



**Verified Carbon
Standard**

ÜÇPINAR WIND POWER PROJECT, TURKEY VERIFICATION REPORT



Report ID	BMC-111-03-2026-07
Project title	ÜÇPINAR WIND POWER PROJECT, TURKEY
Project ID	1907
Verification period	01-January-2025 to 31-December-2025
Original date of issue	26-June-2026
Most recent date of issue	01-July-2026
Version	v02
VCS Standard Version	v4.7
Client	Derbent Enerji Üretim Pazarlama İthalat ve İhracat A.Ş.

Prepared by	BM Certification SIA
Approved by	Maris Zamovskis Managing Director of BM Certification SIA 
Work carried out by	Ms. Fikriye Seda Atabek (Team Leader / Technical Expert) Ms. Şeymanur Ersoy (Verifier)

Summary:

Initially, the project activity involves the installation and operation of 3 MWe wind turbines and originally consisted of 33 wind turbines. The electricity generated by the project is supplied to the Seyit Onbaşı TM (previously Çan Havza TM) grid connection point. Under the initial project design, the estimated annual net electricity generation was 317,500 MWh /20/. As a result, the project was expected to achieve annual emission reductions of 189,190 tonnes of CO₂e. The initial installed capacity of the Project was 121 MWm/99 MWe.

Following the solar equipment agreement signed on 03/02/2024, an additional 39.9399 MWm solar unit was incorporated into the Project. Subsequently, under the turbine supply agreement signed with Nordex on 23/07/2024, the total installed capacity of the Project was revised to 161.7399 MWm / 108.6 MWe, and the project license was updated accordingly. As a result of these additions, the estimated annual electricity generation of the Project was revised to 363,254 MWh/year. The annual estimated emission reduction amount will be 206,183 tCO₂e after the design change. The total emission reduction by the project activity is estimated to be 1,915,249 tonnes of CO₂e for the first crediting period, which is 18/06/2019-17/06/2029. Moreover, project activity will contribute further dissemination of wind and solar energy; extension of national power generation. By utilizing available wind resources efficiently, the project activity displaces grid electricity generated predominantly from fossil fuel-based sources.

The verification conducted included three main phases which eventually led to the issuance of this final version of the VCS MR and Verification opinion, listed below:

- Desk review
- On-site visit and follow-up interviews with the relevant personnel and local stakeholders
- Resolution of outstanding issues and the issuance of final VCS MR and Verification opinion

During this verification activity, 31 Corrective Action Requests (CARs) and 00 Clarification Requests (CLs) were raised all of which were successfully closed. No Forward Action Request (FAR) has been issued during this verification activity.

BM Certification SIA hereby confirms that the data reported and used in the quantifications of the emission reductions are accurate, complete, transparent, and free of material error and consistent with the project activity's monitoring plan and the requirements set out in the applied methodology, the applied methodological tools, and VCS Standard v4.7. The verification team did not detect any uncertainties associated with the verification.

This is the fourth monitoring period (01 January 2025 – 31 December 2025) of the first crediting period (18-June-2019 – 17-June-2029).

BM Certification SIA confirms the following based on the results of document review for the period between 01 January 2025 – 31 December 2025:

Year	Achieved Baseline Emissions or Removals (tCO ₂ e)	Achieved Project Emissions or Removals (tCO ₂ e)	Achieved Leakage Emissions (tCO ₂ e)	Achieved Net GHG Emission Reductions or Removals (tCO ₂ e)
01/01/2025 – 31/12/2025	209,857	0	0	209,857
Total (01/01/2025 – 31/12/2025)	209,857	0	0	209,857

CONTENTS

1	INTRODUCTION	6
1.1	Objective	6
1.2	Scope and Criteria	6
1.3	Level of Assurance.....	7
1.4	Summary Description of the Project	8
2	VERIFICATION PROCESS	10
2.1	Method and Criteria.....	19
2.2	Document Review	20
2.3	Interviews	22
2.4	Site Visits	24
2.5	Resolution of Findings	25
2.6	Eligibility for Validation Activities	26
3	VALIDATION FINDINGS	26
3.1	Methodology Deviations.....	26
3.2	Project Description Deviations.....	27
3.3	New Project Activity Instances in Grouped Projects.....	29
3.4	Baseline Reassessment	29
4	VERIFICATION FINDINGS.....	30
4.1	Project Details	30
4.2	Safeguards and Stakeholder Engagement	33
4.3	Accuracy of Reduction and Removal Calculations.....	43
4.4	Quality of Evidence to Determine Reductions and Removals.....	45
4.5	Non-Permanence Risk Analysis.....	46
5	VERIFICATION OPINION.....	47
5.1	Verification Summary	47
5.2	Verification Conclusion	48
5.3	Ex-ante vs Ex-post ERR Comparison	48
	APPENDIX 1: COMMERCIALLY SENSITIVE INFORMATION	50
	APPENDIX 2: VERIFICATION PROTOCOL	51

APPENDIX 3: VERIFICATION TEAM AND ITR COMPETENCE.....67

1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Objective

BM Certification SIA has been appointed by “Derbent Enerji Üretim Pazarlama İthalat ve İhracat A.Ş.” to perform the verification of “ÜÇPINAR WIND POWER PROJECT, TURKEY”. The objective of this verification activity is to assess, with objective evidences:

- Whether the implementation and operation of the project activity is compatible with the information provided in the VCS PD which is under DC VAL process at the time of this verification.
- Whether all physical features of the project activity indicated in the VCS PD which is under DC VAL process at the time of this verification (e.g. installed technology, monitoring equipment and so on) are in place
- Whether the quantifications of the emission reductions and supporting documents are accurate, complete, transparent, and free of material error and consistent with the project activity’s monitoring plan and the requirements set out in the applied methodology, the applied methodological tools, and VCS Standard v4.7
- Whether the monitoring systems and processes in place are in accordance with the monitoring systems and procedures outlined in the approved methodologies and the monitoring plan in the VCS PD which is under DC VAL process at the time of this verification
- Whether the necessary ex-ante and ex-post data are monitored correctly in accordance with the applied methodologies, tools, VCS requirements, and the VCS PD which is under DC VAL process at the time of this verification

1.2 Scope and Criteria

The scope (of this verification activity which conducted by BM Certification SIA is the independent and objective review of the project activity and relevant achieved GHG emission reductions. In this regard, the scope is set by:

- VCS Standard, v4.7
- VCS Program Guide, v4.4
- VCS Validation and Verification Manual, v3.2
- ACM0002: Grid-connected electricity generation from renewable sources, v19
- All associated methodological tools

- Environmental and social issues related to the Sectoral Scope 1: Energy industries (renewable - / non-renewable sources)
- ISO 14064-3:2019 Greenhouse Gases – Part 3: Specification with guidance for the verification validation of greenhouse gas statements

The verification is not meant to provide any consulting towards the client. However, stated requests for clarifications and/or corrective actions may provide input for improvement of the project design. Therefore, BM Certification SIA cannot be held liable by any party for decisions made or not made based on the verification, which will go beyond that purpose.

1.3 Level of Assurance

BM Certification SIA hereby confirms that as per VCS standard 4.7 section 4.1.2(2), CDM VVS v03, the level of assurance of this verification report is reasonable, with respect to material errors, omissions and misrepresentations. To guarantee this level of assurance all data that is used in the GHG emission reduction calculations were reviewed without any sampling. All ER data that belong to the monitoring period has been checked with respect to evidences.

1.4 Summary Description of the Project

Initially, the project activity involves the installation and operation of 3 MWe wind turbines and originally consisted of 33 wind turbines. The electricity generated by the project is supplied to the Seyit Onbaşı TM (previously Çan Havza TM) grid connection point. Under the initial project design, the estimated annual net electricity generation was 317,500 MWh /20/. As a result, the project was expected to achieve annual emission reductions of 189,190 tonnes of CO₂e. The initial installed capacity of the Project was 121 MWm/99 MWe.

Following the solar equipment agreement signed on 03/02/2024, an additional 39.9399 MWm solar unit was incorporated into the Project. Subsequently, under the turbine supply agreement signed with Nordex on 23/07/2024, the total installed capacity of the Project was revised to 161.7399 MWm / 108.6 MWe, and the project license was updated accordingly. As a result of these additions, the estimated annual electricity generation of the Project was revised to 363,254 MWh/year. The annual estimated emission reduction amount will be 206,183 tCO₂e after the design change. The total emission reduction by the project activity is estimated to be 1,915,249 tonnes of CO₂e for the first crediting period, which is 18/06/2019-17/06/2029. Moreover, project activity will contribute further dissemination of wind and solar energy; extension of national power generation. By utilizing available wind resources efficiently, the project activity displaces grid electricity generated predominantly from fossil fuel-based sources.

Technical Properties of equipment have been validated via product specifications and on site observations as below:

Technical specifications of Siemens SWT-3.4-108 Curtailed to 3MW

Specifications	Siemens SWT-3.4-108
Rated Power (kW)	3000
Rotor Diameter (m)	108
Blade Length (m)	53
Num. of Blades	3
Swept Area (m ²)	9144
Cut-out wind speed (m/s)	25

Technical specifications of Nordex N133/4800/14/

Specifications	Nordex
Rated Power (kW)	5000
Rotor Diameter (m)	149
Hub Height (m)	105
Num. of Blades	3
Cut-in wind speed (m/s)	3
Cut-out wind speed (m/s)	26

Technical specifications of solar unit /23/

Specifications	SPE 550 Half Cut Bifacial
Number of Power Modules	15288
Maximum Power (Wp)	550
Power Tolerance	0~+5 W
Maximum Power Voltage (Vmp)	42.15
Maximum Power Current (Imp)	13.07
Open Circuit Voltage (Voc)	49.74
Short Circuit Current (Isc)	13.86
Maximum System Voltage	1500 V DC
External Dimensions (L × W × H)	2278 mm × 1134 mm × 35 mm
Operating Temperature Range	-40 °C to +85 °C
Nominal Operating Temperature	-45 °C ±2

This is the fourth monitoring period (01 January 2025 – 31 December 2025) of the first crediting period (18-June-2019 – 17-June-2029).

The vintage values of the emission reductions of this monitoring period are as follows:

01/01/2025 – 31/12/2025	209,857
Total (tCO ₂ e)	209,857

2 VERIFICATION PROCESS

The key milestones of the verification activity are as follows:

Verification Milestone	Date
Kick-off Meeting	13/03/2026
Desk Review	13/03/2026-27/04/2026
On-site Visit	27/04/2026
Preparation of the Draft Verification Report	21/06/2026
Independent Technical Review	22/06/2026
Preparation of the Final Verification Report	01/07/2026

The monitoring periods have been verified via the VERRA registry as below:

Monitoring Periods	Date
Start Date of 1st crediting period	18-June-2019
1st Monitoring Period	18-June-2019 to 31-May-2022
2nd Monitoring Period	01-June-2022 to 31-December-2023
3rd Monitoring Period	01-January-2024 to 31-December-2024
4th Monitoring Period	01-January-2025 to 31-December-2025
End Date of 1st crediting period	17-June-2029

The project coordinates of the project activity have been confirmed via the generation license/12/, on-site visit measurement and validation report. Also, the KML file of the project activity were provided by the project owner. As per this document, the project coordinates of the project are as below:

Wind Turbine No.	Latitude (N)	Longitude (E)
1	40° 08' 45.1824''	26° 37' 45.4110''
2	40° 08' 43.7676''	26° 38' 00.1718''
3	40° 08' 59.2908''	26° 38' 26.3783''

4	40° 08' 57.5160"	26° 38' 39.7017"
5	40° 09' 01.2780"	26° 38' 50.2911"
6	40° 09' 00.2412"	26° 39' 01.9621"
7	40° 09' 01.7964"	26° 39' 22.7919"
8	40° 08' 53.1456"	26° 39' 26.6395"
9	40° 08' 47.4000"	26° 40' 09.1445"
10	40° 08' 47.7168"	26° 40' 17.9340"
11	40° 08' 45.0276"	26° 40' 30.3304"
12	40° 08' 46.0752"	26° 40' 45.9211"
13	40° 08' 48.3504"	26° 40' 58.3363"
14	40° 08' 43.6992"	26° 41' 05.5428"
15	40° 08' 37.6008"	26° 41' 17.4892"
16	40° 08' 32.2080"	26° 41' 26.5157"
17	40° 08' 28.8204"	26° 41' 32.7858"
18	40° 08' 22.1532"	26° 41' 38.6478"
19	40° 08' 18.6396"	26° 41' 46.7775"
20	40° 08' 15.1296"	26° 41' 57.1889"
21	40° 08' 14.7840"	26° 42' 12.9531"
22	40° 08' 12.3792"	26° 42' 24.6692"
23	40° 08' 17.8476"	26° 42' 32.6751"
24	40° 08' 13.2828"	26° 42' 48.4994"
25	40° 08' 20.3496"	26° 43' 14.2907"
26	40° 08' 13.3836"	26° 43' 16.8970"
27	40° 08' 03.1956"	26° 43' 29.2355"
28	40° 08' 00.2112"	26° 43' 41.8400"
29	40° 07' 57.9612"	26° 43' 51.1876"

30	40 ⁰ 07' 58.9224"	26 ⁰ 44' 00.1000"
31	40 ⁰ 08' 46.8636"	26 ⁰ 38' 45.8011"
32	40 ⁰ 08' 44.5452"	26 ⁰ 39' 13.7920"
33	40 ⁰ 08' 38.5512"	26 ⁰ 38' 21.7541"
34*	40 ° 08' 04.9440"	26 ° 42' 33.5664"
35*	40 ° 08' 02.0880"	26 ° 43' 17.5992"
*Added WTGs		
SPP Number	Unit/Corner Latitude (N)	Longitude (E)
SPP1 K1	40 ° 8' 36.3472"	26 ° 43' 11.2415"
SPP1 K5	40 ° 8' 34.2250"	26 ° 43' 13.2255"
SPP1 K10	40 ° 8' 31.8722"	26 ° 43' 13.7768"
SPP1 K15	40 ° 8' 31.9671"	26 ° 43' 10.7410"
SPP1 K20	40 ° 8' 32.8518"	26 ° 43' 4.1918"
SPP1 K25	40 ° 8' 35.1957"	26 ° 43' 3.7839"
SPP1 K30	40 ° 8' 35.8747"	26 ° 43' 5.4047"
SPP1 K35	40 ° 8' 35.9992"	26 ° 43' 7.6967"
SPP1 K40	40 ° 8' 36.2716"	26 ° 43' 10.4803"
SPP2 K1	40 ° 8' 27.4177"	26 ° 43' 17.7177"
SPP2 K5	40 ° 8' 25.3817"	26 ° 43' 16.7437"
SPP2 K10	40 ° 8' 24.4681"	26 ° 43' 18.9136"
SPP2 K15	40 ° 8' 22.5719"	26 ° 43' 16.9157"
SPP2 K20	40 ° 8' 24.6504"	26 ° 43' 15.1670"
SPP3 K1	40 ° 8' 28.1640"	26 ° 43' 7.6669"
SPP3 K5	40 ° 8' 25.9948"	26 ° 43' 6.7312"
SPP3 K10	40 ° 8' 26.0897"	26 ° 43' 39.0705"

SPP3 K15	40° 8' 26.3173"	26° 43' 41.0838"
SPP3 K20	40° 8' 21.1333"	26° 43' 39.4379"
SPP3 K25	40° 8' 19.3497"	26° 43' 38.2988"
SPP3 K30	40° 8' 19.6201"	26° 43' 36.0238"
SPP3 K35	40° 8' 18.5167"	26° 43' 35.2051"
SPP3 K40	40° 8' 19.7118"	26° 43' 35.8668"
SPP3 K45	40° 8' 19.6125"	26° 43' 37.6298"
SPP3 K50	40° 8' 21.0441"	26° 43' 39.5257"
SPP3 K55	40° 8' 22.6319"	26° 43' 38.8855"
SPP3 K60	40° 8' 23.0491"	26° 43' 36.9821"
SPP4 K1	40° 8' 24.9066"	26° 43' 46.5781"
SPP4 K5	40° 8' 26.0669"	26° 43' 42.3474"
SPP4 K10	40° 8' 23.2205"	26° 43' 45.0033"
SPP4 K15	40° 8' 23.0858"	26° 43' 45.7253"
SPP4 K20	40° 8' 24.0073"	26° 43' 49.6243"
SPP4 K25	40° 8' 25.7851"	26° 43' 50.6906"
SPP4 K30	40° 8' 26.6418"	26° 43' 52.5922"
SPP4 K35	40° 8' 23.9354"	26° 43' 54.8988"
SPP4 K40	40° 8' 25.7964"	26° 43' 51.6658"
SPP4 K45	40° 8' 24.3246"	26° 43' 54.8973"
SPP4 K50	40° 8' 25.3920"	26° 43' 53.6353"
SPP4 K55	40° 8' 26.9502"	26° 43' 55.8791"
SPP4 K60	40° 8' 12.7735"	26° 43' 45.5378"
SPP4 K65	40° 8' 22.6441"	26° 43' 50.0532"
SPP4 K70	40° 8' 22.3908"	26° 43' 51.6792"
SPP4 K75	40° 8' 22.6010"	26° 43' 54.5861"

SPP4 K80	40° 8' 21.7626"	26° 43' 55.0222"
SPP4 K85	40° 8' 22.0786"	26° 43' 53.9317"
SPP4 K90	40° 8' 20.7163"	26° 43' 53.9371"
SPP5 K1	40° 8' 13.0985"	26° 43' 41.8937"
SPP5 K5	40° 8' 11.8385"	26° 43' 42.0476"
SPP5 K10	40° 8' 12.2927"	26° 43' 42.5198"
SPP5 K15	40° 8' 13.9133"	26° 43' 42.0485"
SPP5 K20	40° 8' 13.2589"	26° 43' 39.6253"
SPP5 K25	40° 8' 11.9131"	26° 43' 41.2365"
SPP5 K30	40° 8' 11.9572"	26° 43' 37.7882"
SPP6 K1	40° 8' 20.8937"	26° 44' 0.2985"
SPP6 K5	40° 8' 19.6959"	26° 44' 2.2815"
SPP6 K10	40° 8' 16.8022"	26° 44' 2.7788"
SPP6 K15	40° 8' 14.5959"	26° 44' 2.4592"
SPP6 K20	40° 8' 13.6668"	26° 44' 5.0406"
SPP6 K25	40° 8' 8.4688"	26° 44' 1.2956"
SPP7 K1	40° 8' 6.5682"	26° 43' 6.7579"
SPP7 K5	40° 8' 5.1045"	26° 43' 7.2477"
SPP7 K10	40° 8' 4.0728"	26° 43' 8.5548"
SPP7 K15	40° 8' 4.2807"	26° 43' 10.6734"
SPP7 K20	40° 8' 4.8023"	26° 43' 11.9864"
SPP7 K25	40° 8' 3.4730"	26° 43' 12.1793"
SPP7 K30	40° 8' 2.2112"	26° 43' 13.4944"
SPP7 K35	40° 7' 58.5861"	26° 43' 8.5222"
SPP7 K40	40° 7' 59.4910"	26° 43' 7.1487"
SPP7 K45	40° 8' 0.9848"	26° 43' 7.9031"

SPP7 K50	40° 8' 0.8293"	26° 43' 10.6505"
SPP7 K55	40° 7' 59.0148"	26° 43' 11.4185"
SPP7 K60	40° 7' 58.6481"	26° 43' 7.3212"
SPP8 K1	40° 8' 12.8472"	26° 43' 8.3288"
SPP8 K5	40° 8' 11.7463"	26° 43' 9.1245"
SPP8 K10	40° 8' 10.4777"	26° 43' 7.6085"
SPP8 K15	40° 8' 10.2131"	26° 43' 5.4966"
SPP8 K20	40° 8' 11.4111"	26° 43' 4.6465"
SPP8 K25	40° 8' 12.2910"	26° 43' 6.3755"
SPP8 K30	40° 8' 11.6469"	26° 43' 8.2375"
SPP9 K1	40° 8' 3.5414"	26° 42' 59.1905"
SPP9 K5	40° 8' 3.4509"	26° 43' 1.9684"
SPP9 K10	40° 8' 3.4591"	26° 43' 5.3489"
SPP9 K15	40° 8' 3.4673"	26° 43' 8.7295"
SPP9 K20	40° 8' 2.9446"	26° 43' 1.2098"
SPP9 K25	40° 8' 2.9497"	26° 43' 3.3227"
SPP9 K30	40° 8' 2.9549"	26° 43' 5.4355"
SPP10 K1	40° 8' 13.9887"	26° 42' 55.8522"
SPP10 K5	40° 8' 4.7239"	26° 42' 45.7664"
SPP10 K10	40° 8' 5.0901"	26° 42' 52.3741"
SPP10 K15	40° 8' 13.0871"	26° 42' 53.2348"
SPP10 K20	40° 8' 12.1718"	26° 42' 50.3651"
SPP11 K1	40° 8' 13.4543"	26° 42' 53.9771"
SPP11 K5	40° 8' 11.6168"	26° 42' 53.6972"
SPP11 K10	40° 8' 9.9624"	26° 42' 52.6771"
SPP12 K1	40° 8' 29.1901"	26° 42' 57.6124"

SPP12 K5	40° 8' 28.6985"	26° 42' 48.0156"
SPP12 K10	40° 8' 27.1870"	26° 43' 0.5473"
SPP12 K15	40° 8' 22.8516"	26° 42' 53.3010"
SPP12 K20	40° 8' 22.2770"	26° 43' 0.9058"
SPP12 K25	40° 8' 20.1285"	26° 43' 0.5912"
SPP12 K30	40° 8' 18.5068"	26° 43' 0.5979"
SPP12 K35	40° 8' 16.8851"	26° 43' 0.6046"
SPP13 K1	40° 8' 31.5604"	26° 43' 0.9265"
SPP13 K5	40° 8' 29.2633"	26° 42' 57.3838"
SPP13 K10	40° 8' 28.1522"	26° 42' 57.5997"
SPP13 K15	40° 8' 27.0016"	26° 42' 54.9190"
SPP13 K20	40° 8' 23.9191"	26° 42' 58.4937"
SPP13 K25	40° 8' 22.6595"	26° 43' 0.6543"
SPP13 K30	40° 8' 21.0416"	26° 43' 2.2246"
SPP13 K35	40° 8' 19.4244"	26° 43' 4.0907"
SPP13 K40	40° 8' 17.8077"	26° 43' 6.1682"
SPP13 K45	40° 8' 16.1912"	26° 43' 8.2878"

The chronology timeline of the project activity is as follows:

Date (DD/MM/YYYY)	Activity
02/03/2009	"EIA is not required" Certificate
29/09/2011	Issuance of the License
11/07/2014	Site Related Wind Potential Analysis and Energy Yield Assessment Report at the Üçpınar Site
19/03/2018	EPC agreement
19/03/2018	Turbine Maintenance Agreement

23/03/2018	Construction Start Date (Notice to Proceed for Execution of Works)
03/08/2018	Loan Agreement
10/08/2018	Facility Supplement Agreement
18/06/2019	Project start date, date which plant will start to generate electricity, start date of the first crediting period
14/11/2019	License revision for the additional WTGs
14/08/2020	'EIA Positive' decision for additional WTGs
19/08/2021	License revision for additional solar unit
27/04/2022	'EIA Positive' decision for additional solar unit
15/02/2024	Equipment agreement of solar capacity addition to Üçpınar WPP
13/03/2024	Extension Energy Yield Assessment of Üçpınar Wind Power Plant
23/07/2024	Equipment agreement of wind capacity addition to Üçpınar WPP
14/02/2025	Partial commissioning of additional solar unit (Provisional Acceptance)
14/03/2025	Partial commissioning of additional solar unit (Provisional Acceptance)
19/09/2025	Provisional acceptance of T34 and T35
31/08/2029	End of the first crediting period (expected)

The relevant official documents of the project activity were provided by the project proponent during this verification process.

The key parameters about the technical design of the turbines are as follows:

Technical specifications of Siemens SWT-3.4-108 Curtailed to 3MW

Specifications	Siemens SWT-3.4-108
Rated Power (kW)	3000
Rotor Diameter (m)	108
Blade Length (m)	53
Num. of Blades	3
Swept Area (m ²)	9144
Cut-out wind speed (m/s)	25

Technical specifications of Nordex N133/4800/14/

Specifications	Nordex
Rated Power (kW)	5000
Rotor Diameter (m)	149
Hub Height (m)	105
Num. of Blades	3
Cut-in wind speed (m/s)	3
Cut-out wind speed (m/s)	26

Technical specifications of solar unit /23/

Specifications	SPE 550 Half Cut Biafacial
Number of Power Modules	15288
Maximum Power (Wp)	550
Power Tolerance	0~+5 W
Maximum Power Voltage (Vmp)	42.15
Maximum Power Current (Imp)	13.07
Open Circuit Voltage (Voc)	49.74
Short Circuit Current (Isc)	13.86
Maximum System Voltage	1500 V DC
External Dimensions (L × W × H)	2278 mm × 1134 mm × 35 mm
Operating Temperature Range	-40 °C to +85 °C
Nominal Operating Temperature	-45 °C ±2

The technical details have been confirmed by the VVB via the technical specifications of turbines and SPP /20/ and on-site visit observations.

2.1 Method and Criteria

BM Certification SIA has been appointed by “Derbent Enerji Üretim Pazarlama İthalat ve İhracat A.Ş.” to perform the verification of “ÜÇPINAR WIND POWER PROJECT, TURKEY”. Verification was conducted following the BM Certification SIA procedures created in line with the requirements of the VCS Standard v4.7, VCS Program Guide, v4.4, and relevant UNFCCC requirements and standard auditing techniques.

A competent assessment team is arranged before the verification process begins. For the purpose of assessing the VCS project activities, the team is chosen to address the technical areas, sectoral scopes and relevant host country experience. To further ensure quality and completeness, a capable of Independent Technical Reviewer is assigned. The verification team visits the site after conducting a desk review, from which a draft report and a protocol of findings are formed. The evaluation of the results by direct connection with the project owner is the following step, and the preparation of the Verification report is taken in place. This Verification report and other supporting documents then undergo an internal quality review before submission to VCS.

The verification process includes the following independent and objective activities:

- Select a verification team with ensuring team members are qualified to perform verification activities pertaining to the Project. The verification team consisted of the following individuals:

Team Leader / Technical Expert: Ms. Fikriye Seda Atabek

Verifier: Ms. Şeymanur ERSOY

Internal Technical Reviewer (ITR): Ms. Jaya Rajput

- Perform a conflict of interest assessment. There were no conflicts of interest identified between BM Certification SIA and Derbent Enerji Üretim Pazarlama İthalat ve İhracat A.Ş.
- Conduct a kick-off meeting Derbent Enerji Üretim Pazarlama İthalat ve İhracat A.Ş. to introduce the Derbent Enerji Üretim Pazarlama İthalat ve İhracat A.Ş. and BM Certification SIA teams, review the verification objectives, process, VCS requirements, and confirm the auditing schedule
- Develop a verification plan to be used throughout the verification process.
- Review the current MR (04 dated 01/07/2026/28/ against VCS Standard requirements and applied Methodology requirements. Information in the current MR 04 dated 01/07/2026/28/ was the primary focus of the verification process. BM Certification SIA cross-checked information in the MR against supporting evidence and documents to confirm the project start date, location, selection and continuity of baseline scenario, ownership, and monitoring plan.
- Conduct a physical site visit (dated 27/04/2026) to the project activity. Details about the site visit are discussed in more detail in section 2.4 of this Verification report below.
- Review the accuracy and reasonableness of the achieved emission reductions for the 4th Monitoring Period of the 1st Crediting Period.
- Issue corrective action requests (CARs), clarification requests (CLs), and forward action requests (FARs) as necessary
- Issue a Verification report
- Hold an exit meeting with Derbent Enerji Üretim Pazarlama İthalat ve İhracat A.Ş.

2.2 Document Review

The basis for the verification activity is the VCS MR version 01 dated 11/02/2026 /01/which was submitted to the verification team on the same date. This VCS MR was revised due to the issued CARs and CLs, version 04 dated 01/07/2026/28/ being the final version.

The following actions were involved in the desk review:

- A review of the data and information presented to verify their completeness
- A review of the monitoring plan and monitoring methodology, paying particular attention to the frequency of measurements, the quality of metering equipment including calibration requirements, and the quality assurance and quality control procedures
- An evaluation of data management and the quality assurance and quality control system in the context of their influence on the generation and reporting of emission reductions

The list of the documents which were reviewed during the verification period is given in the below:

Document Number	Document Name	Version	Date
D01	MR	1	11/02/2026
D02	MR	2	11/05/2026
D03	ER Calculation Excel Sheet	01	11/02/2026
D04	ER Calculation Excel Sheet	02	11/05/2026
D05	MR	3	25/06/2026
D06	Land Registry records	-	-
D07	KMZ file	-	-
D08	Provisional Acceptances	-	14/02/2025, 14/03/2025, 19/09/2025
D09	EIA positive decision for SPP addition	-	27/04/2022
D10	EIA positive decision for added wind turbines	-	14/08/2020
D11	Feasibility for added wind turbines	-	13/03/2024
D12	Revised License	-	14/11/2019 for added 2 turbines and 19/08/2021 for SPP unit revision
D13	Single line diagram	-	-
D14	Nordex technical documents	-	-
D15	Grievance letter from Üçpınar village Head	-	27/04/2026
D16	Meter tests for 2025	-	24/04/2025
D17	Nordex agreements	-	Service Agreement- 23/07/2024 Supply Agreement- 23/07/2024
D18	SPP agreements	-	Panel agreement- 15/02/2024 EPC agreement- Asunim Enerji- 15/02/2024
D19	SPP feasibility by PVsyst	-	18/01/2024

Document Number	Document Name	Version	Date
D20	Registered PD	8	08/12/2021
D21	Registered Validation Report by RINA	4.0Aa	17/12/2021
D22	Validation Agreement with BMCERT	-	06/03/2026
D23	SPP Technical specifications	-	-
D24	OSF recods	-	2025
D25	EPIAS records	-	2025
D26	Training Records	-	2025
D27	Waste Management Records	-	2025
D28	MR	V04	01/07/2026

2.3 Interviews

During the verification period, follow-up interviews were realized by the VVB to further analyze the correctness and accurateness of the information provided. The main topics covered during the interview are as follows:

- Installed technology and monitoring equipment (i.e. electricity meters) of the project activity
- Project implementation and operation
- Staff training procedures
- Calibration procedures of the monitoring equipment
- Monitoring system
- Data collection, recording and reporting procedure
- QA/QC procedures
- Eligibility criteria of VCS
- Emission reduction calculations
- Evaluation of values of ex-post parameter
- Comments from local stakeholders about the implementation of the project activity

The list of people who were interviewed during the physical verification site visit handled on 27/04/2026 is given below:

Interviews

Interviewee Name ¹	Affiliation/Role	Date	Topic	Team Member
Resul C. (Male)	Üçpınar Village – Local Stakeholder	27/04/2026	Local Stakeholder Consultation on Design Change,	Fikriye Seda Atabek
Metin D. (Male)	Üçpınar Village – Muhtar		Feedback/Grievance mechanism, advantages and disadvantages of the project	
Kemal E. (Male)	ÜÇPINAR WPP – Plant Manager	27/04/2026	Project Description, Project Boundary, Baseline Calculation, Regulatory requirements, Monitoring procedures & Calibration of meters, Operation and Maintenance Procedure, Data recording and archiving, Emergency procedures, Safety Procedures, Employment opportunities and Local Employment	Fikriye Seda Atabek
Furkan Ü. (Male)	Kocalar WPP- Plant Manager			
Validated/Verified Information			Source of Information	
Checking the technical specifications of the Installed Technology and Monitoring Equipment			Physical site visit observations and interview with the business manager	
Assessing the continuity of the Baseline Scenario			Physical site visit observations, interview with the local stakeholders	

¹ Stakeholder consent for public disclosure of identities was not obtained. Accordingly, the VVB has submitted a confidential verification report containing all stakeholder names to VERRA, and a public version with stakeholder names redacted.

Location of the Project	Physical site visit observations and GPS
Assessing monitoring approaches (e.g., SCADA system)	Physical site visit observations, interview with the business manager, and the employees
Receiving comments from Local Stakeholders	Interviews with the local stakeholders
Review of information flows for generating, aggregating, and reporting the monitoring parameters	Document review, physical site visit observations, and interviews with the project proponent representatives
Cross-check between information provided in the PDD and data from other sources such as plant logbooks, purchase records, or similar data sources	Physical site visit observations, document review
Identification of quality control and quality assurance procedures in place to prevent or identify and correct any errors or omissions in the reported monitoring parameters	Document review, physical site visit observations, and interviews with the project proponent representatives

2.4 Site Visits

As a part of the verification activities, a physical site visit was performed to the project activity site on 27/04/2026 and details of which can be seen in below.

During the on-site visit, the mukhtar of Üçpınar Village confirmed that no complaints were received by the local stakeholders during the current monitoring period.

Furthermore, there had not been any complaints raised by the interviewed local stakeholders during the on-site visit dated 27/04/2026. The local stakeholders were interviewed about the following issues during the on-site visit:

- Design change impacts
- Sufficiency of local employment (The interviewed local stakeholders were pleased about the local employment opportunities provided by the project owner)
- Waste management practices implemented by the project owner

It was also concluded that the grievance mechanism is in place, and this was also confirmed by the interviewed local stakeholders during the on-site visit. Signed Declaration from Üçpınar Village Mukhtar for Grievance Mechanism dated 27/04/2026 is provided to VVB /15/.

Date	27/04/2026
Activity performed on-site	Checking technical specifications of the Installed Technology and Monitoring Equipment Location of the Project Activity Assessing monitoring approaches (e.g. SCADA system) Receiving comments from Local Stakeholders Review of information flows for generating, aggregating and reporting the monitoring parameters Cross-check between information provided in the VCS MR and data from other sources such as plant log books, purchase records or similar data sources Identification of quality control and quality assurance procedures in place to prevent or identify and correct any errors or omissions in the reported monitoring parameters
Site location	Project Site (On-site)
Team member(s), Role(s), Activity(ies)	Fikriye Seda Atabek (Team Leader / Technical Expert) Şeymanur Ersoy (Verifier)

All the monitoring parameters furnished by the project proponent have been cross-checked with the electronic records and operational logbooks.

2.5 Resolution of Findings

The verification of this VCS project activity includes the following steps:

- Assessment of the conformity of the actual project activity and its operation with respect to the registered VCS PD which is under DC VAL process at the time of this verification
- A site visit was conducted on 27/04/2026 to assess that all physical features of the project activity are in place and that the project participants has operated the project activity as per the registered VCS PD which is under DC VAL process at the time of this verification
- Assessment of the compliance of the monitoring plan with the monitoring methodology ACM0002, v19
- Assessment of the compliance of monitoring with the monitoring plan
- Assessment of data and calculation of greenhouse gas emission reductions /28/

- Issuance of the Verification Report
- Independent technical review
- Approval of the Verification Report and request of issuance
 - In order to ensure transparency a verification protocol, which shows in a transparent manner criteria (requirements), means of verification and VVB findings and final VVB conclusions, is customized for the project activity. All findings and outstanding issues, identified during the desk review and discussed during the site observation, are included in a list of CARs, CLs and FARs.
 - During this verification activity, 31 Corrective Action Requests (CARs) and 00 Clarification Requests (CLs) were raised all of which were successfully closed. All CARs/CLs which are organized in Appendix 2, have been resolved by the project proponent via provision of additional supporting evidence and appropriate revision of the VCS MR. No FAR has been issued. For the purpose of completeness, the completed Verification protocol is also enclosed to the Verification report in Appendix 2.

2.5.1 Forward Action Requests

The verification team raises a FAR during the verification for actions if the monitoring and reporting require attention and/or adjustment in the next verification period. There were no FARs from previous processes.

According to these principles no FAR has been issued during this verification process.

2.6 Eligibility for Validation Activities

BM Certification SIA holds accreditation for the validation and verification activities in Scope 1: “Energy Industries – Renewable/Non-renewable Sources” in which the project activity falls into.

3 VALIDATION FINDINGS

3.1 Methodology Deviations

N/A (There are no methodology deviations.)

3.2 Project Description Deviations

As of the fourth monitoring period, the Project has undergone project design deviation with the addition of two turbines to the original design and an additional solar unit, thereby becoming a hybrid power plant. The initial installed capacity of the Project was 121 MWm/99 MWe. Following the solar equipment agreement signed on 03/02/2024, an additional 39.9399 MWm solar unit was incorporated into the Project. Subsequently, under the turbine supply agreement signed with Nordex on 23/07/2024, the total installed capacity of the Project was revised to 161.7399 MWm / 108.6 MWe, and the project license was updated accordingly. As a result of these additions, the estimated annual electricity generation of the Project was revised to 363,254 MWh. The solar unit became operational through partial commissioning carried out on 14/02/2025 and 14/03/2025. Additional turbines (T34, T35) have been operational as of 19/09/2025.

Information related to capacity addition has been given in tabular format below.

	Number of Turbines	Total Capacity	Date of Being operational	Estimated Annual Net Electricity Generation (MWh/y)	Estimated Emission Reduction (tCO ₂ /y)
Initial Design	33 WTG	121 MWm/ 99MWe	Partial Commissioning on 14-February-2025, 14-March-2025	317,500 MWh	180,199
After Design Deviation	35 WTG	161.7399 MWm/ 108.6 MWe (39 MWm solar capacity,108.6 MWm/Mwe wind)	19- September-2025	363,254 MWh	206,183

The added solar component is intended to operate as a complementary source, generating electricity during periods when wind speeds are insufficient, thereby enhancing the overall operational efficiency of the power plant. Thus, the integration of the additional solar unit and additional wind turbines into the existing Üçpınar Wind Power Plant does not affect the applicability of the applied methodology ACM0002, “Grid-connected electricity generation from renewable sources”. The project activity continues to

generate electricity from renewable energy sources and export the generated electricity to the same national grid. The fundamental project characteristics, baseline scenario, additionality demonstration, monitoring approach, and emission reduction calculation principles prescribed under ACM0002 remain unchanged

Due to the phased and fragmented commissioning schedule of the additional capacity, it was not directly included their full estimated electricity generation values in the annual calculations for 2025. Therefore, for a more conservative assessment, the calculation approach for the estimated electricity generation and corresponding emission reductions was divided into two reference periods: before and after 14/02/2025, which is the initial commissioning date of the solar unit components. The estimated electricity generation and emission reductions for the monitoring period were revised by separately considering the periods before and after 14/02/2025, which corresponds to the commissioning date of the solar unit. For ease of monitoring calculations and to maintain a conservative approach, the turbines commissioned in 19 September 2025 were also assessed based on the same reference date.

The added solar component is intended to operate as a complementary source, generating electricity during periods when wind speeds are insufficient, thereby enhancing the overall operational efficiency of the power plant.

Due to the increase in installed capacity and deviation of the original design of the Project, the additionality demonstration has been reassessed. In this context:

- Common Practice Analysis was re-evaluated.
- Investment Analysis (IRR) was recalculated considering the updated project configuration.

The revised Project IRR was calculated as 8.25%, which remains below the benchmark rate of 12.72%. In addition, sensitivity analyses were conducted to assess the robustness of the investment analysis against key parameters affecting project revenues and costs. Calculated sensitivity analysis has been given in table below.

Parameter	Power Price			Investment Cost			Energy Yield			Operating Cost		
Variance	-10%	0%	10%	-10%	0%	10%	-10%	0%	10%	-10%	0%	10%
Equity IRR BeforeTax (for 25 years)	5.54%	8.25%	11.10%	10.60%	8.25%	6.62%	5.54%	8.25%	11.10%	9.57%	8.25%	6.86%

Under all tested scenarios, the IRR remains below the benchmark value, with the best-case scenario reaching 11.10 %, confirming that the project continues to face financial barriers without carbon revenue. Project design document has also been revised according to the added capacity of the Project.

For the monitoring of the electricity generation from added solar unit, an additional meter has been installed at the project site on 14-February-2025. Details regarding installed main meter and back-up meter has been given below.

Name	Brand & Model	Serial Number	Accuracy Class	First Index Protocol Date
Main Meter (Generation from solar unit)	EMH&LZQJ-CX	13808069	0.2 S	14/02/2025
Back-up Meter (Generation from solar unit)	EMH&LZQJ-CX	13808070	0.2 S	14/02/2025

In terms of monitoring the produced electricity, six electricity meters are installed at the substation, consisting of three main meters and three back-up meters. Two set of main and back-up meters is dedicated to monitoring wind electricity generation, while the remaining set is dedicated to monitoring solar electricity generation separately. Even though SPP generation is monitored separately through dedicated meters for internal monitoring and performance tracking purposes, these meters are not subject to billing and are not used for commercial settlement.

The above changes represent a capacity expansion and technological configuration update, and do not alter the fundamental project activity of renewable electricity generation. The monitoring approach and emission reduction calculation methodology remain unchanged

BM Certification SIA hereby confirms that such changes are being validated and verified in detail in DCVAL PD and DC VAL Report that is synchronously being processed. The impact on the applicability of the methodology, additionality and the appropriateness of the baseline scenario have been evaluated. This MP reflects the numbers after design change.

3.3 New Project Activity Instances in Grouped Projects

N/A (The project activity is not a grouped project.)

3.4 Baseline Reassessment

Did the project undergo baseline reassessment during the monitoring period?

☒ Yes

☐ No

A baseline reassessment was conducted during the monitoring period in accordance with the requirements of the applicable methodology, ACM0002: Grid-connected electricity generation from renewable sources. Relevant sections of the project description and MR relating to the baseline emissions calculation is updated based on the revised capacity. No changes were made to the project activity, project boundary, or baseline definition. The baseline scenario remains valid. The project continues to generate electricity from renewable energy sources and supply it to the grid. The applicable methodology and the grid emission factor used for baseline emission calculations continue to be valid for the ongoing crediting period. Any further reassessment of the baseline scenario, methodology applicability, and grid emission factor will be undertaken, as required, during the next crediting period renewal in accordance with the applicable methodological requirements. VVB approves that the increase in solar and wind generation capacity in the grid during the monitoring period does not affect the validity of the baseline scenario or the applicability of the methodology.

4 VERIFICATION FINDINGS

4.1 Project Details

Item	Evidence gathering activities, evidence checked, and assessment conclusion:				
Audit history	Audit type	Period	Program	Validation/verification body name	Number of years
	Validation	18-June-2019 to 17-June-2029	VCS	RINA Services S.p.A	10 years
	1 st Verification	18-June-2019 to 31-May-2022	VCS	Earthood	2 years 11 months
	2 nd Verification	01-June-2022 to 31-December-2023	VCS	Earthood	1 year 7 months
	3 rd Verification	01-January-2024 to 31-	VCS	RINA Services S.p.A	1 year

		December-2024			
	4 th Verification	01-January-2025 to 31-December-2025	VCS	BM Certification	1 year
Double counting and participation under other GHG programs	“Double counting” was assessed by BM CERTIFICATION SIA, through review of the following databases: - the CDM Project Registry (https://cdm.unfccc.int/Projects/projsearch.html), - the Gold Standard Registry (https://registry.goldstandard.org/projects?q=&page=1), - the GCC Registry (https://projects.globalcarboncouncil.com/pages/submitted_projects), - the ICR Registry (https://iceland.itmoregistry.net/Public/Project), - the Biocarbon Registry (https://biocarbonregistry.com/en/), - the I-Rec Registry (https://fotonplatform.com/santraller/), - the Cercarbono Registry (https://www.ecoregistry.io/projects-list/cercarbono-co2) and - the GCR Registry (https://gcr.globalcarbon.net/Public/Project) It could be confirmed that no RECs and other VER carbon credits are being issued for the project at the time of this verification. Furthermore, as a host country in Turkey such any programme like a government-regulated system or programme for the constraint and monetization of GHG emissions (such as emissions trading scheme, cap and trade or carbon tax mechanisms) has not been implemented.				
No double claiming with emissions trading programs or binding emission limits	As a host country in Turkey such any programme like a government-regulated system or programme for the constraint and monetization of GHG emissions (such as emissions trading scheme, cap and trade or carbon tax mechanisms) has not been implemented.				
No double claiming with other forms of environmental credit	There are no environmental credit schemes implemented in Turkey.				

Supply chain (scope 3) emissions double claiming	The PP is not a seller of renewable energy products with embodied carbon claims; no Scope 3 reporting relevant.
Sustainable development contributions	BM CERTIFICATION SIA reviewed the supporting documentation provided in the revised PD, MR and accompanying evidence related to the project's expected contributions to the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs). The assessment confirmed that the design change is consistent with the project activity's environmental and socio-economic objectives and continues to contribute positively to sustainable development. SDG 13 (Climate Action): The hybrid project activity will continue to reduce greenhouse gas emissions by displacing grid electricity generated from fossil fuel sources. Based on the emission-reduction evidences/04/, the project activity prevents 209,857 tCO₂e in 2025. BM CERTIFICATION SIA verifies that the calculations and evidences are consistent with methodology ACM0002 (V19) and the VCS Standard requirements. SDG 7 (Affordable and Clean Energy): By adding solar PV capacity, the project activity increases the reliability and diversity of renewable power generation within the same grid-injection limit. The combined hybrid configuration supplied 369,727.41 MWh of clean electricity per year to the Turkish national grid in 2025. SDG 8 (Decent Work and Economic Growth): During this monitoring period, the design change contributes to sustained local employment through construction, assembly and operation of the new PV system and additional turbines. Interviews during the site visit confirmed that the PP intends to use primarily local contractors for installation and maintenance, in line with the project's ongoing social commitments. The project activity contributes positively to sustainable development. This was verified through interviews of the PP-Representative during the physical on-site audit.

	BM CERTIFICATION SIA reviewed the EIA documents/09,10/, updated generation license /12/ and stakeholder interviews and verified that the design change does not introduce new adverse environmental or social impacts.
Additional information relevant to the project	N/A

4.2 Safeguards and Stakeholder Engagement

4.2.1 Stakeholder Identification

Item	Evidence gathering activities, evidence checked, and assessment conclusion
Stakeholder identification	The stakeholders listed in the registered PD /20/ have remained the same since the project activity's original validation. During the physical site visit on 27/04/2026, BM CERTIFICATION SIA interviewed sampled stakeholders representing each role listed in the PD.
Legal or customary tenure/access rights	Reviewed EMRA generation license/12/. Land registry records /06/ confirm that the site is government-owned forestry land leased to the PP.
Stakeholder diversity and changes over time	Stakeholders include local residents (mainly farmers and service workers), local authorities and project staff. No significant change in stakeholder composition or diversity was observed since the original validation.
Expected changes in well-being	Interviewed the village head and local residents during the site visit. Stakeholder statements and municipal feedback confirm continued positive perception of the project. The design change (wind and solar addition) is expected to maintain local well-being through temporary employment; no adverse effects were identified.
Location of stakeholders	Reviewed KMZ data /07/. Stakeholders reside in Üçpınar village around the plant. No Indigenous Peoples or customary land users identified; affected stakeholders are local communities and employees.

Location of resources	Reviewed land-use and forestry maps, public consultation announcements and grievance mechanism records /15/. No water resources used; permits in place; consultations held locally and in Turkish.
-----------------------	--

4.2.2 Stakeholder Consultation and Ongoing Communication

Item	Evidence gathering activities, evidence checked, and assessment conclusion
Ongoing consultation	There is a complaint and request form (comment book) at the ÜÇPINAR WIND POWER PROJECT, TURKEY site and the Project Participant regularly checks the comments in the book and records the responses. Grievance register (no entries) is available and stakeholders declare they can reach out to PP when needed. Signed Declaration from Üçpınar Village Mukhtar for Grievance Mechanism dated 27/04/2026 is provided to VVB /15/.
Date(s) of stakeholder consultation	The last stakeholder consultation was handled on 27/04/2026 during the fourth verification process of the project activity.
Communication of monitored results	Contact information of the authorized person from the owner company is available at village head's office so that the local stakeholders can reach these people whenever they have any complaints, suggestions, or ideas about the project. Any complaints or needs of the local community can be addressed directly to the project proponent. This information was confirmed by the assessment team during the on-site visit and through interviewing the local stakeholders.
Consultation records	Üçpınar Village Head and stakeholders confirm that the community has no complaints; showing that no problem exists.
Stakeholder input	Interviewed local residents, site workers and the Village Head to confirm whether feedback mechanisms are effective and whether concerns have been addressed. Stakeholders expressed continued support and no unresolved concerns.

4.2.3 Free, Prior, and Informed Consent

There are no ongoing conflicts or issues with stakeholders.

Item	Evidence gathering activities, evidence checked, and assessment conclusion
Consent	The project activity obtained free, prior and informed consent from all affected land users.
Outcome of FPIC discussion	FPIC has been secured through voluntary agreement and continued community acceptance. No unresolved property conflicts exist.

4.2.4 Grievance Redress Procedure

No grievance is received during this monitoring period.

Item	Evidence gathering activities, evidence checked, and assessment conclusion
Grievance received and steps taken to resolve the grievance including the outcomes of the resolution	Grievance logbook seen by PP. The PP has developed a culturally appropriate, accessible and documented grievance mechanism covering all VCS requirements for receiving, hearing and resolving complaints. The procedure is well communicated and publicly available. Signed Declaration from Üçpınar Village Mukhtar for Grievance Mechanism dated 27/04/2026 is provided to VVB /15/.
Grievance redress procedure	The grievance redress mechanism is functioning, accessible to all stakeholders and effective in preventing and resolving conflicts within reasonable time frames. No open cases exist and no evidence of unresolved disputes was found.

4.2.5 Public Comments

No public comments were received for the project during the monitoring period.

Comments received	Actions taken by the project proponent	Evidence gathering activities, evidence checked, and assessment conclusion
No public comments were received during the 30-day public comment period.	Not applicable	The project activity complied fully with the VCS public-comment requirements. No further action was required.

No additional comments were received after the public comment period.	Not applicable	The project activity complied fully with the VCS public-comment requirements. No further action was required.
---	----------------	---

4.2.6 Risks to Local Stakeholders and the Environment

4.2.6.1 Management Experience

BM CERTIFICATION SIA reviewed the organizational structure and management profiles provided in the revised PD and supporting documentation submitted by the PP. Interviews were conducted with the site manager during the physical site visit.

The project management team has substantial technical and managerial experience in developing and operating grid-connected renewable-energy projects in Türkiye.

4.2.6.2 Risk Assessment

Item	Evidence gathering activities, evidence checked, and assessment conclusion
Natural and human induced risks to stakeholders' wellbeing	For the initial project design, according to the relevant Environmental Impact Assessment Regulation for ÜÇPINAR Wind Power Project, an Environmental Impact Assessment is not required. The official letters from Provincial Directorate of Environment and Urbanization confirming non-applicability of this regulation is available to DOE during validation process. For the initial project design, according to the relevant Environmental Impact Assessment Regulation for ÜÇPINAR Wind Power Project, an Environmental Impact Assessment is not required (02/03/2009). The official letters from Provincial Directorate of Environment and Urbanization confirming non-applicability of this regulation is available to DOE during validation process. For the wind capacity addition, following the submission and evaluation of the relevant EIA reports, an "EIA Positive" decision was obtained from the Ministry of Environment, Urbanization and Climate Change on 14/08/2020. This approval confirms that the environmental impacts associated with the wind capacity increase were assessed by the competent authority and deemed acceptable in accordance with the applicable environmental regulations and the mitigation measures defined within the EIA process /09, 10/. In addition, a separate EIA assessment process was conducted for the additional solar units. Following the submission and evaluation of the relevant documentation, an "EIA Positive" decision was obtained from the Ministry of Environment, Urbanization and Climate Change on 27/04/2022, confirming that the environmental impacts related to the solar capacity addition were also assessed and found acceptable in line with the applicable regulatory requirements and mitigation measures.

	Accordingly, the project continues to remain fully compliant with the applicable environmental regulations and permitting requirements. The project implements measures to minimize potential impacts on the nearby environment during both construction and operation phases. Construction activities are limited to designated project areas to avoid unnecessary land disturbance. As the solar PV units are integrated within the existing wind power plant site, no significant additional risks to stakeholders' wellbeing are expected beyond those previously assessed during the environmental permitting process. VVB conducted interviews with site management and local authorities during the physical site visit. EIA documents provided and it is concluded that potential environmental and safety risks are limited and effectively mitigated through site design, operational protocols and compliance with national regulations.
Risks to stakeholder participation	As confirmed by stakeholders during site visit, stakeholder participation remains open and inclusive.
Working conditions	As observed during site visit, the PP maintains safe and healthy working conditions consistent with national requirements.
Safety of women and girls	No risks identified; mitigation measures appropriate and preventive.
Safety of minority and marginalized groups, including children	No adverse impacts identified; controls in place protect children and vulnerable persons.
Pollutants (air, noise, discharges to water, generation and release of hazardous materials and chemical pesticides and fertilizers)	Reviewed EIA reports, annual environmental monitoring data and waste-management records /27/; inspected site waste-storage areas. Noise and shadow-flicker levels below legal thresholds; no liquid discharges; solid waste segregated and disposed through licensed operators; lubricants and transformer oils stored in sealed, banded containers. Pollution-prevention and waste-handling practices comply with national environmental regulations and VCS requirements. No risk identified.

4.2.7 Respect for Human Rights and Equity

4.2.7.1 Labor and Work

Item	Evidence gathering activities, evidence checked, and assessment conclusion
Discrimination	Interviewed site manager and O&M staff during the physical site visit. Company policies explicitly prohibit discrimination based on gender, religion, ethnicity or political view and are communicated to all employees through orientation training. No complaints or incidents were declared during site visit
Sexual harassment	Clear reporting and confidential response mechanisms exist; no cases reported or observed.
Gender equity in labor and work	No discrepancies identified; remuneration practices comply with Turkish Labour Law and VCS § 3.19.14.
Forced labor	Gender equity objectives are integrated and demonstrated in practice.
Child labor	No indications of forced labor.
Human trafficking	No child labor risks identified.

4.2.7.2 Human Rights

Risks identified	Evidence gathering activities, evidence checked, and assessment conclusion
Respect for human rights in project design and implementation	VVB checked the compliance with Turkish Labour Law No. 4857 and the International Labour Organization (ILO) Declaration on Fundamental Principles and Rights at Work. Interviews were conducted with the site manager, workers and local officials during the physical site visit. The PP respects all rights set out in the International Bill of Human Rights and applies the ILO Declaration principles within its HR and supplier-management systems. No violations, complaints, or pending legal actions identified.
Rights of Indigenous Peoples (IPs), Local Communities (LCs) and customary rights holders	No indigenous or tribal communities exist in or near the project boundary.

Freedom of association and collective bargaining	Reviewed HR manual and union-membership policy; interviewed employees on freedom to join professional associations.
Grievance and access to remedy	Employees enjoy full freedom of association consistent with ILO Convention 87.

4.2.7.3 Indigenous Peoples and Cultural Heritage

Risks identified	Evidence gathering activities, evidence checked, and assessment conclusion
Presence of Indigenous Peoples (IPs), Local Communities (LCs) and customary rights holders	No Indigenous Peoples.
Rights recognition and protection of Indigenous and customary groups	N/A
Cultural heritage sites and artifacts	No risk to tangible or intangible cultural heritage identified; the project activity complies with UNESCO heritage protection principles.

4.2.7.4 Property Rights

Risks identified	Evidence gathering activities, evidence checked, and assessment conclusion
Recognition and respect of property rights	Reviewed EMRA generation license, Land Registry records /06/; interviewed the stakeholders of Üçpınar and local landholders during the physical site visit. All project lands are lawfully leased or expropriated with prior consent and compensation in accordance with Turkish Expropriation Law No. 2942.
Encroachment or displacement	No physical or economic displacement has occurred or is anticipated.

Benefit-sharing and local engagement	No property-rights impacts required a formal compensation or benefit-sharing agreement.
--------------------------------------	---

4.2.7.5 Benefit Sharing

Item	Evidence gathering activities, evidence checked, and assessment conclusion
Summary of the benefit sharing plan	N/A. There is no benefit sharing.
Benefit sharing during the monitoring period	N/A

4.2.8 Ecosystem Health

Item	Evidence gathering activities, evidence checked, and assessment conclusion
Impacts on biodiversity and ecosystems	For the initial project design, according to the relevant Environmental Impact Assessment Regulation for ÜÇPINAR Wind Power Project, an Environmental Impact Assessment is not required. The official letters from Provincial Directorate of Environment and Urbanization confirming non-applicability of this regulation is available to DOE during validation process. Bird collisions are monitored as part of routine environmental inspections. Any incidents would be logged in the project's environmental monitoring records. No bird collision records have been reported during the monitoring period. Integration of the solar PV component, is not expected to cause significant adverse impacts on biodiversity and surrounding ecosystems, provided that the mitigation measures specified in the approved EIA documentation, including habitat protection, erosion control, waste management, and environmental monitoring measures, are effectively implemented throughout the construction and operation phases.

Soil degradation and soil erosion	For the initial project design, according to the relevant Environmental Impact Assessment Regulation for ÜÇPINAR Wind Power Project, an Environmental Impact Assessment is not required (02/03/2009). The official letters from Provincial Directorate of Environment and Urbanization confirming non-applicability of this regulation is available to DOE during validation process. For the wind capacity addition, following the submission and evaluation of the relevant EIA reports, an “EIA Positive” decision was obtained from the Ministry of Environment, Urbanization and Climate Change on 14/08/2020. This approval confirms that the environmental impacts associated with the wind capacity increase were assessed by the competent authority and deemed acceptable in accordance with the applicable environmental regulations and the mitigation measures defined within the EIA process /09, 10/.
Water consumption and stress	In addition, a separate EIA assessment process was conducted for the additional solar units. Following the submission and evaluation of the relevant documentation, an “EIA Positive” decision was obtained from the Ministry of Environment, Urbanization and Climate Change on 27/04/2022, confirming that the environmental impacts related to the solar capacity addition were also assessed and found acceptable in line with the applicable regulatory requirements and mitigation measures. During this monitoring period, all employees received regular Health, Safety and Environment (HSE) trainings /26/. In addition, employees participated in certified first aid and safe driving techniques trainings. Wastewater transfer invoices for this monitoring period are provided to VVB. Furthermore, hazardous waste declarations were submitted through the Integrated Environmental Information System of the Ministry of Environment, Urbanization and Climate Change in line with national waste management requirements. Wastewater management was evidenced through vacuum truck (vidanjör) service records and wastewater transfer invoices, demonstrating that wastewater generated by the project was collected and disposed of by authorized service providers in accordance with applicable regulations /27/.

	Water consumption associated with PV panel cleaning (panel washing): No routine water-based cleaning is applied; natural rainfall is primarily used for module cleaning. In line with common practice for PV installations in Turkey, module cleaning is primarily achieved through natural rainfall, which provides sufficient passive soiling removal. Any additional cleaning is performed on an as-needed basis using minimal water. Accordingly, the project continues to remain fully compliant with the applicable environmental regulations and permitting requirements.
--	--

4.2.8.1 Rare, Threatened, and Endangered species

Item	Evidence gathering activities, evidence checked, and assessment conclusion
Species or habitat	As per EIA documentation, the project activity is not located in or adjacent to habitats of rare, threatened, or endangered species and no negative impact is expected. Periodic ecological monitoring under EMRA's environmental permit continues to ensure compliance.
Areas needed for habitat connectivity	As per EIA documentation, the project activity does not interfere with habitat connectivity or wildlife movement pathways.

4.2.8.2 Introduction of Species

Species introduced	Evidence gathering activities, evidence checked, and assessment conclusion
Not applicable – no species introduction involved	The project activity does not include any introduction of plant or animal species.

Existing invasive species	Evidence gathering activities, evidence checked, and assessment conclusion
Not applicable – no species introduction involved	No invasive species are present within the project footprint. Natural regeneration of native grasses is observed in temporarily disturbed areas.

Evidence gathering activities, evidence checked, and assessment conclusion	
Invasive species	The risk of invasive species introduction or spread is negligible. The project activity is located in a previously disturbed energy-use zone and all ground-cover regeneration is natural and native.

4.2.8.3 Ecosystem conversion

Item	Evidence gathering activities and evidence checked
Ecosystem conversion	As per EIA documents provided to VVB and site visit observations the project activity does not result in ecosystem conversion. Construction was limited to already-disturbed or low-biodiversity lands and natural steppe vegetation regenerates around the infrastructure. The project activity therefore conforms with the VCS Standard, ensuring no negative impacts on natural ecosystems.

4.3 Accuracy of Reduction and Removal Calculations

EPIAS records /25/ are presented for all months of the monitoring period. All data in emission reductions table are checked with EPIAS records as the main source and crosschecked with OSF records /24/. The net electricity generated during the current monitoring period was as follows:

Period	Export Electricity to the Grid (MWh)	Import Electricity from the Grid (MWh)	Net Electricity Generation Supplied to the Grid
01/01/2025 – 31/12/2025	369,963.98	236.57	369,727.41
Total (01/01/2025 – 31/12/2025)	369,963.98	236.57	369,727.41

Emission factor (0.5676 tCO₂/MWh) available during the validation is also applied in line with the registered VCS PD.

According to the applied methodology ACM0002 v19 and the registered PD, the GHG emission reductions are calculated as follows /28/:

$$ER_y = BE_y - PE_y - LE_y$$

where:

ER_y = Emission reductions in year y (tCO₂/yr)

BE_y = Baseline emissions in year y (tCO₂/yr)

PE_y = Project emission in year y (tCO₂/yr)

LE_y = Leakage emissions in year y (tCO₂/yr)

No project emissions occur from renewable generation. Auxiliary consumption (< 1 % of output) is excluded per ACM0002. The calculation approach is appropriate.

Leakage from equipment transfer does not apply. Leakage = 0 tCO₂e.

The baseline emissions in the monitoring period are calculated using the following formula:

$$BE_y = EG_{PJ,y} * EF_{grid,CM,y}$$

where:

BE_y : Baseline emissions in year y (tCO₂e/y)

$EG_{PJ,y}$: Quantity of net electricity supplied to the grid as a result of the implementation of the CDM project activity in year y (MWh)

$EF_{grid,CM,y}$: Combined margin CO₂ emission factor for grid connected power generation in year y calculated by using the latest version of the “Tool to calculate the emission factor for an electricity system” (tCO₂/MWh)

Since the project is a greenfield renewable power plant:

$EG_{PJ,y} = EG_{facility,y}$ = The amount of net electricity produced and fed into the grid by the project in year y.

Combined margin CO₂ emission factor ($EF_{grid,CM,y}$) is indicated once during the validation of the project activity and is valid throughout the first crediting period of 10 years. The grid emission factor taken is 0.5676 tCO₂/MWh and the value is same as fixed ex-ante in the registered VCS PD.

According to the VCS PD which is under DC VAL process at the time of this verification, the estimated emission reduction for this monitoring period would be 198,116 tCO₂e corresponding to the monitoring period. However, the project in operation totally reached 209,857 tCO₂e in this period.

$$BE_y = EG_{facility,y} * EF_{grid,CM,y} = 369,727.41 \times 0.5676 = 209,857 \text{ tCO}_2\text{e}$$

The emission reduction values of the current monitoring period are as follows:

Period	Emission Reduction Value (tCO ₂ e)
01/01/2025 – 31/12/2025	209,857
Total (01/01/2025 – 31/12/2025)	209,857

Calculations have been reproduced by VVB /204 and the source data (EPIAS screenshots) are presented by PP /25/.

BM Certification SIA hereby confirms that the above mentioned electricity generation figures and GHG emission reduction calculations are presented and quantified correctly and are in accordance with the monitoring methodology ACM0002 v19 and the monitoring plan given in the registered VCS PD.

4.4 Quality of Evidence to Determine Reductions and Removals

The power plant is equipped six electricity meters. These meters, installed in the power house, adhere to the requirements set by the Energy Market Regulatory Authority (EMRA) for electricity meters. All meters are designed to measure energy in two directions—consumption and production. If a measuring difference arises between these meters and either TEIAS or the Project Proponent requests calibration, the meters will undergo calibration promptly without waiting for the regular check (i.e. 10 years). The calibration process is conducted by an accredited entity under the supervision of TEIAS, and the PP is not responsible for the calibration of meters in Turkey, following local standards and requirements.

Meters are in compliance with the communiqué for Metering Devices to be used in Electricity Market. The specification of electricity meters are provided below:

Name	Brand & Model	Serial Number	Accuracy Class	Meter Test Date	Protocol Date
Main Meter (Generation from WTGs)	ITRON & SL761W071	84051115	0.2S	12-July-2021 26-April-2023 30-April-2024 24-April-2025	19-June-2019 (First Index Protocol)
Back-up Meter (Generation from WTGs)	ITRON & SL761W071	84051116	0.2S	12-July-2021 26-April-2023 30-April-2024 24-April-2025	11-May-2019 (First Index Protocol)

Main Meter (Generation from WTGs)	ITRON & SL761W071	84051117	0.2S	12-July-2021 26-April-2023 30-April-2024 24-April-2025	19-June- 2019 (First Index Protocol)
Back-up Meter (Generation from WTGs)	ITRON & SL761W071	84051118	0.2S	12-July-2021 26-April-2023 30-April-2024 24-April-2025	11-May- 2019 (First Index Protocol)
Main Meter (Generation from solar unit)	EMH&LZQJ-CX	13808069	C Class	14/02/2025	14/02/2025
Back-up Meter (Generation from solar unit)	EMH&LZQJ-CX	13808070	C class	14/02/2025	14/02/2025

Level of materiality is ensured by application of “VCS Standard” version 4.7. As per this document, the threshold for materiality with respect to the aggregate of errors, omissions, and misrepresentations relative to the total reported GHG emission reductions and/or removals shall be five percent for projects and one percent for large projects. Since the project activity is a project scale (annual emission reductions < 300,000 tCO₂e), the materiality threshold is 5%. Level of materiality is ensured by the verification team by conducting comprehensive review of documentation, processes, and practices to provide a high level of confidence in the findings.

All monitoring records, including meter readings, calibration certificates and QA/QC documentation, are retained for a minimum of two years after the end of the final crediting period in accordance with applicable legal requirements.

No material discrepancies were identified between the project Monitoring Plan (MP) and the requirements of the applied methodology.

Therefore, BM Certification SIA hereby confirms that the evidence used to determine the GHG emission reductions are sufficient in quantity and appropriate in quality.

4.5 Non-Permanence Risk Analysis

N/A (The project activity is not an AFOLU.)

5 VERIFICATION OPINION

5.1 Verification Summary

BM Certification SIA has performed the verification activity of “ÜÇPINAR WIND POWER PROJECT, TURKEY” which is a VCS project with the reference number “1907” for the 4th verification of the 1st Crediting Period between 6-September-2019 to 5-September-2029 (both days included). The scope of the activities covers the verification and certification of GHG emissions reductions reported in the VCS MR version 04 dated 01/07/2026/28/.

Life İklim ve Enerji Ltd. Şti is responsible for the preparation of the GHG emissions data and the reported GHG emissions reductions of the project on the basis set out within the project Monitoring Plan indicated in the final VCS MR, version 04 dated 01/07/2026/28/. The development and maintenance of records and reporting procedures in accordance with that plan, including the calculation and determination of GHG emission reductions from the project, is the responsibility of the management of the Responsible Party. The development and maintenance of the records and the related monitoring procedures are in accordance with the VCS MR, version 04 dated 01/07/2026/28/.

BM Certification SIA concludes that the GHG assertion is free of material misstatement. The emission reductions calculated can be considered in conformance with the:

- VCS Standard, v4.7
- VCS Program Guide, v4.4
- VCS Validation and Verification Manual, v3.2
- ACM0002: Grid-connected electricity generation from renewable sources, v19
- All associated methodological tools
- Environmental and social issues related to the Sectoral Scope 1: Energy industries (renewable - / non-renewable sources)
- ISO 14064-3:2019 Greenhouse Gases – Part 3: Specification with guidance for the verification validation of greenhouse gas statements

The verification has been performed by a verification team consisting of Fikriye Seda Atabek as the Team Leader and Şeymanur ERSOY as the Verifier. The Independent Technical Review was performed by Jaya RAJPUT and the project activity was checked against the applicable rules and regulations of VCS Standard, v4.7.

The verification activity can confirm that:

- the project is implemented and operated as per the registered capacity indicated in the VCS PD which is under DC VAL process at the time of this verification

- the monitoring complies with the monitoring plan in the registered VCS PD which is under DC VAL process at the time of this verification
- the VCS MR (version 03 dated) /05/ and other supporting documents provided are complete and verifiable and in accordance with the applicable VCS requirements
- the installed equipment being essential for generating emission reduction runs reliably and is calibrated appropriately
- the monitoring system is in place and generates GHG emission reductions data
- the emission factor is taken correctly as per the registered VCS PD which is under DC VAL process at the time of this verification
- the GHG emission reductions are calculated without material misstatements

BM Certification SIA hereby confirms that the project activity “ÜÇPINAR WIND POWER PROJECT, TURKEY” in Turkey, is implemented as per the registered capacity indicated in the VCS PD which is under DC VAL process at the time of this verification. The monitoring system is in place and the emission reductions are achieved without material misstatements as per the applied approved methodology, which is “ACM0002: Grid-connected electricity generation from renewable sources, v19”.

5.2 Verification Conclusion

BM Certification SIA confirms that the level of assurance of this verification report is reasonable, with respect to material errors, omissions and misrepresentations. To guarantee this level of assurance all data that is used in the GHG emission reduction calculations have been reviewed without any sampling.

Verification period: From 01 January 2025 to 31 December 2025

Verified GHG emission reductions and carbon dioxide removals in the above verification period:

Vintage period	Baseline emissions (tCO ₂ e)	Project emissions (tCO ₂ e)	Leakage emissions (tCO ₂ e)	Reduction VCUs (tCO ₂ e)	Removal VCUs (tCO ₂ e)	Total VCUs (tCO ₂ e)
01 January 2025 - 31 December 2025	209,857	0	0	209,857	0	209,857
Total	209,857	0	0	209,857	0	209,857

5.3 Ex-ante vs Ex-post ERR Comparison

Vintage period	Ex-ante estimated	Achieved reductions/removals	Percent difference	Explanation for the difference
----------------	-------------------	------------------------------	--------------------	--------------------------------

reductions/ removals				
01-Jan-2025 to 31-Dec-2025	203,546	209,857	3.1%	The actual emission reductions achieved during the monitoring period exceeded the initial estimates by 3.1. This positive deviation is mainly attributable to the integration of the solar PV unit into the existing wind power plant, forming a hybrid generation structure. The addition of the solar PV component complements the existing wind generation profile, resulting in increased overall renewable electricity generation and more efficient utilization of the existing project infrastructure.. In addition, favorable wind conditions during the monitoring period also contributed to the higher-than-expected generation performance and emission reductions.
Total	203,546	209,857	3.1%	

Team Leader Signature

Ms. Fikriye Seda Atabek


Independent Technical Reviewer Signature

Ms. Jaya RAJPUT



APPENDIX 1: COMMERCIALLY SENSITIVE INFORMATION

N/A

<i>Section</i>	<i>Information</i>	<i>Justification</i>	<i>Assessment method and conclusion</i>
N/A	N/A	N/A	

APPENDIX 2: VERIFICATION PROTOCOL

Project ID & Name:	Ucpinar WPP							
Project Developer:	Derbent Enerji Üretim Pazarlama İthalat ve İhracat A.Ş.							
Reporting Period:	VER (01-Jan-2025 to 31-Dec-2025)							
List of Findings version:	6.0							
Corrective Action Request (CAR), Clarification Request (CL), Forward Action Request (FAR)	Finding	Date (First findings dated DD/MM/YYYY)	Section of Protocol/ Methodology or Program Document	Project Developer Response and Date	BMC response and Date	Additional Project Developer Response and Date	Additional BMC Response and Date	Open or Closed
CAR								
CAR 1	Typo in MR "This third monitoring period covers"	05/01/2026	MR	Corrected	21/06/2026 Ok closed(corrected)			
CAR 2	Estimated ER in MR is 180,693 and is different than in excel and	05/01/2026	MR	Checked and corrected	21/06/2026 Ok closed(corrected)			

	registered PDD							
CAR 3	Employment records of 19 employees sent to VVB, please clarify subcontract or and own personnel number and write in MR what is the role of each employee (how many operators etc).	05/01/2026	MR	Records of the employees have been included in ER excel whether they are subcontractor vs. own personnel. MR has been revised accordingly. The Project Activity has employed 16 people.	21/06/2026 Ok closed(corrected)			
CAR 4	Check 2024 in table 4 of MR	05/01/2026	table 4	Corrected	21/06/2026 Ok closed(corrected)			
CAR 5	Please show Contributions over project lifetime calculation in ER excel	05/01/2026	ER excel	Contributions over the CP has been included in ER Excel	21/06/2026 Ok closed(corrected)			
CAR 6	Check this in MR "second	05/01/2026	MR	Corrected	21/06/2026 Ok closed(corrected)			

	(previous) MP"							
CAR7	Meter ID in EPIAS records is different than the meters on site, please clarify	05/01/2026	EPIAS	The meter IDs presented in the EPIAS records (69210955 and 69210956) are system-assigned identification numbers used for market settlement and generation monitoring purposes within the integrated monitoring structure of the project, which includes both wind and solar electricity generation. Therefore, these IDs correspond to the metering points registered in the EPIAS system and	21/06/2026 Ok closed(corrected)			

				do not directly match the physical manufacturer serial numbers of the meters installed on site.				
CAR8	On site, SPP meters were observed to be different, this is not explained in MR, are SPP meters subject to billing or they are just internal?	05/01/2026	MR	The SPP generation is monitored separately through dedicated meters for internal monitoring and performance tracking purposes. These meters are not subject to billing and are not used for commercial settlement. Related information and metering information for solar unit has been included in MR	21/06/2026 Ok closed(corrected)			

CAR9	Please check date 14/03/2025 in MR, please provide acceptance for SPP	05/01/2026	MR	The date has been checked and acceptance date of SPP has been included. Solar unit commissioning has been realized partially on 14/02/2025 and 14/03/2025. Provisional acceptance documents have been provided as a supporting document	21/06/2026 Ok closed(corrected)			
CAR10	Connection point in MR is Can TM but in license it appears as Seyit Onbasi TM	05/01/2026	MR	Connection point has been changed from Can to Seyit Onbasi on 21/11/2024. Related revisions have been reflected in MR along with the necessary source information.	21/06/2026 Ok closed(corrected)			

CAR11	In section 4.2 of MR please state clearly TR1, TR2 and SPP meters and each meters details (state the meters in each transformer separately (including their first index and calibration info) and also tabulate which parts of the plant they monitor (which turbines or SPP part etc). Please make sure that for the ER info correct meters have	05/01/2026	4.2 of MR	Section 4.2 has been revised accordingly. All the meter information has been provided in Monitoring Equipment row along with the necessary explanation	21/06/2026 Ok closed(corrected)			
---------------------	--	-------------------	------------------	---	--	--	--	--

	been counted.)							
CAR12	Please clearly state in 3.2.2 of MR as of which date the DC of SPP and new turbines are applicable (start of 2026 as per the DC documents provided?). If it is start of 2026, the ERs calculated for this MP should not contain SPP and new turbines generation therefore they should be subtracted	05/01/2026	3.2.2 of MR	Information has been included in Section 3.2.2	21/06/2026 Ok closed(corrected)			

	from generation values and the resulting process shall be explained in 3.2.2 of MR clearly							
CAR13	Check number and date in "Estimated emission reduction is 180,693 tCO ₂ for this monitoring period (01/01/2024 - 31/12/2024) ."	05/01/2026	MR	Checked and corrected	21/06/2026 Ok closed(corrected)			
CAR14	Nordex and SPP technical properties in MR	21/06/2026	MR	Technical specifications related to capacity addition has been added to MR	26/06/2026 Ok closed(corrected)			
CAR15	Comment in MR about trainings given, waste related evidences	21/06/2026	MR	Provided	26/06/2026 Ok closed(corrected)			

CAR16	Sec 3.21.1 of VCS Standard - 3.21.1 Deviations from the project description are permitted at verification. Hence, include deviation details overall in MR	21/06/2026	MR	Checked and necessary information related to capacity addition has been added throughout PDD	26/06/2026 Ok closed(corrected)			
CAR17	3.2.2 of MR, MR template Guideline - section does not justify if project deviation impact applied methodology.	21/06/2026	MR	Stated in Section 3.2.2	26/06/2026 Ok closed(corrected)			
CAR18	3.3 of MR Why baseline reassessment is not valid	21/06/2026	MR	Revised	26/06/2026 Ok closed(corrected)			

	when IRR is also calculated, clarify						
CAR19	5.2 and 5.3 of MR Justify it as per applied meth	21/06/2026	MR	Justification provided in line with the applied methodology	26/06/2026 Ok closed(corrected)		
CAR20	Please provide your contributions over lifetime calculation in 1.12 of MR and excel., excel not provided	21/06/2026	MR	Provided	26/06/2026 Ok closed(corrected)		
CAR21	As the DC will be applicable as of 2025, please explain in MR the changes related to DC in relevant sections in line with the DC VAL report	21/06/2026	MR	Checked and necessary information related to capacity addition has been added throughout PDD	26/06/2026 Ok closed(corrected)		

	(although it is not approved yet) (project description, technical specifications of equipment, locations, methodology-tools, SDGs, info on meters, TR1 and TR2, new CM EF)							
CAR22	In 1.1 of MR please define number of panels and date of license revision	30/06/2026	MR	Related information has been included in Section 1.1 Panel number has been given in SPP's technical specification table.	01/07/2026 Ok closed(corrected)			
CAR23	In 2.1.1 of MR please describe the process used to identify stakeholder	30/06/2026	MR	Stakeholder identification has been revised.	01/07/2026 Ok closed(corrected)			

CAR24	Please clarify management experience in MR	30/06/2026	MR	Clarified.	01/07/2026 Ok closed(corrected)			
CAR25	2.2.2 of MR please add mitigation measure for nature	30/06/2026	MR	Added to Section 2.2.2	01/07/2026 Ok closed(corrected)			
CAR26	In 2.4 of MR please add how bird collisions are logged	30/06/2026	MR	Added to Section 2.4	01/07/2026 Ok closed(corrected)			
CAR27	2.4.1 of MR please state spp effect	30/06/2026	MR	SPP effect has been included.	01/07/2026 Ok closed(corrected)			
CAR28	In MR please clarify what SPP is	30/06/2026	MR	Clarified.	01/07/2026 Ok closed(corrected)			

CAR29	3.4 of MR summary of the baseline reassessment applied during the monitoring period. Include a summary on the following: • Details of the use and applicability of the latest approved version of the methodology or its replacement, where relevant. • Sections in the project description that have been updated to reflect changes in the new baseline.	30/06/2026	MR	Related information has been included in Section 3.4.	01/07/2026 Ok closed(corrected)			
-------	--	------------	----	---	---------------------------------------	--	--	--

	• Indicate whether the baseline scenario is still valid. If the previous baseline scenario is no longer valid, summarize the new baseline scenario as described in the updated project description. • Describe the impact of new relevant national and/or sectoral policies and circumstances on the validity of the baseline scenario, where relevant. Include the							
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

	percentage change between the revised baseline emissions provided in the updated project description and the previous baseline emissions.							
CAR30	Regarding "The additional solar capacity increased the overall electricity generation ", Please explain, is it because of more energy generation from wind or solar or there is any	30/06/2026	MR	The addition of the solar PV component complements the existing wind generation profile, resulting in increased overall renewable electricity generation and more efficient utilization of	01/07/2026 Ok closed(corrected)			

	other reason.			the existing project infrastructure. The statement has been revised accordingly				
CAR31	Please revise name of SDG13	30/06/2026	MR	Corrected.	01/07/2026 Ok closed(corrected)			
CAR32								
CL								
CL 1								
FAR								
FAR 1								
FAR 2								

APPENDIX 3: VERIFICATION TEAM AND ITR COMPETENCE

Team Leader /Lead Verifier

Fikriye Seda ATABEK is qualified Team Leader and auditor for scope 1.1-2, 3.1 and 13.1 for validation and verification of GHG projects. Since 2010, she has been a lead verifier/verifier/technical reviewer for 400+ projects under Gold Standard/ VERRA/ GCC and ICR. Seda has B.Sc. in Chemical Engineering from Istanbul Technical University, M.Sc. in Energy Science and Technology from Istanbul Technical University and Executive MBA from Koç University.

Verifier

Şeymanur Ersoy is a qualified carbon project developer and sustainability professional, currently validator/verifier for scope 1.1-2, 3.1 and 13.1-2 for validation and verification of GHG projects for BM Certification SIA. She has over 4 years of hands-on experience in the voluntary carbon market, having developed 36 VERRA and Gold Standard projects for more than 20 companies. Ersoy holds a Master's degree in Bioengineering from Izmir University of Economics and a Bachelor's degree from Ege University.

Independent Technical Reviewer

Jaya Rajput is a qualified Team Leader and Lead Auditor for validation and verification of GHG emission reduction projects under Verra, Gold Standard, SD Vista, and CDM. She has been involved in the assessment of over 30 projects across sectors 1 (Energy industries), 3 (Energy demand), and 13 (Waste handling and disposal). Jaya holds an M.Sc. in Environmental Science and Technology and a B.Sc. in Life Sciences, and is a certified GHG Lead Verifier/Validator under ISO 14064.