MONITORING REPORT FOR TIST PROGRAM IN UGANDA VCS-005, VERIFICATION 02

The International Small Group & Tree Planting Program

Document Prepared By Clean Air Action Corporation

Project Title	TIST Program in Uganda, VCS-005
Version	Version 02
Report ID	UG PD-VCS-005, Verification 02
Date of Issue	17-October-2017
Project ID	VCSPD993
Monitoring Period	13-November-12 to 07-July-2017
Prepared By	Charlie Williams, Vice President
Contact	Clean Air Action Corporation P.O. Box 4607 Tulsa OK, USA 74159 Telephone 918-747-8749 CharlieWilliams@CleanAirAction.com Tist.org

Table of Contents

1 Project Details 3
1.1 Summary Description of the Implementation Status of the Project 3
1.2 Sectoral Scope and Project Type 3
1.3 Project Proponent 3
1.4 Other Entities Involved in the Project 4
1.5 Project Start Date 4
1.6 Project Crediting Period 4
1.7 Project Location 5
1.8 Title and Reference of Methodology 5
1.9 Other Programs 5
1.10 Sustainable Development 5

2 Implementation Status 6
2.1 Implementation Status of the Project Activity 6
2.2 Deviations 8
2.2.1 Methodology Deviations 8
2.2.2 Project Description Deviations 8
2.3 Grouped Project 9
2.4 Safeguards 12
2.4.1 No Net Harm 12
2.4.2 Local Stakeholder Consultation 12

3 Data and Parameters 13
3.1 Data and Parameters Available at Validation 13
3.2 Data and Parameters Monitored 16
3.3 Monitoring Plan 17
3.3.1 Organization and Responsibilities 17
3.3.2 Data Management and QA/QC 18
3.3.3 Monitoring and Carbon Calculations 19
3.3.4 Accuracy 22

4 Quantification of GHG Emission Reductions and Removals 23
4.1 Baseline Emissions 23
4.2 Project Emissions 24
4.3 Leakage 24
4.4 Net GHG Emission Reductions and Removals 24

1 PROJECT DETAILS

1.1 Summary Description of the Implementation Status of the Project

The International Small Group and Tree Planting Program (TIST) empowers Small Groups of subsistence farmers in Kenya, Uganda, India and Tanzania to combat the devastating effects of deforestation, poverty and drought. Combining sustainable development with carbon sequestration, TIST supports the reforestation and biodiversity efforts of about 78,000 subsistence farmers. Carbon credit sales generate participant income and provide project funding to address agricultural, HIV/AIDS, nutritional and fuel challenges. As TIST expands to more groups and more areas, it ensures more trees, more biodiversity, more climate change benefit and more income for more people.

Since its inception in 1999, TIST participants organized into over 11,000 TIST Small Groups have planted over 16 million trees on their own and community lands. GhG sequestration is creating a long-term income stream and developing sustainable environments and livelihoods. TIST in Uganda began in 2003 and has grown to about 7,800 TIST participants in about 1,200 Small Groups.

UG PD-VCS-005 is a grouped AFOLU project, eligible under the Afforestation, Reforestation and Revegetation (ARR) category. It is a subset of the TIST project in Uganda and initially applied to 301 of the Small Groups, 1,799 members, 953 project areas and 722 ha. The PD was validated and first verified on 07 March 2013 by Environmental Services, Inc. (ESI). In this monitoring report, we have added 1,755 new instances expanding the PD to 672 of the Small Groups, 4,248 members, 2,708 project areas and 2,434 ha. The following is the Verification history, including what has been determined for this report.

Verification Number	Date	Monitoring Period	Gross Reductions	Buffer	Issuance
Orig Instances	17-Oct-17	01-Jan-03 to 07-Jul-17	243,203	24,320	218,882
New Instances	17-Oct-17	01-Jan-03 to 07-Jul-17	328,811	32,881	295,929
Less Verif 01	07-Mar-13	01-Jan-03 to 12-Nov-12	56,329	5,633	50,696
Net This Report	17-Oct-17	13-Nov-12 to 07-Jul-17	515,685	51,569	**464,116**

1.2 Sectoral Scope and Project Type

This project is seeking registration under the Verified Carbon Standard (VCS 3.3) as an Afforestation, Reforestation and Revegetation (ARR) project and has been developed in compliance with the VCS Guidance for Agriculture, Forestry and Other Land Use Projects (VCS Version 3.3). It is a grouped project.

1.3 Project Proponent

Organization name	Clean Air Action Corporation (CAAC)
Contact person	Charles E. Williams

Title	Vice President
Address	P.O. Box 4607 Tulsa OK, USA 74159 United States of America
Telephone	+1-918-747-8770
Email	CharlieWilliams@CleanAirAction.com

1.4 Other Entities Involved in the Project

Organization name	Institute for Environmental Innovation (I4EI)
Role in the project	Manages sustainable development components of TIST
Contact person	Trena Workman
Title	Executive Director
Address	P.O. Box 4607 Tulsa OK, USA 74159 United States of America
Telephone	+1-918-712-1866
Email	Trenaworkman@i4ei.org

Organization name	Berkeley Reafforestation Trust
Role in the project	Provided funding for sustainable development components (2005-2008)
Contact person	Rodney Portman
Title	Trustee
Address	The Berkeley Reafforestation Trust 3 Harley Gardens London SW10 9SW United Kingdom Phone: 020 7373 6801
Telephone	01844 338077
Email	info@thebrt.org

1.5 Project Start Date

01-January-2003.

1.6 Project Crediting Period

30 years starting 01-January-2003 and ending to 31-December-2032

1.7 Project Location

The individual project areas of this PD are located in Bushenyi, Kabale, Kanungu, Kisoro, Mbarara, Ntungamo, and Rukungiri Districts of Uganda. Most of the project activity is centered around Bushenyi, Kabale and Kanungu generally around latitude 0.5 S, longitude 30 E.

TIST has adopted a "grouped project" approach because it is expanding throughout Uganda. As required by VCS, a KML file has been prepared that defines the extent of the geographic area of the expanded program. The KML file generally defines the districts listed above. See Appendix 06.

1.8 Title and Reference of Methodology

The monitoring methodology used by the Project is CDM AR-AMS0001 Version 06: "Simplified baseline and monitoring methodologies for small-scale afforestation and reforestation project activities under the clean development mechanism implemented on grasslands or croplands" and the associated tools. AFOLU Non-Permanence Risk Tool version 3.2 was also used. No other tools or methodologies were used for this verification report.

1.9 Other Programs

The Project is certified under Climate, Community and Biodiversity Standard (CCBA) as "TIST Program in Uganda CCB-002." CCBA does not issue GhG credits. The Project does not participate in any other forms of environmental credits or GHG programs.

1.10 Sustainable Development

The following are the sustainable development goals that TIST addresses.[1]

- No Poverty: TIST provides cash income from carbon credits and sustainable development benefits monetized at over 11,000,000 Ush per person.
- Zero Hunger: TIST promotes Conservation Farming to improve crop yields and planting fruit and nut trees as part of the tree planning program.
- Good Health and Well-being: TIST provides training in many health topics including HIV/AIDS, malaria, clean water, hygiene and indoor air pollution.
- Gender Equality: TIST creates an environment for gender equality whereby women are given the same opportunities as men. It is enforced by ensuring there is a gender balance in employment, leadership and representation.
- Clean Water and Sanitation: TIST provides training in clean water and hygiene. TIST also trains and encourages tree plant along water courses to reduce run-off and erosion.
- Affordable and Clean Energy: TIST trains in the building of and use of more energy efficient cook stoves.
- Climate Action: TIST is a climate mitigation program.
- Life on Land: TIST provides biodiversity benefits by adding indigenous tree, fruit trees, nut trees and canopy. TIST farmers are trained in biodiversity. TIST project areas provide linkage and buffers with high conservation value areas.

[1] "CCBA Project Implementation Report for TIST Program in Uganda VCS-002 for validation under The Climate, Community and Biodiversity Standard". TIST UG PD-CCB-002a PD Text 170301.docx at http://www.tist.org/PD-UG-VCS-005-006%20Documents.php.

2 IMPLEMENTATION STATUS

2.1 Implementation Status of the Project Activity

The project has been fully operational since 2003. The project was implemented in accordance with the PD and the validation report. 1,755 new incidences are being added with this monitoring report.

As discussed more thoroughly in Section 4.3, no further leakage monitoring is required.

Permanence is addressed through the risk buffer. A Non-Permanence Risk Report was prepared under VCS 3.2 and provided to the Verifier (Appendix 09).[2]

52 Project Areas (1.01% of the carbon volumes) have been removed from the PD since validation and the first verification. In addition, 163 PAs (2.25% of the carbon volumes) have been put in a "Pending" category. The status of each individual PA is addressed in column AO of the "PA Summary" worksheet, verification data spreadsheet. If the column indicates something other than active, their status is given. The carbon of all removed or pending PAs have been set to zero. This means that remaining PAs must first make up the carbon loss before any new credits can be issued.

There are several reasons for the removal of the PA. First, however, each category description is preceded by a "treatment" code. The codes are as follows:

1. Active: These are PAs that are active in TIST and have valid tree counts and calculated carbon volumes. Only Active PAs contribute to the carbon volume of this verification.
2. Pending: These are PAs that need to be reviewed to determine if they will continue as TIST PAs or need a current quantification. They will still be listed on the PA Summary sheet to acknowledge they are currently part of the PD but for this verification their trees and carbon are zero. Once their circumstances have been reviewed, they will either be removed or listed as active. If they are listed as active, we will reestablish the tree count and carbon in subsequent verifications.
3. Removed: These PA are being permanently removed from the program. They will still be listed on the PA Summary sheet to acknowledge they were part of the PD but their trees and carbon are zero. They will not participate in the future.

The following describes the different categories and the way they are treated:

a. Pending: Baseline Error: These are cases where the baseline and boundaries of a grove were taken at one location but the farmer decided to plant somewhere else. Due to the rules of VCS, the groves with the trees are not part of this PD. The baselined area can still be a project area if it is ever planted. Until that time the tree and carbon will be set to zero.
b. Pending-Change in ownership: This includes sale to others; transfer to family members that don't want to be in TIST; and subdividing to family members whom want their groves to be treated separately. If the new owners want to keep their groves in the PD, they are eligible.
c. Pending-Fire. These groves were lost to fire. If the member replants the trees will be eligible under this PD.
d. Pending-Group Quit. Some groups have quit the program. Reasons include they registered in more than one group, they were too small to qualify for carbon payments, they had a misunderstanding with another member. A group can rejoin if they change their mind and rejoin before the next verification.

[2] Appendix 09: TIST UG PD-VCS-005j App09 Verif 02 Risk Analysis 171017.doc at http://www.tist.org/PD-UG-VCS-005-006%20Documents.php.

e. Pending-Grove inaccessible. These PAs are too remote to be able to be serviced. If quantified in the future, they will be added in subsequent verifications.
f. Pending-Member quit. Some members have quit. Reasons include, they refuse to be quantified so they are dropped from the program; they say they have not received any carbon payments, they had a misunderstanding with another member; they felt that participation was not in their best interest; because they did not want to participate; because they felt the carbon payment was not adequate and some for undisclosed reasons. Sometimes members change their minds about quitting so they are put in a pending category. If they don't change their minds buy the next verification they will be removed.
g. Pending-Merged with another grove. These are cases where there were 2 or more original tracks on a farm and in a subsequent quantification the Quantifier merged the groves into one. Since this is not allowed under VCS rules, the carbon and trees in these groves were set to zero. If the PAs are un-merged and are requantified properly, they can become eligible in a subsequent verification.
h. Pending-Needs requantification. These are groves that are still active but we do not believe the quantification to be accurate. Most are groves that had no trees at the time of the first verification and have not been requantified. Their carbon was zero at the time of the first quantification and remains zero. Two other reasons for questioning the quantification are the data was lost during synchronization and poor quality of quantification.
i. Pending-Never planted. The members have not planted any trees in these PA. If they do they will be included in subsequent verifications.
j. Pending-Ownership dispute. This generally results when the farmer dies and the family does not agree on the inheritance. These are pending because sometime the new owners decide they want to be in TIST. Since these PAs have been validated and the baseline and additionality are not affected, this change in ownership is permitted if the new owners decide they want to keep the PAs in TIST.
k. Pending-Pest. These groves were lost to pest or disease fire. If the member replants the trees will be eligible under this PD.
l. Remove-Baseline Error. These are groves where baseline trees were erroneously marked as TIST trees. These trees have been removed and the PAs are not eligible under the PD.
m. Remove-Change in ownership: This includes sale to others; transfer to family members that don't want to be in TIST; and subdividing to family members whom want their groves to be treated separately. These are cases where new owners will not be eligible for membership.
n. Remove-Double registration. These are cases where subsequent to validation we learned that the grove had been registered twice. This includes cases where the same farmer registered the same grove in two different Small Groups and where the same grove was quantified under 2 different names.
o. Remove-Group Quit. Some groups have quit the program. Reasons include they registered in more than one group, they were too small to qualify for carbon payments, they had a misunderstanding with another member. We do not expect groups in this category to change their minds.
p. Remove-Harvest. While TIST allows beneficial thinning, we do not allow harvest. Those in this category have violated the contract and have been marked inactive. We expect most of them will be removed from TIST permanently. We have identified a few, however, that removed eucalyptus trees in order to plant indigenous trees. If that can be demonstrated, those PAs may be allowed to stay in the PD. In such a case, the carbon from the harvested trees will have been debited from the total carbon of the PA and only the carbon from the new trees will be counted.
q. Remove-Member quit. Some members have quit. Reasons include, they refuse to be quantified so they are dropped from the program; they say they have not received any carbon payments, they had a misunderstanding with another member; they felt that participation was not in their best interest; because they did not want to participate; because they felt the carbon payment was not adequate and some for undisclosed reasons. We do not expect members in this category to change their minds.
r. Remove-Nursery, not a tree grove. This was a nursery mislabeled a grove.

s. Remove-Overlap. These are cases where subsequent to validation we learned that the grove boundary overlapped with another grove boundary. These groves have been removed to avoid double counting.
t. Remove-Registration Error. These are groves that the farmers says were not part of TIST. The likely cause is the Quantifier misunderstood the farmer during baseline and tracked the wrong area.
u. Remove-Trees Removed. These are PAs where trees were removed because the land was needed for cultivation, home construction, powerlines, road expansion or school expansion. The land is not expected be available in the future as a TIST grove.
v. Remove-Unauthorized registration: These are cases where an unauthorized person registered the grove for TIST. Sometimes it is the wife joining and the husband later refusing.

Loss of a few PAs was discussed in the External Risk section of the Non-Permanence Risk Report. It was considered possible but of minimal risk to the carbon asset. Since this monitoring report has determined that the total carbon stocks have increased from the previous one, it is clear that the loss has not caused a loss event or reversal. According to the risk tool, the overall risk rating is 1.5, qualifying the project for the minimum buffer, 10%.

2.2 Deviations

2.2.1 Methodology Deviations

None.

2.2.2 Project Description Deviations

There is a deviation from the PD. In the PD we stated "the operational processes for monitoring the actual GhG removal by the sinks are for TIST Quantifiers to visit each grove once per year and, at minimum, once every five years to count trees and collect circumference, GPS and other data" (Section 4.1.3). TIST Quantifiers are not visiting each PA (grove) once per year.

Quantification Every Five Years: While counting trees and collecting circumference every five years is a requirement of the methodology (and followed by the Project), visiting every PA every year was simply an operational goal. Not making annual visits does not impact the applicability of the methodology, additionality or the appropriateness of the baseline scenario. That it was an operational goal was clearly stated in Section 4.3.5, "TIST's internal goal is to quantify each project area once per year."

There are 2,708 PAs in this PD and over 5,300 in TIST Uganda. Unfortunately, visiting every PA every year proved to be too costly to maintain. Instead the entire TIST program in Uganda was modified and centered on a "Cluster" administrative structure. A Cluster is a group of Small Groups within walking distance that has their own local leadership. It is where Small Groups receive training, voucher payment, share "best practices," share news and newsletters and discuss quantification issues. A Quantifier is assigned to each Cluster and their scope has been broadened to include training and assisting Cluster leaders as they rotate into new positions. The Cluster provides an alternate method of gathering intelligence about what is happening at the Small Group level and to individual groves including information that might assist in monitoring the actual GhG removal.[3] This allows us to get the same information that a Quantifier might get

[3] This includes examples such as if an SG or an SG member has quit or if there has been a major loss from fire, pest, harvest, etc. The type of information collected is reflected in the monitoring spreadsheets where we have indicated an issue with the project area (removed or pending) and zeroed out the carbon for this verification.

on a non-quantification visit (i.e. the annual visit) by asking members and their neighbors about changes, at a more sustainable cost. The ideal schedule for Cluster meetings is one per month, increasing the frequency of opportunity to learn about changes at the grove level.

Removing Project Activity Instances: While it was expected that there would be loss of trees from the PD due to harvest, etc.,[4] the loss of PAs was not addressed. When a member or Small Group quits or harvests their trees, or if a PA is found to fall within one of the "remove" categories I through v in section 2.1, above, they are no longer active in the PD. The name of the grove is kept on the monitoring spreadsheet (Appendix 11), the reason for the removal is given in the "Status V2" column of the "PA Summary" worksheet of Appendix 11, and the carbon sequestered from the PA is zeroed out. By zeroing the carbon, all of the carbon credits previously issued from the PA are replaced.

2.3 Grouped Project

This monitoring report adds 1,755 new instances to the PD. In this PD, each instance is a new Project Area. In order to qualify as an instance, each Project area must meet the grouped projects eligibility criteria:

- *Meet the applicability conditions set out in the methodology applied to the project.* See paragraph 2.3.1, below.
- *Use the technologies or measures specified in the project description.* Each new instance shall be and is treated in the same manner as the original instances and in accordance with the verified PD.
- *Apply the technologies or measures in the same manner as specified in the project description.* Each new instance shall be and is treated in the same manner as the original instances and in accordance with the verified PD.
- *Are subject to the baseline scenario determined in the project description for the specified project activity and geographic area.* See paragraphs 2.3.2 and 2.3.3, below.
- *Have characteristics with respect to additionality that are consistent with the initial instances for the specified project activity and geographic area.* See paragraph 2.3.4, below.

1. Applicability of Methodology.[5] The following addresses how the new instances address the applicability criteria of the methodology:

- *Project activities are implemented on grasslands or croplands.* As indicated on "Grove Summary" worksheet of Appendix 04b[6], project activities are implemented on grasslands and croplands.
- *Project activities are implemented on lands where the area of the cropland, within the project boundary, displaced due to the project activity is less than 50 per cent of the total project area.* This condition was deemed met through a survey of the individual members

[4] See section 4.3.3 of the PD: "since ex post carbon calculations are based on current tree counts, any trees lost to harvest, etc., are automatically excluded from the calculation"
[5] AR-AMS0001, Section I.
[6] Appendix 04b: TIST UG PD-VCS-005e App04b Data 170707.xlsx at http://www.tist.org/PD-UG-VCS-005-006%20Documents.php.

that farm the land and through field observations. In the surveys, 100%[7] of the farmers indicated there was no displacement of cropland. Field observation shows that many of the farmers have chosen to plant trees along property lines and/or to plant their trees widely spaced in their fields and practice agro forestry. There were no observations that indicate that this condition was not met. In addition, all of this is supported by the overriding fact that TIST members are subsistence farmers that rely on their land for household food production. Carbon has little value compared to food, so they only plant in areas that will not cause them to displace higher value activities such as farming.

- *Project activities are implemented on lands where the number of displaced grazing animals is less than 50 percent of the average grazing capacity of the project area.* This condition was deemed met through a baseline survey conducted of the individual members that farm the land and through field observations. The survey indicates that displaced grazing only affects about 2.4%[8] of the area of the new instances. Field observation showed no evidence that grazing is significant in the project areas, or in the entire area, in which the project areas are located. Some farmers do keep a few head of cattle, but they are typically confined to pens and fed fodder. There were no observations that indicate that this condition was not met.

- *Project activities are implemented on lands where 10 percent of the total surface project area is disturbed as result of soil preparation for planting.* The minimum spacing recommended for the trees is two meters x two meters, or four square meters. The recommended size of the holes is 0.3 meters in diameter, or 0.07 square meters. The calculated area disturbed as a result of soil preparation for planting is less than 2%. Plowing does take place for intercropping, as part of the baseline activity and is not considered by the CDM AR Working Group to be part of the project activity.

- *Carbon pools are above- and below-ground tree and woody perennials biomass.* This is demonstrated calculation in Appendix 11b[9] whereby the only carbon pools are the above and below ground bio-mass of trees.

- *Project emissions are considered insignificant and therefore neglected. As noted in* Section 3.2.5 of the PD, project emission are insignificant because TIST farmers refrain from use of chemical fertilizers, use of nitrogen fixing tree species is insignificant and any fossil fuel emissions are di minimis.

- *Demonstrate land eligibility (AR-AMS0001, Appendix A):* Section 1.13.1 of the PD discusses the requirements of Appendix A and the need to meet the forest definition of the host country. This is superseded by the VCS definition of an Afforestation, Reforestation and Revegetation (ARR) project.

- *Demonstrate Additionality (AR-AMS0001, Appendix B):* See paragraph 2.3.4, below.

[7] Appendix 04b:"Grove Summary" worksheet, Column AG
[8] Appendix 04b: "Grove Summary" worksheet, Column BH
[9] Appendix 11b: TIST UG PD-VCS-005l App11b Verif 02 Monitoring Data 170707.xlsx at http://www.tist.org/PD-UG-VCS-005-006%20Documents.php.

2. Baseline scenario. The baseline scenario described in the PD can be applied to all of the new instances. The methodology requires justification that "the most likely baseline scenario of the small-scale A/R CDM project activity is considered to be the land-use prior to the implementation of the project activity, either grasslands or croplands."[10] The baseline field observation as detailed in the "Grove Summary" worksheet[11] indicates the project areas are grassland and cropland prior to implementation of the project activity. That this is also the most likely use of the project areas without the project activity is supported by:

- The project areas are all private lands owned by farmers conducting the project activity. They have a history of farming and use of the land, other than natural forest or long-term forestry.
- These lands are located in an area populated by subsistence farmers, who use wood for their primary fuel. As supported by the references in the PD, wood use, agriculture and increasing population have been key factors in deforestation.
- These factors lead to the conclusion that there is little reason to believe that the project areas will revert to forest without intervention.
- There are no alternative uses of this land that can be reasonably expected.

3. Geographic area. The PD limits the new instances to the following districts of Uganda: Bushenyi, Kabale, Kanungu, Kisoro, Mbarara, Ntungamo, and Rukungiri[12]. All of the new instances are in Uganda as demonstrated by the KML files.

4. Additionality. The additionality demonstration described in the PD[13] can be applied to all of the new instances. Regarding the Project Proponent, the PD discusses that fact that TIST does not create or sell any products other than GhG credits associated with carbon sequestration. This remains true. For the Small Groups or members, the PD discusses:

- Investment barrier – TIST members are poor and lack investment capital to plant trees. TIST provides tree payments that overcome the investment barrier.
- Barriers due to social conditions and lack of organization – tree planting on the scale that TIST has accomplished requires more than a single individual. TIST provides the structure and training to overcome this barrier.
- Laws and regulations requiring tree planting – Tree planting takes place on private lands and there are no laws or regulations requiring this.
- Common practice – while there are farmers planting trees without the expectation of carbon revenues, they lack the financial motivation that TIST farmers have to maintain the trees for the long term through the sale of carbon credits.

5. Capacity Limits. The PD is based on the CDM small scale methodology which establishes a capacity limit of 16,000 tonnes per year.[14] Under the VCS Grouped Project this is superseded by

[10] AR-AMS0001, Section II.5.
[11] Appendix 11b: Columns AV and AW
[12] TIST UG PD-VCS-005 PD: TIST UG PD-VCS-005a PD Text 130304.pdf, Section 1.9, Project Location, at http://www.tist.org/PD-UG-VCS-005-006%20Documents.php.
[13] TIST UG PD-VCS-005 PD: Section 2.5, Additionality.
[14] Report of the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol on its third session, held in Bali from 3 to 15 December 2007, Decision 9/CMP.3, P. 26

the definition of an instance. An instance is limited to one percent which for a 30 year project is 4,800 tonnes. All of the new instances are less than the one percent threshold. This is documented in the "Grove Summary" worksheet[15].

2.4 Safeguards

2.4.1 No Net Harm

TIST has conducted numerous evaluations of the program in Uganda under CCB and has determined that there are no negative environmental or socio-economic impacts. The program was designed to be beneficial to the farmers and environment. No mitigation is necessary.

2.4.2 Local Stakeholder Consultation

The stakeholders are primarily the 4,248 individual members that comprise the 672 Small Groups of this project. They are primarily subsistence farmers. They own, or have rights, to the lands where the project activities take place and own the trees and tree products that are the focus of the climate change portion of the project. The membership to TIST is voluntary. Members hear about the program and ask to join. TIST holds regular meetings at various levels (Small Groups, Cluster and Leadership Council) to maintain communications among the members.

Membership in TIST is completely voluntarily. The actions that members take are on their own land. They maintain ownership of the land, the trees planted for sequestration and all the products that the trees yield. TIST exists for the local farmers and only grows if the local farmers support it. The growth of TIST is a reflection of the positive reaction that the farmers and other stakeholders have had about TIST.

When TIST begins in an area, they contact community leaders, village heads/village leaders, local NGOs and local government officials to determine if there is an interest in the program. If there is an interest, TIST holds a public seminar to present the program, answer questions, address concerns and receive comments. This is followed by regular and ongoing meetings where the public is invited to attend. TIST representatives have met with numerous State, District and Village officials seeking comment and showing them the project. In addition to the meetings, information about TIST is disseminated by word of mouth; using “The Tree,” a multi-lingual newsletter published by TIST Uganda; and direct contact with community leaders and government officials and over local radio programming.

The original TIST program was started in Tanzania, in late 1999, to meet local needs in a sustainable way, while at the same time addressing climate change. Uganda sent their first representatives to a TIST seminar in Tanzania in July 2003. The representatives went back to Uganda and introduced TIST by word of mouth and Small Group meetings. Interested individuals formed Small Groups and began planting trees.

Two formal public meetings were held, one at the Katungu Mothers Union in Bushenyi on 12 February 2009 and one at the Kirigime Guest House in Kabale on 16 February, 2009. Notice was given in two Uganda newspapers, the New Vision and Orumuri. Announcements were made on the radio in Bushenyi on 2, 3 and 6 February 2009. Announcements were made on the radio in

[15] Appendix 11b: Columns AZ to BD

Kabale on 3, 4 and 5 February 2009. Letters of invitation were also sent to selected stakeholders and interested parties.

At the Small Group level, member farmers meet with TIST representatives regularly, where they have an opportunity to ask more questions and make more comments. Since one of TIST's main focuses is adopting best practices, these are forums to review what is working about the program and how it can be improved. Changes to the program are announced in the newsletter.

The result of this stakeholder process has led to numerous invitations for TIST to come to new villages and numerous positive comments about TIST. The following section will summarize written comments. TIST has not received any negative comments.

Other stakeholders are NGOs and government entities we have identified from their activities in the Project Zone. TIST has been active in Uganda since 2003, has six VCS PDs (including UG-VCS-005 and 006) and two CCB PDs (including the one that applies to this PD). TIST had held numerous public meetings that we announced in several Uganda national newspapers.[16] We have collected the names of interested stakeholders.

3 DATA AND PARAMETERS

3.1 Data and Parameters Available at Validation

Data / Parameter	**Location of project area**
Data unit	Latitude and longitude
Description	Single point location of the area where project activity has been implemented
Source of data	GPS
Value applied:	See "PA Summary" worksheet, Appendix 11a/b, for each result
Justification of choice of data or description of measurement methods and procedures applied	GPS is the modern standard for determining geographic coordinates.
Purpose of the data	Baseline
Comments	None

Data / Parameter	**Boundary of project area**
Data unit	Latitude and longitude
Description	Multiple points of latitude and longitude that describe the boundary of a discrete project area
Source of data	GPS

[16] Exhibit 14: TIST UG PD-VCS-Ex 14b Public Comments CCB-002.doc at http://www.tist.org/PD-UG-VCS-005-006%20Documents.php.

Value applied:	See KML file, Appendix 3a/b[17], for all results
Justification of choice of data or description of measurement methods and procedures applied	GPS is the modern standard for determining geographic coordinates.
Purpose of the data	Baseline
Comments	None

Data / Parameter	**Area of project area**
Data unit	Hectares
Description	Size of the area where the project activity has been implemented
Source of data	GPS
Value applied:	See "PA Summary" worksheet, Appendix 11a/b, for each result
Justification of choice of data or description of measurement methods and procedures applied	GPS is the modern standard for determining geographic coordinates.
Purpose of the data	Baseline
Comments	None

Data / Parameter	**Ownership of project area**
Data unit	Name
Description	Ownership of land of project area
Source of data	“Carbon Credit Sale Agreement”
Value applied:	See "PA Summary" worksheet, Appendix 11a/b, for each result
Justification of choice of data or description of measurement methods and procedures applied	TIST representatives ask if there is a change in ownership when they visit the PA.
Purpose of the data	Baseline
Comments	None

Data / Parameter	**Baseline trees**
Data unit	Baseline Trees
Description	The number of trees existing in a project area is counted, before the planting of project trees.

[17] Appendix 03: TIST UG PD-VCS-005d App03a PA Plots.kml at http://www.tist.org/PD-UG-VCS-005-006%20Documents.php.

Source of data	Trees are physically counted in the field
Value applied:	See "Baseline Strata" worksheet, Appendix 04a/b, for each result
Justification of choice of data or description of measurement methods and procedures applied	A physical count provides the most accurate information possible.
Purpose of the data	Baseline
Comments	None

Data / Parameter	**Baseline tree circumference**
Data unit	Centimeters
Description	The circumference of trees existing in a project area, before the planting of project trees, is counted
Source of data	Trees are physically measured in the field
Value applied:	See "Baseline Strata" worksheet, Appendix 04a/b, for each result
Justification of choice of data or description of measurement methods and procedures applied	The circumference of a sample of trees are measured with a tape. The results are used to put the tree size in a bin for estimating mass.
Purpose of the data	Baseline
Comments	None

Data / Parameter	**Baseline strata**
Data unit	Hectares
Description	The area of cropland or grassland at baseline
Source of data	Estimate based on visual field observations
Value applied:	See "Grove Summary" worksheet, Appendix 04a/b, for each result
Justification of choice of data or description of measurement methods and procedures applied	The area of the PA was measured using a GPS. The percent cropland and grass land was estimated by an experience TIST Quantifier.
Purpose of the data	Baseline
Comments	None

Data / Parameter	**Project trees**
Data unit	Count of tree
Description	The number of trees per age and species strata in each project area
Source of data	Trees are physically counted in the field.

Value applied:	See "Grove Summary" and "Strata" worksheets, Appendix 04a/b, for each result
Justification of choice of data or description of measurement methods and procedures applied	The trees were all counted by an experience TIST Quantifier.
Purpose of the data	The tree count by strata is used to extrapolate the ex-ante GhG removals
Comments	None

Table 1 of the methodology (CDM AR-AMS0001) also lists “height of tree”, "location of the permanent sample plots” and "basic wood density" as data variables. The project does not collect “height of tree” data or "basic wood density." As noted in paragraph 42, Step 2 of the methodology, the option is given to "measure the diameter at breast height (DBH) or DBH and tree height, as appropriate." The validated PD stated "height will not be used in the allometric equations" because project monitoring relies solely on allometric equations that only require DBH. "Basic wood densities" are not used because they are assumed in the allometric equations. This approach was implicit in the validated PD. Because all the trees are counted in each project area, each project area is a "permanent sample plot.”

The above table also includes a parameter not found in the methodology, "ownership of project area." This was added at the request of the first verifier, ESI, to acknowledge the fact that virtually every PA is owned by a different farmer.

3.2 Data and Parameters Monitored

Data / Parameter	**Number of trees**
Data unit	Trees
Description	Number of trees in a project area by strata
Source of data	Physical counts
Description of measurement methods and procedures to be applied	Physical count of the trees in each stratum by Quantifiers with each visit
Frequency of monitoring/recording	Ongoing measurement taken by Quantifiers as they visit project areas. Each PA could be visited as much as once per year.
Value monitored:	See "Ex-post Strata" worksheet of Appendix 11a/b
Monitoring equipment	Customized handheld computer
QA/QC procedures to be applied	Part of overall QA/QC procedures discussed in Section 3.3
Purpose of the data	Calculation of project emissions
Calculation method	Not applicable
Comments	None

Data / Parameter	**DBH**
Data unit	cm
Description	Diameter of tree at breast height (1.30 m)
Source of data	Physical measurements
Description of measurement methods and procedures to be applied	TIST measures DBH of up to 20 representative trees of each age/species stratum in different project area
Frequency of monitoring/recording	Ongoing measurement taken by Quantifiers as they visit project areas
Value monitored:	See "Circ" worksheet of Appendix 11a/b
Monitoring equipment	Measuring tape and customized handheld computer
QA/QC procedures to be applied	Part of overall QA/QC procedures discussed in Section 3.3
Purpose of the data	Calculation of project emissions
Calculation method	Not applicable
Comments	None

Data / Parameter	**Total CO2**
Data unit	Tonnes
Description	Total CO2 sequestered by the trees
Source of data	Calculation
Description of measurement methods and procedures to be applied	Allometric equations are assigned to each stratum. DBH values are applied to the allometric. Average biomass of a tree in each stratum is calculated and multiplied by number of trees in each stratum. Biomass is converted to CO_2e and the CO_2e of the stratum are totaled.
Frequency of monitoring/recording	Calculation takes place with each monitoring report
Value monitored:	See "Ex-post Strata" worksheet of Appendix 11a/b
Monitoring equipment	Computer and database
QA/QC procedures to be applied	Part of overall QA/QC procedures discussed in Section 3.3
Purpose of the data	Calculation of project emissions
Calculation method	Not applicable
Comments	None

3.3 Monitoring Plan

3.3.1 Organization and Responsibilities

The Small Groups manage themselves based on a covenant among the members of each Small Group. They manage and oversee their own trees. They contract with Clean Air Action

Corporation (CAAC) to sell their carbon, receive payments, and receive training. The GhG component of TIST is managed by CAAC, whom developed the database, web site, and procedures for monitoring the GhG. CAAC was responsible for the PD, monitoring plan and for selling any GhG that becomes available.

The operational processes for monitoring the actual GhG removal by the sinks are for TIST Quantifiers to visit each grove, at minimum, once every five years, to count trees and collect circumference, GPS, and other data. Quantifiers are audited by the TIST Uganda staff. Quantifiers transmit the monitoring data via the Internet to the TIST website, where it is managed by CAAC. CAAC oversees the data and conducts QA/QC reviews. Feedback is provided to the TIST's Quantifiers and office staff. CAAC is responsible for tabulating carbon stocks.

The TIST Data System stores all of the current and archived data. CAAC managers use customized reports to analyze the data and look for trends, missing data or obvious errors. TIST managers visit selected project areas and observe quantifications and audits.

3.3.2 Data Management and QA/QC

TIST uses the following QA/QC procedures:

- **Quantifier Training:** Quantifiers receive explicit training in regard to TIST's Standard Operating Procedures, so that quantifications are performed in a standard and regular fashion. Quantifiers meet monthly to discuss questions or problems that they may have and receive training and software updates when necessary. Quantifiers are not dedicated to a grove for the life of that grove and may be rotated to other groves.

- **Staff Audits:** TIST staff members are trained to quantify groves and have handheld devices that are programmed to conduct audits. A requirement of their job is to periodically audit Quantifiers, including an independent sampling of tree counts and circumference measurement.

- **Multiple Quantifications:** TIST's internal goal is to quantify each project area at least once every 5 years. Inaccurate data and errors are self-correcting with the subsequent visits. If trees have died or have been removed, a new count will reflect the current population. The growth of the trees, as indicated by increased DBH, is monitored with these subsequent visits. If a species is mislabeled, it will arise as a conflict when different Quantifiers attempt to perform tree counts for that grove that do not match the previous one. Comparisons are made over time, to determine whether a particular quantification, or tree count, appears unrealistic.

- **Cluster Meeting Updates:** The Cluster provides an alternate method of gathering intelligence about what is happening at the Small Group level and to individual groves including information that might assist in monitoring the actual GhG removal. To facilitate a conservative approach to estimating GhG removals, a minimum of one Cluster meeting will be held within 12 months prior to the end of the verification period unless there has been a quantification within said 12 month period, in which case, the minimum meeting Cluster is waived.

- **Multiple Tracks:** In order to ensure that the location and perimeter of each discrete project area is accurate, each GPS track of the parcel was measured at least twice, or until two

tracks that reliably define the project area, are obtained. Quantifiers re-trace the tract with each quantification to verify that they are at the correct project area and that they are counting the correct trees.

- **Double Counting:** To ensure that the same project area is not counted more than once, an overlap script was used that compares the outline of all project areas. If an overlap was detected, the project areas were visually compared. If an overlap was determined, the overlapping project areas were removed from the PD.

- **Data Quality:** TIST Quantifiers counted every tree in each discrete project area. Counting each tree is 100% sampling and provides greater than 10% precision at the 95% confidence level. Up to 20 circumference readings for each strata in a project area were taken and archived, to develop a localized database of growth data by strata. This data provided the circumference data for each stratum. This sampling exceeds the 10% precision at the 95% confidence level required by the methodology.

- **TIST Data System:** The data system is an integral part of TIST's quality assurance and quality control plan. The handheld devices are programmed in a manner that requires the data to be collected in a step-by-step manner, increasing the likelihood that all the data will be collected. Data field characteristics are defined to force the use of numbers, text or special formats. Drop down menus are used to restrict answers to certain subsets (e.g. a TIST Small Group name comes from a drop down menu). Some data fields are restricted to a range of data (e.g. negative numbers are not allowed). The data is uploaded within a few days to the main database, providing timely reporting and secure storage of the data.

- **Desk Audit:** TIST has developed analytical tools for reviewing data as it comes in from the field to look at track data, tree counts, and completeness of data.

- **Transparency:** By providing the quantification data online and available to anyone with an Internet connection, TIST is open to audit by anyone at any time. By providing the location, boundaries, tree count by species and circumference, any interested party can field check TIST data. This transparency and the actual visits that have already taken place provide a further motive to make sure the field data is correct.

- **Data Storage:** The data is being stored in an electronic format on the TIST server. Currently, the server hardware is operated by a third party company that specializes in web and data hosting. They are currently on the Amazon Cloud. However, CAAC could, in the future, change hosts or choose to host the server at its offices.

3.3.3 Monitoring and Carbon Calculations

The overall plan employs TIST Quantifiers going to each PA, counting each tree by stratum and taking circumference measurements of up to 20 trees in a stratum. The data is recorded on a handheld computer using a custom database. The data is uploaded to the TIST database via the Internet where it is incorporated with all the other monitoring data and displayed on the TIST website (tist.org). This is an ongoing process that takes place almost every day. As each PA is revisited, the old data is achieved and the results of the new quantification are displayed on the website. The data used in this monitoring report is the most current information from each PA. It was accessed from the database by the proponent and used in the carbon calculations.

The following provides the step-by-step procedure used to determine the Project GhG removals:

Step 1: Because of the difference in species and age of the trees and location, ownership and management of the project areas, each project area is monitored. They are documented in the "PA Summary" worksheet of Appendix 11a/b.[18] The location of each PA was obtained with a GPS and is also provided in the "PA Summary" worksheet of Appendix 11a/b. The boundary of each project area was obtained using a GPS and provided in PD Appendix 03a/b.[19] The area was calculated and is listed with each project area in the "PA Summary" worksheet of Appendix 11.

Step 2. The strata for the ex post estimation of the actual net greenhouse gas removals was done by species and year similar to the ex-ante estimate as described in PD Section 3.2. Stratification was done within each individual project areas. The area of a stratum in a project area ("Area of a Stratum (ha)") was determined by multiplying the area of project area (see Step 1) by the percentage of trees of that stratum in the respective project area. The results are provided in the "Ex-post Strata" worksheet of Appendix 11.[20]

Step 3. Where a tree species exceeded 10% of the total tree inventory, it was assigned to a Major Strata. All other tree species were assigned to an "Other" strata. Based on the tabulations in Appendix 11, there are four major strata: eucalyptus, *pinus*, *cupressus* and other.

Step 4. Allometric equations were used to convert DBH values to biomass. An allometric equation for each Major Strata was identified. If a species specific equation for a Major Strata was unavailable, it used the "Other" equation as a default. Allometric equations were researched and the most appropriate ones identified by the Proponent were assigned to the major strata. Because no better allometric equation could be found for *Cupressus*, they were assigned to the "other" equation. The following is the assignment.

Pinus[21].Y = $0.887+[(10486 \times (DBH)^{2.84})/((DBH^{2.84})+376{,}907)]$
Eucalyptus[22]: $Log\ Y = -2.43 + 2.58\ Log\ C$
Cupressus: no species specific equations, will use "Other" equation
Other (default)[23]: $Y = (0.2035 \times DBH^{2.3196}) \times 1.2$

Where:

[18] "PA Summary" worksheet of Appendix 11 is an abbreviated version of the "Grove Summary" worksheet of PD Appendix 04. It contains the same list of Project Areas but omits the baseline information.
Appendix 04a: TIST UG PD-VCS-005e App04a Data 130208 Group.xlsx Group at http://www.tist.org/PD-UG-VCS-005-006%20Documents.php.
Appendix 11: TIST UG PD-VCS-005l App11a Verif 02 Monitoring Data 170707.xlsx at http://www.tist.org/PD-UG-VCS-005-006%20Documents.php.
[19] Appendix 03a/b are the KML files. Appendix 03a contains the original instances. Appendix 03b is the new instances. See http://www.tist.org/PD-UG-VCS-005-006%20Documents.php.
[20] "Ex-post Strata" worksheet of Appendix 11 is similar to the "Strata" worksheet of PD Appendix 04. It contains the same list of strata but includes carbon calculation information.
[21] IPCC 2006 AOLU, Annex 4A.2, Table 4.A.1. Temperate/Tropical Pines.
[22] DH Ashton, "The Development of Even-aged Stands in Eucalyptus regnans F. Muell. in Central Victoria," Australian Journal of Botany, 24 (1976): 397-414, cited by Tim Pearson, Sandra Brown and David Shoch, in "Assessment of Methods and Background for Carbon Sequestration in the TIST Project in Tanzania," Report to Clean Air Action Corporation, (December 2004).
[23] Tim Pearson, Sandra Brown and David Shoch, in "Assessment of Methods and Background for Carbon Sequestration in the TIST Project in Tanzania," Report to Clean Air Action Corporation, (December 2004). See Exhibit 05: TIST UG PD-VCS-Ex 05 Winrock Report 021215.doc.

Y= aboveground dry matter, kg (tree)-1
DBH = diameter at breast height, cm
C = circumference at breast height, cm
ln = natural logarithm
exp = e raised to the power of
1.2 = expansion factor to go from bole biomass to tree biomass

The result is that there are only three Allometric Strata: *Pinus*, eucalyptus and other. See "Circ" worksheet, Appendix 011.

Step 5. The DBH of up to 20 trees per stratum, per project area, were measured. The results of these measurements are presented in the "Circ (cm)" column of worksheets "Circ" of Appendix 11. Each DBH value for each tree measured was applied to the appropriate allometric equation and the above ground biomass ("AG Biomass, kg") of each per tree in the stratum was obtained.[24]

The "Average Above Ground Biomass per Tree (kg)" of the "Ex-post Strata" worksheet was created by processing the "AG Biomass (kg)" values in the "Statistics" worksheet. The individual "AG Biomass" values were transferred by strata to the "Sample Data" section of the "Statistics" worksheet. To be conservative, where the strata age was one year, a zero was entered in the column (see Section 3.3.2, below). The "Statistics" worksheet has a row ("Mean, t BM"), which used the Excel "Average" function to derive the average biomass for each stratum.

The values from "Mean, t BM" of each allometric/age strata were transferred to the "Biomass/ Tree, kg" column of the "Circ" worksheet using an Excel "Lookup" function.

Step 6. For each stratum in each project area, the average above ground biomass per tree ("Biomass/ Tree, kg" column "Ex-post Strata" worksheet) was multiplied times the number of trees, to yield the above ground biomass in stratum (kg), and divided by 1,000 to obtain above ground biomass in stratum ("AG Biomass in Stratum, t").

Step 7. The methodology requires the use of "tons of biomass per hectare" as a factor, in a subsequent step. It was determined by dividing the above ground biomass, in stratum (t), from Step 6, by the area of the stratum, from Step 2.

$$\text{Above Ground Biomass in Stratum (t/ha)} = \frac{\text{Above Ground Biomass in Stratum (t)}}{\text{Area of the Stratum (ha)}}$$

Step 8. The above ground biomass in stratum ("AG Biomass in Stratum, t/ha") was multiplied by 0.5 to convert biomass to carbon. The result is above ground carbon in stratum ("AG Carbon in Stratum, t/ha").

Step 9. The carbon stocks of the below ground biomass of each stratum ("BG Carbon in Stratum, t/ha") were calculated by multiplying "AG Biomass in Stratum, t/ha" by the appropriate

[24] The "AG Biomass (kg)" column is the result of this operation. The "Code" function in the formula is looking for an "E" (ASCII 69) in the first letter of the entry in the "Allometric Strata" column to indicate eucalyptus. If the "Code" is E, then the first (eucalyptus) equation applies. If not, the "other" equation applies.

roots to shoot ratio and by 0.5, the carbon fraction of the biomass. A root to shoot factor of 0.27 is used.[25]

Step 10. The total carbon stocks (CO_2e) are determined by adding the above and below ground carbon (C) of each stratum in each project area, multiplying each sum by the respective area of that stratum, converting the result to CO2e and summing the products. The following is the general equation required by the methodology.

$$P(t) = \sum_{i-I}^{I} (PA(t)\ i + PB(t)\ i) * Ai*(44/12)$$

Where:

$P_{(t)}$ = carbon stocks within the project boundary at time *t* achieved by the project activity (t CO_2e)

$PA_{(t)}I$ = carbon stocks in above-ground biomass at time *t* of stratum *i* achieved by the project activity during the monitoring interval (t C/ha) from Step 8.

PB(t)I = carbon stocks in below-ground biomass at time *t* of stratum *i* achieved by the project activity during the monitoring interval (t C/ha) from Step 9.

Ai = project activity area of stratum *i* (ha) from Step 2.

I = stratum *i* (*I* = total number of strata)

The first part of this equation required adding the "AG Biomass in Stratum, t/ha" and "BG Carbon in Stratum, t/ha" and multiplying the sum by the area of each stratum and by 44/12 to convert carbon to CO_2. This is accomplished in the "CO2 in Stratum (t)" column.

The second part of this formula required summing the values in the "CO2 in Stratum (t)" column. The result is in the "CO2 in Stratum (t)" column of the "Ex-Post Strata" worksheet on the "Project Total" row (bottom of worksheet).

3.3.4 Accuracy

Precision at 95% confidence level

The methodology required showing that the stratification approach could achieve a targeted precision level of ±10% of the mean at a 95% confidence level. This demonstration was made in the "Statistics" worksheet of Appendix 11.

The "Statistics" worksheet reads all of the circumference readings from the "Circ" worksheets. This was accomplished by using the database functions of Excel (DSUM, DCOUNT, etc). Columns F through L are the results based on all of the Circ data. A modification has been added to identify circumference outliers. We revised the Statistics and Circ worksheets to enable us to identify the circumference measurements of every stratum that is in the 4th standard deviation above the mean. The 4th SD is identified in column L of the Statistics worksheet. Column O of the Circ worksheet compares these thresholds with each circumference measurement. If the value is in the 4th SD it is excluded. Columns N through T of the Statistics worksheet.are the statistics for this subset. The new mean is calculated in Column O.

[25] GPG-LULUCF, Table 3.A.1.8

The results are that when the strata are combined to the project level, the original instances have a 95% confidence level of 1.40% of the mean and the new instances have a 95% confidence level of 0.98% of the mean. The data meets the precision requirement of the methodology.

No zero values for trees less than breast height

The project counts all trees, but no circumference measurements are taken if the trees are less than breast height. We learned that during the early stages of the program, that instead of entering a zero in the circumference field Quantifiers sometimes left it null. To make sure this did not bias the results, a conservative approach was adopted and the circumference of all one-year-old trees was set at zero.

Cordia africana

The field observation indicated that the *Cordia africana* were subject to extensive pruning in order to get the main trunk growing straight. There was concern that use of circumference measurements from these trees in the allometric equations could have a material effect on the total carbon calculated. This was tested by examining the effect of removing the cordia from the CO_2 calculations. In the "Misc Calc" worksheet of the first verification (Appendix 11), the CO_2 of all the cordia was determined to be 0.0% of the original instances and less than 0.01% of the new instances. Therefore, this issue is well below the 5% materiality threshold and the cordia strata received no special treatment.

No circumference sample if less than 20 trees in PA

In spite of the thousands of circumference readings taken for the project, circumference readings were rarely taken in project areas where the tree count was less than twenty. There was concern this could have a material effect on the total carbon calculated. This was tested by looking at the total number of trees that are affected by this issue. As shown in the "Misc Calc" worksheet of Appendix 11a/b,[26] 0.04% of the trees in the original instances have less than 20 trees and 0.01% of the trees in the new instances have less than 20 trees. Therefore, this issue is well below the 5% materiality threshold.

Conclusion

In conclusion, the Project meets the precision required by the methodology. In addition, the issue regarding one-year-old trees was addressed by assuming one-year-olds had zero biomass. The remaining issues of concern were shown to be both individually and cumulatively below the 5% materiality threshold.

4 QUANTIFICATION OF GHG EMISSION REDUCTIONS AND REMOVALS

4.1 Baseline Emissions

No monitoring of the baseline is required. As demonstrated in Section 4.2 of the PD, the change in baseline carbons stocks is below the threshold that would require monitoring. Because only the trees planted as part of the project are counted in the estimation of project removals, the baseline carbon stocks are fixed at zero.

[26] Appendix 11: TIST UG PD-VCS-005l App11a/b Verif 02 Monitoring Data 170707.xlsx at http://www.tist.org/PD-UG-VCS-005-006%20Documents.php.

4.2 Project Emissions

Project emissions are considered zero. As addressed in the PD, this is due to the manner in which the Project is operated, such as: no vehicles, manual labor conducted by the small-hold farmers on their own land, restrictions on chemical fertilizers, no clearing of baseline trees and minimal disturbance of the ground for planting.

Project removals are as calculated in the "Project Total" row (bottom of worksheet), "CO2 in Stratum (t)" column, "Ex-Post Strata" worksheet of Appendix 11. The total is provided in Section 4.4, below.

4.3 Leakage

For ex-post leakage, the methodology requires the monitoring of cropland, domesticated grazing animals and domesticated roaming animals displaced by the project activity during the first crediting period. If the indicators were less than 10%, leakage is set to zero. The monitoring results provided in the PD indicated cropland and grazing leakage is below the thresholds that require further monitoring and that the ex-post leakage can be set at zero.

4.4 Net GHG Emission Reductions and Removals

Year	*Baseline emissions or removals (tCO_2e)*	*Project emissions or removals (tCO_2e)*	*Leakage emissions (tCO_2e)*	*Net GHG emission reductions or removals (tCO_2e)*
Total	-0-	515,685	-0-	515,685

The net GhG emissions reductions can be found in cell AK18108, "PA Summary" worksheet. It reflects the emission reductions calculated from the "Ex-Post Strata" worksheet, less the Pending and Removed groves.

There is no intent to have vintages issued separately in the VCS registry system. The non-permanence risk rating is 1.5 and the required buffer is 10%. The non-permanence risk report is Appendix 09.

Verification Number	**Date**	**Monitoring Period**	**Gross Reductions**	**Buffer**	**Issuance**
Orig Instances	17-Oct-17	01-Jan-03 to 07-Jul-17	243,203	24,320	218,882
New Instances	17-Oct-17	01-Jan-03 to 07-Jul-17	328,811	32,881	295,929
Less Verif 01	07-Mar-13	01-Jan-03 to 12-Nov-12	56,329	5,633	50,696
Net This Report	17-Oct-17	13-Nov-12 to 07-Jul-17	515,685	51,569	**464,116**

APPENDICES:

The following appendices can be found at: http://www.tist.org/PD-UG-VCS-005-006%20Documents.php

- Appendix 03, PA plots, TIST UG PD-VCS-005d App03a/b PA Plots.kml

- Appendix 04, Validation Spreadsheet, TIST UG PD-VCS-005e App04a Data 130208 Group.xlsx and TIST UG PD-VCS-005e App04b Data 170707.xlsx
- Appendix 08, First Verification spreadsheet, TIST UG PD-VCS-005i App08 Monitoring Data 130208 Group.xlsx
- Appendix 09, Non-Permanence Risk Report of this verification, TIST UG PD-VCS-005j App09 Verif 02 Risk Analysis 171017.doc
- Appendix 11, Second Verification spreadsheet, TIST UG PD-VCS-005l App11a/b Verif 02 Monitoring Data 170707.xlsx