



**Verified Carbon
Standard**

GRID CONNECTED WIND ENERGY PROJECT IN GUJRAT



Report ID	EPL-VCS-2025-90
Project title	Grid Connected Wind Energy Project in Gujrat
Project ID	1968
Verification period	01-Jan-2024 to 31-Dec-2024 (Both Days Inclusive)
Original date of issue	01-Feb-2026
Most recent date of issue	05-June-2026
Version	1.2
VCS Standard Version	4.7
Client	Apraava Renewable Energy Private Limited
Prepared by	EcoLance Private Limited

Approved by	 Champok Buragohain-Director
Work carried out by	Team Leader: Biswajit Lahon Technical Expert: Tanvi Nadkarni Verifier: Bidyut Pavan Baishya Technical Reviewer: Eswar Murty

Summary:

EcoLance Private Limited (EPL), appointed by Apraava Renewable Energy Private Limited (AREPL), has performed the 2nd verification of the 1st crediting period of the greenhouse gas emission reductions reported for the project activity “Grid Connected Wind Energy Project in Gujarat” VCS 1968, covering monitoring period from 01-Jan-2024 to 31-Dec-2024 with regard to the relevant requirements for VCS activities.

The project activity is a grid-connected wind power project comprising 120 wind turbine generators of 2.1 MW each, with a total installed capacity of 252 MW, located at the Sidhpur project site in Dwarka district, Gujarat, India. At the time of project registration, the project activity was conceived with an installed capacity of 250.8 MW, consisting of 114 wind turbine generators of 2.2 MW each. However, due to a change in the equipment supplier from Siemens Gamesa to Suzlon, the envisaged project capacity was revised to 252 MW. The project thereby reduces green-house gas emissions which otherwise would have released from grid connected fossil fuel-based power plants. The power generated from this project activity has been supplied to the Indian Integrated Grid System. The Project is fully commissioned and is supplying electricity to Integrated Indian Grid system.

The objective of the verification is to have an independent review ex-post determination of the monitored reductions in GHG emissions. Verification was conducted using EPL’s procedures in line with the requirements specified in the CDM M&P, the VCS validation and verification manual version 3.2, VCS standard v4.7, ISO14064-3: 2019 and relevant decisions of the COP/MOP and the CDM EB and applying standard auditing techniques. The verification consisted of desk review of documents, on-site assessment and the resolution of outstanding issues and the issuance of the verification opinion.

The verification shall ensure that reported emission reductions are complete and accurate in accordance with applicable VCS requirements in order to be certified.

The GHG emission reductions were calculated on the basis of the approved methodology ACM0002 ‘Grid-connected electricity generation from renewable sources’ version 19.0 and the monitoring plan included in the registered Project Description, version 2.1 of 29-July-2024.

During this verification, 03 Clarification Requests (CLs) and 05 Corrective Action Request (CARs) were identified related to operation. These CARs and CLs are successfully closed after necessary

corrections/clarifications by the client. The same has been discussed in Appendix 06 of this verification report.

VVB found no uncertainties during the audit and found the emission reductions are calculated in the MR and ER sheet is accurate and in accordance with applied methodology and registered monitoring plan during this verification of the project activity.

In conclusion, it is EPL's opinion that the project activity "Grid Connected Wind Energy project in Gujrat" VCS ID 1968, meets all relevant requirements for VCS standard and guidelines and correctly applies the baseline and monitoring methodology ACM0002 'Grid-connected electricity generation from renewable sources' version 19.0. The monitoring system is in place and the emission reductions are calculated without any material misstatement. Hence, EPL is able to certify that the emission reductions from the project during the monitoring period 01-Jan-2024 to 31-Dec-2024 amount to 649,828 tCO₂e.

1.1 Contents

1.1	Contents	4
1	INTRODUCTION	6
1.1	Objective	6
1.2	Scope and Criteria	7
1.3	Level of Assurance.....	7
1.4	Summary Description of the Project	11
2	VERIFICATION PROCESS	13
2.1	Method and Criteria.....	13
2.2	Document Review	14
2.3	Interviews	14
2.4	Site Visits	16
2.5	Resolution of Findings	17
2.6	Eligibility for Validation Activities	18
3	VALIDATION FINDINGS	18
3.1	Methodology Deviations.....	18
3.2	Project Description Deviations.....	18
3.3	New Project Activity Instances in Grouped Projects	18
3.4	Baseline Reassessment	19
4	VERIFICATION FINDINGS	19
4.1	Project Details	19
4.2	Safeguards and Stakeholder Engagement	21
4.3	Accuracy of Reduction and Removal Calculations	29
4.4	Quality of Evidence to Determine Reductions and Removals.....	31
4.5	Non-Permanence Risk Analysis.....	35
5	VERIFICATION OPINION	35
5.1	Verification Summary	35
5.2	Verification Conclusion	36
5.3	Ex-ante vs Ex-post ERR Comparison	36
	APPENDIX 1: COMMERCIALY SENSITIVE INFORMATION	38

APPENDIX 2: CALIBRATION DETAILS	39
APPENDIX 3: DETAILS OF DATA AND PATAMETERS CHECKED FOR RELEVANT WTGS.	40
APPENDIX 4: ABBREVIATIONS	47
APPENDIX 5: DOCUMENT REVIEW	49
APPENDIX 6: CLARIFICATION REQUEST, CORRECTIVE ACTION REQUEST AND FORWARD ACTION REQUEST	52
APPENDIX 7: COMPETENCY CERTIFICATES	58

1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Objective

Apraava Renewable Energy Private Limited (AREPL) has appointed EcoLance Private Limited (EPL) to carry out 2nd verification under the first crediting period of the project “Grid Connected Wind Energy Project in Gujrat”, registered under VCS with project ID 1968 for the reported GHG emission for the given monitoring period of 01-Jan-2024 to 31-Dec-2024 (both dates included). This report summarizes the findings of the verification of the project, performed on the basis of VCS requirements & UNFCCC criteria of CDM, as well as criteria to provide for consistent project operations, monitoring and reporting.

The objective of this verification is to provide an independent assessment of the project activity by an accredited validation and verification body, following the requirements of the VCS Program Guide (Version 4.4) /03/, the VCS Standard (Version 4.7) /04/, and the applicable GHG program, based on the registered project description /07/. Through a review of objective evidence, the verification aims to confirm that the project meets all relevant requirements, to establish that:

- The project activity has been implemented and operated in accordance with the registered project description /07/, with all physical features – including technology, project equipment, and monitoring systems – properly installed and consistent with the applied methodology /06/.
- The Monitoring Report /01/, Emission Reduction Calculation Sheet /02/, and other supporting documents have been completed in accordance with the VCS monitoring report templates, Version 4.4 /05/.
- The data is recorded and stored as per the monitoring methodology /06/ and approved monitoring plan /07/.
- To verify that the monitoring system has been properly implemented and is fully operational to generate Verified Carbon Units (VCUs) without any risk of double counting, and to ensure that the reported data are accurate, complete, consistent, transparent, and free from material errors or omissions by reviewing the monitoring records and emission reduction calculations.

To confirm that the project has performed to contribute to the sustainable development goals indicated in the MR /01/.

1.2 Scope and Criteria

The verification scope is:

- To verify that actual monitoring systems and procedures are in compliance with the monitoring systems and procedures described in the monitoring plan.
- To evaluate the GHG emission reduction data and express a conclusion with a reasonable level of assurance about whether the reported GHG emission reduction data is free from material misstatement.
- To verify that reported GHG emission data is sufficiently supported by evidence.
- To confirm that the project has performed to contribute to the sustainable development goals indicated in the MR.

The project is assessed based on the VCS program guide version 4.4 /03/, VCS standard version 4.7 /04/, VCS Monitoring Report Template version 4.4 /05/ and VCS Verification Report Template, version 4.4. EPL has employed a rule-based approach (as criteria) in the verification, focusing on the identification of significant reporting rules and the reliability of project monitoring. Verification is not meant to provide any consultancy towards the project participants. However, stated requests for clarifications and/or corrective actions may have provided input for improvement of the monitoring.

1.3 Level of Assurance

The project is assessed based on the VCS program guide version 4.4 /03/, VCS standard version 4.7 /04/, VCS Monitoring Report Template version 4.4 /05/ and VCS Verification Report Template, version 4.4. EPL has employed a rule-based approach (as criteria) in the verification, focusing on the identification of significant reporting rules and the reliability of project monitoring. Verification is not meant to provide any consultancy towards the project participants. However, stated requests for clarifications and/or corrective actions may have provided input for improvement of the monitoring.

Materiality Threshold: The verification assessment for the GHG emission reduction was conducted to provide a reasonable level of assurance of compliance against the defined audit criteria and materiality threshold as defined in the VCS standard /04/ as below:

	Threshold	Related to
☒	1 %	Emission reductions or removals for large projects achieving a

		total emission reduction or removal of greater than 300,000 tonnes of carbon dioxide equivalent per year
☐	5 %	Emission reductions or removals for projects achieving a total emission reduction or removal of 300,000 tonnes of carbon dioxide equivalent per year or less.

Risk Analysis and verification planning: Before starting the on-site assessment, the team leader has assessed the nature, scale and complexity of the verification tasks by carrying out a strategic analysis of all activities relevant to the project activity. The team leader has collected and reviewed the information relevant to the project activities and assessed the team competency to carry out the verification and ensured that it is able to conduct the necessary risk analysis. Based on the documents review and the stage of the project, potential risks that are material for the GHG emission reporting and estimation were identified and potential mitigation measures were identified before the field verification starts. Potential reporting risks and necessary auditing procedures for residual risk areas identified and assessed are tabulated below:

Sl. No.	Risk that could lead to material errors, omissions or misstatements	Assessment of the potential risk		Assessment of the records/information/interview with personnel to check controls/mitigation measures
		Risk level	Justification	
1.	Monitoring, recording and reporting of the information in database and ER spreadsheet.	Low	The project has successfully completed previous verifications. The project proponent is familiar with monitoring procedures and data reporting in line with the registered PD previous verification and certification report. Hence, the risk level is Low	The verification team had interviewed the staff of the monitoring team and checked all records to confirm whether the monitoring plan has been well implemented. The major parameters used for determining the project's baseline emissions are the measurement of electricity export and import from grid according to the

				monitoring plan is recorded monthly. The team reviewed the whole data set of the monthly records, and crosschecked against relevant sales records.
2.	Information System: Use of spreadsheets without adequate controls related to data changes/updates, version tracking, traceability, security	Low	The project proponent has already established a well-organized monitoring team, monitoring plan, including data collection procedure and QA/QC procedure consistent with approved monitoring plan. Govt. controlled generation reports are available. Monitoring equipment are calibrated at defined frequency. Hence, the risk level is low.	The verification team had interviewed the staff of the monitoring team and checked all records and found that the monitoring plan has been well implemented. The team reviewed the whole data set of the monthly records, and crosschecked against relevant sales records. The verification team also interviewed the staff of the monitoring team and verified the relevant records and confirm that the data collection procedure and QA/QC procedure have been well implemented.

3.	Accuracy of the measuring equipment	Low	The project proponent has already established a well-organized monitoring team, monitoring plan, including data collection procedure and QA/QC procedure consistent with registered monitoring plan. The main data parameter to be monitored net electricity generated supplied to the grid which is verified by 100% review of generation records presented by PP. Additionally, PP manages, entire project database to locate and monitor as in when required. Therefore, there is low likelihood that a poor flow of required data can be witnessed. Hence, the risk level is low	The verification team interviewed the staff of the monitoring team and checked all records to confirm whether the monitoring plan has been well implemented.
----	-------------------------------------	-----	---	---

Verification team formation: The appropriate verification team was formed with qualified members qualified in accordance with EPL's qualification procedure for assessing the project for this monitoring period considering the potential risks and potential mitigation measures for those risks. The verification team and the technical reviewer consist of the following personnel.

Role/Qualification	Last Name	First Name
Team Leader	Lahon	Biswajit
Technical Expert	Nadkarni	Tanvi
Verifier	Baishya	Bidyut Pavan
Technical Reviewer	Murty	Eswar

Consideration of materiality in conducting verification: Based on the verification planning, the on-site audit has been carried out. This is performed by conducting on-site assessment and obtaining documentary evidences and other information and explanations to achieve reasonable assurance on emission reduction reporting and compliance with the registered PD/07/. From the audit findings, it is found that the GHG emission reduction are fairly stated and are in compliance with the standards and guidelines of VCS and applied methodology /06/.

The assessment was done through relevant document review as given in Appendix: 05 of this report and the corrective actions/clarifications as given in Appendix 06 of this report. The final verification report before being submitted to the client was subjected to an independent internal technical review to confirm that all verification activities had been completed according to the pertinent EPL's instructions, with a reasonable level of assurance. The technical review was conducted by a reviewer qualified in accordance with EPL's qualification procedure. Following the technical review, the final report was further reviewed for QA/QC to ensure it meets a reasonable level of assurance. Therefore, there is no material changes in reporting of ER in the final version (v1.1, dated 08/02/2026) of the monitoring report /02/ by PP. So, the audit was done appropriately and sufficiently and the result has a reasonable level of assurance confirming that emission reduction reporting is free of material errors, omissions and misrepresentations.

1.4 Summary Description of the Project

The project activity involves the generation of electricity using wind electric converters, with the produced power being supplied to the Indian grid. As a renewable energy initiative, the project helps displace electricity that would otherwise be produced from fossil fuel sources. This contributes to a decrease in greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions in a manner that is credible, quantifiable, and independently verifiable. Additionally, the project supports efforts to address and mitigate the impacts of climate change.

The project activity involves 252 MW wind power project for electricity generation and export to grid. The project owner is Apraava Energy Private Limited (AEPL) (formerly known as CLP India Private Limited) /16/. The project involves 120 wind turbines of 2.1 MW each Suzlon make S120 Wind Turbine Generators. Until the end of the 31 December 2023, total 138.6 MW has been commissioned and 107.1 MW commissioned during the current monitoring from 28-Feb-2024 to 19-Jul-2024 and remaining 6.1 MW has been commissioned in 18-Jan-2025. The below table shows the details of commissioning of the WTGs:

Commissioning Date	Total No. of Turbines	Per Turbine Capacity (MW)	Total Capacity (MW)
07 April 2023	25	2.1	52.5
06 May 2023	14	2.1	29.4
26 May 2023	12	2.1	25.2
16 September 2023	10	2.1	21
28 September 2023	5	2.1	10.5
28 February 2024	12	2.1	25.2
02 March 2024	14	2.1	29.4
23 March 2024	08	2.1	16.8
02 May 2024	06	2.1	12.6
19 July 2024	11	2.1	23.1
18 Jan 2025	03	2.1	6.3

All technical details of the project activity—such as equipment type, serial numbers, and calibration dates as mentioned in the Monitoring Report /01/, were thoroughly checked. The location of the equipment and its operational status were also confirmed. In addition, these details were cross-verified during the desk review through supporting documents, including technical specifications, the single line diagram, meter locations, commissioning certificates /26/, data reviewed during the audit, and meter test certificates /20/.

Based on these assessments, the audit team confirms that the project is fully operational and has been implemented in accordance with the registered PD/07/ and Monitoring Report /01/.

The generated electricity is exported to NEWNE Grid. The project qualifies as “Large scale” project as per the VCS Standard /04/. During this current monitoring period with 245.7 MW capacity the project exported 6,93,667.97 MWh of electricity resulting emission reductions of 6,49,828 tCO₂.

2 VERIFICATION PROCESS

2.1 Method and Criteria

The verification was carried out using EPL's procedures, following the guidelines set out in the VCS Requirements, including the VCS Program Guide (version 4.4) /03/ and the VCS Standard (version 4.7) /04/. The assessment of greenhouse gas (GHG) emission reductions was based on the approved baseline and monitoring methodology ACM0002: "Grid-connected electricity generation from renewable sources" (Version 19.0) /06/, along with the registered Project Description/07/.

The method and criteria used for verification:

The verification consists of the following three phases:

1. Completeness check and desk review of the validation report, monitoring plan, monitoring report, monitoring methodology, VCS PD, applicable tools in particular attention to the frequency of measurements, quality of metering equipment including calibration requirements, QA/QC procedures and other relevant documents.
2. On-site inspections and interviews. The on-site interviews include the following:
 - Kick-off meeting for brief project description by the Project Participants & discuss on verification plan.
 - Interview with PP & relevant stakeholders on assignment of implementation and operation of project activity with respect to validated VCS PD & review of information flows for generating, aggregating, and reporting the monitoring parameters.
 - Interview with relevant personnels to determine whether the operational and data collection procedures are implemented and in accordance with the monitoring plan of the validated VCS PD.
 - Cross check of information and data provided in the monitoring report with purchase records or similar data sources.
 - Review of assumptions made in calculating the emission reductions (if any).
 - Implementation of QA/QC procedure in-line with the VCS PD and methodology requirements.
3. Resolution of outstanding issues and the issuance of the final Verification report and as applicable the VCS Verification Representation.

The following sections outline each step in more detail. The major milestones for the verification are provided below:

- a. Receipt of initial project documents: 30-Dec-2025
- b. Submission of Notice of Validation/Verification Service (NOVS): 16-Jan-2026
- c. Audit Plan communication to PP: 29-Jan-2026
- d. On-site Assessment: 06-Feb-2026
- e. Audit finding communication to PP: 06-Feb-2025
- f. Response Received from PP: 08-Feb-2026
- g. Draft verification report dated: 01-Feb-2026

2.2 Document Review

During the document review, EPL used standard auditing methods to evaluate the accuracy and reliability of the information provided. The verification was mainly based on the Monitoring Report and the supporting documents numbered from /01/ to /06/.

EPL has primarily conducted the document reviews of the monitoring reports (MR) /01/ and emission reduction calculation sheets /02/ submitted by PP. Also, the registered project documents, corresponding registered validation report /08/ and registered PD/07/, have been reviewed. In addition, other supporting documents to cross-check PP's information and statements in the MR are reviewed which are listed in Appendix: 05

2.3 Interviews

During the verification, the VVB conducted on-site audit, document review, and interviews with the project proponent, employees, and stakeholders. During the audit process, VVB conducted interviews with the site-in-charge and reviewed the relevant generation records, invoices, meter calibration certificates, and training records. In addition, the WTGs and their associated substations were presented to the audit team during the visit for verification purposes. This was done to confirm that the information reported for the monitoring period was accurate and followed the procedures outlined in the registered Project Description/07/. To verify electricity generation, monthly generation records and invoices /17/-/19/ were reviewed as per the registered project documents. Other aspects, such as repair and maintenance activities and any reported grievances, were also checked during the assessment and cross-verified with the information provided in the report. This was done to confirm that the information reported for the monitoring period was accurate and followed the procedures outlined in the registered Project Description /07/.

The table below provides details of the onsite interview process and identifies the personnel interviewed, along with their roles. It includes individuals who provided additional information beyond what is available in the registered PD/07/ and Monitoring Report /01/, and other supporting documents. The table also lists the interviews conducted with the Project Proponent (PP) and the team involved in preparing the Monitoring Report and calculating Emission Reductions (ERs), along with the scope of each interview.

SN	Date	Name, Role	Organization	Scope of interview
1	06-Feb-2026	Rajesh Prajapati (Senior Engineer) P Suman (Deputy Manager)	APRAAVA Renewable Energy Pvt Ltd	Project management, QA/QC for the project, Grievance mechanism, monitoring of project activity, Project implementation, ownership, legal compliance, environmental impacts, ongoing communications, calibration of the measuring equipment's, maintenance and operation etc.
2	06-Feb-2026	Ronak Antuni (Manager) Jaypal Singh (Engineer)	KPEL	Information flows for generating, aggregating and reporting- ER calculations. Data collection. Safety and Quality control procedures. Information flows for generating, aggregating and reporting- ER calculations. Data collection approach, tools and applied methodology use for ER calculation, QA/QC, Social and environmental impacts etc.
3	06-Feb-2026	Rajesh Naghera Swatiben Naran Jethova	Local Stakeholders	Interview with villagers residing beside the plant, interview regarding any grievances/ complaint during this monitoring period

2.4 Site Visits

During the current monitoring period, on-site audit was conducted on 06-Feb-2025, This is the 2nd verification under the first crediting period. Additionally, the VVB carried out a risk assessment as detailed in Section 1.3 of this report and determined that the risk level is low. VVB also did not apply any sampling approach to verify the monitoring results. 100 percent monitoring records were made available to VVB, and the audit team verified 100 percent monitoring results without any sampling approach. During the on-site audit the audit team cross checked the implementation and operational status of each WTG in both sites applying two methods: 1) Since all WTGs are connected through SCADA system and therefore, the audit team could check each WTG on real time basis and confirm the existence and operational status; 2) During the site visit, the audit team checked the main and check energy meters at the project site to ensure the consistency of information between MR, Invoice, monthly generation records and calibration records. All energy meters were checked without any sampling exercise. The government approved monthly generation records transparently specify the name of WTG owner, WTG capacity and the site/substation the WTGs are connected. Therefore, during the audit, the audit team could verify all WTGs and its corresponding records applicable to the current monitoring period. The details of WTGs are provided in Appendix:03 of the report. It was confirmed that the WTGs and related systems are consistent with the details provided in the registered PD. EPL team cross checked the WTGs with respect to commissioning records and project design, reviewed the information flows for generating, aggregating and reporting the monitoring parameters, interviewed key personnel of the plant to confirm the operational and data collection procedures. Reviewed calculations and assumptions made in determining the GHG data and emission reductions, checked the quality control and quality assurance procedures in place to prevent or identify and correct any errors or emissions in the reported monitoring parameters:

The steps followed during the on-site audit:

- a. Assessed the implementation and operation of the proposed project activity.
- b. Cross checked the WTGs with respect to commissioning records.
- c. Reviewed the information flows for generating, aggregating and reporting the monitoring parameters.
- d. Interviewed key personnel of the plant to confirm the operational and data collection procedures.
- e. Reviewed calculations and assumptions made in determining the GHG data and emission reductions.
- f. Checked the quality control and quality assurance procedures in place to prevent or identify and correct any errors or emissions in the reported monitoring parameters.

- g. Inquired in detail on risk assessments done by the PP for Stakeholder and Environment, Ecosystem Health and Human Rights & Equity.
- h. A check of the monitoring equipment including performance and observations of monitoring practices against the requirements of the registered VCS PD/07/ and the selected methodology /06/.

2.5 Resolution of Findings

The findings can be raised in any stage of the verification to ensure the transparency of the information given and the result has a reasonable level of assurance confirming that emission reduction reporting is free of material errors, omissions and misrepresentations. The objective of this phase of the verification is to resolve any outstanding issues which need to be clarified for EPL's positive conclusion on the project description. The verification protocol shows in a transparent manner the requirements, means of verification and the results from verifying the identified criteria. The verification protocol consists of tables for Forward Action Request (FAR), Corrective Action Request (CAR) and the Clarification Request (CL); the different columns in these tables are described below:

Forward Action Request (FAR) is raised during verification to highlight issues related to project implementation that require review during the next verification or throughout remaining verifications of the project activity.

A corrective action request (CAR) is raised if one of the following occurs:

- Non-conformities with the monitoring plan or methodology are found in monitoring and reporting, or if the evidence provided to prove conformity is insufficient;
- Mistakes have been made in applying assumptions, data or calculations of emission reductions that will impair the estimate of emission reductions;
- Issues identified in a FAR during validation or previous verifications to be verified during the current verification have not been resolved by the project participants.
- A clarification request (CL) is raised if information is insufficient or not clear enough to determine whether the applicable VCS requirements have been met.

For this project activity, findings were raised after on-site assessment based on the desk review of the projects specially the MR and ER sheet submitted, registered PD and Validation reports and any outstanding issues raised during validation and outcome of the on-site assessment. In summary, 03 CLs and 05 CARs were raised during this verification. The findings were sent to PP in a separate sheet. With appropriate response and supporting documents from the PP, these were closed successfully based on verification and cross check. The detail of the findings and their resolution are given under Appendix: 06 of this report.

2.5.1 Forward Action Requests

No FAR has been raised from this verification.

2.6 Eligibility for Validation Activities

This section is not applicable as EPL is conducting the 2nd verification activity of 1st crediting period. The project activity falls under sectoral scope 01 and the EPL is accredited for validation /verification of project activities under this scope.

3 VALIDATION FINDINGS

3.1 Methodology Deviations

No methodology deviation applied during this monitoring period.

3.2 Project Description Deviations

There is no project description deviation applicable for this current monitoring period

However, there was one deviation during previous monitoring period.

During project implementation, PP planned to install 114 Siemens Gamesa (SG122) wind turbine generators, each with a rated capacity of 2.2 MW, aggregating to a total installed capacity of 250.8 MW. But due to some business exigencies PP has changed the supplier of WTGs from Gamesa to Suzlon so the envisaged capacity of project changed to 252 MW (120*2.1 MW). There is no change in baseline of the project activity. But due to change in WTG supplier, there are changes in Project Cost, PLF and O&M cost due to revised investment decision. There is no change in other input parameters which were considered during initial investment decision. Due to change in project installed capacity there is no impact on additionality of the project, due to change in project installed capacity there is no change in the applicability eligibility criteria of applied methodology. VVB verified the information and found that during validation FAR has been raised and it is closed during previous verification. Therefore, there is no project description deviation applicable for the current monitoring period apart from the above said deviation which is not repeated in the monitoring report as this has been already accepted in previous verifications.

3.3 New Project Activity Instances in Grouped Projects

Not applicable, as the project is not a grouped project.

3.4 Baseline Reassessment

Did the project undergo baseline reassessment during the monitoring period?

☐ Yes

☒ No

4 VERIFICATION FINDINGS

4.1 Project Details

Item	Evidence gathering activities, evidence checked, and assessment conclusion:																
Audit history	The Project Activity is a registered VCS project under VERRA with VCS ID 1968 /13/. The project was validated by Applus Certification for a fixed crediting period of 10 years from 01 June 2021 to 31 May 2031 /08/. This is the second verification under first crediting period by EcoLance Pvt. Ltd. <table><tr><th>Audit Type</th><th>Period</th><th>VVB</th><th>No. of Years</th></tr><tr><td>Validation/Verification</td><td>Not Applicable</td><td>LGAI Technological Center, S.A. (Applus+ Certification)</td><td>NA</td></tr><tr><td>Verification</td><td>07-Apr-2023 to 31-Dec-2023</td><td>EcoLance Private Limited</td><td>9 Months</td></tr><tr><td>Verification</td><td>01-Jan-2024 to 31-Dec-2024</td><td>EcoLance Private Limited</td><td>1 year</td></tr></table>	Audit Type	Period	VVB	No. of Years	Validation/Verification	Not Applicable	LGAI Technological Center, S.A. (Applus+ Certification)	NA	Verification	07-Apr-2023 to 31-Dec-2023	EcoLance Private Limited	9 Months	Verification	01-Jan-2024 to 31-Dec-2024	EcoLance Private Limited	1 year
Audit Type	Period	VVB	No. of Years														
Validation/Verification	Not Applicable	LGAI Technological Center, S.A. (Applus+ Certification)	NA														
Verification	07-Apr-2023 to 31-Dec-2023	EcoLance Private Limited	9 Months														
Verification	01-Jan-2024 to 31-Dec-2024	EcoLance Private Limited	1 year														
Double counting and participation under other GHG programs	The PP has confirmed that the emission reductions for this project are not double counted and are claimed only under VCS for this monitoring period. The PP also confirms regarding no participation in any other GHG mechanism for this monitoring period. The VVB confirms that PP is not participating in any other GHG mechanism. Furthermore, VVB confirms that the project has																

	not been registered nor seeking registration in any other GHG program which was crosschecked by VVB in the respective standards website /09/, /10/, /11/, /12/. So, the VVB is able to confirm that this project activity is registered only in VERRA and is neither participated in other GHG programs nor intended to do so. VVB also confirm that the project is not rejected by any other program.
No double claiming with emissions trading programs or binding emission limits	The PP has confirmed that the emission reductions for this project are not double counted and only claimed under VERRA for this monitoring period. The PP also confirms regarding no participation in any emission trading program or binding limits. So, the project complies with the requirements of the VCS program.
No double claiming with other forms of environmental credit	The PP has confirmed that the emission reduction credits that will be issued in this monitoring period will not be claimed as other environmental credits /01/. Furthermore, VVB confirms that the project has not availed ERs for the current monitoring period under any of these programs which was crosschecked by VVB in the respective standards website /09/, /10/, /11/, /12/.
Supply chain (scope 3) emissions double claiming	The project does not have any supply chain emission source. The wind project is in commissioning stage and generated electricity (as product) is supplied to connected grid.
Sustainable development contributions	During the monitoring period, the project activity contributed to the sustainable development of the host country by generating clean energy and contributing to energy mix. PP also provides frequent donations to the local community for the community development. It also contributes to the well-being and health of its employees. VVB has confirmed this during the on-site audit and the evidences. From the assessment, VVB confirm that the project has contributed to achieve SDG 7, SDG 8 and SDG 13 aligning with Indian Sustainable Development Criteria and Sustainable Development Goals. SDG 7: (SDG Indicator 7.2.1: Renewable energy share in the total final energy consumption). The project utilizes the wind energy for electricity generation which replaces equivalent electricity otherwise would have generated in fossil fuel dominated grid. About 6,93,667.97 MWh electricity has been supplied to central grid during this monitoring period. Therefore, the project contributes to SDG 7.

	SDG 8(SDG Indicator: Quality of Employment) The project has provided employment opportunity to skilled and unskilled personnel and relevant trainings for quality employment. During the current monitoring period 01 Training has been provided. VVB reviewed the relevant employment training records provided by PP and confirms the project positively contributed to SDG 8. SDG 13: Project activity has reduced GHG emission in this MP by utilizing generating electricity from wind energy. During the monitoring period, the project has contributed to reduce 6,49,828 tCO₂ of emissions. VVB confirm the contribution of projects towards achieving SDG 13.
Additional information relevant to the project	Not applicable as PP has not included any commercially sensitive information that are excluded from the report or public disclosure.

4.2 Safeguards and Stakeholder Engagement

4.2.1 Stakeholder Identification

Item	Evidence gathering activities, evidence checked, and assessment conclusion
Stakeholder identification	Not Applicable. PP has conducted the stakeholder on 23rd September 2019 as part of validation. The makeup of stakeholders has not been changed after validation and hence stakeholder identification is not applicable.
Legal or customary tenure/access rights	Not applicable. PP has conducted stakeholder consultation and the stakeholders were aware of the project at the validation period. The project is implemented following government approvals and all legal requirements were met. Hence there are no legal or customary tenure/access rights to territories and resources of the project, nor collective and conflicting rights held by stakeholders. This has been cross-checked by VVB during the on-site assessment and found valid.

Stakeholder diversity and changes over time	Not applicable The project involves stakeholders ranging from government agencies to the community. However, no changes were identified among the stakeholders involved with the project during on-site assessment.
Expected changes in well-being	The project has no significant risks and impacts. The project has contributed the community and the employee for their socio-economic benefits. This has been discussed during the on-site assessment by VVB and the claim by PP is found valid.
Location of stakeholders	The stakeholders are within the jurisdiction of Gujrat states of India. Local people, interested government agencies are located nearby and within the state boundary of Devbhumi Dwarka, Gujrat.
Location of resources	The project uses wind energy for electricity generation.

4.2.2 Stakeholder Consultation and Ongoing Communication

Item	Evidence gathering activities, evidence checked, and assessment conclusion
Ongoing consultation	The PP has always welcome to receive any feedback/continuous inputs via telephone, social media, email or even personally at the project site. A feedback registry is kept at project site to record any feedback received at site. Notice is displayed for any grievance recording and redressal. So, in VVB's opinion, PP has put all the efforts for ongoing stakeholder consultations.
Date(s) of stakeholder consultation	Not applicable In this monitoring period, no specific stakeholder consultation is conducted however, PP is continuously in consultation with the stakeholders and ready to receive feedbacks as stated above.
Communication of monitored results	The result of the monitoring is always available in the VERRA website transparently /13/. Other than this, stakeholders are always welcome to put their grievances regarding the project as mentioned above through any media. During the site-visit, VVB has cross-checked this and found in line with the PP's reporting. There was no grievance/comment found reported during the monitoring period.

Consultation records	Not Applicable As neither physical consultation meeting is conducted nor received any grievances during this monitoring period, the records related to the consultation are not applicable. During on-site assessment, VVB found no such grievances from the stakeholders.
Stakeholder input	Not Applicable As neither physical consultation meeting is conducted nor received any grievances during this monitoring period, the records related to the consultation are not applicable. During on-site assessment, VVB found no such grievances from the stakeholders.

4.2.3 Free, Prior, and Informed Consent

Item	Evidence gathering activities, evidence checked, and assessment conclusion
Consent	Not Applicable As the physical stakeholder consultation was conducted in 2019, the project was shared with the stakeholders and found no feedback claiming on rights and usage of resources. Also, in ongoing stakeholder consultation, the stakeholders at any time can put their grievances. However, there is no any ongoing or unresolved conflicts over property rights, usage, or resources found by VVB during the project on site assessments. So, this part is not applicable for this monitoring period.
Outcome of FPIC discussion	Not applicable With the stakeholder consultation, the project was already validated. As mentioned above, there is no any ongoing or unresolved conflicts over property rights, usage, or resources found by VVB during the project on site assessments. So, this part is not applicable for this monitoring period.

4.2.4 Grievance Redress Procedure

Item	Evidence gathering activities, evidence checked, and assessment conclusion
------	--

Grievance received and steps taken to resolve the grievance including the outcomes of the resolution	Not applicable PP remains accessible to receive feedback and grievances at any time through various communication channels. During the on-site assessment, the audit team conducted interviews with local villagers and confirmed that no grievances were reported during the current monitoring period.
Grievance redress procedure	The PP has placed a register at the project office to record any feedbacks/ grievances at any time. In addition, public display to comment/register any feedback at site is available. The company continuously held public meetings with local community to explain the update in any grievance procedure and how to raise and complaint regarding the project activity. So, in VVB's assessment, the grievance redressing mechanism is there for PP with specific focal points as verified during the on-site assessment.

4.2.5 Public Comments

Comments received	Actions taken by the project proponent	Evidence gathering activities, evidence checked, and assessment conclusion
Not applicable	Not applicable	As mentioned above, during this monitoring period, no public comments/grievances were received through any means. Same is verified during on-site assessment by VVB. PP has confirmed that any comments that will be received for issuance will be addressed by PP.

4.2.6 Risks to Local Stakeholders and the Environment

4.2.6.1 Management Experience

The EPL team while performing the on-site assessment had interviewed the project proponent regarding the knowledge and efficiency of the O&M team as well as the overall management experience in handling wind power projects. In response to EPL's query, PP has stated that frequent training is being provided to all the staff involve in this project activity. The staff training record has been shared with EPL. EPL has reviewed the staff training record and found it to be satisfactory. Additionally, Apraava Renewable Energy Private Limited (AREPL) which was founded in 2002, has been in the renewable energy business for more than 20 years which is verified from publicly available data /15/.

4.2.6.2 Risk Assessment

Item	Evidence gathering activities, evidence checked, and assessment conclusion
Natural and human induced risks to stakeholders' wellbeing	No risk has been identified. As described above, PP has always welcomed to the stakeholders for the comment/grievances. Also, the project has not offered any risks to the stakeholder's participation. So, during on-site assessment, VVB identified no risks to the stakeholder's participation by the project activity.
Risks to stakeholder participation	No risk has been identified. As described above, PP has always welcomed to the stakeholders for the comment/grievances. Also, the project has not offered any risks to the stakeholder's participation. So, during on-site assessment, VVB identified no risks to the stakeholder's participation by the project activity.
Working conditions	No risk has been identified. The Company has a robust Health, Safety, Security & Environment (HSSE) Policy & management system that is applicable to all employees, contractors and relevant stakeholders of the organisation. As verified from on-site assessment, employees always wear PPE during O&M.
Safety of women and girls	No risk has been identified. PP has Health, Safety, Security and Environment (HSSE) Policy and Prevention of Sexual Harassment at Work policies in place. PP follows all the regulations and safety protocols provided to the minority and marginalized groups. VVB also checked the publicly available company's

	Annual Integrated Report FY 2022-23 in this regard and found to be effective.
Safety of minority and marginalized groups, including children	PP has Health, Safety, Security and Environment (HSSE) Policy and Prevention of Sexual Harassment at Work policies in place. PP follows all the regulations and safety protocols provided to the minority and marginalized groups. VVB also checked the publicly available company's Annual Integrated Report FY 2022-23 in this regard and found to be effective.
Pollutants (air, noise, discharges to water, generation and release of hazardous materials and chemical pesticides and fertilizers	The project involves electricity generation from wind energy. Hence, no significant pollutants are generated from this project.

4.2.7 Respect for Human Rights and Equity

4.2.7.1 Labor and Work

Item	Evidence gathering activities, evidence checked, and assessment conclusion
Discrimination	To avoid discrimination, PP has laid written human rights policy as available publicly in company website /15/. There is no discrimination found followed as part of human resource management.
Sexual harassment	PP has Health, Safety, Security and Environment (HSSE) Policy and Prevention of Sexual Harassment at Work policies in place. PP follows all the regulations and safety protocols provided to the minority and marginalized groups. VVB also checked the publicly available company's Annual Integrated Report FY 2022-23 in this regard and found to be effective.
Gender equity in labor and work	To avoid discrimination, PP has laid written human rights policy as available publicly in company website /15/. There is no discrimination found followed as part of human resource management.

Forced labor	PP has laid written human rights policy as available publicly in company website /15/. No forced labour is possible as per host country's labour law /16/.
Child labor	PP has laid written human rights policy as available publicly in company website /15/. No child labour is possible as per host country's labour law /16/.
Human trafficking	The host country has put strict regulations in place to avoid any human trafficking. The project proponent has also laid written human rights policy as available publicly in company website /15/. The project does not create any scope for human trafficking.

4.2.7.2 Human Rights

Risks identified	Evidence gathering activities, evidence checked, and assessment conclusion
No risk Identified	The host country (India) has enacted human rights protection act which is applicable for this project also. The company has strict human rights policy in place. VVB via interview with project proponent, site personnel and publicly available records such as Company annual report could confirm that the project does not put any human rights risk.

4.2.7.3 Indigenous Peoples and Cultural Heritage

Risks identified	Evidence gathering activities, evidence checked, and assessment conclusion
No risk identified	The project is a wind power project set up as per government's bidding process. There is no risk to indigenous people and cultural heritage.

4.2.7.4 Property Rights

Risks identified	Evidence gathering activities, evidence checked, and assessment conclusion
No risk identified	The project is a wind power project set up as per government's approval. All regulatory approvals are in place and verified by VVB.

4.2.7.5 Benefit Sharing

Item	Evidence gathering activities, evidence checked, and assessment conclusion
Summary of the benefit sharing plan	The benefit sharing is not applicable for the project. From the evidences the project is implemented by Apraava Energy and there are no disputes on property rights of the IPs, LCs and customary right holders.
Benefit sharing during the monitoring period	The benefit sharing is actually not applicable for the project as mentioned above.

4.2.8 Ecosystem Health

Item	Evidence gathering activities, evidence checked, and assessment conclusion
Impacts on biodiversity and ecosystems	The project is a wind power project. Hence, no risk identified.
Soil degradation and soil erosion	The project is a wind power project. Hence, no risk identified.
Water consumption and stress	The project is a wind power project. Hence, no risk identified.

4.2.8.1 Rare, Threatened, and Endangered species

Item	Evidence gathering activities, evidence checked, and assessment conclusion
Species or habitat	The project is a wind power project. Hence, no risk identified
Areas needed for habitat connectivity	The project is a wind power project. Hence, no risk identified.
Evidence gathering activities, evidence checked, and assessment conclusion	

Habitats for rare, threatened, and endangered species	The project is a wind power project. Hence, no risk identified.
Areas for habitat connectivity	The project is a wind power project. Hence, no risk identified.

4.2.8.2 Introduction of Species

Species introduced	Evidence gathering activities, evidence checked, and assessment conclusion
N/A	The project is a wind power project. Hence, not applicable.

Evidence gathering activities, evidence checked, and assessment conclusion	
Invasive species	The project is a wind power project. Hence, not applicable

4.2.8.3 Ecosystem conversion

Item	Evidence gathering activities and evidence checked
Ecosystem conversion	The project is a wind power project. Hence, not applicable

4.3 Accuracy of Reduction and Removal Calculations

GHG According to the applied methodology “ACM0002”, “Consolidated baseline methodology for grid connected electricity generation from renewable sources”, version 19 /06/, the emission reductions have been calculated based on the following formula:

Baseline Emission:

The baseline emissions (BE_y) are calculated based on the following formula:

$$BE_y = EG_{PJ, y} \times EF_{grid, CM, y}$$

Where,

EG _{PJ, y}	Quantity of net electricity generation that is produced and fed into the grid as a result of the implementation of the VCS project activity in year y (MWh/yr)
EF _{grid, CM, y}	Combined margin CO ₂ emissions factor in year y (tCO ₂ /MWh)

And

$$EG_{PJ,y} = EG_{facility,y}$$

Where,

$EG_{facility,y}$ = Quantity of net electricity generation supplied by the project plant/unit to the grid in year 'y'.

The grid emission factor is fixed ex-ante in the registered PD /07/as given below:

Parameter	Value
OM	0.9610
BM	0.8644
CM	0.9368

Quantity of net electricity generation that is produced and fed into the grid as a result of the implementation of the project activity ($EG_{PJ,y}$)

$EG_{PJ,y} = EG_{facility,y} = 6,93,667.97$ MWh during the monitoring period from 01-Jan-2024 to 31-Dec-2024.

$EF_{grid,CM,y}$ = Combined margin emission factor for grid connected power generation fixed ex-ante, as 0.9368 tCO₂ /MWh /07/.

Therefore,

$$BE_y = EG_{PJ,y} \times EF_{grid,CM,y}$$

$$BE_y = 6,93,667.97 \times 0.9368$$

$$= 6,49,828 \text{ tCO}_2$$

As per the methodology and the registered PD, the project does not consider project and leakage emissions.

Hence,

$$ER_y = BE_y - PE_y - LE_y$$

$$= 6,49,828 \text{ tCO}_2$$

Emission reductions have been calculated in accordance with the applied methodology ACM0002, version 19 /06/, and VCS PD/07/. The PP has used monitored data and ex ante fixed data including default values as mandated/permitted by the applied methodology. The values used for calculation of GHG emission reductions have been thoroughly checked by the verification team and was found appropriate and correct.

4.3.1 Quality of Evidence to Determine Reductions and Removals

EPL verification team confirms that all the emission sources within the project boundary have been considered appropriately. Monitoring of all parameters during the monitoring period is followed as per registered monitoring plan. Below table describes the data parameters relevant to the monitoring plan:

Data parameters fixed ex-ante and available at validation are given below:

Data Parameters	Source of Data	Reported Value for the project period	Assessment/Observation
Operating margin CO ₂ emission factor in year y ($EF_{grid,OM,y}$)	Data based on registered PD/07/, registered validation report /08/	0.9610 tCO ₂ /MWh	The $EF_{grid,OM,y}$ was calculated and sourced from baseline CO ₂ Emission database, “Tool to calculate the emission factor for an electricity system, version 07.0” as 3-year generation weighted average using data for the years 2015-2016, 2016-2017 & 2017-2018. The data are obtained from “CO ₂ Baseline Database for Indian Power Sector” version 14.0, published by the Central Authority, Electricity Ministry of Power, Government of India. This is consistent in the registered PD /07/.

Build margin CO2 emission factor in year y (EF _{grid,BM,y})	Data based on registered PD/07/, registered validation report /08/	0.8644 tCO ₂ /MWh	The EF _{grid,BM,y} was calculated as per “Tool to calculate the emission factor for an electricity system, version 07.0”. The data is obtained from “CO2 Baseline Database for Indian Power Sector” version 14.0, published by the Central Electricity Authority, Ministry of Power, Government of India. The value is calculated for the year 2017-2018. This is consistent in the registered PD /07/.
Combined margin CO2 emission factor in year y (EF _{grid,CM,y}) tCO _{2e} /MWh	Data based on registered PD/07/, registered validation report /08/	0.9368 tCO ₂ /MWh	The EF _{grid,CM,y} was calculated based on 75% of OM and 25% of BM values approach as directed by ‘Tool to calculate the emission factor for an electricity system’. This is consistent in the registered PD/07/.

Data and Parameters Monitored:

Data/Parameter	Assessment
Data Unit	EGPJ,y; MWh/year
Description	Quantity of net electricity generation supplied by the project plant/unit to the grid in year y
Source of data to be used	Electricity shares certificate (REA statement) issued by state utility

Value of monitored parameter for the monitoring period	6,93,667.97 MWh during the current monitoring period. The net electricity generation supplied value is taken from the REA statement provided by the PP. The value mentioned in the REA statement represents the scheduled power for each individual month, and the same scheduled power value is considered for emission reduction calculations. The amount of net electricity supplied is cross checked between the monthly invoices raised by the PP which is the scheduled power being feed into grid with the REA statement. Monthly net electricity generation value is crosschecked from the bill of supply/invoice raised by PP and confirm to be consistent /18/. The electricity generation details are submitted to the Regional Load Despatch Centre (RLDC). Based on this data, a Regional Energy Account (REA) statement is prepared and issued by the Western Regional Power Committee (WRPC). The REA statement provides details of the scheduled power, actual power, and the deviation between scheduled and actual power. Accordingly, the value cross-checked with the invoice and the REA statement corresponds to the scheduled power injected into the grid as generation data and for the same generation value invoice have been issued by APRAAVA to SECI. The energy meters are used for continuous monitoring, with monthly data recorded and consolidated on an annual basis. The meters are Class 0.2s and are calibrated once every five years /20/. The project consists of 120 WTGs, each with a capacity of 2.1 MW, connected to the JKTL 220 kV GSS project site. For the current monitoring period, 10 feeders (Feeder Nos. 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, and 11) and 117 WTGs were considered. The remaining three WTGs were excluded from emission reduction calculations, as they were commissioned after the monitoring period. VVB crosschecked the quantity of net electricity generated with the invoices raised by the AEPL to the SECI and found the the values to be appropriate.
--	--

Accuracy of the monitoring equipment	The main and check energy meter is of accuracy class of 0.2s and check meters are of 0.2s which is consistent with registered VCS joint PD & MR/07. The accuracy of the meters are as per industry standard.
Measuring/Reading/Recording frequency	Continuous monitoring and aggregated monthly basis.
Calculation method (if applicable)	Direct Continuous Measurement. The entire calculation is done by state utility and monthly report is provided.

Calibration	
Calibration frequency/interval Is the calibration interval in line with the monitoring plan of the PD?	The meter is approved, tested & sealed by the state utility. The meter is in custody of state utility. As per registered PD, the calibration frequency of energy meters is at least once in every five years /07/. Also, CEA Notification No. 502/70/CEA/DP&D dated 17/03/2006 which is considered as national standard mentions that 'all interface meters shall be tested at least once in five years. Hence, calibration frequency of once in 5 years is considered to be appropriate.
Does the calibration cover the monitoring period? Has the calibration frequency been respected?	The monitoring period is from 01-Jan-2024 to 31-Dec-2024. The calibration details of energy meters are given below in Appendix:02 For the current monitoring period no calibration gap has been identified. The latest calibration dates for the energy meters against the respective turbines are given in detail in the MR /01/. EPL has verified these details with the calibration report /20/ issued by a competent authority, which was submitted by PP to EPL. Therefore, EPL confirms that the calibration frequency has been respected.
Calibration certificate	The meters are calibrated by Schneider Electric India Private Limited/20/
Whether calibration done by an accredited person or institution?	Yes, Calibration is being carried out by Schneider Electric India Private Limited accredited by National Accreditation Board for Testing and Calibration Laboratories (NABL) for calibration/testing of energy meters.

4.4 Non-Permanence Risk Analysis

There is no non-permanence risk rating determined by the project proponent.

5 VERIFICATION OPINION

5.1 Verification Summary

EPL has performed verification of the emission reductions reported for the project activity with regard to the relevant requirements for VCS activities. The project participants of the project is responsible for:

- the preparation of greenhouses gas emissions data and the reported greenhouse gas emission reductions from the project on the basis set out in the monitoring plan contained in the registered project design document.
- the development and maintenance of records and reporting procedures in accordance with that plan, including the calculation and determination of greenhouse gas emission reductions of the project in line with applied methodology and VCS.

It is the responsibility of EPL to express an independent verification opinion about the project's conformity with the requirements of VCS Standard version 4.7 /04/ and GHG program applied on the reported greenhouse gas emission reductions from the project. Based on documented evidence and corroborated by onsite assessment, EPL can confirm that:

- the project has been implemented and operated as per the registered Project Description /07/;
- the monitoring report /01/ and other supporting documents provided are complete and verifiable and in accordance with the applicable VCS Standard version 4.7 /04/ requirements;
- the monitoring is in place as per the applied baseline and monitoring methodology /06/.
- the monitoring plan in the MR is as per registered PD/07/ complying with the applied baseline and monitoring methodology /06/.

5.2 Verification Conclusion

It is EPL's opinion that the GHG emission reduction stated in the monitoring report Version 1.4 of 05-June-2026 for the "Grid Connected Wind Energy Project in Gujrat (VCS 1968)" for the period 01-Jan-2024 to 31-Dec-2024 and corresponding emission reduction calculation sheet (version 1.1 of date 08-Feb-2026) are fairly stated. The GHG emission reductions are calculated on the basis of approved methodology (ACM0002- Grid-connected electricity generation from renewable sources, Version-19.0) and the monitoring plan included in the registered PD, version 2.1 of 29-July-2024. Hence, EPL hereby confirm that the verification is done in line with criteria of VCS. EPL is able to certify that the emission reduction from the project during the monitoring period is as shown below:

Verification period: From 01-Jan-2024 to 31-Dec-2024

Verified GHG emission reductions and carbon dioxide removals in the above verification period:

Vintage period	Baseline emissions (tCO ₂ e)	Project emissions (tCO ₂ e)	Leakage emissions (tCO ₂ e)	Reduction VCUs (tCO ₂ e)	Removal VCUs (tCO ₂ e)	Total VCUs (tCO ₂ e)
01-Jan-2024 to 31-Dec-2024	649,828	0	0	649,828	0	649,828
Total	649,828	0	0	649,828	0	649,828

5.3 Ex-ante vs Ex-post ERR Comparison

Vintage period	Ex-ante estimated reductions/removals	Achieved reductions/removals	Percent difference	Explanation for the difference
01-Jan-2024 to 31-Dec-2024	8,06,689	6,49,828	-19.45%	The generation of electricity from wind highly rely on the wind pattern and wind velocity, therefore a difference in ex ante estimation and actual achieved emissions is possibly observed. The change is beyond PPs control. Moreover, the VVB noted, the achieved PLF during the current
Total	8,06,689	6,49,828		

				monitoring period is 31.34 % whereas, the breakeven PLF to reach benchmark as part of additionality assessment is 32.50% which is not reach hence the project is still additional. Additionally, the estimated emission reduction, which does not affect the additionality of the project. Therefore, the difference can be accepted.
--	--	--	--	--

APPENDIX 1: COMMERCIALLY SENSITIVE INFORMATION

<i>Section</i>	<i>Information</i>	<i>Justification</i>	<i>Assessment method and conclusion</i>
NA	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable

APPENDIX 2: CALIBRATION DETAILS

Serial No.	Meter ID No.	Meter Make	Accuracy Class	Calibration Date	Calibration Validity
1.	WR-2249-A (Main Meter)	Schneider Electric	0.2s	05-Feb-2022	04-Feb-2027
2.	WR-2248-A (Check Meter)	Schneider Electric	0.2s	05-Feb-2022	04-Feb-2027

APPENDIX 3: DETAILS OF DATA AND PATAMETERS CHECKED FOR RELEVANT WTGS.

Sr. No.	Commissioning Date	WTG Location ID	33 Kv. Feeder No.	District	State	Data and Parameters checked
1	07-Apr-23	DWK 215	3	Devbhumi Dwarka	Gujarat	During the on-site audit VVB Crosschecked the Following documents for all the WTGs related to parameter Quantity of net electricity supplied to the grid: a. Generation statement/JMR b. Invoice c. Power Purchase Agreement
2	07-Apr-23	DWK 218	3	Devbhumi Dwarka	Gujarat	
3	07-Apr-23	DWK 219	3	Devbhumi Dwarka	Gujarat	
4	07-Apr-23	DWK 220	3	Devbhumi Dwarka	Gujarat	
5	07-Apr-23	DWK 221	3	Devbhumi Dwarka	Gujarat	
6	07-Apr-23	DWK 223	3	Devbhumi Dwarka	Gujarat	
7	07-Apr-23	DWK 224	3	Devbhumi Dwarka	Gujarat	
8	07-Apr-23	DWK 225	3	Devbhumi Dwarka	Gujarat	
9	07-Apr-23	DWK 238	2	Devbhumi Dwarka	Gujarat	
10	07-Apr-23	DWK 017	10	Devbhumi Dwarka	Gujarat	
11	07-Apr-23	DWK 019	10	Devbhumi Dwarka	Gujarat	
12	07-Apr-23	DWK 020	10	Devbhumi Dwarka	Gujarat	
13	07-Apr-23	DWK 021	10	Devbhumi Dwarka	Gujarat	
14	07-Apr-23	DWK 023	10	Devbhumi Dwarka	Gujarat	
15	07-Apr-23	DWK 024	10	Devbhumi Dwarka	Gujarat	

16	07-Apr-23	DWK 025	10	Devbhumi Dwarka	Gujarat
17	07-Apr-23	DWK 026	8	Devbhumi Dwarka	Gujarat
18	07-Apr-23	DWK 027	10	Devbhumi Dwarka	Gujarat
19	07-Apr-23	DWK 028	10	Devbhumi Dwarka	Gujarat
20	07-Apr-23	DWK 213	8	Devbhumi Dwarka	Gujarat
21	07-Apr-23	DWK 241	2	Devbhumi Dwarka	Gujarat
22	07-Apr-23	DWK 022	10	Devbhumi Dwarka	Gujarat
23	07-Apr-23	DWK 214	8	Devbhumi Dwarka	Gujarat
24	07-Apr-23	DWK 242	4	Devbhumi Dwarka	Gujarat
25	07-Apr-23	DWK 243	4	Devbhumi Dwarka	Gujarat
26	06-May-23	DWK 090	5	Devbhumi Dwarka	Gujarat
27	06-May-23	DWK 091	4	Devbhumi Dwarka	Gujarat
28	06-May-23	DWK 092	4	Devbhumi Dwarka	Gujarat
29	06-May-23	DWK 093	4	Devbhumi Dwarka	Gujarat
30	06-May-23	DWK 094	4	Devbhumi Dwarka	Gujarat
31	06-May-23	DWK 096	5	Devbhumi Dwarka	Gujarat
32	06-May-23	DWK 097	5	Devbhumi Dwarka	Gujarat
33	06-May-23	DWK 208	3	Devbhumi Dwarka	Gujarat
34	06-May-23	DWK 233	4	Devbhumi Dwarka	Gujarat
35	06-May-23	DWK 234	4	Devbhumi Dwarka	Gujarat

36	06-May-23	DWK 237	4	Devbhumi Dwarka	Gujarat
37	06-May-23	DWK 239	4	Devbhumi Dwarka	Gujarat
38	06-May-23	DWK 244	4	Devbhumi Dwarka	Gujarat
39	06-May-23	DWK 245	4	Devbhumi Dwarka	Gujarat
40	27-May-23	DWK 018	10	Devbhumi Dwarka	Gujarat
41	27-May-23	DWK 107	5	Devbhumi Dwarka	Gujarat
42	27-May-23	DWK 108	5	Devbhumi Dwarka	Gujarat
43	27-May-23	DWK 109	5	Devbhumi Dwarka	Gujarat
44	27-May-23	DWK 110	5	Devbhumi Dwarka	Gujarat
45	27-May-23	DWK 112	5	Devbhumi Dwarka	Gujarat
46	27-May-23	DWK 113	5	Devbhumi Dwarka	Gujarat
47	27-May-23	DWK 114	5	Devbhumi Dwarka	Gujarat
48	27-May-23	DWK 116	5	Devbhumi Dwarka	Gujarat
49	27-May-23	DWK 117	5	Devbhumi Dwarka	Gujarat
50	27-May-23	DWK 216	3	Devbhumi Dwarka	Gujarat
51	27-May-23	DWK 217	3	Devbhumi Dwarka	Gujarat
52	16-Sep-23	DWK 176	9	Devbhumi Dwarka	Gujarat
53	16-Sep-23	DWK 177	9	Devbhumi Dwarka	Gujarat
54	16-Sep-23	DWK 210	6	Devbhumi Dwarka	Gujarat
55	16-Sep-23	DWK 211	9	Devbhumi Dwarka	Gujarat

56	16-Sep-23	DWK 222	3	Devbhumi Dwarka	Gujarat
57	16-Sep-23	DWK 169	9	Devbhumi Dwarka	Gujarat
58	16-Sep-23	DWK 170	9	Devbhumi Dwarka	Gujarat
59	16-Sep-23	DWK 171	9	Devbhumi Dwarka	Gujarat
60	16-Sep-23	DWK 172	9	Devbhumi Dwarka	Gujarat
61	16-Sep-23	DWK 174	9	Devbhumi Dwarka	Gujarat
62	28-Sep-23	DWK 173	9	Devbhumi Dwarka	Gujarat
63	28-Sep-23	DWK 179	9	Devbhumi Dwarka	Gujarat
64	28-Sep-23	DWK 006	8	Devbhumi Dwarka	Gujarat
65	28-Sep-23	DWK 011	8	Devbhumi Dwarka	Gujarat
66	28-Sep-23	DWK 031	8	Devbhumi Dwarka	Gujarat
67	28-Feb-24	DWK 034	8	Devbhumi Dwarka	Gujarat
68	28-Feb-24	DWK 035	8	Devbhumi Dwarka	Gujarat
69	02-Mar-24	DWK 181	6	Devbhumi Dwarka	Gujarat
70	02-Mar-24	DWK 182	6	Devbhumi Dwarka	Gujarat
71	28-Feb-24	DWK 183	6	Devbhumi Dwarka	Gujarat
72	02-Mar-24	DWK 184	6	Devbhumi Dwarka	Gujarat
73	02-Mar-24	DWK 185	6	Devbhumi Dwarka	Gujarat
74	02-Mar-24	DWK 187	6	Devbhumi Dwarka	Gujarat
75	02-Mar-24	DWK 188	6	Devbhumi Dwarka	Gujarat

76	02-Mar-24	DWK 189	6	Devbhumi Dwarka	Gujarat
77	*18-Jan-25	DWK 002	11	Devbhumi Dwarka	Gujarat
78	19-Jul-24	DWK 003	11	Devbhumi Dwarka	Gujarat
79	19-Jul-24	DWK 004	11	Devbhumi Dwarka	Gujarat
80	19-Jul-24	DWK 010	11	Devbhumi Dwarka	Gujarat
81	19-Jul-24	DWK 012	11	Devbhumi Dwarka	Gujarat
82	28-Feb-24	DWK 013	8	Devbhumi Dwarka	Gujarat
83	19-Jul-24	DWK 016	11	Devbhumi Dwarka	Gujarat
84	23-Mar-24	DWK 029	10	Devbhumi Dwarka	Gujarat
85	28-Feb-24	DWK 030	8	Devbhumi Dwarka	Gujarat
86	28-Feb-24	DWK 033	8	Devbhumi Dwarka	Gujarat
87	02-Mar-24	DWK 036	9	Devbhumi Dwarka	Gujarat
88	19-Jul-24	DWK 099	2	Devbhumi Dwarka	Gujarat
89	19-Jul-24	DWK 100	2	Devbhumi Dwarka	Gujarat
90	*18-Jan-25	DWK 118	2	Devbhumi Dwarka	Gujarat
91	23-Mar-24	DWK 119	2	Devbhumi Dwarka	Gujarat
92	23-Mar-24	DWK 123	2	Devbhumi Dwarka	Gujarat
93	23-Mar-24	DWK 124	2	Devbhumi Dwarka	Gujarat
94	23-Mar-24	DWK 125	2	Devbhumi Dwarka	Gujarat
95	02-May-24	DWK 126	2	Devbhumi Dwarka	Gujarat

96	02-Mar-24	DWK 127	2	Devbhumi Dwarka	Gujarat
97	02-Mar-24	DWK 128	2	Devbhumi Dwarka	Gujarat
98	02-May-24	DWK 180	6	Devbhumi Dwarka	Gujarat
99	02-Mar-24	DWK 190	7	Devbhumi Dwarka	Gujarat
100	28-Feb-24	DWK 191	7	Devbhumi Dwarka	Gujarat
101	02-Mar-24	DWK 192	7	Devbhumi Dwarka	Gujarat
102	28-Feb-24	DWK 193	7	Devbhumi Dwarka	Gujarat
103	23-Mar-24	DWK 202	7	Devbhumi Dwarka	Gujarat
104	02-Mar-24	DWK 203	7	Devbhumi Dwarka	Gujarat
105	23-Mar-24	DWK 204	7	Devbhumi Dwarka	Gujarat
106	28-Feb-24	DWK 205	7	Devbhumi Dwarka	Gujarat
107	*18-Jan-25	DWK 206	7	Devbhumi Dwarka	Gujarat
108	28-Feb-24	DWK 207	7	Devbhumi Dwarka	Gujarat
109	28-Feb-24	DWK 209	7	Devbhumi Dwarka	Gujarat
110	28-Feb-24	DWK 212	7	Devbhumi Dwarka	Gujarat
111	19-Jul-24	DWK 351	11	Devbhumi Dwarka	Gujarat
112	02-May-24	DWK 354	9	Devbhumi Dwarka	Gujarat
113	02-May-24	DWK 355	6	Devbhumi Dwarka	Gujarat
114	19-Jul-24	DWK P05	11	Devbhumi Dwarka	Gujarat
115	19-Jul-24	DWK P07	11	Devbhumi Dwarka	Gujarat

116	02-May-24	DWK P14	11	Devbhumi Dwarka	Gujarat
117	02-May-24	DWK P15	11	Devbhumi Dwarka	Gujarat
118	19-Jul-24	DWK P72	11	Devbhumi Dwarka	Gujarat
119	02-Mar-24	DWK P80	6	Devbhumi Dwarka	Gujarat
120	23-Mar-24	DWK P88	8	Devbhumi Dwarka	Gujarat

APPENDIX 4: ABBREVIATIONS

Abbreviations	Full texts
BE	Baseline Emissions
CAR	Corrective Action Request
CDM	Clean Development Mechanism
CDM M&P	Modalities and Procedures CDM
CER(s)	Certified Emission Reduction(s)
CH ₄	Methane
CL	Clarification Request
CO ₂	Carbon dioxide
CO ₂ e	Carbon dioxide equivalent
DNA	Designated National Authority
DOE	Designated Operational Entity
EB	Executive Board
EF	Emission Factor
EPL	Eco-Lance Private Limited
ER	Emission Reductions
FAR	Forward Action Request
GETCO	Gujrat Energy Transmission Corporation Limited
GHG(s)	Greenhouse gas(es)
GWP	Global Warming Potential
IPCC	Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change
PD	Project Description
LoA	Letter of Approval
MoV	Means of Verification
MWh	Mega Watt Hour
MR	Monitoring Report

NGO	Non-governmental Organization
ODA	Official Development Assistance
PDD	Project Design Document
MM	Main Meter
CM	Check Meter
SM	Standby Meter
SECI	Solar Energy Corporation of India
VVB	Validation Verification Body

APPENDIX 5: DOCUMENT REVIEW

Code	Document's Name
/01/	Monitoring Report of the project: “Grid Connected Wind Energy Project in Gujrat” VCS 1968 Version 1.0: 30-December-2025 Version 1.1: 08-February-2026 Version 1.2: 09-February-2026 Version 1.3: 10-February-2026 Version 1.4: 05-June-2026
/02/	Emission Reduction Calculation Spreadsheet for the project: “Grid Connected Wind Energy Project in Gujrat” VCS 1968 Version 1.0: 30-December-2025 Version 1.1: 08-February-2026
/03/	VCS: VCS Program Guide, version 4.4 of 29-August-2023
/04/	VCS: VCS Standard, version 4.7
/05/	VCS: VCS Monitoring Report Template, version 4.4
/06/	CDM Executive Board: ACM0002: Grid-connected electricity generation from renewable sources (Version 19) TOOL 01: Tool for the demonstration and assessment of additionality, version 7.0 TOOL 07: To calculate the emission factor for an electricity system, version 7.0
/07/	Registered Project Description “Grid Connected Wind Energy Project in Gujrat” VCS 1968 Version 2.1: Dated: 29-July-2024
/08/	Registered Validation Report “Grid Connected Wind Energy Project in Gujrat” VCS 1968 Version 2.1: Dated: 16-December-2019
/09/	UNFCCC website for project activities and programme of activities https://cdm.unfccc.int/Projects/projsearch.html
/10/	Gold Standard Registry: https://registry.goldstandard.org/projects?q=&page=1
/11/	VERRA registry for VCS Projects: https://registry.verra.org/app/search/VCS
/12/	VCS: VCS Guidance-Validation and Verification Manual, Version 3.2
/13/	Project link in VERRA: https://registry.verra.org/app/projectDetail/VCS/1968

/14/	Ministry of Corporate Affairs, Govt. of India: Certificate of Incorporation pursuant to change of name from CLP Wind Firms (India) Private Limited to Apraava Renewable Energy Private Limited, dated 31/12/2021
/15/	Apraava Energy: https://www.apraava.com/sustainability/environment
/16/	Ministry of Labour & Employment: https://labour.gov.in/labour-law-reforms
/17/	JMR: Issued by JKTL(Jam Khambaliya Transco Limited) for the current monitoring period
/18/	Invoice issued by APRAAVA Energy Private Limited to SECI(Solar Energy Corporation of India Limited) for the current monitoring period.
/19/	REA (Regional Energy Account) statement issued by Western Regional Power Committee (WRPC).
/20/	Calibration Reports: The main meter and check meters re calibrated by Schneider Electric India Private Limited.
/21/	APRAAVA Energy: HR Policy: https://www.apraava.com/getmedia/c5d64657-fa1d-4fad-a803-a8ca9727c2fa/Human-Rights-Policy.pdf
/22/	Central Electricity Authority, Government of India Ministry of Power CEA database
/23/	Central Electricity Authority, Government of India Ministry of Power CEA (Installation and Operation of Meters) Regulations Notified on 17/03/2006
/24/	APRAAVA Energy: Grievance Register
/25/	APRAAVA Energy: Prevention of sexual harassment policy: https://www.apraava.com/getmedia/b485f4e1-aaf3-49ae-9864-ea38aefbb18e/Handbook-on-Prevention-of-Sexual-Harassment-at-Workplace-Oct-2023.pdf
/26/	Commissioning Certificates of all the WTGs
/27/	Previous Verification Report: EcoLance Private Limited, Version:2.0 of 31-July-2024.
/28/	GCC websites: https://globalcarboncouncil.com/

/29/	CERCARBONO website: https://www.cercarbono.com/
/30/	CDM: Validation and Verification standard https://cdm.unfccc.int/sunsetcms/storage/contents/stored-file-20170502114945162/reg_stan06.pdf

APPENDIX 6: CLARIFICATION REQUEST, CORRECTIVE ACTION REQUEST AND FORWARD ACTION REQUEST

Table 1. Remaining FAR from Previous verification and/or validation

FAR ID	00	Section no.		Date: DD/MM/YYYY
Description of FAR				
No FAR raised.				
Project participant response				Date: DD/MM/YYYY
Documentation provided by project participant				
VVB's assessment				Date: DD/MM/YYYY

Table 2. CL from this verification

CL ID	01	Section no.		Date: 06/02/2026
Description of CL				
Following are the documents required to provide: 1. Power Purchase agreement 2. Calibration certificates of both the main meter and check meter applicable to this current monitoring. 3. Joint Meter Reading documents provided is incomplete, PP required to provide the complete records based on which VVB can verify the net electricity generated. 4. PP required to provide an agreement of changing the supplier from Gamesa to Suzlon and need to provide details when the supplier is change.				
Project participant response (1st round)				Date: 08/02/2026

1. PP has provided the Power Purchase agreement 2. PP has provided the calibration certificates of both main and check meter for current monitoring period. 3. PP has provided the complete Joint Meter Reading documents. 4. PP has provided an supplier agreement and details of changing the supplier from Gamesa to Suzlon.	
Documentation provided by project participant	
Power Purchase Agreement, calibration certificate, Joint Meter Reading record and supplier agreement.	
VVB's assessment (1st round)	Date: 10/02/2026
VVB reviewed the following documents (PPA, Calibration report, JMR and supplier agreement) and found the data provided in the documents are appropriate. Hence, CL is closed.	

CL ID	02	Section no.	1.1	Date: 06/02/2026
Description of CL				
In section 1.1 of the report, it is written that the envisaged capacity of project changed to 252 MW (120*2.1 MW) during project registration, the project activity has been planned to be implemented with capacity of 250.8 MW (114*2.2 MW). PP is required to clearly specify the number of turbines with capacities of 2.2 MW and 2.1 MW. It is noted that following the supplier change, a total of 120 turbines has been installed and the capacity of each turbine has been indicated as 2.1 MW. Clarification is required on whether the 2.1 MW rating applies to all turbines or only to those installed after the supplier change. Further, as per the Joint Meter Reading (JMR), the rated capacity of each turbine is shown as 2.1 MW for WTGs commissioned during 2024; however, the PP is required to clarify the rated capacity of the WTGs installed in 2023, as the planned capacity is stated as 2.2 MW while the implemented capacity is indicated as 2.1 MW. Accordingly, the PP is requested to explain whether the WTGs installed prior to the supplier change were also revised from 2.2 MW to 2.1 MW. In addition, the PP is required to provide the purchase orders for all WTGs from both suppliers as well as the commissioning certificates for all WTGs.				
Project participant response (1st round)				Date: 08/02/2026
During the previous monitoring period, PP had clarified that the equipment supplier was changed from Gamesa to Suzlon during the COVID period due to supply chain and commercial considerations. Initially, the project was planned with Gamesa WTGs of 2.2 MW capacity. However, since Suzlon WTGs have a rated capacity of 2.1 MW and the supplier change was finalized prior to procurement and installation for the entire wind farm, no 2.2 MW turbines were installed at the site. Currently, a total of 120 WTGs have been installed, each with a rated capacity of 2.1 MW, and this rating uniformly applies to all turbines commissioned in 2023 and 2024. PP has submitted purchase orders along with the commissioning certificates for all installed WTGs confirming the rated capacity and commissioning dates.				

Documentation provided by project participant	
Commissioning certificates	
VVB's assessment (1 st round)	Date: 10/02/2026
VVB reviewed response provided by the PP and found that equipment supplier has been changed from Siemen Gamesa to Suzlon due to some business exigencies for that reason project capacity has been changed from 150.8MW to 152 MW. The VVB observed that WTGs with a capacity of 2.1 MW were installed only after the change in equipment supplier. No 2.2 MW WTGs were commissioned prior to the supplier change; these units were only planned and not implemented before the change.. Due to change in project installed capacity there is no impact on additionality of the project, due to change in project installed capacity there is no change in the applicability eligibility criteria of applied methodology. VVB verified the information and found that during validation FAR has been raised and it is closed during previous verification. Hence, CL is closed.	

CL ID	03	Section no.	Date: 06/02/2026
Description of CL			
Total how many feeders are available at the project site for all the 120 WTGs. PP required to clarify the same.			
Project participant response (1 st round)			Date: 08/02/2026
Total 10 feeders (feeder no.2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10 and 11) are available at the project site for all the 120 WTGs. The details of the same are provided in appendix 2 of revised monitoring report.			
Documentation provided by project participant			
VCS MR version 1.1			
VVB's assessment (1 st round)			Date: 10/02/2026
VVB reviewed the total number of feeders information provided by and found consistence. Hence, CL is closed.			

Table 3. CAR from this verification

CAR ID	01	Section no.	Date: 06/02/2026
Description of CAR			

The training record provided is dated 29-10-2025, this date does not fall under current monitoring period. PP required to check and clarify.	
Project participant response (1st round)	Date: 08/02/2026
PP has provided the training record dated 16-10-2024 for current monitoring period.	
Documentation provided by project participant	
Training attendance sheet.	
VVB's assessment (1st round)	Date: 10/02/2026
VVB reviewed the latest training record provided by PP and now the new training record provided is dated 16-10-2024. Hence it is confirm to be appropriate.	
Hence, CAR is closed.	

CAR ID	02	Section no.		Date: 06/02/2026
Description of CAR				
PP shall confirm that the GHG Emission reductions or removals generated by the project activity will not be used for compliance with an emission trading program or to meet binding limits on GHG Emissions. Relevant supporting evidence shall be provided.				
Project participant response (1st round)				Date: 08/02/2026
PP confirms that the GHG emission reductions generated by the project activity will not be used for compliance under any emission trading program nor to meet any binding limits on GHG emissions. The emission reductions are intended solely for voluntary use. Relevant supporting evidence and declarations will be provided accordingly.				
Documentation provided by project participant				
Declaration for no double counting.				
VVB's assessment (1st round)				Date: 10/02/2026
PP has provided a declaration on double counting, which was reviewed by VVB. Based on the review, it is confirmed that the GHG emission reductions generated by the project activity will not be used for compliance under any emission trading program.				
Hence, CAR is closed.				

CAR ID	03	Section no.	3.2.2	Date: 06/02/2026
---------------	----	--------------------	-------	-------------------------

Description of CAR	
In Section 3.2.2 of the Monitoring Period, no project description deviation has been reported; however, a deviation was applied in the previous monitoring period. Accordingly, the PP is requested to include and clearly mention the deviation applied during the previous monitoring period.	
Project participant response (1 st round)	Date: 08/02/2026
There was no deviation during current monitoring period. PP has provided the details of deviation in previous monitoring period in the revised monitoring report.	
Documentation provided by project participant	
VCS MR Version 1.1	
VVB's assessment (1 st round)	Date: 10/02/2026
Now , VVB reviewed the section 3.2.2 of latest MR and found that Project description of previous monitoring period has been clearly stated and following has been reviewed by VVB found to be consistent. Hence, CAR is closed.	

CAR ID	04	Section no.		Date: 06/02/2026
Description of CAR				
The PP is required to explain the waste management practices implemented at the project site and to confirm whether waste is handled by any certified agency.				
Project participant response (1 st round)				Date: 08/02/2026
As per PP's Annual Integrated Report FY 2024 –25 (Page 200), Project Proponent has implemented robust waste management practices at the project site to ensure safe segregation, reuse, recycling, and disposal of waste. Waste is segregated into hazardous and non-hazardous categories and stored in designated areas in compliance with applicable State Pollution Control Board (SPCB) guidelines. All waste streams are collected, transported, and disposed of through certified and authorised waste management agencies, in compliance with applicable regulatory requirements.				
Documentation provided by project participant				
Annual Integrated Report FY 2024 –25 (https://www.apraava.com/IntegratedReport/2024-25/pdf/Apraava-Integrated-Report-2024-25-July-04.pdf)				

VVB's assessment (1st round)	Date: 10/02/2026
VVB reviewed the waste management policy shared by PP and confirms that waste management is carried out by State pollution control board and disposal of the waste has been well maintained. Hence, CAR is closed.	

CAR ID	05	Section no.		Date: 06/02/2026
Description of CAR				
In the ER sheet, PP required to present the PLF calculations.				
Project participant response (1st round)				Date: 08/02/2026
PP has provided the PLF calculation.				
Documentation provided by project participant				
ER sheet version 1.1				
VVB's assessment (1st round)				Date: 10/02/2026
VVB reviewed the latest ER sheet and found that PLF has been rightly implemented.				

APPENDIX 7: COMPETENCY CERTIFICATES



Certificate of Appointment

<< BIDYUT PAVAN BAISHYA >>			
The qualification and appointment are done following the requirements of ECOLANCE PRIVATE LIMITED (EPL/PR/S)			
Standard/ Scheme			
☒ VCS	☐ CCBS	☒ ISO 14001	☒ ISO 9001
☒ ISO 26000	☐ Social Carbon	☐ Plan Vibe	☐ Plastic Standard
☒ CarbonFootprint	☐ ISO 14064-1	☒ A 6.4	☐ ICB
☐ BioCarbon	☒ JCM	☐ Reverse	☒ Others (SDG 5, 7, 13)
Role			
☒ Validator/Verifier	☐ Team Leader	☐ Technical Reviewer	☒ Technical Expert
☐ Financial Expert	☐ Trainee	☒ Local Expert (India)	☐ GHG Verifier (Applicable to ISO 14064-1:2018)
Sectional Scopes (All)			
☒ Energy Industries (Renewable/Non-Renewable)			
☒ Energy Demand			
☐ Manufacturing Industries			
☐ Chemical Industry			
☐ Transport			
☐ Solvents Use			
☐ Waste Handling and Disposal			
☐ Afforestation & Reforestation			
☐ Forestry and Other Land Use (Applicable to ISO 14064-1:2018)			
☐ Agriculture			
☐ Livestock and Manure (Applicable to Verma)			
☐ General (Applicable to ISO 14064-1:2018)			



Certificate of Appointment

Technical Areas (Applicable to A6.4)
☐ 1.1 Thermal Energy Generation
☒ 1.2 Renewables
☒ 1.3 Energy Demand
☐ 4.1 Cement and Lime Production
☐ 5.1 Chemical Industry
☐ 7.1 Transport
☐ 12.1 Chemical Industry
☐ 13.1 Solid waste and waste water
☐ 13.2 Manure
☐ 14.1 Afforestation & Reforestation
☐ 15.1 Agriculture

Certificate No.	EPL/CD/08/2
Date	01/11/2025
Validity	31/10/2026

Authorized by	Rakha Menon
Designation	Director



Certificate of Appointment

<< TANVI NADKARNI >>

The qualification and appointment are done following the requirements of
ECOLANCE PRIVATE LIMITED (EPL/PR/S)

Standards/ Scheme			
☒ VCS	☐ CCBS	☒ GS4GG	☒ GCC
☒ SD Vibe	☐ Social Carbon	☐ Plan Vibe	☐ Plastic Standard
☒ DeCarbono	☒ ISO 14064-1	☒ A.S.4	☒ ICR
☐ BioCarbon	☒ JCM	☐ Reverse	☒ Others (SDG 3,4,7,8,12,13)

Role			
☒ Validator/Verifier	☒ Team Leader	☐ Technical Reviewer	☒ Technical Expert
☐ Financial Expert	☐ Trainee	☒ Local Expert (India, Ghana)	☒ GHG Verifier (Applicable to 14064-1:2018)

Sectoral Scopes (All)	
☒ Energy Industries (Renewable/Non-Renewable)	
☒ Energy Demand	
☐ Manufacturing Industries	
☐ Chemical Industry	
☐ Transport	
☐ Solvents Use	
☒ Waste Handling and Disposal	
☐ Afforestation & Reforestation	
☐ Forestry and Other Land Use (Applicable to 14064-1:2018)	
☐ Agriculture, Forestry and Other Land Use (Verra)	
☐ Livestock and Manure (Applicable to Verra)	
☒ General (Applicable to 14064-1:2018)	

EPL/PR/S

ECOLANCE PRIVATE LIMITED

Version 3

20-05-2025



Certificate of Appointment

Technical Areas (Applicable to A6.6)	
☐ 1.1 Thermal Energy Generation	
☒ 1.2 Renewables	
☒ 3.1 Energy Demand	
☐ 4.1 Cement and Lime Production	
☐ 5.1 Chemical Industry	
☐ 7.1 Transport	
☐ 12.1 Chemical Industry	
☒ 13.1 Solid waste and waste water	
☐ 13.2 Manure	
☐ 14.1 Afforestation & Reforestation	
☐ 15.1 Agriculture	

Certificate No.	EPL/CDR/TH/2
Date	01/10/2025
Validity	30/09/2026

Authorized by	Rishu Menon
Designation	Director
	

EPL/PR/S

ECOLANCE PRIVATE LIMITED

Version 2

20-05-2025



Certificate of Appointment

<< BISWAJIT LAHON >>

The qualification and appointment are done following the requirements of
ECOLANCE PRIVATE LIMITED (EPL/PR/S)

Standard/ Scheme			
☒ VCS	☐ CCBS	☒ ISO9001	☒ ISO14001
☒ SD Vista	☐ Social Carbon	☐ Plan Vivo	☐ Plastic Standard
☒ GeoCarbono	☒ ISO 14064-1	☒ A 5:4	☒ ICR
☐ BioCarbon	☒ JCM	☐ Reverse	☒ Others (SDG 3,4,5,7,8,13)

Role			
☒ Validator/Verifier	☒ Team Leader	☐ Technical Reviewer	☒ Technical Expert
☐ Financial Expert	☐ Trainee	☒ Local Expert (India, Nepal, Kenya)	☒ GHG Verifier (Applicable to 14064-1:2018)

Sectoral Scopes (All)	
☒ Energy Industries (Renewable/Non-Renewable)	
☒ Energy Demand	
☐ Manufacturing Industries	
☐ Chemical Industry	
☐ Transport	
☐ Solvents Use	
☐ Waste Handling and Disposal	
☐ Afforestation & Reforestation	
☐ Forestry and Other Land Use (Applicable to 14064-1:2018)	
☐ Agriculture, Forestry and Other Land Use (Verra)	
☐ Livestock and Manure (Applicable to Verra)	
☒ General (Applicable to 14064-1:2018)	

EPL/PR/S

ECOLANCE PRIVATE LIMITED

Version 3

20-05-2025



Certificate of Appointment

Technical Areas (Applicable to A&A)	
☐ 1.1 Thermal Energy Generation	
☒ 1.2 Renewables	
☒ 2.1 Energy Demand	
☐ 4.1 Cement and Lime Production	
☐ 5.1 Chemical Industry	
☐ 7.1 Transport	
☐ 12.1 Chemical Industry	
☐ 13.1 Solid waste and waste water	
☐ 13.2 Manure	
☐ 14.1 Afforestation & Reforestation	
☐ 15.1 Agriculture	

Certificate No.	EPL/CB/BL/4
Date	10/01/2026
Validity	09/01/2027

Authorized by	Rakha Maron
Designation	Director

EPL/PR/S

ECOLANCE PRIVATE LIMITED

Version 3

20-05-2025



Certificate of Appointment

<< ESWAR MURTY >>

The qualification and appointment are done following the requirements of
ECOLANCE PRIVATE LIMITED (EPL/PR/5)

Standard/ Scheme			
☒ VCS	☐ CO2S	☒ GS4GG	☒ GCC
☒ 3D Vista	☐ Social Carbon	☐ Plan Vivo	☐ Plastic Standard
☒ CarCarbon	☒ ISO 14064-1	☒ A 6.4	☒ ICB
☐ BioCarbon	☒ JCM	☐ Reverse	☐ Others (SDG 7, 9, 11, 12, 13)

Role			
☒ Validator/Verifier	☒ Team Leader	☒ Technical Reviewer	☒ Technical Expert
☐ Financial Expert	☐ Trainee	☒ Local Expert (India, Indonesia)	☒ GHG Verifier (Applicable to 14064-1: 2018)

Sectoral Scopes (All)	
☒ Energy Industries (Renewable/Non-Renewable)	
☒ Energy Demand	
☒ Manufacturing Industries	
☐ Chemical Industry	
☐ Transport	
☐ Solvents Use	
☒ Waste Handling and Disposal	
☐ Afforestation & Reforestation	
☐ Forestry and Other Land Use [Applicable to 14064-1: 2018]	
☐ Agriculture	
☐ Livestock and Manure [Applicable to Verma]	
☒ General [Applicable to 14064-1: 2018]	

BPL/PR/5 ECOLANCE PRIVATE LIMITED Version 3 20-05-2025



Certificate of Appointment

Technical Areas (Applicable to A6.4)	
☒ 1.1 Thermal Energy Generation	
☒ 1.2 Renewables	
☒ 3.1 Energy Demand	
☒ 4.1 Cement and Lime Production	
☐ 5.1 Chemical Industry	
☐ 7.1 Transport	
☐ 12.1 Chemical Industry	
☒ 13.1 Solid waste and waste water	
☒ 13.2 Manure	
☐ 14.1 Afforestation & Reforestation	
☐ 15.1 Agriculture	

Certificate No	EPL/C38/EM/4
Date	30/01/2026
Validity	09/01/2027

Authorized by	Rakha Menon
Designation	Director
	

BPL/PR/5 ECOLANCE PRIVATE LIMITED Version 3 20-05-2025