda*. The project notes the age of this assessment, but follows the precautionary principle as laid out in the CCB. The status of this species will be updated when a new IUCN assessment is completed and published.”

The VVB noted that although the Kew Gardens website identified the taxon “*Lagerstroemia anisoptera*” as a synonym of *Lagerstroemia floribunda* var. *floribunda*, the IUCN Red List lists this taxon as “*Lagerstroemia anisoptera*” and categorizes this taxon as Vulnerable. However, the VVB determined the taxonomic status of this taxon does not materially affect the documented occurrence of IUCN-listed threatened species in the project zone.

g) The VVB noted the supplemental project response regarding clarification for *Swietenia macrophylla* states:

“*Swietenia macrophylla* is found widely distributed across the tropics. Whilst this may mean the 1998 Red List assessment is overly pessimistic, the project follows the precautionary principle as laid out in the CCB. The status of this species will be updated when a new IUCN assessment is completed and published.”

The VVB noted the IUCN Red List account for this species and the Kew Gardens account for this species both identify the native range of this species as restricted to Central and South America. The VVB noted the Kew Gardens account specifically identifies this species as introduced into Cambodia. The VVB noted this species is not included as a non-native species in MR Section 5.1.6 reported as used within the project zone. The VVB noted this non-native species is not reported as used by the project within

	the project zone for project-related activities, as substantiated by information provided in the validated PD and in MR Section 5.1.6. The VVB determined the non-native status of this species does not materially affect the documented occurrence of other IUCN-listed threatened species in the project zone. h) The VVB noted the supplemental project response regarding clarification for <i>Litchi chinensis</i> states: “Identification of the subspecies of this taxa has not yet been made, and Kew considers the <i>Litchi chinensis euspontanea</i> to be a synonym of <i>Litchi chinensis</i> as recorded in KSWs. As such, the project follows the precautionary principle as laid out in the CCB. The status of this species will be updated either when the subspecies present in the project area is identified, consensus determines <i>Litchi chinensis euspontanea</i> to be restricted only to China, or a new IUCN assessment is completed and published for the species-level taxa.” The VVB noted the Kew Gardens account specifically identifies this species as introduced into Cambodia. The VVB noted this species is not included as a non-native species in MR Section 5.1.6 reported as used within the project zone. The VVB noted this non-native species is not reported as used by the project within the project zone for project-related activities, as substantiated by information provided in the validated PD and in MR Section 5.1.6. The VVB determined the non-native status of this species does not materially affect the documented occurrence of other IUCN-listed threatened species in the project zone. Based on the clarification and supporting documentation provided, the VVB determined the discrepancies noted for the plant species in question do not materially affect the outcome for Indicator GL3.1. Item closed.
Date Closed:	12 January 2023

Indicator GL3.2 - Describe recent population trends of each of the Trigger species in the Project Zone at the start of the project and describe	The VVB reviewed PD v7.1 for information presented for Indicator GL3.2, and reviewed MR v1.2 sections on Minor Changes to Project Description (Rules 3.5.6) and Project Description Deviations (Rules 3.5.7-3.5.10).
---	---

the most likely changes under the without-project land use scenario.	
Evidence Used to Assess Conformance:	PD v7.1 Section 7.3 (including subsections); MR v1.2 Sections “1.4.3” and “1.4.4”
Findings:	This indicator was originally addressed through successful validation of the PD and does not need to be reevaluated at verification unless minor changes to the PD or PD deviations are applied during this monitoring period. The VVB determined from review of MR v1.2 that no minor changes to the PD or PD deviations related to Indicator GL3.2 were applied for this monitoring period.
Date Closed:	22 November 2022

Indicator GL3.3 - Describe measures needed and <i>taken</i> to maintain or enhance the population status of each Trigger species in the Project Zone, and to reduce the threats to them based on the causal model that identifies threats to Trigger species and activities to address them.	The VVB reviewed MR v1.2 in comparison to PD v7.1 for information presented on measures taken to maintain or enhance the population status of the three trigger species identified for the project: Asian elephant, southern yellow-cheeked gibbon, and black-shanked douc. The VVB reviewed MR v1.2 in comparison to PD v7.1 for information presented on measures taken to reduce threats to these trigger species based on the causal model that identified threats to them and activities to address these threats. The VVB reviewed supporting documentation and obtained additional information during site observations and through interviews with project staff, participating organizations, and representative community members during the site visit.
Evidence Used to Assess Conformance:	PD v7.1 Section 7.3 (including subsections); MR v1.2 Section “1.18”; supporting documentation (as provided in folder “05_Biodiversity monitoring”, “03_Law enforcement” [monthly SMART reports], and “07 Partner orgs” [WHI])
Findings:	The VVB noted PD v7.1 Section 7.3.4 identified the two primary threats to the Trigger species in the project zone as loss of habitat and poaching. The VVB noted MR v1.2 Section “1.18” states: “For all of the trigger species, a rigorous monitoring system is in place that will provide precise population estimates that will allow definitive identification of population trends.” The VVB noted the following: 1) The VVB noted MR v1.2 Section “1.18.1” states that populations of southern yellow-cheeked gibbon and black-shanked douc are stable. The VVB noted

	the Project Proponent provided supporting documentation to substantiate the population trends reported for these two species are based on monitoring was conducted every two years, including 2020 during this monitoring period. The VVB noted supporting documentation was provided to substantiate the measures identified as taken during the monitoring period to reduce loss of habitat and poaching. The VVB determined these measures have been demonstrated as effective in maintaining the population status of these two trigger species. 2) The VVB noted MR v1.2 Section “1.18.1” states “Population results from the 2016 elephant fecal DNA survey suffered significant genetic sample degradation and did not produce new population estimates.” The VVB noted no updated elephant monitoring is reported in MR v1.2, as noted in separate findings for Indicator B4.1. The VVB determined clarification is required, along with supporting documentation, to support the statement in MRv1.2 Section “1.18.1” (corresponding to MR Template Section 5.4.1) that Asian elephant population in KWS are “Stable”. 3) The VVB noted all three trigger species are included in the same table. The VVB noted instructions in the MR Template do not clearly identify whether each trigger species should or must be addressed using separate tables or can be combined in a single table. The VVB noted an Opportunity for Improvement (OFI) for use of separate tables for each trigger species to facilitate VVB review for each species.
Clarification Request (CL) / Opportunity for Improvement (OFI):	Please provide clarification as requested for item 2 in line with the findings for Indicator GL3.3. The VVB noted an OFI for item 3, which does not require action by the Project Proponent to close this finding.
Date Issued:	22 November 2022
Project Proponent Response/Actions and Date:	2) As a trigger species, monitoring of Asian elephant populations in KWS is planned every ~5 years. Whilst a direct measure of population size was not possible in the 2016 session, stability of the population

	during this monitoring period is assessed through the triangulation of less direct measures as laid out in CCB GL3.1.2 footnote 132: “<i>site occupancy, intensity of key threats (off-take, mortality, habitat change, disturbance) ... Where direct evidence is lacking, past trends can be determined from threat assessments, credible local reports etc.</i>” Monitoring data show elephants remain widely distributed across KSWs, and elephant have been caught on camera traps within the project area. No legal off-take of elephants is permitted in Cambodia. No mortality events have been recorded in KSWs in the monitoring period. Habitat change is limited by project activities. Threats remain similar in magnitude. Anecdotal but credible local reports suggest continued presence. (Note this justification text has also been added to the Trigger species boxes in this section). 3) OFI: Species split into separate boxes. (5 January 2023)
Round 2 Findings:	The VVB reviewed clarifications and supporting documentation referenced. The VVB noted the following: 1) The VVB noted MR v1.4 now treats each of the three defined Trigger Species separately in Section 1.18.1. The VVB noted the accounts for two of three trigger species describe the measures needed and taken to maintain or enhance the population status of these two trigger species in the Project Zone and to reduce the threats to them. 2) The VVB noted the account for Asian elephant refers to no “off-take” of elephants allowed, the account in MR Section 5.4.1 does not clearly describe actions taken (referring to those set out in the project description) to maintain or enhance the population status of this trigger species in the project zone and to reduce the threats to this species. The VVB determined clarification is required for this item.
Clarification Request (CL):	Please provide clarification as requested for item 2 in line with the findings for Indicator GL3.3.
Date Issued:	12 January 2023
Project Proponent Response/Actions and Date:	2) This has been addressed with additional text on actions taken to maintain or enhance the

	population of this species added to the table in Section 5.4.1 (17 January 2023)
Evidence Used to Close CL:	The VVB reviewed MR section 5.4.1 which now describes actions taken to maintain or enhance the population status of trigger species and reduce the threats to the species. Supporting evidence of mitigation actions were provided to the VVB. Item closed.
Date Closed:	30 January 2023

Indicator GL3.4 - Include indicators of the population trend of each Trigger species and/or the threats to them in the monitoring plan and demonstrate the effectiveness of measures needed and taken to maintain or enhance the population status of Trigger species.	The VVB reviewed MR v1.2 in comparison to PD v7.1 for information presented in the monitoring plan for indicators of the population trend of, or threats to, the three trigger species identified for the project: Asian elephant, southern yellow-cheeked gibbon, and black-shanked douc. The VVB reviewed MR v1.2 in comparison to PD v7.1 for information presented on the effectiveness of measures taken to maintain or enhance the populations of these trigger species. The VVB reviewed supporting documentation and obtained additional information during site observations and through interviews with project staff, participating organizations, and representative community members during the site visit
Evidence Used to Assess Conformance:	PD v7.1 Sections 7.3 (including subsections) and “8.1.5 (including subsections); MR v1.2 Section “1.18”; supporting documentation (as provided in folder “05_Biodiversity monitoring”, “03_Law enforcement” [monthly SMART reports], and “07_Partner orgs” [WHI])
Findings:	Instructions for Section 5.3.1 of the MR Template relevant to Indicator GL3.4 are: “For projects validated to the Gold Level for exceptional biodiversity benefits, include all additional monitored data to demonstrate the project meets all required criteria.” The VVB noted the following: 1) The VVB noted the monitoring plan for Trigger species identified in MR v1.2 is consistent with the monitoring plan identified for Trigger species in PD v7.1. The VVB noted separate findings issued for biodiversity monitoring for Indicator B4.1 also include items related to monitoring for trigger species. The VVB determined successful resolution of findings for Indicator B4.1 for Asian elephant monitoring and

	reporting should allow closure of findings for Indicator GL3.4.
	2) The VVB noted separate findings issued for Indicator GL3.3 also cover the trigger species population trends and effectiveness of measures taken to maintain the population status of the trigger species and will not be repeated for Indicator GL3.4 findings. The VVB determined successful resolution of findings for Indicator GL3.3 for Asian elephant monitoring should allow closure of findings for Indicator GL3.4.
Clarification Request (CL):	Pending closure of findings for Indicator B4.1 and GL3.4
Date Issued:	22 November 2022
Project Proponent Response/Actions and Date:	Linked findings addressed, addressing this finding. (5 January 2023)
Evidence Used to Close CL:	The VVB noted findings for Indicator B4.1 regarding Asian elephant monitoring and reporting were successfully resolved and closed. The VVB noted determined the clarifications and supporting documentation provided for review substantiate monitoring activities undertaken for Asian elephant during the monitoring period and provide reasonable assurance to substantiate the reported “Stable” population trend for Asian elephant during this monitoring period. Item closed.
Date Closed:	12 January 2023